

**Multipliers of Hardy spaces, quadratic integrals
and Foias–Williams–Peller operators**

by

G. BLOWER (Lancaster)

Abstract. We obtain a sufficient condition on a $B(H)$ -valued function φ for the operator $f \mapsto \Gamma_\varphi f'(S)$ to be completely bounded on $H^\infty B(H)$; the Foias–Williams–Peller operator

$$R_\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} S^t & \Gamma_\varphi \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

is then similar to a contraction. We show that if $f : D \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bounded analytic function for which $(1-r)\|f'(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)}^2 r dr d\theta$ and $(1-r)\|f''(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)} r dr d\theta$ are Carleson measures, then f multiplies $(H^1 c^1)'$ to itself. Such f form an algebra \mathcal{A} , and when $\varphi' \in \text{BMO}(B(H))$, the map $f \mapsto \Gamma_\varphi f'(S)$ is bounded $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H^2(H), L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))$. Thus we construct a functional calculus for operators of Foias–Williams–Peller type.

1. Introduction. Much work has been done to characterize those bounded linear operators T on Hilbert space H which are similar to contractions; that is, $T = SCS^{-1}$, where S is invertible and $\|C\|_{B(H)} \leq 1$. The results of von Neumann [13, p. 3], Paulsen [10] and Pisier [14] may be summarized in the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *An operator T is similar to a contraction if and only if it is completely polynomially bounded, i.e. there is $C_T < \infty$ with*

$$(1.1) \quad \|[p_{jk}(T)]\|_{B(H) \otimes M_n} \leq C_T \sup\{\|[p_{jk}(z)]\|_{M_n} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$$

for all polynomials $[p_{jk}(z)]$ with $n \times n$ matrix coefficients, and all $n \geq 1$.

Further, it is not sufficient that T be polynomially bounded, where (1.1) holds merely for all scalar-valued polynomials.

An important test case in achieving this result was the operator consid-

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47B35; Secondary 42B25.

Key words and phrases: polynomially bounded operators, Hankel operators, multipliers, Carleson measures.

ered by Foiaş and Williams in [7], Peller [11] and others [3, 5, 14], namely

$$(1.2) \quad R_\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} S^t & \Gamma_\varphi \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix},$$

where $S : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is the right shift operator on Hardy space, with transpose S^t , and $\Gamma_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow L^2 \ominus H^2$ is the Hankel operator represented by the matrix $[\widehat{\varphi}(-m-n)]_{m,n \geq 0}$ with respect to the bases $(z^n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(\bar{z}^n)_{n > 0}$. One can show that R_φ is bounded if and only if the symbol φ has $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty/H^\infty} < \infty$, or equivalently, if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \widehat{\varphi}(-n)\bar{z}^n$ is in BMO. Further, Aleksandrov and Peller have used multipliers of $(H^1)'$ to show that the functional calculus map $f \mapsto f(R_\varphi)$ is (completely) bounded $H^\infty \rightarrow B(L^2)$ if and only if $\varphi'(e^{i\theta})$ is in BMO; consequently, R_φ is similar to a contraction if and only if it is polynomially bounded [5, Theorem 4.8]. See also [13, Chapter 6].

Here we generalize some of these results to the case in which R_φ has an operator-valued symbol function; the example of [14] mentioned in Theorem 1.1 involves such a φ . We shall state our main Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 after introducing notation. Their proofs are in Sections 3 and 5 respectively. Sections 2 and 4 are concerned with closely related results on square functions and multipliers for $(H^1 c^1)'$.

Henceforth we let φ be a strongly measurable $L^2(d\theta; B(H))$ function on the unit circle \mathcal{T} with $\widehat{\varphi}(0) = 0$, extended to define a harmonic function on the disc $\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} P_z(\theta)\varphi(e^{i\theta})d\theta/(2\pi)$ by the Poisson kernel. For any Banach space X , we denote by $H^p(X)$ or $H^p X$ the Hardy space of analytic functions $f : D \rightarrow X$ with norm $\|f\|_{H^p(X)} = \|f(re^{i\theta})\|_{L^\infty_r L^p_\theta X}$. The space $\text{BMO}(X)$ consists of $L^2(X)$ functions ψ on the circle for which the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\text{BMO}(X)} = \|\widehat{\psi}(0)\|_X + \sup_{z \in D} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \|\psi(e^{i\theta}) - P_z\psi\|_X P_z(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

is finite. We write $\bar{\partial} = \partial/\partial\bar{z}$ and $\partial = \partial/\partial z$; and $A(dz)$ is planar Lebesgue measure. C is a positive constant taking possibly different values in successive expressions. We denote by c^1 the space of trace-class operators on H , and by c^2 the Hilbert–Schmidt ideal. The dual of c^1 is $B(H)$ under the bilinear pairing $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{trace}(ab)$.

A positive Radon measure μ on the unit disc D is said to be a *Carleson measure* if there is a constant $C_*(\mu)$ such that $\mu(R(I)) \leq C_*(\mu)|I|$ for each subinterval I of $[0, 2\pi]$, where $R(I)$ is the sector $R(I) = \{re^{i\theta} \in D \mid r \geq 1 - |I|, \theta \in I\}$ based upon I [8, p. 31].

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\varphi \in L^2(d\theta; B(H))$ be as above, and suppose that*

$$(1.3) \quad Q_{\bar{\partial}\varphi}(drd\theta) = (1-r)\|\bar{\partial}^2\varphi(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)}^2 r dr d\theta$$

defines a Carleson measure on D . Then

(i) R_φ is similar to a contraction on

$$L^2(H) = (L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H)) \oplus H^2(H).$$

(ii) The operator $W_\varphi : H^\infty B(H) \rightarrow B(L^2(H))$ is bounded, where

$$(1.4) \quad W_\varphi : f \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} f(S^t) & \Gamma_\varphi f'(S) \\ 0 & f(S) \end{bmatrix} \quad (f \in H^\infty B(H)).$$

(iii) Suppose further that $\frac{d}{d\theta}\varphi(e^{i\theta})$ is in $\text{BMO}(B(H))$. Then W_φ extends to define a bounded linear operator $L^\infty B(H) \rightarrow B(L^2(H))$.

When φ is scalar-valued and anti-analytic, $Q_{\bar{\partial}\varphi}$ is a Carleson measure if and only if $d\varphi/d\theta$ is in BMO [8, p. 240]. Thus Theorem 1.2 generalizes the sufficient condition for complete polynomial boundedness of R_φ from [3, Theorem 1; 11, p. 202].

For a $B(H)$ -valued analytic function f , we introduce measures on D by

$$(1.5) \quad Q_f(dr d\theta) = (1-r)\|f'(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)}^2 r dr d\theta,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta_f(dr d\theta) = (1-r)\|f''(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)} r dr d\theta.$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be the space of bounded analytic functions $f : D \rightarrow B(H)$ for which the norm*

$$(1.7) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)} + C_*(Q_f)^{1/2} + C_*(\Delta_f)/2$$

is finite. Then W_φ defines a functional calculus map in \mathcal{A} :

- (i) \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication;
- (ii) $W_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(L^2(H))$ is a bounded linear operator for each φ with $d\varphi/d\theta \in \text{BMO}(B(H))$; and
- (iii) W_φ is a homomorphism on the subalgebra \mathcal{A}_φ of \mathcal{A} given by

$$\mathcal{A}_\varphi = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f(z)\varphi(z) = \varphi(z)f(z), z \in D\}.$$

2. Square functions. For $e^{i\phi}$ on the unit circle, Ω_ϕ is the non-tangential approach region $\{z \in D \mid |z - e^{i\phi}| < 2(1 - |z|)\}$. For any Banach space X , and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we let $G^p(X)$ be the Banach space of analytic functions $g : D \rightarrow X$ for which the norm

$$(2.1) \quad \|g\|_{G^p(X)} = \|g(0)\|_X + \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\int_{\Omega_\phi} \|g'(re^{i\theta})\|_X^2 r dr d\theta \right)^{p/2} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \right\}^{1/p}$$

is finite. When X is a Hilbert space, the norm of $G^p(X)$ is equivalent to the norm of $H^p(X)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, by a theorem of Littlewood and Paley. This equivalence does not hold when X is the trace-class operators; nevertheless, due to the isomorphism $c^1 \sim H \widehat{\otimes} H$, we can apply the following principle of derivations for projective tensor products; cf. [1; 5, Remark 4.11].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces for which:*

- (i) the multiplication map $H^2(X) \widehat{\otimes} H^2(Y) \rightarrow H^1(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)$ is surjective; and
(ii) the formal inclusions $H^2(X) \rightarrow G^2(X)$ and $H^2(Y) \rightarrow G^2(Y)$ are bounded.

Then the formal inclusion $H^1(X \widehat{\otimes} Y) \rightarrow G^1(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)$ is bounded.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Functions from $H^\infty B(H)$ multiply $(H^1 c^1)'$ into $(G^1 c^1)'$; that is, if $f \in H^\infty B(H)$ and $g \in H^1 c^1$, then k belongs to $G^1 c^1$ where*

$$(2.2) \quad k(z) = \int_{[0,z]} f(w)g'(w) dw \quad (z \in D).$$

Proof. We give a detailed proof, for the same method may be used to prove Proposition 2.1. By Sarason's factorization theorem [12, p. 62], we can write $g = h_1 h_2$ where $h_j \in H^2 c^2$ has $\|h_j\|_{H^2 c^2}^2 = \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then $fg' = fh_1' h_2 + fh_1 h_2'$, so we can estimate the area integral of k by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S(k)(e^{i\phi})^2 &= \iint_{\Omega_\phi} \|k'(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^1}^2 r dr d\theta \\ &\leq 2 \iint_{\Omega_\phi} \|f(re^{i\theta})h_1'(re^{i\theta})h_2(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^1}^2 r dr d\theta \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\Omega_\phi} \|f(re^{i\theta})h_1(re^{i\theta})h_2'(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^1}^2 r dr d\theta \\ (2.4) \quad &\leq 2 \sup_{z \in D} \|f(z)\|_{B(H)}^2 \sup_{z \in \Omega_\phi} \|h_2(z)\|_{c^2}^2 S(h_1)(e^{i\phi})^2 \\ &\quad + \text{similar term.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}} S(k)(e^{i\phi}) \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \right)^2 \leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)}^2 \int_{\mathcal{I}} \sup_{z \in \Omega_\phi} \|h_2(z)\|_{c^2}^2 \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \\ \times \int_{\mathcal{I}} S(h_1)(e^{i\phi})^2 \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} + \text{similar term.}$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Theorem [8, pp. 22, 24], the nontangential maximal function of $h_2 \in H^2 c^2$ is square-integrable; while the area integral $S(h_1)(e^{i\phi})^2$ is integrable, as may be seen from the Littlewood-Paley identity [8, p. 236], which is valid for c^2 -valued functions. The right-hand side of (2.5) is bounded by $C \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)}^2 \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}^2$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. (ii) Let us consider the top-right corner of the matrix of (1.4) representing $W_\varphi(f)$. When φ takes values in $B(H)$,

one can view Γ_φ as an operator $H^2(H) \rightarrow L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H)$. With this interpretation, it is easy to see that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Gamma_\varphi f'(S)\|_{B(H^2(H), L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))} &= \sup \left\{ \Re \int_{\mathcal{I}} \langle \varphi(e^{i\theta}) f'(e^{i\theta}), h_1(e^{i\theta}) h_2(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right\} \\ &\quad \left\| \|h_1\|_{H^2 c^2}, \|h_2\|_{H^2 c^2} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \Re \int_{\mathcal{I}} \langle \varphi(e^{i\theta}) f'(e^{i\theta}), g(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right\} \left\| \|g\|_{H^1 c^1} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write $f'g = (fg)' - k'$, where $fg \in H^1 c^1$ for $f \in H^\infty B(H)$ and $g \in H^1 c^1$. As we shall see below, $k' = fg'$ need not be the derivative of an $H^1 c^1$ function; nevertheless we can use the factorization $g = h_1 h_2$, where $h_j \in H^2 c^2$ have $\|h_j\|_{H^2 c^2}^2 = \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}$, to write $k' = fh_1' h_2 + fh_1 h_2'$. Integrating by parts and using the Littlewood-Paley identity, we see that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{I}} \langle \varphi(e^{i\theta}), k'(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_{\mathcal{I}} \left\langle \frac{d}{d\theta} (ie^{-i\theta} \varphi(e^{i\theta})), k(e^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ (3.3) \quad = \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \langle \bar{\partial} \bar{z} \bar{\partial} \bar{z} \varphi(z), f(z) h_1'(z) h_2(z) \\ + f(z) h_1(z) h_2'(z) \rangle \log \frac{1}{|z|} A(dz).$$

We can bound a typical contribution to (3.3) by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \|\bar{\partial}^2 \varphi(z)\|_{B(H)} \|f(z)\|_{B(H)} \|h_1'(z)\|_{c^2} \|h_2(z)\|_{c^2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} A(dz) \\ \leq \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \|\bar{\partial}^2 \varphi(z)\|_{B(H)}^2 \|h_2(z)\|_{c^2}^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} A(dz) \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \|h_1'(z)\|_{c^2}^2 \log \frac{1}{|z|} A(dz) \right)^{1/2}.$$

The last integral may be bounded using the Littlewood-Paley identity, whereas the other integral on the right-hand side of (3.4) involves the Carleson measure $Q_{\bar{\partial}\varphi}$ of (1.3). An application of the Carleson Theorem [8, p. 33] to this factor leads to the following bound on (3.4):

$$(3.5) \quad C \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)} C_*(Q_{\bar{\partial}\varphi})^{1/2} \|h_1\|_{H^2 c^2} \|h_2\|_{H^2 c^2} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)} C_*(Q_{\bar{\partial}\varphi})^{1/2} \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}.$$

A similar, but easier, argument shows that the same bound holds for $\int \langle \varphi, (fg)' \rangle$.

These give the following bound on the top-right entry of the matrix of (1.4):

$$(3.6) \quad \|\Gamma_\varphi f'(S)\|_{B(H^2(H), L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))} \leq CC_*(Q_{\delta\varphi})^{1/2} \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)}.$$

Since f is bounded, one can easily show that the other entries of the matrix (1.4) are bounded by

$$(3.7) \quad \|f(S)\|_{B(H^2(H))}, \|f(S^t)\|_{B(L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))} \leq \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)};$$

and so, combining this with (3.6), we have the desired bound

$$(3.8) \quad \|W_\varphi(f)\|_{B(L^2(H))} \leq C(C_*(Q_{\delta\varphi})^{1/2} + 1) \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)}.$$

(iii) For $f \in L^\infty B(H)$ we form the harmonic extension of f to the unit disc by the Poisson integral. For φ as above and such f , one can define a bounded bilinear form $T_\varphi(f)$ by $T_\varphi(f)(h_1, h_2) = (3.3)$ for each $h_1, h_2 \in H^2c^2$. Also, the map $f \mapsto T_\varphi(f)$ is bounded $L^\infty B(H) \rightarrow Bi(H^2c^2, H^2c^2)$ by the estimation which produced (3.6). There is a natural isometric isomorphism between $H_0^2(H)$ and $L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H)$ arising from the ‘‘flip’’ map $h(z) \mapsto h(\bar{z})$ on formal power series. Thus $T_\varphi(f)$ gives rise to a bounded linear operator $\tilde{T}_\varphi(f) : H^2(H) \rightarrow L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H)$ of norm not greater than $\|T_\varphi(f)\|_{Bi(H^2c^2, H^2c^2)}$. Here Bi denotes the bounded bilinear forms, with usual norm.

The contribution arising from $(fg)'$ requires more careful treatment, and we suppose additionally that $\varphi' \in BMO(B(H))$. By the H^1 -BMO duality theorem of [4, Corollary 16], we have, after integration by parts,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Re \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle \varphi(e^{i\theta}), (fg)'(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &= \Re \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left\langle \frac{d}{d\theta}(ie^{-i\theta}\varphi(e^{i\theta})), f(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq C \left\| \frac{d}{d\theta}\varphi \right\|_{BMO(B(H))} \|g\|_{H^1c^1} \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)}. \end{aligned}$$

The bounded linear functional on H^1c^1 associated with φ' by (3.9) may alternatively be obtained from some $\psi \in L^\infty B(H)$ by an application of Nehari’s Theorem [9, p. 316]: precisely, there exists $\psi \in L^\infty B(H)$ with $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} \leq C\|\varphi'\|_{BMO(B(H))}$ for which

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} V_\varphi(f)(h_1, h_2) &= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle \psi(e^{i\theta}), f(e^{i\theta})h_1(e^{i\theta})h_2(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle \varphi'(e^{i\theta}), f(e^{i\theta})h_1(e^{i\theta})h_2(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (h_1, h_2 \in H^2c^2) \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded bilinear form on $H^2c^2 \times H^2c^2$ for each $f \in H^\infty(B(H))$. The

first integral also converges when $f \in L^\infty(B(H))$ and so defines a bounded bilinear form on $H^2c^2 \times H^2c^2$. Thus, for each φ , (3.10) defines a bounded linear map $L^\infty B(H) \rightarrow Bi(H^2c^2, H^2c^2)$ and hence, using the flip map, a bounded linear map $\tilde{V}_\varphi : L^\infty B(H) \rightarrow B(H^2(H), L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))$.

One can extend W_φ to a bounded linear operator $L^\infty B(H) \rightarrow B(L^2(H))$ by using $\tilde{T}_\varphi, \tilde{V}_\varphi$ and the completely positive map

$$f \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{f}(n)S^n + \sum_{n < 0} \hat{f}(n)(S^*)^{-n}.$$

(i) The preceding estimates hold whether or not φ and f commute. With our conventions, $S^t \bar{z}^m = \bar{z}^{m-1}$ for $m \geq 2$. When $\varphi(z)$ and $f(z)$ commute for all $z \in D$, one can deduce that $\Gamma_\varphi f(S) = f(S^t)\Gamma_\varphi$, which implies that W_φ is a homomorphism. Forming $[f_{jk}(R_\varphi)]$ for a matrix-valued polynomial $[f_{jk}(z)] \in H^\infty M_n$ amounts to having a symbol $\varphi_n = \varphi \otimes I_n$ and f of the form $I_H \otimes [f_{jk}(z)]$. In this case, the homomorphism $W_\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_n : g \otimes a \mapsto W_\varphi(g) \otimes a$ is precisely the map $W_{\varphi_n} : H^\infty M_n \rightarrow B(L^2(H)) \otimes M_n$ and so, by (3.8), has operator norm

$$(3.11) \quad \|W_\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_n\| \leq C(C_*(Q_{\delta\varphi})^{1/2} + 1) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Hence R_φ is completely polynomially bounded and so, by Theorem 1.1, is similar to a contraction.

4. Multipliers of $(H^1c^1)'$. A (left) multiplier of $(H^1c^1)'$ is an analytic function $f : D \rightarrow B(H)$ for which

$$(4.1) \quad k(z) = \int_{[0,z]} f(w)g'(w)dw \quad (z \in D)$$

belongs to H^1c^1 whenever $g \in H^1c^1$. Davidson and Paulsen have shown that there exists an $f \in H^\infty B(H)$ which is not a left multiplier of $(H^1c^1)'$ [5, Corollary 4.10]. Other properties of square functions on H^1c^1 are given in [1]. We recall the notation of (1.5) and (1.6).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let f belong to $H^\infty B(H)$, and suppose that Q_f and Δ_f define Carleson measures on the disc. Then f is a multiplier of $(H^1c^1)'$; and when $g \in H^1c^1$ and $k' = fg'$ with $k(0) = 0$, we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \|k\|_{H^1c^1} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}\|g\|_{H^1c^1}.$$

Proof. Once again we begin by taking a typical $g \in H^1c^1$ and factoring it as $g = h_1h_2$, where $h_j \in H^2c^2$ has $\|h_j\|_{H^2c^2}^2 = \|g\|_{H^1c^1}$ for $j = 1, 2$. We see, on integrating by parts, that $k(z) = f(z)g(z) - f(0)g(0) - k_1(z)$, where $k'_1 = f'g$. Since fg is clearly in H^1c^1 , it suffices to show that so is k_1 . This we do by duality: we recall that $(H^1c^1)^*$ may be identified with

$L^\infty B(H)/H_0^\infty B(H)$ [9, p. 316], so we let ψ be the harmonic extension to the disc of an $L^\infty B(H)$ function of unit norm, and consider

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle \psi(e^{i\theta}), k_1(e^{i\theta}) \rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ = \frac{-2}{\pi} \iint_D \left\langle \psi(re^{i\theta}), \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - 1 \right) k_1(re^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta.$$

This last identity may be verified by considering Fourier series, and the most threatening terms in it arise from the second derivative, namely

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \langle \psi(re^{i\theta}), f''(re^{i\theta})g(re^{i\theta}) \rangle r^2 e^{2i\theta} \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta$$

and two terms such as

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D \langle \psi(re^{i\theta}), f'(re^{i\theta})h_1'(re^{i\theta})h_2(re^{i\theta}) \rangle r^2 e^{2i\theta} \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta.$$

The term (4.4) is bounded in modulus by

$$(4.6) \quad C \|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} \iint_D \|g(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^1} \|f''(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)} \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta,$$

which involves the Carleson measure Δ_f . By Carleson's Theorem [8, p. 33], this is

$$(4.7) \quad \leq CC_*(\Delta_f) \|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|g(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^1} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ \leq CC_*(\Delta_f) \|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} \|g\|_{H^1 c^1},$$

where we have used Bourgain's maximal theorem [4, Corollary 16]. For expressions such as (4.5) we use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to achieve the bounds

$$(4.8) \quad C \|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} \left(\iint_D \|h_2(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^2}^2 \|f'(re^{i\theta})\|_{B(H)}^2 \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\iint_D \|h_1'(re^{i\theta})\|_{c^2}^2 \log \frac{1}{r} \cdot r \, dr \, d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

The last factor may be bounded using the Littlewood–Paley identity, while the other integral in (4.8) involves the Carleson measure Q_f . An application of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal theorem [8, pp. 22, 24] to this factor leads to a bound on (4.5) of

$$(4.9) \quad C \|\psi\|_{L^\infty B(H)} C_*(Q_f)^{1/2} \|h_2\|_{H^2 c^2} \|h_1\|_{H^2 c^2} \leq CC_*(Q_f)^{1/2} \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}.$$

Combining the estimate $\|fg\|_{H^1 c^1} \leq \|f\|_{H^\infty B(H)} \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}$ with (4.9) and (4.7) gives the estimate (4.2).

REMARK. An example mentioned in [2, (6.22)] shows that \mathcal{A} is a proper subset of $H^\infty B(H)$; this is also implied by [5, Corollary 4.10] and Proposition 4.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) To check that \mathcal{A} is an algebra, we take $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and use the Leibniz rule and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to show:

$$(5.1) \quad C_*(Q_{f_1 f_2})^{1/2} \leq C_*(Q_{f_1})^{1/2} \|f_2\|_{H^\infty B(H)} + C_*(Q_{f_2})^{1/2} \|f_1\|_{H^\infty B(H)};$$

$$(5.2) \quad C_*(\Delta_{f_1 f_2}) \leq C_*(\Delta_{f_1}) \|f_2\|_{H^\infty B(H)} \\ + 2C_*(Q_{f_1})^{1/2} C_*(Q_{f_2})^{1/2} + \|f_1\|_{H^\infty B(H)} C_*(\Delta_{f_2}).$$

From these it follows by an elementary calculation that $f \mapsto \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is submultiplicative.

(ii) Now let φ and f be as in the Theorem, and $g \in H^1 c^1$. On account of (3.1), to bound W_φ it suffices to bound the integral

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left\langle \varphi(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d}{d\theta} f(e^{i\theta}), g(e^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ = - \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left\langle \frac{d}{d\theta} \varphi(e^{i\theta}), f(e^{i\theta}) g(e^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left\langle \frac{d}{d\theta} \varphi(e^{i\theta}), k(e^{i\theta}) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},$$

where $k' = fg'$. Since f is a multiplier of $(H^1 c^1)'$ by Proposition 4.1, k belongs to $H^1 c^1$; clearly, fg belongs to $H^1 c^1$, since f is bounded. By assumption, $\varphi' \in \text{BMO}(B(H))$, and so by the H^1 -BMO duality theorem of [4, Corollary 16], (5.3) is bounded in modulus by

$$(5.4) \quad C \|\varphi'\|_{\text{BMO}(B(H))} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|g\|_{H^1 c^1}.$$

One can conclude the proof of (ii) and (iii) using similar arguments to those of Section 3.

REMARK. The spaces $H^1 c^1$ and \mathcal{A} have a natural matricial structure associated with their presentation as spaces of operator-valued functions on Hilbert space. The space of bounded left multipliers of $(H^1 c^1)'$ also forms a *matricially normed space* [6]. The proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that $(\varphi', f) \mapsto \Gamma_\varphi f(S)$, $\text{BMO}(B(H)) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H^2(H), L^2(H) \ominus H^2(H))$, is a completely bounded bilinear map in the sense of Effros and Ruan [6].

References

- [1] O. Blasco, *On the area function for $H(\sigma_p)$* , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 44 (1996), 285–292.
- [2] G. Blower, *Quadratic integrals and factorization of linear operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 56 (1997), 333–346.

- [3] J. Bourgain, *On the similarity problem for polynomially bounded operators on Hilbert space*, Israel J. Math. 54 (1986), 227–241.
- [4] —, *Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1 -BMO duality*, in: Probability Theory and Harmonic Analysis, J. A. Chao and W. A. Woyczyński (eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986, 1–19.
- [5] K. R. Davidson and V. I. Paulsen, *Polynomially bounded operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 487 (1997), 153–170.
- [6] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *On matricially normed spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 132 (1988), 243–264.
- [7] C. Foias and J. P. Williams, *On a class of polynomially bounded operators*, preprint.
- [8] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [9] S. Parrott, *On a quotient norm and the Sz.-Nagy-Foias lifting theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. 30 (1978), 311–328.
- [10] V. I. Paulsen, *Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction*, *ibid.* 55 (1984), 1–17.
- [11] V. V. Peller, *Estimates of functions of Hilbert space operators, similarity to a contraction and related function algebras*, in: Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book, V. P. Havin [V. P. Khavin], S. V. Hruščëv [S. V. Khrushchëv] and N. K. Nikol'skiĭ (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1043, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 199–204.
- [12] G. Pisier, *Factorization of operator valued analytic functions*, Adv. Math. 93 (1992), 61–125.
- [13] —, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1618, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [14] —, *A polynomially bounded operator on Hilbert space which is not similar to a contraction*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), 351–369.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Lancaster University
Lancaster, LA1 4YF, England
E-mail: G.Blower@lancaster.ac.uk

Received November 26, 1997
Revised version April 17, 1998

(4010)

Multiplier transformations on H^p spaces

by

DANING CHEN and DASHAN FAN (Milwaukee, Wisc.)

Abstract. The authors obtain some multiplier theorems on H^p spaces analogous to the classical L^p multiplier theorems of de Leeuw. The main result is that a multiplier operator $(Tf)^\wedge(x) = \lambda(x)\hat{f}(x)$ ($\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$) is bounded on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if the restriction $\{\lambda(\varepsilon m)\}_{m \in \Lambda}$ is an $H^p(\mathbb{T}^n)$ bounded multiplier uniformly for $\varepsilon > 0$, where Λ is the integer lattice in \mathbb{R}^n .

1. Introduction. Consider the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ; let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all Schwartz test functions on \mathbb{R}^n and λ be any function on \mathbb{R}^n . The multiplier operator T associated with λ is defined by $(Tf)^\wedge(\xi) = \lambda(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let X, Y be two function spaces on \mathbb{R}^n with norms $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_Y$, respectively. If $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in both X and Y , and if there exists a constant C such that

$$\|Tf\|_Y \leq C\|f\|_X$$

uniformly for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we say that T is a bounded operator from X to Y with finite norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|_X=1} \|Tf\|_Y \leq C.$$

We denote this by writing $T \in (X, Y)$.

The n -torus \mathbb{T}^n can be identified with \mathbb{R}^n/Λ , where Λ is the unit lattice which is the additive group of points in \mathbb{R}^n having integral coordinates. The multiplier operator \tilde{T}_ε on \mathbb{T}^n associated with a function λ on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$\tilde{T}_\varepsilon \tilde{f}(x) \sim \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \lambda(\varepsilon m) a_m e^{2\pi i m \cdot x}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 42B15, 42B30.

Editorial note: The first version of this paper, signed only by the second author, was submitted on December 17, 1991. It already contained the proof of Theorem 5.3 which covers a result published in *Studia Math.* 108 (1994), 291–299. The editors deeply regret for the unusually long publication process.