

**Remark 4.3.** It can be proved that if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Gateaux differentiable and locally uniformly convex norm on  $c_0$ , and  $B$  is an isomorphically precisely norming set for  $(c_0, \|\cdot\|)$  then for any  $A \subset c_0$  with  $\{b(a) : a \in A\}$  bounded for every  $b \in B$ , the set  $A$  is bounded.

## References

- [BP] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.* 17 (1958), 151–164.
- [D] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. 92, Springer, 1984.
- [DFH] R. Deville, V. Fonf and P. Hájek, *Analytic and polyhedral approximation of convex bodies in separable polyhedral Banach spaces*, preprint.
- [E1] J. Elton, Thesis, Yale University, New Haven, Ct.
- [E2] —, *Extremely weakly unconditionally convergent series*, *Israel J. Math.* 40 (1981), 255–258.
- [F1] V. P. Fonf, *One property of Lindenstrauss–Phelps spaces*, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 13 (1979), 66–67.
- [F2] —, *Some properties of polyhedral Banach spaces*, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 14 (4) (1980), 89–90 (in Russian).
- [F3] —, *Polyhedral Banach spaces*, *Mat. Zametki* 30 (1981), 627–634 (in Russian).
- [F4] —, *Weakly extreme properties of Banach spaces*, *Math. Notes* 45 (1989), 488–494.
- [F5] —, *Three characterizations of polyhedral Banach spaces*, *Ukrainian Math. J.* 42 (1990), 1145–1148.
- [HOR] R. Haydon, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal, *On certain classes of Baire-1 functions with applications to Banach space theory*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1470, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 1–35.
- [KF] M. Kadets and V. Fonf, *Some properties of the set of extreme points of the unit ball of a Banach space*, *Math. Notes* 20 (1976), 737–739.
- [KP] M. I. Kadets and A. Pełczyński, *Basic sequences, biorthogonal systems and norming sets in Banach and Fréchet spaces*, *Studia Math.* 25 (1965), 297–323.
- [K] V. L. Klee, *Polyhedral sections of convex bodies*, *Acta Math.* 4 (1966), 235–242.
- [L] D. Leung, *Some isomorphically polyhedral Orlicz sequence spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* 87 (1994), 117–128.
- [M] V. D. Milman, *Geometric theory of Banach spaces, I, The theory of bases and minimal systems*, *Russian Math. Surveys* 25 (3) (1970), 111–170.
- [R] H. Rosenthal, *Some aspects of the subspace structure of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, in: *Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis*, C. Chuy (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, 151–176.

Mathematical Sciences Building  
University of Missouri-Columbia  
Columbia, Missouri 65211  
U.S.A.  
E-mail: giorgis@math.missouri.edu

Received October 29, 1996  
Revised version June 9, 1997

(3764)

## The Abel equation and total solvability of linear functional equations

by

G. BELITSKII (Beer-Sheva) and Yu. LYUBICH (Haifa)

**Abstract.** We investigate the solvability in continuous functions of the Abel equation  $\varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = 1$  where  $F$  is a given continuous mapping of a topological space  $X$ . This property depends on the dynamics generated by  $F$ . The solvability of all linear equations  $P(x)\psi(Fx) + Q(x)\psi(x) = \gamma(x)$  follows from solvability of the Abel equation in case  $F$  is a homeomorphism. If  $F$  is noninvertible but  $X$  is locally compact then such a total solvability is determined by the same property of the cohomological equation  $\varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x)$ . The smooth situation can also be considered in this way.

**1. Introduction. Results and applications.** The *Abel equation* (A.e.) is a special kind of functional equation, namely,

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = 1 \quad (x \in X)$$

where  $F : X \rightarrow X$  is a given continuous mapping of a given arbitrary topological space  $X$ , and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an unknown function. N. H. Abel [1] (pp. 36–39) considered this equation on an interval  $[0, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

We say that (1.1) is *solvable* if this equation has a continuous solution  $\varphi$ . Note that if the A.e. has a solution  $\varphi$  then the real part of  $\varphi$  is also a solution which is continuous since  $\varphi$  is. Therefore the solvability of the A.e. over  $\mathbb{C}$  is equivalent to its solvability over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Being written in the form

$$\varphi(Fx) = \varphi(x) + 1$$

equation (1.1) means that we have the commutative diagram

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 39B22.  
*Key words and phrases*: functional equation, Abel equation, cohomological equation, wandering set.

Research of the first author is supported by Guastello Foundation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

with  $Sz = z+1$ , i.e.  $F$  and  $S$  are *semiconjugate* via  $\varphi$ . Thus, the solvability of the A.e. is connected with some deep intrinsic properties of the mapping  $F$ .

We consider the equation

$$(1.2) \quad P(x)\psi(Fx) + Q(x)\psi(x) = \gamma(x)$$

with an unknown vector-valued function  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^l$ . The functions  $P, Q : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^l, \mathbb{C}^r)$  and  $\gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$  are given and they are supposed to be continuous. (1.2) can be viewed as a system of  $r$  scalar linear equations with  $l$  unknown scalar functions; then  $P$  and  $Q$  are  $r \times l$ -matrix-valued functions.

Equation (1.2) is called *totally solvable* if it has a continuous solution  $\psi$  for every continuous  $\gamma$ . From now on, *solution* means "continuous solution".

The following theorem shows a crucial role of the A.e. for the total solvability of the general equation (1.2). Call the latter equation *nondegenerate* if

$$\text{rank } P(x) = \text{rank } Q(x) = r \quad (x \in X).$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a homeomorphism. If the A.e. is solvable then any nondegenerate equation of the form (1.2) is totally solvable.*

In the case of an injective mapping  $F$  the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is valid at least under some additional assumptions on the topology of  $X$  (see Corollary 1.6 below). If  $F$  is not injective then the solvability of the A.e. does not imply the total solvability even in the case of the so-called cohomological equation (see (1.8) and Example 1.7 below).

The point is that the solvability of the A.e. implies some special properties of the topological dynamical system  $(X, F)$ . To explain this we note that if  $\varphi$  is a solution of the A.e. then

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(F^n x) - \varphi(x) = n$$

where  $F^n$  is the  $n$ th iteration of  $F$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *If the space  $X$  is compact then the A.e. has no solution.*

**Proof.** Being continuous, any solution  $\varphi$  is bounded, which contradicts (1.3). ■

Thus, the A.e. is not solvable if there exists an  $F$ -invariant compact nonempty set  $K \subset X$ . A fortiori, if the A.e. is solvable then  $F$  has no periodic points. Certainly, this can be seen directly from (1.3).

Now let us call a closed set  $A \subset X$  an *absorber* if its image  $F(A)$  is contained in  $A$  (i.e.  $A$  is invariant) and for any point  $x_0 \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_0 = V(x_0)$  of  $x_0$  and a number  $k_0 = k(x_0)$  such that  $F^n(V_0) \subset A$  for  $n \geq k_0$ . Similarly, a closed set  $N \subset X$  is said to be a *nozzle* if its preimage  $F^{-1}(N)$  is contained in  $N$  (i.e.  $X \setminus N$  is invariant) and for any  $x_0 \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $W_0 = W(x_0)$  of  $x_0$  and a number  $k_0 = k(x_0)$  such that  $F^{-n}(W_0) \subset N$  for  $n \geq k_0$ . Thus, if  $F$  is a homeomorphism then a nozzle for  $F$  is an absorber for the inverse mapping  $F^{-1}$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *If the A.e. is solvable then there exist an absorber  $A$  and a nozzle  $N$  with empty intersection. Conversely, let  $F$  be a homeomorphism and suppose the space  $X$  normal. If there exist an absorber and a nozzle with empty intersection then the A.e. is solvable.*

Both Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are proved in Section 3. We do it using a technique of extension of solutions developed in Section 2.

A *regular* construction of an absorber is the following. Take an open covering of  $X$ ,

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha,$$

and some function  $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$(1.4) \quad A = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha} \bigcup_{k \geq q(\alpha)} F^k(U_\alpha)}$$

is an absorber for  $F$  (the bar means closure). Any absorber  $A$  contains a *regular absorber*, namely, the following one:

$$\overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{k \geq k(x)} F^k(V(x))}$$

where  $k(x)$  and  $V(x)$  come from the definition of an absorber.

Similarly, any nozzle contains a set of the form

$$(1.5) \quad N = \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha} \bigcup_{k \geq q(\alpha)} F^{-k}(U_\alpha)}$$

It is a nozzle if  $F$  is a homeomorphism. The construction (1.5) without the bar has all properties of the nozzle except possibly for being closed, but then it may happen that  $X \setminus N$  is not invariant. Indeed, consider  $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  and let  $F(x) = x + 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(i) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $U_0 = \{i\}$ ,  $U_1 = (-\infty, 1)$ ,  $U_\alpha = (\alpha - 2, \alpha + 2)$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ),  $q(0) = 1$ ,  $q(1) = 1$ ,  $q(\alpha) = \alpha + 2$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ). Then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha} \bigcup_{k \geq q(\alpha)} F^{-k}(U_\alpha) = (-\infty, 0).$$

Its closure is  $N = (-\infty, 0]$ , and  $X \setminus N = (0, \infty) \cup \{i\}$ . The latter is not invariant since  $F(i) = 0 \notin X \setminus N$ .

Thus, if the A.e. has a solution, then there exists a pair  $A, N$  of the form (1.4), (1.5) respectively with  $A \cap N = \emptyset$ . To formulate this in more relevant dynamical terms, let us introduce the concept of a wandering set (cf. [4], §0.2).

We call an open nonempty set  $U \subset X$  *wandering* if there exists a number  $\nu > 0$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \overline{F^n(U)} \cap \overline{F^m(U)} = \emptyset$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|n - m| \geq \nu$ . Note that if  $U$  is wandering then (1.6) holds for all integers  $n, m$  with  $|n - m| \geq \nu$ . Indeed,

$$(1.7) \quad F^p(\overline{F^n(V_1)} \cap \overline{F^m(V_2)}) \subset \overline{F^{n+p}(V_1)} \cap \overline{F^{m+p}(V_2)}$$

for all  $p \geq 0$  and arbitrary sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in  $X$ . An arbitrary nonempty set  $S \subset X$  is called *wandering* if it has a wandering neighborhood.

Obviously, any subset of a wandering set is also wandering. Every periodic point (in particular, every fixed point) is nonwandering (i.e. the corresponding one-point set is).

In Section 4 we show that all compact sets are wandering whenever the A.e. is solvable. Furthermore, we prove

**THEOREM 1.4.** *If the A.e. is solvable then there exists a nondecreasing sequence of open wandering sets which covers  $X$ . Conversely, assume that the space  $X$  is normal and there exists a sequence  $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  with the above properties. In addition, suppose there exists a sequence  $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \dots$  of positive integers such that all the mappings*

$$F_k : \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} F^n(U_k) \xrightarrow{F} \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} F^{n+1}(U_k)$$

are homeomorphisms. Then any nondegenerate equation of the form (1.2) is totally solvable.

The A.e. is a special case of the *cohomological equation* (c.e.)

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x),$$

which, in turn, is a particular case of (1.2).

The solvability problem for the c.e. in  $C(X)$  on a compact space  $X$  was investigated earlier (see [2] for details and references). Now we suppose that  $X$  is *locally compact and countable at infinity* (l.c.c.i.). The countability at infinity means that there exists a covering

$$(1.9) \quad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$$

where  $K_i$  are compact. (Without loss of generality one can assume that  $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$ .)

For any  $K \subset X$  we consider the mappings

$$(1.10) \quad \bigcup_{n \geq \nu} F^n(K) \xrightarrow{F} \bigcup_{n \geq \nu} F^{n+1}(K), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously, all these mappings are surjective.

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Assume that the space  $X$  is l.c.c.i. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) Any nondegenerate equation (1.2) is totally solvable.
- (b) (1.8) is totally solvable.
- (c) Every compact set  $K \subset X$  is wandering and there exists a number  $\nu = \nu(K)$  such that the mapping (1.10) is injective.
- (d) Every compact set  $K \subset X$  is wandering and there exists a number  $\nu = \nu(K)$  such that the mapping (1.10) is a homeomorphism.

We prove this theorem in Section 5. As a consequence of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 we obtain

**COROLLARY 1.6.** *Assume that the space  $X$  is l.c.c.i and  $F$  is injective. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- ( $\alpha$ ) Any nondegenerate equation (1.2) is totally solvable.
- ( $\beta$ ) The A.e. is solvable.
- ( $\gamma$ ) Every compact set in  $X$  is wandering.

Note that the implication ( $\beta$ ) $\Rightarrow$ ( $\alpha$ ) may fail in the noninjective case.

**EXAMPLE 1.7.** In the space  $X = [1, \infty)$  let us take the following sequences:

$$a_n^{(l)} = l + \frac{1}{2n}, \quad b_n^{(l)} = \begin{cases} l + \frac{1}{2n-1} & (1 \leq l \leq n-1), \\ l + \frac{1}{2n} & (l \geq n). \end{cases}$$

Obviously,

$$l < a_n^{(l)} \leq b_n^{(l)} < a_{n-1}^{(l)} \leq b_{n-1}^{(l)} < \dots < a_1^{(l)} = b_1^{(l)} < l+1,$$

and  $a_n^{(l)} \rightarrow l$  and  $b_n^{(l)} \rightarrow l$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. Let  $F$  be a piecewise linear mapping such that  $F(a_n^{(l)}) = a_n^{(l+1)}$  and  $F(b_n^{(l)}) = b_n^{(l+1)}$ . The continuous function

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} l & (l \leq x \leq a_1^{(l)} = b_1^{(l)}), \\ 2x - (l+1) & (a_1^{(l)} \leq x \leq l+1, l \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

satisfies the A.e. On the other hand,  $F^l(a_n^{(1)}) \neq F^l(b_n^{(1)})$  ( $l \leq n-2$ ) and  $F^{n-1}(a_n^{(1)}) = F^{n-1}(b_n^{(1)})$ . This means that condition (c) of Theorem 1.5 is violated. Hence, the c.e. (1.8) is not totally solvable.

Let us point out an interesting application of our general results.

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Let  $X$  be a l.c.c.i. topological group. For any element  $h \in X$  the c.e.*

$$(1.11) \quad \varphi(hx) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x)$$

*is totally solvable if and only if  $h$  is aperiodic and the set  $\{h^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of powers has no limit points.*

**Proof.** Since the mapping  $Fx = hx$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , one can apply criterion  $(\gamma)$  from Corollary 1.6.

Suppose a compact set is nonwandering. Then it has a neighborhood whose closure  $K$  is compact, and there exists a subsequence  $\{n_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h^{n_i}K \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $h^{n_i} \in KK^{-1}$ . Since  $KK^{-1}$  is also a compact set, the set  $\{h^{n_i}\}$  is either finite (and then  $h$  is periodic) or this set has a limit point.

Conversely, suppose all compact sets are wandering. In particular, so is the set  $\{e\}$  where  $e$  is the unit element. This means that  $h^n \neq e$  for all  $n$ , i.e.  $h$  is aperiodic. Now suppose that the set  $\{h^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has a limit point  $g$ . Let  $W$  be a central symmetric compact neighborhood of  $e$ . Then  $h^{n_i} \in Wg$  for a subsequence  $\{n_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $g^{-1} \in h^{-n_i}W$ , and so  $W$  is nonwandering. ■

In particular, Theorem 1.8 is applicable to the c.e.

$$(1.12) \quad \varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x)$$

on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that (1.12) is totally solvable. However, this case is rather simple itself (see [3]). In general, the problem of total solvability on  $\mathbb{R}$  can be effectively treated by Theorem 1.5. We can restrict ourselves to the case of c.e.

**THEOREM 1.9.** *The c.e.*

$$(1.13) \quad \varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x)$$

*on  $\mathbb{R}$  is totally solvable if and only if  $F$  has no fixed points and  $F$  is injective on a ray of the same direction as  $\sigma = \text{sign}(Fx - x)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose (1.13) is totally solvable. Then the corresponding A.e. is solvable, hence  $Fx \neq x$  for all  $x$ . Let, for definiteness,  $Fx > x$  for all  $x$ , so that  $\sigma = 1$ . Consider  $K = [0, F0]$ . By Theorem 1.5 there exists  $\nu \geq 0$  such that  $F$  is injective on  $\bigcup_{n \geq \nu} F^n(K)$ . A fortiori,  $F$  is injective on the ray  $[F^\nu 0, \infty)$ .

Conversely, let, for definiteness,  $Fx > x$  for all  $x$  and let  $F$  be injective on a ray  $[\varepsilon, \infty)$ . By Theorem 1.5 we only need to establish that every compact set  $K$  is wandering. It is sufficient to prove that every segment  $[a, b]$  is wandering.

For any  $x$  the increasing sequence  $\{F^p x\}_{p=0}^\infty$  tends to infinity, otherwise its limit is a fixed point. Thus, for any  $x$  we get  $F^p x > \varepsilon$  with some exponent  $p$ . Let  $p = p(x)$  be minimal possible. Then for any  $x$  there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $F^{p(x)} y > \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $p(y) \leq p(x)$  for  $y \in U$ . Hence, the function  $p$  is bounded above on every compact set.

Let  $q = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} p(x)$  and let  $[\alpha, \beta] = F^q[a, b]$ . Then  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [\varepsilon, \infty)$ . Since  $F$  is nondecreasing on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , we get

$$(1.14) \quad F^n[a, b] = F^{n-q}[\alpha, \beta] = [F^{n-q}\alpha, F^{n-q}\beta]$$

for all  $n \geq q$ . Note that there exists a segment  $[c, d]$  containing all  $F^m[a, b]$  with  $0 \leq m \leq q$ . In particular,  $[c, d] \supset [\alpha, \beta]$ . Let  $\nu = \mu + q$  where  $\mu$  satisfies  $F^\mu \alpha > d$ . Then (1.14) is valid for  $m \geq 0$  and  $n - m \geq \nu$ . We prove that

$$F^n[a, b] \cap F^m[a, b] = \emptyset.$$

In the case  $m > q$  we have

$$F^n[a, b] \cap F^m[a, b] = [F^{n-q}\alpha, F^{n-q}\beta] \cap [F^{m-q}\alpha, F^{m-q}\beta]$$

by (1.14). The last intersection is empty since  $F^{n-m}\alpha \geq F^\mu \alpha > d \geq \beta$ , so that  $F^{n-q}\alpha > F^{m-q}\beta$ .

If  $m \leq q$  then

$$F^n[a, b] \cap F^m[a, b] \subset [F^{n-q}\alpha, F^{n-q}\beta] \cap [c, d].$$

The last intersection is empty since  $F^{n-q}\alpha \geq F^\mu \alpha > d$ . ■

**COROLLARY 1.10** ([3], §3.2). *If  $F$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed points then the c.e. (1.13) is totally solvable.*

As an application of Theorem 1.8 we consider the c.e. (1.11) on  $X = GL(n)$ , the group of all invertible matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let us say that a matrix  $h$  is quasiunitary if  $h = t^{-1}ut$ , where  $u$  is a unitary matrix and  $t \in GL(n)$ . A matrix  $h$  is quasiunitary if and only if  $|\lambda| = 1$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and there are no Jordan blocks of order  $> 1$  for every  $\lambda$ . Equivalently, a matrix  $h$  is quasiunitary if and only if the sequence  $\{h^n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  is bounded, and moreover, if and only if there exist two bounded subsequences  $\{h^{n_i}\}$  and  $\{h^{-m_i}\}$  with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

**LEMMA 1.11.** *Let  $h \in GL(n)$ . Then the sequence  $\{h^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  has no  $\omega$ -limit points in  $GL(n)$  if and only if  $h$  is not quasiunitary.*

**Proof.** Let  $h$  be quasiunitary. Then the sequence  $\{h^n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  has an  $\omega$ -limit point  $g \in M(n)$ . The matrix  $g$  is invertible. Indeed, let  $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h^{n_i}$ ,  $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , and suppose  $\det(g) = 0$ . Then  $\det(h^{n_i}) \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $\det(h^{-n_i}) \rightarrow \infty$ , contrary to the boundedness of  $\{h^n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h^{n_i}$ , where  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $g \in GL(n)$ . Then  $g^{-1} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h^{-n_i}$ . Hence,  $h$  is quasiunitary. ■

**COROLLARY 1.12.** *The c.e. (1.11) with  $X = GL(n)$  is totally solvable if and only if the matrix  $h$  is not quasiunitary.*

**2. Extension of local solutions.** Let us say that a continuous vector-valued function  $\psi_0(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is a *local solution* of equation (1.2) on a subset  $M \subset X$  if  $\psi_0$  satisfies (1.2) for  $x \in M$ .

In the case  $M = X$  any local solution is a solution in the previous sense. We call it then a *global solution*. We say that a global solution  $\psi$  is an *extension* of  $\psi_0$ , a local solution on a subset  $M$ , if  $\psi|_M = \psi_0|_M$ . For example, if  $\gamma|_M = 0$  then the function  $\psi_0(x) \equiv 0$  is a local solution (*local zero solution*) and any global solution  $\psi$  such that  $\psi|_M = 0$  is its extension. Note that if  $\psi_0$  is a local solution on  $M$  then by the substitution  $\psi = \varphi + \psi_0$ , (1.2) is reduced to the equation

$$(2.1) \quad P(x)\varphi(Fx) + Q(x)\varphi(x) = \tilde{\gamma}(x)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x) = \gamma(x) - P(x)\psi_0(Fx) - Q(x)\psi_0(x).$$

Obviously,  $\tilde{\gamma}|_M = 0$  and the global solution  $\psi$  of equation (1.2) is an extension of the local solution  $\psi_0$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is a global solution of (2.1) extending the local zero solution.

We see that the extendability of local solutions does not depend on  $\gamma$ . Thus, every local solution can be extended to a global one if it is so in the case of the local zero solution.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be an absorber and let  $N$  be a nozzle. If*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{rank } Q(x) \equiv r,$$

*then any local solution of equation (1.2) on  $A$  can be extended to a global solution. If*

$$(2.3) \quad \text{rank } P(x) \equiv r$$

*and the mapping*

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{F} : F^{-1}(X \setminus \text{int}N) \xrightarrow{F} X \setminus \text{int}N$$

*is a homeomorphism then any local solution  $\psi_0$  of equation (1.2) on  $N$  can be extended to a global solution.*

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma|_A = 0$ . We need a global solution of (1.2) vanishing on  $A$ .

It follows from (2.2) that the matrix  $Q(x)Q^*(x)$  is invertible for all  $x$ , so  $Q^*(x)(Q(x)Q^*(x))^{-1}$  is a right inverse to  $Q(x)$ . By substituting

$$\psi(x) = Q^*(x)(Q(x)Q^*(x))^{-1}\omega(x)$$

into (1.2) we get

$$(2.5) \quad \omega(x) = (T\omega)(x) + \gamma(x)$$

where  $T$  is a linear operator in the space of continuous vector-valued functions,

$$(2.6) \quad (T\omega)(x) = -P(x)Q^*(Fx)(Q(Fx)Q^*(Fx))^{-1}\omega(Fx).$$

We need a solution  $\omega$  of (2.5) vanishing on  $A$ . Formally,

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (T^n\gamma)(x).$$

In fact, this is a solution provided the series locally uniformly converges. In our case we have even more: for any  $x \in X$  this series reduces to a finite sum with a locally constant number of terms. Indeed, since  $A$  is an absorber, any  $x_0 \in X$  has a neighborhood  $V_0$  such that  $F^n(V_0) \subset A$  for  $n \geq k_0$ . This yields  $T^n\gamma|_{V_0} = 0$  for  $n \geq k_0$ , hence

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k_0-1} (T^n\gamma)(x)$$

for  $x \in V_0$ . It remains to note that  $\omega|_A = 0$  since  $A$  is an invariant set. The first part of Lemma 2.1 is proved.

For the second part, we consider the space  $E$  of all continuous vector-valued functions  $\omega$  such that  $\omega|_N = 0$ .

It follows from (2.3) that the matrix  $P(x)P^*(x)$  is invertible for all  $x \in X$ , so  $P^*(x)(P(x)P^*(x))^{-1}$  is a right inverse to  $P(x)$ . For any  $\omega \in E$  we define the function

$$h_\omega(y) = \begin{cases} P^*(\tilde{F}^{-1}y)(P(\tilde{F}^{-1}y)P^*(\tilde{F}^{-1}y))^{-1}\omega(\tilde{F}^{-1}y) & (y \in X \setminus \text{int}N), \\ 0 & (y \in \text{int}N). \end{cases}$$

If  $y \in N \setminus \text{int}N$ , then  $\tilde{F}^{-1}y \in N$  by definition of a nozzle, and therefore  $h_\omega(y) = 0$  since  $\omega|_N = 0$ . The function  $h_\omega$  turns out to be continuous. Indeed,  $h_\omega|_N = 0$  and  $\text{int}N \subset N$  since  $N$  is closed. Thus,  $h_\omega \in E$ . It is easy to see that  $P(x)h_\omega(Fx) = \omega(x)$ , i.e.  $P(x)(L\omega)(Fx) = \omega(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , where  $L : E \rightarrow E$  is the linear operator defined by  $L\omega = h_\omega$ .

Let  $\gamma \in E$ . By the substitution  $\psi(x) = (L\omega)(x)$ ,  $\omega \in E$ , equation (1.2) takes the form

$$(2.7) \quad \omega(x) = (S\omega)(x) + \gamma(x)$$

where  $S : E \rightarrow E$  is the linear operator given by  $(S\omega)(x) = -Q(x)(L\omega)(x)$ . Since  $N$  is a nozzle and  $\gamma \in E$ , the series

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S^n\gamma)(x)$$

defines a solution  $\omega \in E$  of equation (2.7) as before in the case of absorber. ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1 equation (1.2) is globally solvable if it is locally solvable on an absorber or on a nozzle.*

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Suppose the space  $X$  is normal. Let  $A$  be an absorber and  $N$  be a nozzle. Suppose that all conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Then any local solution  $\psi_0$  on the intersection  $A \cap N$  can be extended to a global solution.*

**Proof.** The function

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma(x) & (x \in A), \\ P(x)\psi_0(Fx) + Q(x)\psi_0(x) & (x \in N), \end{cases}$$

is continuous on the closed set  $A \cup N$ . It follows from normality that there exists a continuous extension  $\gamma_1$  of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  to the whole  $X$ . By Lemma 2.1 there exists a solution  $\psi_1$  of (1.2) with  $\gamma_1$  instead of  $\gamma$  such that  $\psi_1|_N = \psi_0|_N$ . Similarly, there exists a solution  $\psi_2$  of (1.2) with  $\gamma_2 = \gamma - \gamma_1$  instead of  $\gamma$  satisfying  $\psi_2|_A = 0$ . (Note that  $\gamma_2|_A = 0$ .) Then the sum  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  is a solution of (1.2) which coincides with  $\psi_0$  on  $A \cap N$ . ■

### 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

We start with the following simple

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a real-valued solution of the A.e. Then the Lebesgue sets*

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{x \mid \varphi(x) \geq \varepsilon\}, \quad N(\delta) = \{x \mid \varphi(x) \leq \delta\}$$

*are an absorber and a nozzle for  $F$  respectively.*

**Proof.** For instance, consider  $A(\varepsilon)$ . It is closed since  $\varphi$  is continuous. It is invariant by (1.3). Now we choose a neighborhood  $V_0$  with  $a \equiv \inf\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in V_0\} > -\infty$ . By (1.3),  $F^n(V_0) \subset A(\varepsilon)$  if  $n \geq \varepsilon - a$ . ■

Passing to the proof of Theorem 1.1, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take a continuous function  $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq \varepsilon$  and  $\theta(t) = 1$  for  $t \leq -\varepsilon$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a real-valued solution of the A.e. Then the composition  $\tau = \theta \circ \varphi$  is a continuous function on  $X$  such that  $\tau(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A(\varepsilon)$  and  $\tau(x) = 1$  for  $x \in N(-\varepsilon)$ .

Turning to equation (1.2) we consider two equations,

$$(3.1) \quad P(x)\psi_1(Fx) + Q(x)\psi_1(x) = \tau(x)\gamma(x)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad P(x)\psi_2(Fx) + Q(x)\psi_2(x) = (1 - \tau(x))\gamma(x).$$

Equation (3.1) has the local zero solution on  $A(\varepsilon)$ . By Lemma 2.1 it has a global solution  $\psi_1$ . Similarly, equation (3.2) has a global solution  $\psi_2$ . The sum  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  is a solution of (1.2). ■

Now let us prove Theorem 1.3.

Let  $\varphi$  be a real-valued solution of the A.e. By Lemma 3.1 the set  $A(\varepsilon)$  is an absorber and  $N(\delta)$  is a nozzle. Obviously,  $A(\varepsilon) \cap N(\delta) = \emptyset$  if  $\delta < \varepsilon$ .

Conversely, let  $F: X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism of a normal space  $X$ . Given an absorber  $A$  and a nozzle  $N$  such that  $A \cap N = \emptyset$ , one can construct a continuous function  $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\tau(x) = 1$  for  $x \in N$ . Then both of the equations

$$\varphi_1(Fx) - \varphi_1(x) = \tau(x), \quad \varphi_2(Fx) - \varphi_2(x) = 1 - \tau(x)$$

have some global solutions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  (which are extensions of the corresponding local zero solutions). The sum  $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  is a solution of the A.e. ■

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a solution of the A.e. and let  $U \subset X$  be a subset such that*

$$c \equiv \sup_{x \in U} |\varphi(x)| < \infty.$$

*Then  $U$  is wandering.*

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$(4.1) \quad x \in \overline{F^n(U)} \cap \overline{F^m(U)}$$

for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose a neighborhood  $W \ni x$  such that  $|\varphi(z) - \varphi(x)| < \varepsilon$  for  $z \in W$ . It follows from (4.1) that  $W \cap F^n(U) \neq \emptyset$  and  $W \cap F^m(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $F^n u_1 \in W$  and  $F^m u_2 \in W$  where  $u_1 \in U$  and  $u_2 \in U$ . By (1.3),  $\varphi(F^n u_1) - \varphi(u_1) = n$  and  $\varphi(F^m u_2) - \varphi(u_2) = m$ , hence

$$|n - m| \leq 2c + |\varphi(F^n u_1) - \varphi(F^m u_2)| \leq 2c + 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{F^n(U)} \cap \overline{F^m(U)} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } |n - m| > 2c. \quad \blacksquare$$

Since any continuous function is bounded in a neighborhood of a compact set we obtain

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If the A.e. is solvable then every compact set  $K \subset X$  is wandering.*

Now we can prove Theorem 1.4.

The nondecreasing sequence of open sets  $U_k = \varphi^{-1}(-k, k)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) covers  $X$  and the sets are wandering by Lemma 4.1.

Conversely, let  $U_k$  be open,  $U_k \subset U_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $X = \bigcup_k U_k$ . For every  $k$  there exists  $\nu_k > 0$  such that the mapping

$$(4.2) \quad F_k: \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} \overline{F^n(U_k)} \xrightarrow{F} \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} \overline{F^{n+1}(U_k)}$$

is a homeomorphism and

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{F^n(U_k)} \cap \overline{F^m(U_k)} = \emptyset \quad (|n - m| \geq \nu_k).$$

Let  $V_k = \overline{F^{\nu_k}(U_k)}$  and let

$$(4.4) \quad X_k = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F^n(V_k).$$

Note that all  $F^n(V_k)$  are closed via the homeomorphisms  $F_k$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $F$  itself for  $n < 0$ .

We prove that  $X_k$  is also closed.

Let  $x \notin X_k$ . We have to find a neighborhood  $W \ni x$  such that  $W \cap X_k = \emptyset$ . We start with a neighborhood  $U_s$  containing  $x$ . Let  $\nu = \max(\nu_k, \nu_s)$ . The finite union

$$R = \bigcup_{|n+\nu_k| < \nu} F^n(V_k)$$

is closed. Since  $x \notin R$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\widetilde{W} \ni x$  such that  $\widetilde{W} \cap R = \emptyset$ . If we know that  $F^n(V_k) \cap U_s = \emptyset$  for  $|n + \nu_k| \geq \nu$  then  $W = \widetilde{W} \cap U_s$  is a neighborhood we need. In fact, we can prove more, namely,

$$F^n(V_k) \cap \overline{F^m(U_s)} = \emptyset$$

for  $|n - m + \nu_k| \geq \nu$ . This follows from (4.3). Indeed, by (1.7) one can suppose that  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Let  $s \leq k$ , so  $U_s \subset U_k$ . Then

$$F^n(V_k) \cap \overline{F^m(U_s)} \subset F^n(V_k) \cap \overline{F^m(U_k)} \subset \overline{F^{n+\nu_k}(U_k)} \cap \overline{F^m(U_k)} = \emptyset$$

by (4.3). Now if  $s > k$ , so that  $U_k \subset U_s$ , then

$$F^n(V_k) \cap \overline{F^m(U_s)} \subset \overline{F^{n+\nu_k}(U_s)} \cap \overline{F^m(U_s)} = \emptyset$$

by (4.3) with  $s$  in place of  $k$ .

Obviously, all the sets  $X_k$  are invariant. Furthermore,

$$V_k = \overline{F^{\nu_k}(U_k)} \subset F^{-(\nu_{k+1}-\nu_k)} \overline{F^{\nu_{k+1}}(U_{k+1})} = F^{-(\nu_{k+1}-\nu_k)}(V_{k+1}) \subset X_{k+1},$$

hence  $X_k \subset X_{k+1}$ . Finally,  $U_k \subset X_k$  since  $U_k \subset F^{-\nu_k}(V_k)$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup X_k$ , and in this covering all the  $X_k$  are closed and invariant.

For the mappings  $X_k \xrightarrow{F} X_k$  the sets

$$A_k = \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} F^n(V_k), \quad N_k = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} F^{-n}(V_k)$$

are an absorber and a nozzle respectively. First of all, they are closed like  $X_k$ . Obviously,  $F(A_k) \subset A_k$  and  $F^{-1}(N_k) \subset N_k$ . Finally, for any point  $x_0 \in X_k$  and  $U_l \ni x_0$  the set  $W = X_k \cap U_l$  is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $X_k$ . Then

$$W = \bigcup_{|j+\nu_k| < \mu} (F^j(V_k) \cap U_l)$$

where  $\mu = \max(\nu_k, \nu_l)$  because  $F^j(V_k) \cap U_l = \emptyset$  for  $|j + \nu_k| \geq \mu$ . Hence, for all integers  $p$  we have

$$F^p(W) \subset \bigcup_{|j+\nu_k| < \mu} F^{j+p}(V_k).$$

The last union is contained in  $A_k$  if  $p$  is positive and large enough. The union is contained in  $N_k$  if  $p$  is negative and  $|p|$  is large enough.

The intersection of  $A_k$  and  $N_k$  is empty since if  $n \geq \nu_k$  and  $m \geq 0$  then

$$F^n(V_k) \cap F^{-m}(V_k) \subset \overline{F^{n+\nu_k}(U_k)} \cap \overline{F^{-m+\nu_k}(U_k)} = \emptyset$$

by (4.3).

Now we are going to construct a solution of equation (1.2) by induction on  $k$ . Namely, let  $\psi_{k-1} \in C(X_{k-1}, \mathbb{C}^l)$  be a local solution on  $X_{k-1}$ . In order to obtain a local solution  $\psi_k$  on  $X_k$  which extends  $\psi_{k-1}$  we note that the union  $A'_k = A_k \cup X_{k-1}$  is an absorber and  $N'_k = N_k \cup X_{k-1}$  is a nozzle for  $F_k$ . Obviously,  $A'_k \cap N'_k = X_{k-1}$ . Since

$$X_k \setminus N_k \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F^n(V_k) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq \nu_k} F^{n+1}(U_k)},$$

the mapping  $\widetilde{F}_k : F^{-1}(X_k \setminus \text{int } N_k) \xrightarrow{F} X_k \setminus \text{int } N_k$  is a homeomorphism. By Corollary 2.3 the required local solution  $\psi_k$  does exist. In particular,  $\psi_1$  is a local solution on  $X_1$  obtained as before with  $X_0 = \emptyset$  and with no  $\psi_0$ . ■

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5. We start with a topological statement.

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose the space  $X$  is locally compact and all compact subsets of  $X$  are wandering. Then for any compact subset  $K \subset X$  and any integer  $\nu$  the set  $S = \bigcup_{n \geq \nu} F^n(K)$  is closed. If, moreover, the mapping (1.10) is injective for some  $\nu$ , then it is a homeomorphism.*

PROOF. Let  $x \notin S$  and let  $M \ni x$  be a compact neighborhood. Then there exists  $\nu_1$  such that  $F^n(K) \cap M = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq \nu_1$  because  $K \cup M$  is wandering. Since all the  $F^n(K)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) are compact, the set  $S_1 = \bigcup_{\nu \leq n \leq \nu_1} F^n(K)$  is closed. Take a neighborhood  $U \ni x$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ . Then  $V = M \cap \overline{U}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $V \cap S = \emptyset$ . The first statement is proved.

Now we suppose that the mapping (1.10) is injective; then, actually, it is bijective. It is sufficient to prove that  $F(V)$  is closed for any closed  $V \subset S$ . However,

$$V = \bigcup_{n \geq \nu} V_n, \quad V_n = V \cap F^n(K),$$

so every  $V_n$  is compact and

$$F(V) = \bigcup_{n \geq \nu} F(V_n).$$

This set is closed by the same argument as before. ■

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose the space  $X$  is locally compact. If the c.e. is totally solvable then for any compact subset  $K \subset X$  there exists  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(K) \geq 0$  such that all the mappings  $F^n(K) \xrightarrow{F} F^{n+1}(K)$  with  $n \geq \nu_0$  are injective.*

PROOF. Suppose that the statement is not true for a compact  $K \subset X$ . Then there exist some sequences  $\{n_j\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{u_j\}, \{v_j\} \subset K$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad F^{n_j} u_j \neq F^{n_j} v_j, \quad F^{n_j+1} u_j = F^{n_j+1} v_j.$$

The A.e. is solvable as a particular case of (1.8). Therefore all compact sets are wandering by Corollary 4.2. Hence, there exists  $\nu_1$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad F^n(K) \cap F^m(K) = \emptyset, \quad |n - m| \geq \nu_1.$$

A fortiori,  $F$  has no periodic points, hence  $F^l u_j \neq F^s u_j$  for  $l \neq s$  and  $F^l u_j \neq F^s v_j$  for  $l, s \leq n_j$ .

One can assume that  $\ln(n_j + 1) \geq n_{j-1}^2 + \ln(n_{j-1} + \nu_1)$ . Consider the subset  $W$  which consists of the points  $F^l u_j, F^s v_j$  where  $l$  and  $s$  satisfy  $n_j \geq l, s \geq n_{j-1} + \nu_1$ . Now one can construct a bounded continuous function  $\gamma$  on  $X$  which equals 0 at every point  $F^s v_j \in W$  and  $1/l$  at every  $F^l u_j \in W$ . We show that equation (1.8) with such a  $\gamma$  is not solvable. Indeed, let  $\varphi$  be a solution. Then

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(F^n x) - \varphi(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \gamma(F^l x).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(F^{n_j+1} u_j) - \varphi(u_j) &= \sum_{l=0}^{n_j} \gamma(F^l u_j), \\ \varphi(F^{n_j+1} v_j) - \varphi(v_j) &= \sum_{l=0}^{n_j} \gamma(F^l v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then (5.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(u_j) - \varphi(v_j)| &= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{n_j} [\gamma(F^l u_j) - \gamma(F^l v_j)] \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{l=n_{j-1}+\nu_1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{l} - 2M(n_{j-1} + \nu_1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \sup_{x \in X} |\gamma(x)|$ . Thus,

$$|\varphi(u_j) - \varphi(v_j)| \geq \ln \frac{n_j + 1}{n_{j-1} + \nu_1} - 2M(n_{j-1} + \nu_1) \geq n_{j-1}^2 - 2M(n_{j-1} + \nu_1).$$

This contradicts the boundedness of  $\varphi|_K$ . ■

Now let us prove Theorem 1.5.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is trivial.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). By Corollary 4.2 every compact set  $K$  is wandering. Hence, (5.2) holds for some  $\nu_1 = \nu_1(K)$ . It remains to prove that the mapping (1.10) is injective for some  $\nu = \nu(K)$ . Assume that the latter is false. Then there exist some sequences  $\{n_j\}, \{m_j\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{u_j\}, \{v_j\} \subset K$  such that  $F^{n_j} u_j \neq F^{m_j} v_j$  but  $F^{n_j+1} u_j = F^{m_j+1} v_j$ . It follows from (5.2) that  $|n_j - m_j| < \nu_1$ . Let, for definiteness,  $0 \leq m_j - n_j < \nu_1$ . Then for  $x_j = F^{n_j} u_j$  and  $y_j = F^{n_j} v_j$  with  $v_j' = F^{m_j - n_j} v_j$  we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad x_j \neq y_j, \quad F x_j = F y_j, \quad x_j, y_j \in F^{n_j}(K_1),$$

where  $K_1 = \bigcup_{l \leq \nu_1} F^l(K)$ . By Lemma 5.2, the mapping

$$F : F^m K_1 \rightarrow F^{m+1} K_1$$

is injective for  $m \geq \nu_0(K_1)$ . Hence,  $F x_j \neq F y_j$  if  $m_j \geq \nu_0(K_1)$ . This contradicts (5.4).

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) follows from Lemma 5.1.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). One can choose an open covering  $X = \bigcup U_i, U_i \subset U_{i+1}$ , such that each  $K_i \equiv \overline{U_i}$  is compact. Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq \nu_i} F^n(U_i) \subset \bigcup_{n \geq \nu_i} F^n(K_i)$$

where  $\nu_i = \nu(K_i)$ . Since the mappings (1.10) are homeomorphisms for  $K = K_i$  and  $\nu = \nu_i$ , one can apply Theorem 1.4.

REMARK 5.4. Only (d) $\Rightarrow$ (a) needs the countability at infinity. The chain (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) is true for any locally compact space  $X$ .

**6. Appendix. Smooth solutions.** Let  $X$  be a  $C^\infty$ -manifold and let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  and  $P, Q : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^l, \mathbb{C}^r)$  be  $C^k$ -mappings,  $0 \leq k \leq \infty$ . Then one can consider equation (1.2) in the class of  $C^k$ -vector-valued functions. The  $C^k$ -total solvability is defined as in the case  $k = 0$ .

THEOREM 6.1. *Let  $F$  be a  $C^k$ -diffeomorphism. If the A.e. is  $C^0$ -solvable then any nondegenerate equation (1.2) is  $C^k$ -totally solvable.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1.3 there exist an absorber  $A$  and a nozzle  $N$  with  $A \cap N = \emptyset$ . By a Whitney theorem (see [5], Appendix III, §1), there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau(x) = 0$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\tau(x) = 1$  for



$x \in N$ . With this function  $\tau$  one can repeat our proof of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1 for the class  $C^k$ . ■

In Theorem 6.1 the class of  $C^k$ -diffeomorphisms cannot be extended to  $C^k$ -homeomorphisms.

EXAMPLE 6.2. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and

$$F_\alpha x = x + 1 - \alpha \sin(\alpha^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The mapping  $F_\alpha$  is a real-analytic homeomorphism without fixed points. By Corollary 1.11 *the corresponding c.e. is totally solvable*. However,  $F_\alpha$  is not a diffeomorphism since it has critical points,

$$c(F_\alpha) \equiv \{x \mid F'_\alpha x = 0\} = \{x_s\}_{s=-\infty}^\infty$$

where  $x_s = 2s\pi\alpha$ . We show that if

$$(6.1) \quad F_\alpha^n(c(F_\alpha)) \cap c(F_\alpha) = \emptyset \quad (n > 0)$$

then the corresponding c.e. is not  $C^1$ -totally solvable. Since by induction  $F_\alpha^n(x_s) = x_s + F_\alpha^n 0$  ( $s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), condition (6.1) means that  $F_\alpha^n 0 \neq 2s\pi\alpha$  for all  $s$  and  $n > 0$ .

Indeed, let

$$(6.2) \quad \varphi(F_\alpha x) - \varphi(x) = \gamma(x), \quad \varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

By differentiation it follows from (5.3) that

$$\varphi'(x) = a_{n+1}(x)\varphi'(F_\alpha^{n+1}x) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(x)\gamma'(F_\alpha^k x)$$

where

$$a_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} F'_\alpha(F_\alpha^j x).$$

Due to the factor  $F'_\alpha(F_\alpha^n x)$ , we have  $a_{n+1}(F_\alpha^{-n}x_s) = 0$ , hence

$$(6.3) \quad \varphi'(F_\alpha^{-n}x_s) = - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(F_\alpha^{-n}x_s)\gamma'(F_\alpha^k x_s).$$

Here  $a_n(F_\alpha^{-n}x_s) \neq 0$  because of (6.1). Let

$$s_n = \left[ - \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} F_\alpha^{-n} 0 \right]$$

where  $[\cdot]$  means the integer part. Obviously,  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  but

$$(6.4) \quad |F_\alpha^{-n}x_{s_n}| = |x_{s_n} + F_\alpha^{-n}0| \leq 2\pi\alpha.$$

Now let  $\gamma$  be a smooth function satisfying

$$|\gamma'(x_{s_n})| \geq \frac{1}{|a_n(F_\alpha^{-n}x_{s_n})|} \left\{ n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k(F_\alpha^{-n}x_{s_n})| \cdot |\gamma'(F_\alpha^k x_{s_n})| \right\}.$$

Then it follows from (6.3) that

$$|\varphi'(F_\alpha^{-n}x_{s_n})| \geq n.$$

This means that  $\varphi'$  is unbounded on the interval  $[-2\pi\alpha, 2\pi\alpha]$  because of (6.4). Hence, equation (6.2) has no smooth solutions.

Remark 6.3. The set of  $\alpha$  is uncountable. Indeed, (6.1) holds if and only if  $\alpha \notin \bigcup Z_{n,s}$ , where  $Z_{n,s}$  is the zero set of the analytic function  $\theta_{n,s}(\alpha) = F_\alpha^n 0 - 2s\pi\alpha$ .

Remark 6.4. If (6.1) does not hold, then it may happen that the corresponding c.e. is  $C^k$ -totally solvable in the class of  $C^k$ -functions,  $k \geq 1$ . For instance, if  $\alpha = 1/(2\pi)$  then  $c(F_\alpha) = \mathbb{Z}$ . This set is invariant, hence (6.1) is violated. In this case one can prove the  $C^k$ -total solvability for all  $k \geq 1$ .

The authors thank the referee for valuable remarks.

#### References

- [1] N. H. Abel, *Détermination d'une fonction au moyen d'une équation qui ne contient qu'une seule variable*, in: Oeuvres complètes, Vol. II, Christiania, 1881.
- [2] G. Belitskii and Yu. Lyubich, *On the normal solvability of cohomological equations on compact topological spaces*, Proc. IWOTA-95 (to appear).
- [3] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1968.
- [4] Z. Nitecki, *Differentiable Dynamics*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1971.
- [5] H. Whitney, *Geometric Integration Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1957.

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
84105 Beer-Sheva, Israel  
E-mail: genrich@cs.bgu.ac.il

Technion  
32000 Haifa, Israel  
E-mail: lyubich@technix.technion.ac.il

Received November 4, 1996  
Revised version June 17, 1997

(3768)