

**On extremal and perfect  $\sigma$ -algebras for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions  
on a Lebesgue space**

by

B. KAMIŃSKI (Toruń), Z. S. KOWALSKI (Wrocław) and  
P. LIARDET (Marseille)

**Abstract.** We show that for every positive integer  $d$  there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action and an extremal  $\sigma$ -algebra of it which is not perfect.

**1. Introduction.** Invariant  $\sigma$ -algebras form an important tool for solving a series of problems in ergodic theory. They have been used, among other things, in the spectral theory of dynamical systems ([6], [12]), in non-equilibrium mechanics ([1], [3]) and to investigate helices ([13]–[15]).

The theory of these  $\sigma$ -algebras has been developed by Rokhlin and Sinai for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions (cf. [12]) on a Lebesgue space and by the first author in the case of arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions,  $d \geq 2$  (see [6]). A special role in this theory is played by extremal  $\sigma$ -algebras and perfect  $\sigma$ -algebras.

Recently, Jan Kwiatkowski has posed the following question. Do there exist, for any  $d \geq 1$ , a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action and an extremal  $\sigma$ -algebra of it which is not perfect?

The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to this question. First we construct a non-invertible measure preserving transformation with finite entropy which is exact and has no generator with finite entropy. Its natural extension and the corresponding exhaustive  $\sigma$ -algebra give a solution of the Kwiatkowski question in the case  $d = 1$ .

Next, using actions defined by Conze ([2]), we define the desired  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action and an extremal  $\sigma$ -algebra of it for arbitrary  $d \geq 1$ .

The non-invertible transformation constructed in the paper has the following property which does not hold for invertible transformations. Namely, it is well known (cf. [10]) that every ergodic invertible measure-preserving

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28D15.

The first author is supported by KBN grant 2P 30103107, the second by KBN grant 2 P03A 07608 and the third by URA-CNRS No 225.

transformation with finite entropy has a finite generator. As we have announced above, our transformation does not have this property.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a Lebesgue probability space and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the trivial subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  denote the group of  $d$ -dimensional integers.

In order to avoid notational difficulties, we restrict our considerations to the case  $d = 2$ . Our arguments may be easily extended to arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions.

Let  $\prec$  denote the lexicographical order in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . An ordered pair  $(A, B)$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is said to be a *cut* if  $A \neq \emptyset, B \neq \emptyset, A \cup B = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $g \prec h$  for every  $g \in A$  and  $h \in B$ . A cut  $(A, B)$  is called a *gap* if  $A$  does not contain a greatest element and  $B$  does not contain a lowest element.

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . The automorphism of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  corresponding to  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is denoted by  $\Phi^g$ . We denote by  $h(\Phi)$  and  $\pi(\Phi)$  the entropy and the Pinsker  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Phi$ , respectively.

DEFINITION 1. A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is said to be *extremal* if

- (i) it is invariant, i.e.  $\Phi^g \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$  for  $g \prec (0, 0)$ ,
- (ii) the family  $(\Phi^g \mathcal{A})_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  is continuous, i.e. for every gap  $(A, B)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$\bigvee_{g \in A} \Phi^g \mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{g \in B} \Phi^g \mathcal{A},$$

- (iii)  $\bigvee_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi^g \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (iv)  $\bigcap_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi^g \mathcal{A} = \pi(\Phi)$ .

DEFINITION 2.  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *perfect* if it is extremal and

- (v)  $h(\Phi) = H(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\Phi}}^-)$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\Phi}}^- = \bigvee_{g \prec (0,0)} \Phi^g \mathcal{A}$ .

We may express Definitions 1 and 2 in a simpler form using the automorphisms  $T = \Phi^{(1,0)}$  and  $S = \Phi^{(0,1)}$ . Namely,  $\mathcal{A}$  is extremal iff

- (i')  $S^{-1} \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}, T^{-1} \mathcal{A}_S \subset \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}_S = \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S^n \mathcal{A}$ , and
- (ii')  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n} \mathcal{A} = T^{-1} \mathcal{A}_S$ ,
- (iii')  $\bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (iv')  $\bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{A}_S = \pi(\Phi)$ .

$\mathcal{A}$  is perfect iff it is extremal and

- (v')  $h(\Phi) = H(\mathcal{A} | S^{-1} \mathcal{A})$ .

It is known (cf. [7], p. 122) that in the case  $h(\Phi) < \infty$  the properties (i)–(iii) and (v) imply (iv). Therefore, it was natural to ask whether the properties (i)–(iv) imply (v). Now, we show that this question has a negative answer.

**THEOREM.** *There exists a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action on a Lebesgue space with an extremal  $\sigma$ -algebra which is not perfect.*

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  denote the spaces of one-sided and two-sided sequences, respectively, with values in  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $P$  and  $\bar{P}$  be the zero-time partitions in  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$ , respectively. We denote by  $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Z$  the one-sided shift and by  $\bar{\sigma} : \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$  the two-sided shift. We assume that  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  are equipped with the product  $\sigma$ -algebras and Bernoulli measures  $p$  and  $\bar{p}$ , respectively, determined by the vector  $(1/2, 1/2)$ .

Let the space  $\Omega = Z \times \bar{Z}$  be equipped with the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $\nu = p \times \bar{p}$ . We denote by  $T$  the endomorphism of  $\Omega$  defined by the formula

$$T(x, y) = (\sigma x, \bar{\sigma}^{x(0)} y), \quad x \in Z, y \in \bar{Z}.$$

First, note that the partition  $P \times \bar{P}$  is a generator for  $T$ . This follows from the fact that  $P$  and  $\bar{P}$  are generators for  $\sigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$ , respectively, and the sequence  $(\chi_n)$  of random variables defined by  $\chi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(k), x \in Z$ , is a random walk (cf. [4]).

Now, observe that  $T$  is exact. The automorphism  $\bar{T} : \bar{Z} \times \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{Z} \times \bar{Z}$  which is the natural extension of  $T$  takes the form

$$\bar{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (\bar{\sigma}(\bar{x}), \bar{\sigma}^{\bar{x}(0)} \bar{y}), \quad \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{Z}.$$

It follows from [11] that  $\bar{T}$  is a K-automorphism. Hence and from the fact that  $P \times \bar{P}$  is a generator for  $T$  it follows that  $T$  is exact.

Let  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be the endomorphism defined by  $\tau = T_1 \circ T (= T \circ T_1)$  where  $T_1(x, y) = (x, \bar{\sigma}(y))$ , i.e.

$$\tau(x, y) = (\sigma(x), \bar{\sigma}^{x(0)+1} y), \quad x \in Z, y \in \bar{Z}.$$

Since  $T$  is exact, the transformation  $\tau$  is also exact.

Now we shall check that

$$(1) \quad H(\mathcal{F} | \tau^{-1} \mathcal{F}) < h(\tau),$$

i.e.  $\tau$  has no generator with finite entropy. Let  $S = \{S_x\}$  where

$$S_x y = \bar{\sigma}^{x(0)+1} y, \quad x \in Z, y \in \bar{Z}.$$

Let  $h_\sigma(S)$  denote the mixed entropy of fibers of  $\tau$ , i.e.  $h_\sigma(S) = \sup h_\sigma(S, Q)$  where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions  $Q$  of  $\bar{Z}$  and

$$h_\sigma(S, Q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\bar{Z}} H(Q_{\bar{x}}^n) \bar{p}(d\bar{x}),$$

$$Q_{\bar{x}}^n = Q \vee S_x^{-1} Q \vee \dots \vee S_x^{-1} S_{\sigma x}^{-1} \dots S_{\sigma^{n-1} x}^{-1} Q.$$

Applying the ergodicity of  $\bar{\sigma}$  we obtain, for a.e.  $x \in Z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\sigma(S, \bar{P} \vee \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\bar{P}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left( \bigvee_{k=0}^{l_n(x)} \bar{\sigma}^{-2k}(\bar{P} \vee \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\bar{P}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2l_n(x)}{n} \cdot \ln 2 = \ln 2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_n(x)$  denotes the number of ones in the sequence  $(x(0), \dots, x(n-1))$ . Therefore, by the well known Abramov–Rokhlin formula we get

$$h(\tau) = h(\sigma) + h_\sigma(S) \geq \ln 4.$$

Let  $J_\tau, J_\sigma$  and  $J_{\bar{\sigma}}$  denote the Jacobians of  $\tau, \sigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$ , respectively. By Lemma 3 of [9] we have  $J_\tau(x, y) = J_\sigma(x) \cdot J_{\bar{\sigma}}^{x(0)+1}(y)$  and so  $J_\tau(x, y) = J_\sigma(x)$ . Therefore, applying the entropy formula from [16], we have

$$H(\mathcal{F} | \tau^{-1}\mathcal{F}) = \int_{\Omega} \ln J_\tau(x, y) \nu(dx dy) = \int_Z \ln J_\sigma(x) p(dx) = \ln 2.$$

Thus, we obtain the desired inequality.

Let  $(Y, \mathcal{G}, \lambda, \varphi)$  be the natural extension of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu, \tau)$ , i.e. there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi^n \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{G}$  and the factor endomorphism  $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}$  is conjugate to  $\tau$ . Since  $\tau$  is exact, we have

$$(2) \quad \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{-n}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N},$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Kolmogorov  $\sigma$ -algebra for  $\varphi$ . Moreover, we have  $H(\mathcal{F} | \tau^{-1}\mathcal{F}) = H(\mathcal{D} | \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{D})$  and so, using (1), we get

$$(3) \quad H(\mathcal{D} | \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{D}) < h(\varphi).$$

Let now  $\Phi = \Phi_\varphi$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action defined as follows (cf. [2], Example (3)). We consider the product probability space

$$(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (Y_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \lambda_n)$$

where  $Y_n = Y, \mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}, \lambda_n = \lambda, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We equip the space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  with the transformations  $T$  and  $S$  defined by

$$(Tx)(n) = x(n+1), \quad (Sx)(n) = \varphi x(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is clear that  $T$  and  $S$  are commuting automorphisms of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action generated by  $T$  and  $S$ , i.e.

$$\Phi^g = T^m \circ S^n, \quad g = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

We denote by  $\pi_0$  the projection of  $X$  on the zero coordinate and we put

$$\mathcal{C} = \pi_0^{-1}(\mathcal{D}), \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vee \bigvee_{g \prec (0,0)} \Phi^g \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{C}_S^- \vee (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition (i'). The equality (ii') is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (S^{-n}\mathcal{C}_S^- \vee (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-) = (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-.$$

Since  $\mu$  is the product measure, the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C}_S^-$  and  $(\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-$  are independent. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1 of [8] that (4) is equivalent to

$$(5) \quad \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}\mathcal{C}_S^- \vee (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^- = (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-.$$

This equality is valid because, in view of (2),  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}\mathcal{C}_S^- = \mathcal{N}$ .

The equality (iii') is an easy consequence of the equality  $\bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi^n \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{G}$ .

Since the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $T^n \mathcal{C}_S, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are independent the Kolmogorov zero-one law implies

$$\bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{A}_S = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{C}_S = \mathcal{N}.$$

On the other hand, the fact that  $\varphi$  is a K-automorphism and Theorem 3 of [5] imply that  $\Phi$  is a K-action of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , i.e.  $\pi(\Phi) = \mathcal{N}$ . This means that (iv') is satisfied and so  $\mathcal{A}$  is extremal.

It remains to show that  $H(\mathcal{A} | S^{-1}\mathcal{A}) < h(\Phi)$ . We know from [2] that

$$(6) \quad h(\Phi) = h(\varphi).$$

On the other hand, the independence of the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{C}_S^-$  and  $(\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-$  implies

$$(7) \quad H(\mathcal{A} | S^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = H(\mathcal{C} | \mathcal{C}_S^- \vee (\mathcal{C}_S)_T^-) = H(\mathcal{C} | \mathcal{C}_S^-).$$

It is easy to check that

$$(8) \quad H(\mathcal{C} | \mathcal{C}_S^-) = H(\mathcal{D} | \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{D}).$$

Combining (3) and (6)–(8), we obtain  $H(\mathcal{A} | S^{-1}\mathcal{A}) < h(\Phi)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  is not perfect. ■

References

[1] M. Binkowska and B. Kamiński, *Entropy increase for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on a Lebesgue space*, Israel J. Math. 88 (1994), 307–318.

- [2] J. P. Conze, *Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 25 (1972), 11–30.
- [3] S. Goldstein and O. Penrose, *A non-equilibrium entropy for dynamical systems*, J. Statist. Phys. 24 (1981), 325–343.
- [4] S. A. Kalikow,  *$T, T^{-1}$  transformation is not loosely Bernoulli*, Ann. of Math. 115 (1982), 393–409.
- [5] B. Kamiński, *Mixing properties of two-dimensional dynamical systems with completely positive entropy*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 28 (1980), 453–463.
- [6] —, *The theory of invariant partitions for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions*, ibid. 29 (1981), 349–362.
- [7] —, *A representation theorem for perfect partitions for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions with finite entropy*, Colloq. Math. 56 (1988), 121–127.
- [8] —, *Decreasing nets of  $\sigma$ -algebras and their applications to ergodic theory*, Tôhoku Math. J. 43 (1991), 263–274.
- [9] Z. S. Kowalski, *A generalized skew product*, Studia Math. 87 (1987), 215–222.
- [10] W. Krieger, *On generators in exhaustive  $\sigma$ -algebras of ergodic measure-preserving transformations*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 20 (1971), 75–82.
- [11] I. Meilijson, *Mixing properties of a class of skew-products*, Israel J. Math. 19 (1974), 266–270.
- [12] V. A. Rokhlin, *Lectures on the entropy theory of measure-preserving transformations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 22 (5) (1967), 3–56 (in Russian).
- [13] T. Shimano, *An invariant of systems in the ergodic theory*, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), 337–350.
- [14] —, *The multiplicity of helices for a regularly increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields*, ibid. 36 (1984), 141–148.
- [15] —, *On helices for Kolmogorov system with two indices*, Math. J. Toyama Univ. 14 (1991), 213–226.
- [16] P. Walters, *Some results on the classification of non-invertible measure preserving transformations*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 318, Springer, 1973, 266–276.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Nicholas Copernicus University  
Chopina 12/18  
87-100 Toruń, Poland  
E-mail: bkam@mat.uni.torun.pl

Institute of Mathematics  
Wrocław Technical University  
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27  
50-370 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: kowalski@banach.im.pwr.wroc.pl

Université de Provence  
Centre de Mathématiques et d'Informatique  
39, Rue Joliot Curie  
F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France  
E-mail: liardet@gyptis.univ-mrs.fr

Received August 27, 1996  
Revised version December 6, 1996

(3726)

## Approximation on the sphere by Besov analytic functions

by

EVGUENI DOUBTSOV (Barcelona)

**Abstract.** Boundary values of zero-smooth Besov analytic functions in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are investigated. Bounded Besov functions with prescribed lower semicontinuous modulus are constructed. Correction theorems for continuous Besov functions are proved. An approximation problem on great circles is studied.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional complex space (usually  $n \geq 2$ ) with the unit ball  $B = B_n = \{|z| < 1\}$  and the unit sphere  $S = S_n = \partial B$ . By  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$  we denote the normalized Lebesgue measures on  $B$  and  $S$  respectively. In dimension one we use the notation  $\mathbb{D} = B_1$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \partial \mathbb{D}$ .  $C(S)$  is the space of all continuous functions on  $S$ , the symbol LSC stands for lower semicontinuous functions, and  $H(B)$  is the space of all analytic functions  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Finally,  $A(B) = H(B) \cap C(\bar{B})$  is the ball algebra and  $H^\infty(B) := \{f \in H(B) : f \text{ is bounded}\}$ .

In the present paper we investigate boundary values of some Besov analytic functions. More precisely, given  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $q > 0$ , define

$$\|f\|_{A_{pq}(B)}^p = \int_B |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{q-1} d\nu(z),$$

$$A_{pq}^1(B) = \left\{ f \in H(B) : \|f\|_{A_{pq}(B)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j f\|_{A_{pq}(B)} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial z_j$ . (From a different point of view,  $A_{pq}^1(B)$  is a weighted Sobolev space of analytic functions.) To avoid technicalities, we do not consider the spaces  $A_{pq}^m(B)$ ,  $m > 1$ , defined in terms of higher derivatives.

To justify the term *Besov space*, consider the particular (unweighted) case  $q = 1$ . For  $p > 1$ , the Besov space  $B_{pp}^{1-1/p}(S)$  on the sphere is defined