

**Proof.** The proof goes along the same lines as the one of Corollary 1, with Theorem 2 (resp. Theorem 4) in place of Theorem 1.

Analogously we get

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $(M_p)$  satisfy (M.1) and (M.3'), and let  $\hat{u} \in L'_{(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\omega})}(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $L^{(M_p)'}_{(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\omega})}(\mathbb{R})$ ) with some  $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $\hat{\omega} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then there exists at most one  $F^\pm \in \mathcal{O}(\{\text{Im } z > 0\})$  of exponential type in  $\{\pm \text{Im } z \geq \varepsilon\}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $b(F^\pm) \in L'_{(\nu^\pm, \omega^\pm)}(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $L^{(M_p)'}_{(\nu^\pm, \omega^\pm)}(\mathbb{R})$ ) with some  $\nu^\pm \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $\omega^\pm \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and  $b(F^\pm) - \hat{u} \in L'_{(\nu, \omega)}(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $L^{(M_p)'}_{(\nu, \omega)}(\mathbb{R})$ ) with some  $\nu < \omega$ . Furthermore, if  $\hat{\nu} < \hat{\omega}$  then  $F^\pm \equiv 0$ .*

We remark that in the case  $\hat{\nu} \geq \hat{\omega}$ , in general, the problem of existence of such an  $F^\pm$  remains open.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful remarks.

#### References

- [H] E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory*, Vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1962.
- [K] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, I. Structure theorems and a characterization*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 20 (1973), 25–105.
- [Ł1] G. Łysik, *Generalized analytic functions and a strong quasi-analyticity principle*, Dissertationes Math. 340 (1995), 195–200.
- [Ł2] —, *Laplace ultradistributions on a half line and a strong quasi-analyticity principle*, Ann. Polon. Math. 63 (1996), 13–33.
- [M] S. Mandelbrojt, *Séries adhérentes, régularisation des suites, applications*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952.
- [R] C. Roumieu, *Sur quelques extensions de la notion de distribution*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 77 (1960), 41–121.
- [SZ] Z. Szymdyt and B. Ziemian, *The Laplace distributions on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$* , submitted to J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo.
- [T] J. C. Tougeron, *Gevrey expansions and applications*, preprint, University of Toronto, 1991.
- [W] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.
- [Z] A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience, 1969.

Institute of Mathematics  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
Śniadeckich 8  
00-950 Warszawa, Poland  
E-mail: lysik@impan.gov.pl

Received December 5, 1995

Revised version March 29, 1996 and December 6, 1996

(3579)

## Compact homomorphisms between algebras of analytic functions

by

RICHARD ARON (Kent, Ohio), PABLO GALINDO (Valencia),  
and MIKAEL LINDSTRÖM (Åbo)

**Abstract.** We prove that every weakly compact multiplicative linear continuous map from  $H^\infty(D)$  into  $H^\infty(D)$  is compact. We also give an example which shows that this is not generally true for uniform algebras. Finally, we characterize the spectra of compact composition operators acting on the uniform algebra  $H^\infty(B_E)$ , where  $B_E$  is the open unit ball of an infinite-dimensional Banach space  $E$ .

Let  $E$  denote a complex Banach space with open unit ball  $B_E$  and let  $\phi : B_E \rightarrow B_E$  be an analytic map. We will consider the composition operator  $C_\phi$  defined by  $C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi$ , acting on the uniform algebra  $H^\infty(B_E)$  of all bounded analytic functions on  $B_E$ . This operator may also be regarded as acting on the smaller uniform algebra  $A_u(B_E)$  of all analytic functions on  $B_E$  which are uniformly continuous, in which case we assume that  $f \circ \phi \in A_u(B_E)$  whenever  $f$  is in  $A_u(B_E)$ . These algebras, which are natural generalizations of the classical algebras  $H^\infty(D)$  and  $A(D)$  of analytic functions on the complex open disc  $D$ , have been studied in [ACG].

Several results automatically yielding compactness of composition operators from weak compactness have appeared recently. For instance, D. Sarason in [Sa] proved that every weakly compact composition operator on  $H^1(D)$  is compact, and K. Madigan and A. Matheson [MM] obtained the analogue for the little Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . In the first section we study compactness of  $C_\phi$  and prove that every weakly compact homomorphism from  $H^\infty(D)$  into  $H^\infty(D)$  is automatically compact. This result has also inde-

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46J15; Secondary 46E15, 46G20.

Research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant INT-9023951.

Research of the second author was partially supported by DGICYT (Spain) pr. 91-0326 and pr. 93-081.

The research of Mikael Lindström was supported by a grant from the Foundation of Åbo Akademi University Research Institute; the research of this paper was carried out during the spring semester 1995 while this author was visiting Kent State University, whose hospitality is acknowledged with thanks.

pendently been obtained by A. Ülger in [Ü], where he proves that every weakly compact homomorphism from a logmodular algebra into any uniform algebra is compact. In fact, this also follows by combining the Wermer embedding theorem (see, e.g., [Ga], VI.7.2) with results of J. Galé, T. Ransford and M. White [GRW] and K. Hoffman [H]. Earlier S. Ohno and J. Wada [OW] had found sufficient conditions on function algebras  $A$  and  $B$  to ensure that weakly compact homomorphisms between them are actually compact.

In [Ü] A. Ülger also asks whether every weakly compact homomorphism between uniform algebras is compact without the logmodularity condition. We conclude the first section with an example which shows that this is not generally true. Our example is the uniform algebra  $H^\infty(B_E)$  when  $E$  is the Tsirelson space.

In Section 2 we determine the spectrum of compact composition operators of the form  $C_\phi$ . In the one-dimensional case H. Kamowitz [K] used the Denjoy–Wolff theorem to determine the spectra of compact composition operators on  $A(D)$ , and in the setting of the open unit ball  $B_N$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , B. MacCluer [Ma] characterized the spectra by proving the existence of a unique fixed point of  $\phi : B_N \rightarrow B_N$  when  $C_\phi$  is compact. For  $E$  infinite-dimensional the main tool we use to determine the spectra of compact composition operators  $C_\phi$  is the remarkable fixed point theorem of C. Earle and R. Hamilton [EH].

We wish to thank H. Jarchow and A. Pełczyński for some helpful comments while this paper was being prepared. In addition, we would like to thank the referee for suggesting a number of improvements to this article and also for calling our attention to the references [OW], [GRW].

**Preliminaries.** The reader is referred to [D] and [M2] for background information on analytic functions on an infinite-dimensional Banach space. The algebra  $H^\infty(B_E)$  is a Banach algebra with the natural norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in B_E} |f(x)|$ .  $A_u(B_E)$  is a uniformly closed subalgebra of  $H^\infty(B_E)$ . A *homomorphism* between Banach algebras is a continuous linear multiplicative map. By an *operator* we mean a continuous linear map from a Banach space into another Banach space. The space of all operators from  $E$  into  $F$  is denoted by  $L(E, F)$ . We denote the adjoint operator of  $T \in L(E, F)$  by  $T^t : F' \rightarrow E'$ . We say that  $T \in L(E, F)$  is (*weakly*) *compact* if  $T$  maps bounded sets in  $E$  into relatively (*weakly*) compact sets in  $F$ . Equivalently,  $T \in L(E, F)$  is weakly compact if and only if  $T^{tt}(E'') \subset F$ . If  $T \in L(E, E)$  the spectrum of  $T$  is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ . Recall that if  $T \in L(E, E)$  is compact and  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of both  $T$  and  $T^t$  [R, p. 109]. We also recall that a Banach space  $E$  is called a *Grothendieck space* if every weak\* null sequence in  $E'$  is weakly null [Di, p. 121].

**1. Compactness of homomorphisms.** Suppose that  $T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow A(D)$  is an operator. For any bounded sequence  $(\phi_j)$  in  $A(D)'$ , one can find a weak\* convergent subsequence, since  $A(D)$  is separable. The image of this subsequence is weak\* convergent in  $H^\infty(D)'$ . Using J. Bourgain's result that  $H^\infty(D)$  is a Grothendieck space ([B1]), it follows that  $T^t$  is weakly compact and therefore so is  $T$ . Further, J. Bourgain also showed that  $H^\infty(D)$  has the Dunford–Pettis property [B2], and so the weakly compact operator  $T$  is in fact always completely continuous; that is,  $T$  maps weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences. Is  $T$  always compact? The answer is no. Consider the natural inclusion map of  $l_1$  into  $c_0$ . This map factors through  $H^\infty(D)$  (see, e.g., [Di, p. 223]). Since  $c_0$  has a complemented copy in  $A(D)$ , we obtain a non-compact operator from  $H^\infty(D)$  into  $A(D)$ . On the other hand, it is an easy exercise using the Dunford–Pettis property of  $H^\infty(D)$  that for any two operators  $S, T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow A(D) \subset H^\infty(D)$ , the composition  $S \circ T$  is compact.

Now, one can ask when a completely continuous operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $E$  into a Banach space  $F$  is compact. It is well known that this is the case if  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $l_1$ . For completeness we give a proof: Suppose that  $T$  is not compact. Then there is a bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|T(x_n) - T(x_m)\| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \neq m$ . By Rosenthal's  $l_1$  theorem [Di, p. 201] there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  which is weakly Cauchy. The sequence  $(x_{n_{2k}} - x_{n_{2k-1}})$  is weakly null, so the complete continuity of  $T$  gives a contradiction. Recently, J. Cima and A. Matheson [CM, Prop. 2, Theorem 2] have studied completely continuous composition operators and obtained a somewhat weaker result. Notice also that  $T^t : A(D)' \rightarrow H^\infty(D)'$  is completely continuous since the dual of  $A(D)$  has the Dunford–Pettis property [B2, p. 3].

After this introduction we are ready to formulate our problem:

*Is every weakly compact homomorphism from  $H^\infty(D)$  into  $H^\infty(D)$  compact?*

To study this problem we shall use results due to K. Hoffman [H], [G] concerning the analytic structure of  $M(H^\infty)$ , the set of all complex-valued homomorphisms of  $H^\infty(D)$ . When endowed with the weak\* topology,  $M(H^\infty)$  is a compact Hausdorff space. We may regard  $D$  as a subset of  $M(H^\infty)$  by identifying  $\lambda \in D$  with the evaluation homomorphism  $\delta_\lambda$ . For  $m, n \in M(H^\infty)$ , the pseudo-hyperbolic distance is given by

$$\varrho(m, n) = \sup\{|\hat{f}(n)| : f \in H^\infty(D), \|f\|_\infty \leq 1, \hat{f}(m) = 0\},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $f$ . The norm topology induced by the dual space  $H^\infty(D)'$  is the topology of the metric space  $(M(H^\infty), \varrho)$ . If  $\lambda, \mu \in D$ , then  $\varrho(\lambda, \mu) = |(\lambda - \mu)/(1 - \bar{\mu}\lambda)|$ . For  $m \in M(H^\infty)$ ,  $P(m) =$

$\{n \in M(H^\infty) : \varrho(m, n) < 1\}$  is called the *Gleason part containing  $m$* . If  $P(m) \neq \{m\}$ , the Gleason part is called *non-trivial*. In [H] K. Hoffman shows that for each  $m \in M(H^\infty)$  there is a continuous map  $L_m$  from  $D$  onto  $P(m)$  such that  $f \circ L_m \in H^\infty(D)$  for every  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $L_m(0) = m$ . Moreover, he proves [H, pp. 103–105] that if  $P(m)$  is non-trivial, then the map  $L_m$  preserves pseudo-hyperbolic distances: For  $\lambda, \mu \in D$ ,  $\varrho(\lambda, \mu) = \varrho(L_m(\lambda), L_m(\mu))$ . Thus  $L_m$  is a homeomorphism into the metric topology. Further, by [H, pp. 90–91] there exists a sequence of Blaschke products  $A_n \in H^\infty(D)$  such that for every  $\lambda \in D$  we have  $\widehat{A}_n(L_m(\lambda)) \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the sequence  $(A_n)$  is bounded, this shows that  $L_m$  is also a homeomorphism into the weak topology of  $H^\infty(D)'$ . This fact is very crucial for us.

Let  $T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$  be a homomorphism. We will now show that if  $T$  is weakly compact or if  $T$  factors through  $A(D)$ , then  $T$  is compact. As we mentioned in the Introduction, this result has also been obtained by A. Ülger. However, there are differences in the proofs, although both essentially use the result that, unless the Gleason part  $P$  of the maximal ideal space of a logmodular algebra reduces to a singleton, there is a homeomorphism of  $D$  onto  $P$ , when  $P$  is given the metric topology or the weak topology. In the proof of Ülger it is shown that the weakly compact and the compact subsets of the maximal ideal space of a logmodular algebra are the same. We do not need such a result since in our specific case we apply Carleson's corona theorem which implies that the dual map of the given weakly compact homomorphism takes the maximal ideal space of  $H^\infty(D)$  strictly inside one single Gleason part. Therefore the proof below contains some information that cannot directly be found in Ülger's proof.

**THEOREM 1** (cf. [Ü]). *Let  $T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$  be a homomorphism. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  *$T$  is weakly compact;*
- (ii) *The range of  $T$  is contained in  $A(D)$ .*

*Then  $T$  is compact.*

**PROOF.** We have already observed that every homomorphism  $T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow A(D) \subset H^\infty(D)$  is weakly compact. Thus, it suffices to prove the result under assumption (i).

Now, the induced map  $\phi_T : (M(H^\infty), w^*) \rightarrow (M(H^\infty), w^*)$  defined by  $n \mapsto n \circ T$  is continuous and analytic on  $D$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.1 in [G, p. 402], there is an  $m_0 \in \phi_T(D) \subset M(H^\infty)$  such that  $\phi_T(D) \subset P(m_0)$ . We only need to consider the case when  $P(m_0)$  is non-trivial, so from now on we assume that this is the case.

**CLAIM 1.**  $\phi_T(M(H^\infty)) \subset P(m_0)$ .

Indeed, let  $n_0 \in M(H^\infty) \setminus D$ . We shall use Theorem VI.2.1 in [Ga], which states that two homomorphisms  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are in the same Gleason part of  $M(H^\infty)$  if and only if whenever  $(f_j)$  is a sequence in  $H^\infty$  such that  $\|f_j\| \leq 1$  and  $|\widehat{f_j}(\theta)| \rightarrow 1$ , then  $|\widehat{f_j}(\phi)| \rightarrow 1$ . Consider  $(f_j)$  in  $H^\infty(D)$  with  $\|f_j\| \leq 1$  and  $|\widehat{f_j}(\phi_T(\delta_\lambda))| \rightarrow 1$  for all  $|\lambda| < 1$ . If  $|\widehat{f_j}(\phi_T(n_0))| \not\rightarrow 1$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(f_{j_k})$  of  $(f_j)$  such that  $|\widehat{f_{j_k}}(\phi_T(n_0))| \leq \beta < 1$  for some  $\beta$  and all  $k$ . Since  $T$  is weakly compact, there is another subsequence, which we still denote by  $(f_{j_k})$ , with  $(T(f_{j_k}))$  converging weakly to some  $f \in H^\infty(D)$ . In particular,  $n(T(f_{j_k})) \rightarrow n(f)$  for all  $n \in M(H^\infty)$ , so that  $|\widehat{f_{j_k}}(\phi_T(n))| \rightarrow |n(f)|$  for all  $n \in M(H^\infty)$ . The corona theorem asserts that  $D$  is dense in  $(M(H^\infty), w^*)$ , and hence there exists a net  $(\delta_{\lambda_\alpha})$ , with  $|\lambda_\alpha| < 1$ , converging in the  $w^*$ -topology to  $n_0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . For all  $\alpha$ ,  $|\delta_{\lambda_\alpha}(f)| = \lim_k |\widehat{f_{j_k}}(\phi_T(\delta_{\lambda_\alpha}))| = 1$  and, further,  $|n_0(f)| = \lim_k |\widehat{f_{j_k}}(\phi_T(n_0))| \leq \beta < 1$ . Thus,  $1 = \lim_\alpha |\delta_{\lambda_\alpha}(f)| = |n_0(f)| \leq \beta < 1$ , and we have obtained a contradiction.

**CLAIM 2.**  $L_{m_0}(D_r)$  is open in  $(P(m_0), w)$  for all  $0 < r < 1$ , where  $D_r = \{\lambda \in D : |\lambda| < r\}$ .

Indeed, equip  $P(m_0)$  with the weak topology of the dual  $H^\infty(D)'$ , that is,  $(P(m_0), w)$ . The map  $L_{m_0} : D \rightarrow (P(m_0), w)$  is a homeomorphism, so every  $L_{m_0}(D_r)$  is open in the weak topology.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. We have  $\phi_T(M(H^\infty)) \subset L_{m_0}(D)$  and by weak compactness of  $T$  we deduce that  $\phi_T(M(H^\infty))$  is compact in the weak topology. Thus

$$\phi_T(M(H^\infty)) \subset \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} L_{m_0}(D_{1-1/n}),$$

from which it follows by Claim 2 that there is an integer  $N_0$  such that  $\phi_T(M(H^\infty)) \subset L_{m_0}(D_{1-1/N_0})$ . This means that  $\phi_T(M(H^\infty))$  is compact in the norm topology, that is, in  $(P(m_0), \|\cdot\|)$ . Therefore  $\phi_T : (M(H^\infty), w^*) \rightarrow (P(m_0), \|\cdot\|)$  is continuous. In order to show that  $T : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$  is compact, we take a sequence  $(f_j)$  in  $H^\infty(D)$  with  $\|f_j\| \leq 1$ . According to the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem we must show that  $\{T(\widehat{f_j}) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equicontinuous in  $C(M(H^\infty))$  endowed with the uniform topology. By the continuity of  $\phi_T$  we infer that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every  $m_0 \in M(H^\infty)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|T(\widehat{f_j})(m) - T(\widehat{f_j})(m_0)| = |\phi_T(m)f_j - \phi_T(m_0)f_j| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m \in M(H^\infty)$  with  $|m(g_i) - m_0(g_i)| < \delta$  for  $g_i \in H^\infty(D)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof.

Our next aim is to show that Theorem 1 is not generally true for uniform algebras. To do so, we describe the relation between compactness and weak compactness of composition operators  $C_\phi$ . This is done in a way that is also convenient for the characterization of the spectra of compact  $C_\phi$  in the next section. But we start with some general facts about the uniform algebras  $H^\infty(B_E)$  and  $A_u(B_E)$ .

Using a theorem of K. Ng [N], it follows that there is a Banach space  $G^\infty(B_E)$  whose dual is isometrically isomorphic to  $H^\infty(B_E)$ . This fact has been pointed out by S. Dineen in his book [D] and developed by J. Mujica in [M1].

**PROPOSITION 2.**  *$H^\infty(B_E)$  and  $A_u(B_E)$  contain complemented copies of  $H^\infty(D)$  and  $A(D)$ , respectively. Consequently,  $A_u(B_E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ , and  $H^\infty(B_E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $l_\infty$  but not a complemented copy of  $c_0$ . Furthermore,  $G^\infty(B_E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $l_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in E$  with  $\|x_0\| = 1$ . Choose  $l \in E'$  with  $l(x_0) = 1 = \|l\|$ , so that  $|l(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in B_E$ . Define  $J : H^\infty(D) \rightarrow H^\infty(B_E)$  by  $g \mapsto g \circ l$ , and define  $P : H^\infty(B_E) \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$  by  $P(f)(\lambda) = f(\lambda x_0)$ . Both  $J$  and  $P$  are well-defined continuous linear maps. For every  $g \in H^\infty(D)$  and every  $\lambda \in D$ ,  $P(J(g))(\lambda) = g(\lambda l(x_0)) = g(\lambda)$ , so  $J(H^\infty(D))$  is a complemented subspace of  $H^\infty(B_E)$ . In a similar way one can show that there is a complemented copy of  $A(D)$  in  $A_u(B_E)$ .

Recall next that F. Delbaen [De] has shown that  $A(D)$  contains a copy of  $c_0$ . The rest of the assertions in Proposition 2 follow from results obtained by C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński (cf. p. 48 in [Di]), by using the facts that  $c_0$  has a complemented copy in  $A_u(B_E)$ , and  $H^\infty(B_E)$  is the dual space of  $G^\infty(B_E)$ .

**Remarks.** (i)  $H^\infty(B_E)$  contains a copy of  $l_1$  and  $A_u(B_E)$  is never a Grothendieck space.

(ii) If  $E$  and  $F$  are Banach spaces, then the Banach space  $A_u(B_E, F)$  of all analytic maps from  $B_E$  into  $F$  which are uniformly continuous on  $B_E$ , endowed with the supremum norm, contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ . This follows from Proposition 2 and the fact there is a complemented copy of  $A_u(B_E)$  contained in  $A_u(B_E, F)$ . To see this elementary fact, take  $y_0 \in F$  and  $l \in F'$  with  $\|l\| = l(y_0) = \|y_0\| = 1$ . Define the continuous linear maps  $J : A_u(B_E) \rightarrow A_u(B_E, F)$  and  $P : A_u(B_E, F) \rightarrow A_u(B_E)$  by  $J : f \mapsto (x \mapsto f(x)y_0)$  and  $P : f \mapsto l \circ f$ . Finally, for each  $f \in A_u(B_E)$  and each  $x \in B_E$ ,  $P(J(f))x = l(f(x)y_0) = f(x)$ .

Clearly,  $C_\phi$  will act on  $A_u(B_E)$  if  $\phi : B_E \rightarrow B_E$  is analytic and uniformly continuous. Example 1 shows that when dealing with composition operators

on  $A_u(B_E)$ , we have to require that  $\phi$  be uniformly continuous in general, while in Example 2 we will see that in the special case of  $E = c_0$ , the assumption of uniform continuity is unnecessary.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Define an analytic map  $\phi : B_{l_2} \rightarrow B_{l_2}$  by  $x \mapsto (x_n^n)_n$ . If  $r = 1 - 1/n$  and  $s = 1 - 2/n$ , then  $\|\phi(re_n) - \phi(se_n)\| = |r^n - s^n| \rightarrow 1/e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From this we conclude that  $\phi$  is not uniformly continuous. In addition, if  $f \in A_u(B_{l_2})$  is defined by  $f(x) = \sum x_n^2$ , then  $f \circ \phi \notin A_u(B_{l_2})$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** It is trivial that the analytic mapping  $\phi : B_{c_0} \rightarrow B_{c_0}$  defined as in Example 1 is not uniformly continuous. On the other hand,  $f \circ \phi \in A_u(B_{c_0})$  for every  $f \in A_u(B_{c_0})$ . To see this, observe that every such  $f$  is uniformly approximable on  $B_{c_0}$  by polynomials. By the Littlewood–Bogdanowicz–Pełczyński theorem (see, e.g., [ACG, p. 58]), every polynomial on  $c_0$  is weakly uniformly continuous on  $B_{c_0}$ , and so for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in l_1$  such that if  $x, y \in B_{c_0}$  with  $|u_i(x) - u_i(y)| < \delta$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ . Now, we can find a positive integer  $N$  and a constant  $\mu > 0$  such that  $|u_i(x - y)| < \delta$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , provided  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $B_{c_0}$ ,  $|x_j - y_j| < \mu$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . For  $|x_j - y_j|$  so small that  $|x_j^j - y_j^j| < \mu$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ), we get  $|f(\phi(x)) - f(\phi(y))| < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $f \circ \phi \in A_u(B_{c_0})$  for all  $f \in A_u(B_{c_0})$ .

An easy argument using Cauchy’s inequality and the Hahn–Banach theorem shows that if the analytic map  $\phi : B_E \rightarrow B_E$  maps  $B_E$  into a relatively (weakly) compact set in  $E$ , then the differential operator  $d\phi(x) : E \rightarrow E$  is (weakly) compact for every  $x \in B_E$ . Indeed, there is an absolutely convex zero-neighbourhood  $U$  in  $E$  such that  $x + U \subset B_E$ . Since  $d\phi(x)(U)$  is a subset of the absolutely closed convex hull of  $\phi(x + U)$ , we are done. Following [EH], we say that the subset  $\phi(B_E)$  of  $B_E$  lies strictly inside  $B_E$  if there exists  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  with  $\|\phi(x)\| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B_E$ .

The following result was obtained independently by Manuel Maestre in the case of  $A_u(E)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Consider  $C_\phi$  as a composition operator on  $H^\infty(B_E)$  or  $A_u(B_E)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_\phi$  is compact;
- (2)  $C_\phi$  is weakly compact and  $\phi(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ ;
- (3)  $\phi(B_E)$  lies strictly inside  $B_E$  and  $\phi(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ .

*Proof.* We only give the proof for  $H^\infty(B_E)$  since the proof for  $A_u(B_E)$  is identical.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). If  $\phi(B_E) \subset E$  is not relatively compact, then there exist a sequence  $(x_n) \subset B_E$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\|\phi(x_n) - \phi(x_m)\| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $m \neq n$ .

For each pair  $(m, n)$  with  $m \neq n$ , choose a unit vector  $l_{mn} \in E'$  such that

$$|l_{mn}(\phi(x_n)) - l_{mn}(\phi(x_m))| \geq \varepsilon.$$

By compactness of  $C_\phi^t$ , the set  $\{\delta_{\phi(x)} : x \in B_E\} = \{C_\phi^t(\delta_x) : x \in B_E\}$  is relatively compact in  $H^\infty(B_E)'$ , where  $\delta_y$  is the evaluation (at  $y$ ) map. But the above inequality yields  $\|\delta_{\phi(x_n)} - \delta_{\phi(x_m)}\| \geq \varepsilon$ , for  $m \neq n$ . Thus, we obtain a contradiction.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Assume that there is a sequence  $(x_j) \in B_E$  such that  $\|\phi(x_j)\| > 1 - 1/j$  for all  $j$ . Since  $\phi(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ , we may assume that  $(\phi(x_j))$  converges in norm to some  $y_0$  with  $\|y_0\| = 1$ . Choose  $l \in E'$  with  $l(y_0) = 1 = \|l\|$ . Since  $C_\phi$  is weakly compact and the set  $\{l^n|_{B_E} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded in  $H^\infty(B_E)$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $(l^n \circ \phi)$  converges weakly to some  $f \in H^\infty(B_E)$ . For every  $j$ , the norm of the evaluation map  $\delta_{x_j} \in H^\infty(B_E)'$  is 1, so by Alaoglu's theorem  $(\delta_{x_j})$  has a weak\*-cluster point  $u \in H^\infty(B_E)'$ . Therefore, for every  $n$  we have  $1 = l(y_0)^n = \lim_j l(\phi(x_j))^n = \lim_j \delta_{x_j}(l^n \circ \phi) = u(l^n \circ \phi)$ . Now, since  $\|\phi(x_j)\| < 1$  for all  $j$ ,  $0 = \lim_n l(\phi(x_j))^n = f(x_j) = \delta_{x_j}(f)$ . Hence,  $0 = \lim_j |\delta_{x_j}(f)| = |u(f)| = \lim_n |u(l^n \circ \phi)| = 1$ , and we have a contradiction. Actually, the function  $f$  must be identically 0.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that  $\phi(B_E) \subset E$  is relatively compact and  $\phi(B_E) \subset rB_E$  for some  $0 < r < 1$ . If  $C_\phi$  is not compact, then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $(f_n) \subset H^\infty(B_E)$  such that  $\|f_n\| \leq 1$  and  $\|C_\phi(f_n) - C_\phi(f_m)\| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \neq m$ . By Montel's theorem [C, p. 274] the set  $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively compact in  $H(B_E)$  with respect to the compact open topology. Therefore  $(f_n)$  has a subnet, say  $(f_\alpha)$ , which converges uniformly on compact sets in  $B_E$ . For every  $\alpha$ , choose  $\beta > \alpha$  such that  $f_\alpha \neq f_\beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in \phi(B_E)} |f_\alpha(y) - f_\beta(y)| &= \sup_{\|x\| < 1} |f_\alpha(\phi(x)) - f_\beta(\phi(x))| \\ &= \|C_\phi(f_\alpha) - C_\phi(f_\beta)\| \geq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $B_E$ , we have a contradiction. Thus  $C_\phi$  is compact, and we are done.

Examples of mappings  $\phi$  which satisfy condition (3) in the above proposition abound. For example, any polynomial mapping  $\phi : c_0 \rightarrow l_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , with norm strictly less than 1, works.

EXAMPLE 3. We now show that there is a weakly compact, non-compact composition operator on  $H^\infty(B_E)$  when  $E$  is the Tsirelson space, thus answering negatively a question raised by A. Ülger in [Ü, §6]. We note in passing that there is no completely trivial counterexample to this question, i.e. there is no infinite-dimensional uniform (Banach) algebra which is reflexive [Go, p. 285].

Recall that the original Tsirelson space  $E = T'$  is a reflexive space with an unconditional basis such that every continuous polynomial on  $E$  is automatically weakly uniformly continuous on weakly compact subsets of  $E$  (cf. [AAD]). Let  $\phi(x) = x/2$ . Since  $\phi(B_E)$  is not relatively compact in  $E$  it follows from Proposition 3 that the composition operator  $C_\phi : H^\infty(B_E) \rightarrow H^\infty(B_E)$  is non-compact. Notice that  $C_\phi(f) \in A_{wu}(B_E)$ , the Banach algebra of analytic functions on  $B_E$  which are weakly uniformly continuous equipped with the natural norm. Indeed, we have

$$f(x/2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{d}^m f(0)}{m!}(x)/2^m$$

and this series is uniformly convergent in  $B_E$  since  $\|\widehat{d}^m f(0)/m!\| \leq \|f\|$ . Since every continuous polynomial on  $E$  is weakly uniformly continuous on  $B_E$ , the assertion follows.

Recall that any function in  $A_{wu}(B_E)$  has a continuous extension to the closed unit ball  $\overline{B_E}$  endowed with the weak topology (also,  $\overline{B_E}$  is a weakly compact set). Thus we may also consider  $A_{wu}(B_E)$  as a closed subalgebra of  $C(\overline{B_E})$ , and hence the weak topology of  $A_{wu}(B_E)$  is the one induced by the weak topology of  $C(\overline{B_E})$ .

We shall now prove that  $C_\phi : H^\infty(B_E) \rightarrow A_{wu}(B_E)$  is a weakly compact operator. First of all, observe that  $C_\phi(f)(x) = f(x/2)$  even for  $x \in \overline{B_E}$ . Let  $(g_n) \subset H^\infty(B_E)$  be a sequence with  $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ , and let  $(x_m)$  be a norm dense subset of  $B_E$ . By a diagonal argument one can find a pointwise convergent subsequence  $(g_{n_k})$  on  $(x_m)$ . Since the family  $(g_{n_k})$  is uniformly bounded, it is equicontinuous on  $B_E$ . Therefore,  $(g_{n_k})$  converges pointwise on  $B_E$ . On the other hand, since  $(g_{n_k}) \subset H(B_E)$  is uniformly bounded, there is an analytic function  $g$  which is a cluster point of  $(g_{n_k})$  for the compact-open topology of  $H(B_E)$ . Necessarily,  $g \in H^\infty(B_E)$  and  $g(x) = \lim_k g_{n_k}(x)$ ,  $x \in B_E$ .

Finally,  $(C_\phi(g_{n_k}))$  converges weakly in  $C(\overline{B_E})$  (hence in  $A_{wu}(B_E)$ ) to  $C_\phi(g)$  because it is uniformly bounded and  $\lim_k C_\phi(g_{n_k})(x) = \lim_k g_{n_k}(x/2) = g(x/2) = C_\phi(g)(x)$  ([Di], Theorem 1, p. 66).

**2. Spectra of compact composition operators.** In order to be able to determine the spectrum of  $C_\phi$  we need a fixed point theorem for analytic maps from  $B_E$  into  $B_E$  when  $E$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space. T. Hayden and T. Suffridge [HS] pointed out that analyticity of  $\phi$  is not sufficient, by considering the analytic map  $\phi : B_{c_0} \rightarrow B_{c_0}$  given by  $\phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (\frac{1}{2}, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ . The map  $\phi$  has no fixed point, since the only possible fixed point of  $\phi$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots)$ , which is not in  $B_{c_0}$ . In [W] K. Włodarczyk has considered the problem of existence of a unique fixed point in  $B_E$  of  $\phi$  when  $\phi(B_E)$  does not lie strictly inside  $B_E$ . He showed that this

problem is solved whenever (i)  $\phi(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ ; (ii)  $\phi$  extends continuously to  $\bar{B}_E$  and  $\phi(\bar{B}_E) \subset \bar{B}_E$ ; (iii)  $\phi$  has no fixed point on the boundary of  $B_E$ ; and (iv) for each  $x \in B_E$ ,  $1 \notin \sigma(d\phi(x))$ .

If  $\phi(B_E)$  lies strictly inside  $B_E$ , C. Earle and R. Hamilton [EH] completely solved the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point of  $\phi$  by proving the following remarkable result:

**EARLE-HAMILTON FIXED POINT THEOREM.** *If  $\phi : B_E \rightarrow B_E$  is an analytic mapping and  $\phi(B_E)$  lies strictly inside  $B_E$ , then  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point  $z_0$  in  $B_E$ .*

Now, when we know how to get a unique fixed point for  $\phi$ , the characterization of the spectra of compact  $C_\phi$  is not hard. The proof is based on the following two lemmata. In their proofs we only deal with  $H^\infty(B_E)$  but everything works as well for  $A_u(B_E)$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $C_\phi$  is compact, then  $\{0, 1\} \subset \sigma(C_\phi)$  and  $\{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i : \lambda_i \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0)), i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \} \subset \sigma(C_\phi)$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly  $0 \in \sigma(C_\phi)$ , as otherwise  $H^\infty(B_E)$  would be finite-dimensional since  $C_\phi$  is compact. The function  $e(x) = 1$  belongs to  $H^\infty(B_E)$ . There is no  $f \in H^\infty(B_E)$  with  $f(x) - f(\phi(x)) = e(x)$ , since for  $x = z_0$  we get  $0 = 1$ . Thus  $1 \in \sigma(C_\phi)$ . Take  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0))$ . Since  $d\phi(z_0)$  is a compact operator,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $d\phi(z_0)$ . Thus there is  $0 \neq x_0 \in E$  with  $d\phi(z_0)x_0 = \lambda x_0$ . Choose  $l \in E'$  such that  $l(x_0) \neq 0$ . Suppose that there exists  $f \in H^\infty(B_E)$  with

$$\lambda f(x) - f(\phi(x)) = l(x).$$

Differentiation gives  $\lambda df(x) - df(\phi(x)) \circ d\phi(x) = dl(x) = l$ . For  $x = z_0$  we get  $\lambda df(z_0) - df(z_0) \circ d\phi(z_0) = l$  and consequently  $0 = \lambda df(z_0)x_0 - df(z_0)(d\phi(z_0)x_0) = l(x_0) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\lambda \in \sigma(C_\phi)$ . Suppose now that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are non-zero eigenvalues of  $d\phi(z_0)$ , and hence they are eigenvalues of  $C_\phi$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be corresponding non-zero eigenfunctions in  $H^\infty(B_E)$ . Hence

$$\lambda_i f_i(x) = f_i(\phi(x)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let  $\mu := \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$  and  $g(x) := f_1(x) \dots f_n(x)$ . Then  $g \in H^\infty(B_E)$  and, since  $B_E$  is connected,  $g \neq 0$ . Now

$$\mu g(x) = f_1(\phi(x)) \dots f_n(\phi(x)) = g(\phi(x)).$$

Thus  $\mu \in \sigma(C_\phi)$ , and we are done.

For the proof of our next lemma we need the following result due to M. Schechter [S] (see also A. Brown and C. Pearcy [BP]) concerning the spectrum of tensor products of  $n$  operators on complex Banach spaces:

Let  $E_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , be complex Banach spaces and let  $T_i \in L(E_i, E_i)$ . Then  $\sigma(T_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi T_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(T_i)$ .

Actually, we only need the fact that  $\sigma(T_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi T_n) \subset \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(T_i)$ , which follows from a standard application of the following result (see [R, p. 293]): Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with unit,  $x, y \in A$  and  $xy = yx$ . Then  $\sigma(xy) \subset \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Assume that  $\phi : B_E \rightarrow B_E$  is analytic and maps  $B_E$  strictly inside  $B_E$ . If  $\mu \neq 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_\phi$ , then  $\mu \in \{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i : \lambda_i \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0)), i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \} \cup \{1\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in H^\infty(B_E)$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\mu$ , so that

$$\mu f(x) = f(\phi(x)).$$

Suppose that  $\mu \neq 1$  and is not a product of elements in the spectrum of  $d\phi(z_0)$ . Our aim is to show that  $f \equiv 0$ . In some neighbourhood of  $z_0$  in  $B_E$  we have the uniformly convergent Taylor series of  $f$  around  $z_0$ :

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^m f(z_0)}{m!} (x - z_0)^m.$$

Thus we must show that  $d^m f(z_0) \equiv 0$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $x = z_0$ ,  $\mu f(z_0) = f(z_0)$  so  $f(z_0) = 0$  as  $\mu \neq 1$ . Assume now that  $d^m f(z_0) \equiv 0$  for  $m < n$ . Thus

$$f(x) = \frac{d^n f(z_0)}{n!} (x - z_0)^n + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{d^m f(z_0)}{m!} (x - z_0)^m.$$

Since

$$\phi(x) = z_0 + d\phi(z_0)(x - z_0) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{d^m \phi(z_0)}{m!} (x - z_0)^m$$

converges uniformly in a neighbourhood of  $z_0$ , it follows from  $\mu f(x) = f(\phi(x))$  by comparing the terms of  $(x - z_0)^n$  that

$$\mu \overline{d^n f(z_0)} = \overline{d^n f(z_0)} \circ (d\phi(z_0) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi d\phi(z_0)),$$

where we have used the isometric isomorphism between  $L_s({}^n E) \simeq (\widehat{\otimes}_{n,s,\pi} E)'$ , which associates  $A \in L_s({}^n E)$  to  $\bar{A} \in (\widehat{\otimes}_{n,s,\pi} E)'$ . Thus we have

$$\mu \overline{d^n f(z_0)} = (d\phi(z_0) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi d\phi(z_0))^t \overline{d^n f(z_0)}.$$

As is well known  $\sigma(d\phi(z_0) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi d\phi(z_0)) = \sigma((d\phi(z_0) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi d\phi(z_0))^t)$ . If  $d^n f(z_0) \neq 0$ , this means that  $\mu \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \dots \widehat{\otimes}_\pi d\phi(z_0))$ . In view of the above-mentioned result of M. Schechter this would imply that  $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ , where all  $\lambda_i \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0))$ . But this is a contradiction, so that  $d^n f(z_0) \equiv 0$  and hence  $f \equiv 0$ .

From Lemmata 4 and 5 we obtain

THEOREM 6. *If  $C_\phi$  is compact and  $z_0$  is the unique fixed point of  $\phi$ , then*

$$\sigma(C_\phi) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i : \lambda_i \in \sigma(d\phi(z_0)), i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \cup \{0, 1\}.$$

### References

- [AAD] R. Alencar, R. M. Aron and S. Dineen, *A reflexive space of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1984), 407–411.
- [ACG] R. M. Aron, B. J. Cole and T. W. Gamelin, *Spectra of algebras of analytic functions on a Banach space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 415 (1991), 51–93.
- [B1] J. Bourgain,  *$H^\infty$  is a Grothendieck space*, Studia Math. 75 (1982), 193–226.
- [B2] —, *New Banach space properties of the disc algebra and  $H^\infty$* , Acta Math. 152 (1984), 1–48.
- [BP] A. Brown and C. Pearcy, *Spectra of tensor products of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 162–166.
- [C] S. Chae, *Holomorphy and Calculus in Normed Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, 1985.
- [CM] J. Cima and A. Matheson, *Completely continuous composition operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994), 849–856.
- [De] F. Delbaen, *Weakly compact operators on the disc algebra*, J. Algebra 45 (1977), 284–294.
- [Di] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Grad. Texts in Math. 92, Springer, 1984.
- [D] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland, 1981.
- [EH] C. Earle and R. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings*, in: Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., 1970, 61–65.
- [GRW] J. E. Galé, T. J. Ransford and M. C. White, *Weakly compact homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 331 (1992), 815–824.
- [Ga] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Chelsea, 1984.
- [G] G. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, 1981.
- [Go] H. Goldmann, *Uniform Fréchet Algebras*, North-Holland, 1990.
- [HS] T. Hayden and T. Suffridge, *Fixed points of holomorphic maps in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 95–105.
- [H] K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 74–111.
- [K] H. Kamowitz, *Compact operators of the form  $uC_\phi$* , Pacific J. Math. 80 (1979), 205–211.
- [Ma] B. MacCluer, *Spectra of compact composition operators on  $H^p(B_N)$* , Analysis 4 (1984), 87–103.
- [MM] K. Madigan and A. Matheson, *Compact composition operators on the Bloch space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2679–2687.
- [M1] J. Mujica, *Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*, *ibid.* 324 (1991), 867–887.
- [M2] —, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [N] K. Ng, *On a theorem of Dixmier*, Math. Scand. 29 (1971), 279–280.
- [OW] S. Ohno and J. Wada, *Compact homomorphisms on function algebras*, Tokyo J. Math. 4 (1981), 105–112.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- [Sa] D. Sarason, *Weak Compactness of Holomorphic Composition Operators on  $H^1$* , Lecture Notes in Math. 1511, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [S] M. Schechter, *On the spectra of operators on tensor products*, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 95–99.
- [Ü] A. Ülger, *Some results about the spectrum of commutative Banach algebras under the weak topology and applications*, Monatsh. Math. 121 (1996), 353–379.
- [W] K. Włodarczyk, *On the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 983–987.

Department of Mathematics  
Kent State University  
Kent, Ohio 44242  
U.S.A.  
E-mail: aron@mcs.kent.edu

Department of Mathematics  
Åbo Akademi University  
FIN-20500 Åbo, Finland  
E-mail: mlindstr@ra.abo.fi

Departamento de Análisis Matemático  
Universidad de Valencia  
46100 Burjasot  
Valencia, Spain  
E-mail: galindo@uv.es

Received February 8, 1996  
Revised version July 30, 1996

(3611)