

References

- [1] M. Alfaro and F. Marcellán, *Recent trends in orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, in: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications*, C. Brezinski, L. Gori and A. Ronveaux, (eds.), IMACS Ann. Comp. Appl. Math. 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991, 3–14.
- [2] W. R. Allaway, *Orthogonality preserving maps and the Laguerre functional*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 82–86.
- [3] R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conf. Ser. in Appl. Math. 21, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1975.
- [4] Ya. L. Geronimus, *Polynomials orthogonal on a circle and their applications*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 3 (1962), 1–78.
- [5] K. H. Kwon and L. L. Littlejohn, *Classification of classical orthogonal polynomials*, Utah State University, Research Report 10/93/70.
- [6] —, —, *The orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials $\{L_n^{-k}(x)\}$ for positive integer k* , Ann. Numer. Math. 2 (1995), 289–303.
- [7] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 1975.

Escuela Politécnica Superior
 Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
 E-28911 Leganés
 c/Butarque, 15
 Spain
 E-mail: pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es

Instytut Matematyki
 Uniwersytet Jagielloński
 ul. Reymonta 4
 PL-30059 Kraków, Poland
 E-mail: fhszafra@im.uj.edu.pl

Received January 20, 1995
 Revised version April 17, 1996

(3406)

On Dragilev type power Köthe spaces

by

P. B. DJAKOV (Sofia)
 and V. P. ZAHARIUTA (Rostov-na-Donu and Gebze)

Abstract. A complete isomorphic classification is obtained for Köthe spaces $X = K(\exp[\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - 1/p]a_i)$ such that $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} X^2$; here χ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, \infty)$, the function $\kappa : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ repeats its values infinitely many times, and $a_i \rightarrow \infty$. Any of these spaces has the quasi-equivalence property.

1. Introduction. For any matrix $(a_{ip})_{i \in I, p \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers (with countable index set I) we denote by $K(a_{ip})$ (or $K(a_{ip}, i \in I)$) the Köthe space generated by the matrix (a_{ip}) .

M. M. Dragilev [1] proved that there exist Köthe spaces with regular bases which are not distinguished by the diametral dimension

$$\Gamma(X) = \{\gamma = (\gamma_n) : \forall p \exists q \gamma_n d_n(U_p, U_q) \rightarrow 0\},$$

considering the power Köthe spaces

$$(1) \quad D(\kappa, a) = K(\exp[\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - 1/p]a_i),$$

where $(\kappa(i)) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots)$, $a = (a_i)$, $a_i \nearrow \infty$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 0$. We investigate here an analogous class of power Köthe spaces given by (1) for an arbitrary function $\kappa : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that repeats its values infinitely many times and an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers $a_i \rightarrow \infty$ (not necessarily increasing).

Our aim is to study the structure and isomorphic classification of $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces for different κ and a . In order to distinguish non-isomorphic spaces of this class we first construct appropriate invariant characteristics (generalized linear topological invariants). The method of generalized linear topological invariants was developed in [6], [7], [9]–[11] (see the survey [12] for more details).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46A45.

Key words and phrases: isomorphic classification, Köthe spaces.

Research of the first author supported by SRF of the Bulgarian Ministry of Science and Education, contract MM-409.

In the following we denote by $E_0(a)$ and $E_\infty(a)$ respectively the finite and infinite type power series spaces generated by the sequence $a = (a_i)$ with $a_i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$E_0(a) = K(\exp(-a_i/p)), \quad E_\infty(a) = K(\exp(pa_i)).$$

Every infinite subset ν of $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is identified with the corresponding increasing sequence of positive integers, i.e. $\nu = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \dots\}$. For any set A we denote by $|A|$ the number of elements of A if it is finite, and ∞ otherwise.

A subspace of a Köthe space generated by a subsequence of the natural basis is called a *basic subspace*. As shown by the next observation the space $X = D(\kappa, a)$ is similar to finite type power series spaces.

PROPOSITION 1. *Any basic subspace of $D(\kappa, a)$ contains a basic subspace which is isomorphic to a finite type power series space.*

Proof. Obviously any basic subspace of $X = D(\kappa, a)$ contains a basic subspace generated by a set $\nu \subset \mathbb{N}$ of indices having one of the following two properties:

- (a) $\sup\{\kappa(i) : i \in \nu\} < \infty$, (b) $\kappa(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $i \in \nu$.

Then the basic subspace X_ν generated by the vectors $e_i, i \in \nu$, is isomorphic to the finite type power series space $E_0(a^\nu)$, where a^ν is the subsequence of a corresponding to ν , i.e. $a_i^\nu = a_{\nu_i}$. Indeed, in case (a) we have, for large enough p , $\chi(p - \kappa(i)) = 1$ for all $i \in \nu$, i.e. the Köthe matrix equals $\exp[(1 - 1/p)a_i]$, hence X_ν is diagonally isomorphic to $E_0(a^\nu)$. In case (b) we have $\chi(p - \kappa(i)) = 0$ for all large enough $i \in \nu$, i.e. the Köthe matrix equals $\exp(-a_i/p)$ for $i \in \nu, i > i_0$, hence $X_\nu \simeq E_0(a^\nu)$.

COROLLARY 1. *For any infinite subset $\nu \subset \mathbb{N}$ the basic subspace X_ν is not isomorphic to a power series space of infinite type.*

Indeed, by Proposition 1 the subspace X_ν contains a basic subspace X_μ (obviously complemented) that is isomorphic to a finite type power series space. On the other hand, it is known that a finite type power series space cannot be imbedded in an infinite type Schwartz power series space since any operator from a finite to an infinite type power series space is compact (see [8]).

Let us note that our interest in $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces was motivated by the problem of finding an appropriate “model” Köthe space for some spaces of analytic functions. More precisely, if

$$G = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} K_s \cup \{a\} \right), \quad K_s = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : |z - a_s| \leq \delta_s\}, \quad a = \lim_s a_s,$$

then the space $A(G)$ has no complemented subspaces of infinite type and in case it has a basis it should be isomorphic to a Köthe space with the same property. So, it seems that $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces may be the desired model spaces.

2. Identity and quasi-diagonal isomorphisms. We begin with some general facts concerning quasi-diagonal operators between Köthe spaces. Suppose $X = K(a_{ip}, i \in I)$ and $Y = K(b_{jp}, j \in J)$ are Köthe spaces. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *quasi-diagonal* if there exist a function $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ and constants $r_i, i \in I$, such that

$$Te_i = r_i \tilde{e}_{\varphi(i)}, \quad i \in I,$$

where (e_i) and (\tilde{e}_j) are the canonical bases in X and Y . We denote respectively by $X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y$ and $X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y$ a quasi-diagonal isomorphic imbedding and a quasi-diagonal isomorphism.

The next statement is well known (see, for example, [9]).

LEMMA 1. *If for Köthe spaces X and Y there are quasi-diagonal imbeddings $X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y$ and $Y \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} X$ then $X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y$.*

Proof. If the quasi-diagonal imbeddings $X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y$ and $Y \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} X$ are defined respectively by $(r_i), \varphi : I \rightarrow J$ and $(\rho_j), \psi : J \rightarrow I$ then by the Cantor–Bernstein theorem there exist complementary subsets $I_1, I_2 \subset I$ and $J_1, J_2 \subset J$ such that $\varphi(I_1) = J_1$ and $\psi(J_2) = I_2$. Then putting $Te_i = \gamma_i \tilde{e}_{g(i)}$, where $\gamma_i = r_i, g(i) = \varphi(i)$ for $i \in I_1$ and $\gamma_i = \rho_{\psi^{-1}(i)}, g(i) = \psi^{-1}(i)$ for $i \in I_2$ we obtain a quasi-diagonal isomorphism T between X and Y .

The Cartesian product of m copies of a Köthe space $X = K(a_{ip}, i \in I)$ is denoted by X^m . The space X^m will be identified with the Köthe space $K(a_{i\bar{p}}, \bar{I})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I} &= \{\bar{i} = (i, \mu) : i \in I, \mu = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ a_{\bar{i}p} &= a_{ip} \quad \text{if } \bar{i} = (i, \mu), \mu = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $X = K(a_{ip}, I)$ and $Y = K(a_{jp}, J)$ are Köthe spaces and m is an integer. Then*

$$(a) X^m \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y^m \Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y; \quad (b) X^m \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y^m \Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\text{qd}} Y.$$

Proof. (a) Let $T : K(a_{i\bar{p}}, \bar{I}) \rightarrow K(b_{j\bar{q}}, \bar{J})$ be a quasi-diagonal imbedding; then $Te_{\bar{i}} = \rho_{\bar{i}} \tilde{e}_{\varphi(\bar{i})}$, where $\varphi : \bar{I} \rightarrow \bar{J}$ is an injection. Put

$$\pi(i, \mu) = i, \quad \tilde{\pi}(j, \mu) = j, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, m.$$

Consider the multivalued mapping

$$G : I \rightarrow J, \quad G(i) = \tilde{\pi}\varphi(\pi^{-1}(i)).$$

By the Hall–König theorem ([2], Ch. 3) there exists an injection $g : I \rightarrow J$ such that $g(i) \in G(i)$ for all i if and only if

$$|L| \leq \left| \bigcup_{i \in L} G(i) \right| \quad \forall L \subset I, |L| < \infty.$$

Since the mapping $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{J} \rightarrow J$ is m -sheeted we have $|B| \leq m|\tilde{\pi}(B)|$ for any $B \subset \tilde{J}$. Therefore for any $L \subset I$,

$$|L| = \frac{1}{m} |\pi^{-1}(L)| = \frac{1}{m} |\varphi(\pi^{-1}(L))| \leq |\tilde{\pi}(\varphi(\pi^{-1}(L)))| = \left| \bigcup_{i \in L} G(i) \right|,$$

so there exists an injection $g : I \rightarrow J$ such that $g(i) \in \tilde{\pi}(\varphi(\pi^{-1}(i)))$ for $i \in I$. For any $i \in I$ we fix some $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ such that $\tilde{\pi}(\varphi(i, \mu)) = g(i)$ and put $r_i = \varrho(i, \mu)$. It is easy to see that the operator $S : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $Se_i = r_i \tilde{e}_{g(i)}$, $i \in I$, is an isomorphic imbedding because T is.

(b) follows immediately from (a) and Lemma 1.

The next proposition gives necessary and sufficient conditions for coincidence of two $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces.

PROPOSITION 2. *The spaces $D(\kappa, a)$ and $D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ coincide as sets if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *there exists $C > 0$ such that $a_i \leq C\tilde{a}_i \leq C^2 a_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- (ii) *for all $\nu \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $|\nu| = \infty$, $\kappa(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$;*
- (iii) *$\tilde{a}_i/a_i \rightarrow 1$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and $\kappa(i) \leq \text{const}$.*

Proof. Since two Köthe spaces coincide as sets if and only if their matrices are equivalent (see [5], Lemma 4) we have $D(\kappa, a) = D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (2) $\forall p \exists \tilde{p}, C > 0 : (\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - 1/p)a_i \leq \log C + (\chi(\tilde{p} - \tilde{\kappa}(i)) - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i,$
- (3) $\forall \tilde{p} \exists q, C > 0 : (\chi(\tilde{p} - \tilde{\kappa}(i)) - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i \leq \log C + (\chi(q - \kappa(i)) - 1/q)a_i.$

(Here and in the following we denote by C any constant which does not depend on i .) By (2) and (3) it follows that for some indices $p_1, \tilde{p}_1 < \tilde{p}_2, q_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\chi(\tilde{p}_2 - \tilde{\kappa}(i)) - \chi(\tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{\kappa}(i)) + 1/\tilde{p}_1 - 1/\tilde{p}_2]\tilde{a}_i \\ & \leq [\chi(q_2 - \kappa(i)) - \chi(p_1 - \kappa(i)) + 1/p_1 - 1/q_2]a_i + \log C \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (since $a_i \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\limsup \tilde{a}_i/a_i = C < \infty.$$

In an analogous way we get the symmetric relation, which proves (i).

Suppose $\nu \subset \mathbb{N}$, $|\nu| = \infty$ and $\kappa(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Then (3) implies that the sequence $\tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i)$ is not bounded—otherwise taking $\tilde{p} > \max\{\tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we have for all i such that $\kappa(\nu_i) > q$,

$$(1 - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_{\nu_i} \leq \log C,$$

which is impossible since $\tilde{a}_i \rightarrow \infty$. If the sequence $\tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i)$ does not tend to ∞ then passing to a subsequence if necessary we get a contradiction. Hence $\kappa(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$. Analogously by (2) it follows that $\tilde{\kappa}(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\kappa(\nu_i) \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. (ii) holds.

In order to check (iii) fix an arbitrary constant $K > 0$ and put $I_K = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \kappa(i) \leq K\}$. Then by (ii), $\sup\{\tilde{\kappa}(i) : i \in I_K\} = \tilde{K} < \infty$. Taking $\tilde{p} > \tilde{K}$ and $q > K$ in (3) we obtain

$$(1 - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i \leq \log C + (1 - 1/q)a_i \leq a_i$$

for large enough $i \in I_K$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \in I_K} \tilde{a}_i/a_i \leq \lim_{\tilde{p}} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p} - 1} = 1.$$

Analogously we obtain

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \in I_K} a_i/\tilde{a}_i \leq 1, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \in I_K} \tilde{a}_i/a_i \geq 1$$

and we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty, i \in I_K} \tilde{a}_i/a_i = 1.$$

It is easy to see that the conditions (i)–(iii) are sufficient for the equality $D(\kappa, a) = D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$. Indeed, let us check that they imply (2) and (3). Fix p and choose $\tilde{p} > Cp$ (where C is the constant appearing in (i)) such that $\kappa(i) \leq p \Rightarrow \tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \tilde{p}$ (by (ii) this is possible). Then for i satisfying $\kappa(i) \leq p$ the relation (2) is equivalent to

$$(1 - 1/p)a_i \leq (1 - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i + \log C,$$

which holds by (iii). If $\kappa(i) > p$ then by (i) we have

$$(-1/p)a_i \leq (-1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i,$$

which implies (2).

Since the conditions (i)–(iii) are symmetric with respect to $D(\kappa, a)$ and $D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$, (3) follows analogously by the same argument.

PROPOSITION 3. *If $T : D(\kappa, a) \rightarrow D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ is a diagonal operator defined by the formula $Te_i = \exp(r_i)\tilde{e}_i$, then T is an isomorphism if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *there exists $C > 0$ such that $a_i \leq C\tilde{a}_i \leq C^2 a_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$;*

$$(ii) \lim_{I_1} \frac{r_i}{a_i} = 1, \quad \lim_{I_2} \frac{r_i}{a_i} = 0, \quad \lim_{I_3} \frac{r_i + \tilde{a}_i}{a_i} = 0, \quad \lim_{I_4} \frac{r_i + \tilde{a}_i}{a_i} = 1,$$

where $I_1 := \kappa(i) \leq \text{const}, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \rightarrow \infty$; $I_2 := \kappa(i) \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \rightarrow \infty$; $I_3 := \kappa(i) \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \text{const}$; $I_4 := \kappa(i) \leq \text{const}, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \text{const}$.

Proof. Suppose T is an isomorphism. Then since T and T^{-1} are continuous there exist $p_1, \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, p_2$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$|e_i|_{p_1} \leq M|Te_i|_{\tilde{p}_1}, \quad |Te_i|_{\tilde{p}_2} \leq M|e_i|_{p_2}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|Te_i|_{\tilde{p}_2}}{|Te_i|_{\tilde{p}_1}} \leq M^2 \frac{|e_i|_{p_2}}{|e_i|_{p_1}},$$

which implies (after taking logarithms of both sides and estimating from below and above)

$$\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_1} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_2}\right)\tilde{a}_i \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_1}\right)a_i + 2 \log M.$$

Hence there exists $C > 0$ such that $\limsup \tilde{a}_i/a_i \leq C$. Analogously it follows that $\limsup a_i/\tilde{a}_i \leq C$, which proves (i).

To prove (ii) we use the fact that T is continuous if and only if

$$(4) \quad \forall \tilde{p} \exists p, M > 0 :$$

$$r_i + (\chi[\tilde{p} - \tilde{\kappa}(i)] - 1/\tilde{p})\tilde{a}_i \leq (\chi[p - \kappa(i)] - 1/p)a_i + \log M.$$

If $\kappa(i) \leq \text{const} = C_1$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) \rightarrow \infty$ then taking $p > C_1$ we obtain $r_i - \tilde{a}_i/\tilde{p} \leq a_i + \log M$ for large enough i , and therefore

$$\limsup r_i/a_i \leq 1 + C/\tilde{p}.$$

It follows (since \tilde{p} is arbitrary) that $\limsup r_i/a_i \leq 1$. Using the continuity of T^{-1} we get by the same argument $\liminf r_i/a_i \geq 1$, hence the first relation in (ii) is proved. The proof of the other three is analogous.

Conversely, suppose (i) and (ii) hold. Fix an arbitrary \tilde{p} . By the second relation in (ii) there exist p_1 and $\tilde{p}_1 > \tilde{p}$ such that

$$\frac{r_i}{a_i} < \frac{1}{2\tilde{p}C} \quad \text{if } \kappa(i) \geq p_1, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \geq \tilde{p}_1.$$

Analogously by the third relation in (ii) there exists p_2 such that

$$\frac{r_i + \tilde{a}_i}{a_i} < \frac{1}{2\tilde{p}C} \quad \text{if } \kappa(i) > p_2, \tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \tilde{p}_1.$$

Choose $p > \max(p_1, p_2, 2\tilde{p}C)$; then (4) holds, i.e. T is continuous. Indeed:

1) if $\kappa(i) > p$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) > \tilde{p}_1$ then

$$r_i - \frac{\tilde{a}_i}{\tilde{p}} \leq \left(\frac{r_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{C\tilde{p}}\right)a_i \leq -\frac{a_i}{p},$$

i.e. (4) is true with $M = 1$;

2) if $\kappa(i) > p$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \tilde{p}_1$ then

$$r_i + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}\right)\tilde{a}_i \leq \left(\frac{r_i + \tilde{a}_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{C\tilde{p}}\right)a_i \leq -\frac{a_i}{p},$$

i.e. (4) is true with $M = 1$;

3) if $\kappa(i) \leq p$ then by the first relation in (ii) there exists \tilde{p}_2 such that $r_i/a_i - 1 < 1/(2C\tilde{p})$ for $\kappa(i) \leq p$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) > \tilde{p}_2$, hence

$$r_i - \frac{\tilde{a}_i}{\tilde{p}} \leq \left(\frac{r_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{C\tilde{p}}\right)a_i \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2C\tilde{p}}\right)a_i \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)a_i,$$

i.e. for $\kappa(i) \leq p$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) > \tilde{p}_2$, (4) holds with $M = 1$;

4) if $\kappa(i) \leq p$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(i) \leq \tilde{p}_2$ then by the fourth relation in (ii) we have $(r_i + \tilde{a}_i)/a_i \leq 1 + 1/(2C\tilde{p})$ for $i > i_0$, hence

$$r_i + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}\right)\tilde{a}_i \leq \left(\frac{r_i + \tilde{a}_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{C\tilde{p}}\right)a_i \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)a_i$$

for $i > i_0$, i.e. (4) holds with some constant M .

Thus we conclude that T is continuous. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the relations (ii) are symmetric with respect to T and T^{-1} . Hence T^{-1} is also continuous, which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. $D(\kappa, a) \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} E_0(a)$ if and only if there exists $N_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\kappa(i)$ is bounded on N_1 and $\kappa(i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty, i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N_1$.

3. Invariant characteristics. In this section we construct some invariant characteristics suitable for investigation of isomorphisms between $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces. Our construction is based on the geometric argument developed in [11], which makes it much easier compared to similar earlier constructions (see e.g. [9]).

Characteristic β . Suppose E is a linear space, U and V are absolutely convex sets in E and \mathcal{E}_V is the set of all finite-dimensional subspaces of E that are spanned by elements of V . We put

$$\beta(V, U) = \sup\{\dim L : L \in \mathcal{E}_V, L \cap U \subset V\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\tilde{V} \subset V, U \subset \tilde{U} \Rightarrow \beta(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}) \leq \beta(V, U)$$

and of course if T is an injective linear operator defined on E then

$$\beta(T(V), T(U)) = \beta(V, U).$$

Let E be a sequence space with the property

$$x = (x_n) \in E, |y_n| \leq |x_n| \forall n \Rightarrow y = (y_n) \in E,$$

and A be the set of all sequences with positive terms. For any $a, b \in A$ we put

$$a \cdot b = (a_i b_i), \quad a^\alpha = (a_i^\alpha), \quad a \wedge b = (\min(a_i, b_i)), \quad a \vee b = (\max(a_i, b_i)).$$

For any $x = (x_i) \in E$ and $a \in A$ we also put

$$\|x\|_a = \sum_i |x_i| a_i, \quad B_a = \{x \in E : \|x\|_a < 1\}.$$

LEMMA 3. If $a, b \in A$ then $\beta(B_a, B_b) = |\{i : a_i/b_i \leq 1\}|$.

Proof. Put

$$J = \{i : a_i \leq b_i\}, \quad Px = \sum_{i \in J} x_i e_i,$$

and let M be the linear span of $\{e_i : i \in J\}$. Then obviously $\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_b$ for $x \in M$, hence $M \cap B_b \subset B_a$ and $\beta(B_a, B_b) \geq \dim M = |J|$.

Conversely, suppose L is a finite-dimensional subspace in X satisfying $L \cap B_b \subset B_a$ (i.e. $\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_b$ for all $x \in L$). If $\dim L > |J|$, then obviously there exists $x \in L$ with $x \neq 0$ such that $Px = 0$. But then $x_i = 0$ for $i \in J$ and $a_i > b_i$ for $i \notin J$, and therefore $\|x\|_a > \|x\|_b$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\beta(B_a, B_b) = |J|$.

COROLLARY 3. For all $a, b, c, d \in A$,

$$\left| \left\{ i : \frac{\max(a_i, b_i)}{\min(c_i, d_i)} \leq 1 \right\} \right| \leq \beta(B_a \cap B_b, \text{conv}(B_c \cup B_d)) \\ \leq \left| \left\{ i : \frac{\max(a_i, b_i)}{\min(c_i, d_i)} \leq 2 \right\} \right|.$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$(5) \quad B_{a \vee b} \subset B_a \cap B_b \subset 2B_{a \vee b}, \quad B_{a \wedge b} = \text{conv}(B_a \cup B_b),$$

hence

$$\beta(B_{a \vee b}, B_{c \wedge d}) \leq \beta(B_a \cap B_b, \text{conv}(B_c \cup B_d)) \leq \beta(2B_{a \vee b}, B_{c \wedge d}).$$

For convenience we put $B_a^\alpha B_b^{1-\alpha} = B_{a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha}}$. It is well known that sets of the type $B_a^\alpha B_b^{1-\alpha}$ have a natural interpolation property; it is formulated in the next lemma in the form appropriate for us.

LEMMA 4. Suppose E and \tilde{E} are Köthe spaces, (e_i) and (\tilde{e}_j) are their canonical bases and $T : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is a linear operator. If $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in A$ and

$$T(B_a) \subset B_{\tilde{a}}, \quad T(B_b) \subset B_{\tilde{b}},$$

then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$T(B_a^\alpha B_b^{1-\alpha}) \subset B_{\tilde{a}^\alpha \tilde{b}^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Put

$$Te_i = \sum_j t_{ij} \tilde{e}_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots;$$

then since $\|Tx\|_{\tilde{a}} \leq \|x\|_a$ and $\|Tx\|_{\tilde{b}} \leq \|x\|_b$ we have for any i ,

$$\|Te_i\|_{\tilde{a}} = \sum_j |t_{ij}| \tilde{a}_j \leq \|e_i\|_a = a_i, \quad \|Te_i\|_{\tilde{b}} = \sum_j |t_{ij}| \tilde{b}_j \leq \|e_i\|_b = b_i.$$

Therefore by the Hölder inequality it follows that

$$\|Te_i\|_{\tilde{a}^\alpha \tilde{b}^{1-\alpha}} = \sum_j |t_{ij}| \tilde{a}_j^\alpha \tilde{b}_j^{1-\alpha} \leq \left(\sum_j |t_{ij}| \tilde{a}_j \right)^\alpha \left(\sum_j |t_{ij}| \tilde{b}_j \right)^{1-\alpha} \leq a_i^\alpha b_i^{1-\alpha},$$

hence

$$\|Tx\|_{\tilde{a}^\alpha \tilde{b}^{1-\alpha}} \leq \sum_i |x_i| \cdot \|Te_i\|_{\tilde{a}^\alpha \tilde{b}^{1-\alpha}} \leq \sum_i |x_i| a_i^\alpha b_i^{1-\alpha} = \|x\|_{a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha}}.$$

If $E = K(a_{ip})$ is a Köthe space and $U_p = \{x \in E : |x|_p = \sum_i |x_i| a_{ip} < 1\}$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, are the corresponding unit balls then $U_p = B_{a_p}$, where $a_p = (a_{ip})$. We also need to consider bounded subsets of Köthe spaces of the type

$$U_{(p_i)} = B_a, \quad a = (a_{ip_i}),$$

where (p_i) is an increasing sequence of indices.

LEMMA 5. If $E = K(a_{ip})$ is a Schwartz Köthe space and $B \subset E$ is a bounded set then there exists an increasing sequence (p_i) of indices such that $B \subset CU_{(p_i)}$ for some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Since E is a Schwartz space we can assume without loss of generality that for any $p = 1, 2, \dots$ we have $a_{ip}/a_{i,p+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since B is bounded, for any p we have

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left(\sum_i |x_i| a_{ip} \right) = C_p < \infty.$$

Choose integers m_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, in such a way that $m_k < m_{k+1}$ and

$$a_{ik}/a_{i,k+1} < 2^{-k} C_{k+1}^{-1} \quad \text{for } i > m_k.$$

Put $p_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ and $p_i = k$ for $i = m_k + 1, \dots, m_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for $x \in B$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| a_{ip_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} |x_i| a_{ip_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} |x_i| a_{ip_k} \\ \leq C_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} C_{k+1}^{-1} \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} |x_i| a_{i,k+1} \leq C,$$

where $C = C_1 + 1$.

COROLLARY 4. The sets $U_{(p_i)}$ form a basis of bounded sets in E .

THEOREM 1. If $D(\kappa, a) \simeq D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ then the following relations hold:

(a) $\forall \tilde{p} \exists C_{\tilde{p}}, p \forall q \exists \tilde{q}, \tau_0 > 0 \forall \tau > \tau_0, t > \tau:$

$$(6) \quad \left| \left\{ i : p \leq \kappa(i) \leq q, \tau \leq a_i \leq t \right\} \right| \\ \leq \left| \left\{ j : \tilde{p} \leq \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{q}, \tau/C_{\tilde{p}} \leq \tilde{a}_j \leq C_{\tilde{p}}t \right\} \right|,$$

where $C_{\tilde{p}} \rightarrow 1$ as $\tilde{p} \rightarrow \infty$;

(b) $\forall p \exists \tilde{p} \forall (q_i) \exists (\tilde{q}_j), c > 0, \tau_0 > 0 \forall \tau > \tau_0, t > \tau:$

$$(7) \quad \left| \left\{ i : \kappa(i) \leq p \text{ or } \kappa(i) \geq q_i; \tau \leq a_i \leq t \right\} \right| \\ \leq \left| \left\{ j : \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{p} \text{ or } \tilde{\kappa}_j \geq \tilde{q}_j; \tau/c \leq \tilde{a}_j \leq ct \right\} \right|.$$

Proof. (a) For convenience we write $V \prec W$ if $V \subset \text{const}W$. Suppose $T : D(\kappa, a) \rightarrow D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ is an isomorphism. Let $\varphi : (1, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ be an increasing function such that $\varphi(k) > 4k$ and

$$T(U_{\varphi(k)}) \prec \tilde{U}_k, \quad \tilde{U}_{\varphi(k)} \prec T(U_k).$$

Then for any $\tilde{p} \geq \varphi^3(1)$ put

$$\tilde{m} = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{p}), \quad m = \varphi^{-2}(\tilde{p}), \quad \tilde{m}_0 = \varphi^{-3}(\tilde{p}), \quad p = \varphi(\tilde{p}), \quad \tilde{q}_0 = \varphi(p).$$

Further for any $q \geq \varphi^2(\tilde{p})$ put

$$\tilde{q} = \varphi(q), \quad \tilde{r} = \varphi(\tilde{q}), \quad r = \varphi(\tilde{r}), \quad s = \varphi(r), \quad \tilde{s}_1 = \varphi(s).$$

Then each of the indices $\tilde{m}_0, m, \tilde{m}, \tilde{p}, p, \tilde{q}_0, q, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, r, s, \tilde{s}$ is at least four times the previous one and $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{s}} \prec T(U_s) \prec T(U_r) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{r}} \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{q}} \prec T(U_q) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{q}_0} \prec T(U_p) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{p}} \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}} \prec T(U_m) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}_0}$. By Lemma 4 and the elementary properties of β it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\beta(U_p \cap e^t U_r \cap U_m^{1-\varepsilon} U_q^\varepsilon, \text{conv}(U_m^{1-\varepsilon} U_q^\varepsilon \cup e^\tau U_s)) \\ \leq \beta(C\tilde{U}_{\tilde{p}} \cap e^t \tilde{U}_{\tilde{r}} \cap \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}_0}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{q}_0}^\varepsilon, \text{conv}(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{q}}^\varepsilon \cup e^\tau \tilde{U}_{\tilde{s}})).$$

Let us estimate both sides of this inequality respectively from below and above using the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 3 but with

$$B_{a \vee b \vee c} \subset B_a \cap B_b \cap B_c \subset 3B_{a \vee b \vee c}$$

instead of the first formula in (5). Then we get

$$(8) \quad \left| \left\{ i : \frac{\max(a_{ip}, e^{-t} a_{ir}, a_{im}^{1-\varepsilon} a_{iq}^\varepsilon)}{\min(a_{im}^{1-\varepsilon} a_{iq}^\varepsilon, e^{-\tau} a_{is})} \leq 1 \right\} \right| \\ \leq \left| \left\{ j : \frac{\max(\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{p}}, e^{-t} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{r}}, \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}_0}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_0}^\varepsilon)}{\min(\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}}^\varepsilon, e^{-\tau} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{s}})} \leq 3C \right\} \right|.$$

Obviously the left-hand side of this inequality equals

$$\left| \left\{ i : \frac{a_{ip}}{a_{im}^{1-\varepsilon} a_{iq}^\varepsilon} \leq 1, \frac{e^{-t} a_{ir}}{a_{im}^{1-\varepsilon} a_{iq}^\varepsilon} \leq 1, \frac{a_{im}^{1-\varepsilon} a_{iq}^\varepsilon}{e^{-\tau} a_{is}} \leq 1 \right\} \right|.$$

Since $a_{ip} = \exp([\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - 1/p]a_i)$ the first inequality in the last expression is equivalent to

$$\chi(p - \kappa(i)) - (1 - \varepsilon)\chi(m - \kappa(i)) - \varepsilon\chi(q - \kappa(i)) - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \leq 0.$$

We take $\varepsilon = 1/m$. Then the last inequality is true if and only if $p < \kappa(i) \leq q$. The other two inequalities give for $\kappa(i) \in (p, q]$ respectively

$$\left(1 - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) a_i \leq t, \quad \left(1 - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{m} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) a_i \geq \tau,$$

hence, taking into account our choice of ε , we see that the left-hand side of (8) is greater than

$$(9) \quad \left| \left\{ i : p < \kappa(i) \leq q, \frac{m}{m-1} \tau \leq a_i \leq t \right\} \right|.$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand side of (8) is less than

$$(10) \quad \left| \left\{ j : \frac{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{p}}}{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}}^\varepsilon} \leq 3C, \frac{e^{-t} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{r}}}{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}}^\varepsilon} \leq 3C, \frac{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}_0}^{1-\varepsilon} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_0}^\varepsilon}{e^{-\tau} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{s}}} \leq 3C \right\} \right|.$$

Here the first inequality is equivalent to

$$\chi(\tilde{p} - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) - (1 - \varepsilon)\chi(\tilde{m} - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) - \varepsilon\chi(\tilde{q} - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\tilde{m}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{q}} \leq \frac{\log(3C)}{\tilde{a}_j}.$$

Note that in the case $\tilde{\kappa}(j) \notin (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}]$ this inequality implies

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\tilde{m}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{q}}\right) \tilde{a}_j \leq \log(3C),$$

therefore (since $\varepsilon = 1/m$) $\tilde{a}_j \leq 2\tilde{m} \log(3C)$, and by the third inequality of (10) we get

$$\tau \leq \log(3C) + 2\tilde{a}_j \leq \tau_0 := (1 + 4\tilde{m}) \log(3C).$$

Thus for $\tau > \tau_0$ the triple of inequalities in (10) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{p} < \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{q}, \quad \left(1 - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\tilde{m}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{q}}\right) \tilde{a}_j \leq t + \log(3C), \\ \tau - \log(3C) \leq \left(1 - \varepsilon\chi(\tilde{q}_0 - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\tilde{m}_0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{q}_0}\right) \tilde{a}_j.$$

Hence it is easy to see that for $\varepsilon = 1/m$ and $\tau > \tau_0$ the right-hand side of (8) is less than

$$(11) \quad \left| \left\{ j : \tilde{p} < \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{q}; \frac{4\tilde{m}}{4\tilde{m} + 1} \cdot \frac{\tilde{m}_0}{\tilde{m}_0 + 1} \tau \leq \tilde{a}_j \leq \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{4\tilde{m} + 2}{4\tilde{m} + 1} t \right\} \right|.$$

Now it follows from the bounds (9) and (11) that (6) holds with

$$C_{\tilde{p}} = \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \frac{4\tilde{m}+1}{4\tilde{m}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{m}_0+1}{\tilde{m}_0}.$$

Hence $C_{\tilde{p}} \rightarrow 1$ as $\tilde{p} \rightarrow \infty$ because the function φ^{-1} diverges to ∞ together with its argument.

(b) As in (a) put for any $p \geq \varphi^3(1)$,

$$\tilde{m}_1 = \varphi^{-1}(p), \quad m = \varphi^{-2}(p), \quad \tilde{m} = \varphi^{-3}(p), \quad \tilde{p} = \varphi(p);$$

then

$$\tilde{U}_{\tilde{p}} \prec T(U_p) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}_1} \prec T(U_m) \prec \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}}.$$

Further choose successively sequences $(\tilde{s}_j), (s_i), (r_i), (\tilde{r}_j), (\tilde{q}_j^1)$ with

$$\tilde{U}_{(\tilde{s}_j)} \prec T(U_{(s_i)}) \prec T(U_{(r_i)}) \prec \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{r}_j)} \prec \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{q}_j^1)}.$$

Since T is an isomorphism, by Lemma 5 such a choice is possible. Finally for any sequence (q_i) such that $\tilde{U}_{(\tilde{q}_j^1)} \prec T(U_{(q_i)})$ choose a sequence (\tilde{q}_j) such that $T(U_{(q_i)}) \prec \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{q}_j)}$. Then by Lemma 4 and the elementary properties of β it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(e^t U_{(r_i)} \cap U_m^{1/2} U_{(q_i)}^{1/2}, \text{conv}(U_m^{1/2} U_{(q_i)}^{1/2} \cup e^\tau U_{(s_i)} \cup U_p)) \\ & \leq \beta(Ce^t \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{r}_j)} \cap \tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}}^{1/2} \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{q}_j^1)}^{1/2}, \text{conv}(\tilde{U}_{\tilde{m}_1}^{1/2} \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{q}_j^1)}^{1/2} \cup e^\tau \tilde{U}_{(\tilde{s}_j)} \cup \tilde{U}_{\tilde{p}})). \end{aligned}$$

Estimating from below and above as in (a), but using

$$U_{a \wedge b \wedge c} = \text{conv}(U_a \cup U_b \cup U_c)$$

instead of the second formula in (5), we get

$$(12) \quad \left\{ i : \frac{\max(e^{-t} a_{ir_i}, a_{im}^{1/2} a_{iq_i}^{1/2})}{\min(a_{im}^{1/2} a_{iq_i}^{1/2}, e^{-\tau} a_{is_i}, a_{ip})} \leq 1 \right\} \\ \leq \left\{ j : \frac{\max(e^{-t} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{r}_j}, \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}}^{1/2} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_j^1}^{1/2})}{\min(\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}_1}^{1/2} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_j^1}^{1/2}, e^{-\tau} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{s}_j}, \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{p}})} \leq 2C \right\}.$$

Obviously the left-hand side of this inequality equals

$$\left\{ i : \frac{e^{-t} a_{ir_i}}{a_{im}^{1/2} a_{iq_i}^{1/2}} \leq 1, \frac{a_{im}^{1/2} a_{iq_i}^{1/2}}{e^{-\tau} a_{is_i}} \leq 1, \frac{a_{im}^{1/2} a_{iq_i}^{1/2}}{a_{ip}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The last inequality in the above expression is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}[\chi(m - \kappa(i)) + \chi(q_i - \kappa(i))] - \chi(p - \kappa(i)) + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2q} \leq 0,$$

which is true if and only if $\kappa(i) \leq p$ or $\kappa(i) > q_i$. Then the other two inequalities are equivalent respectively to

$$\left(\gamma_i - \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{2q_i} \right) a_i \leq t, \quad \left(\delta_i - \frac{1}{s_i} + \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{2q_i} \right) a_i \geq \tau,$$

where γ_i and δ_i take values 0, 1/2, 1. Hence the left-hand side of (12) is greater than

$$(13) \quad |\{i : \kappa(i) \leq p \text{ or } \kappa(i) > q_i, 4m\tau \leq a_i \leq t/2\}|.$$

Analogously the right-hand side of (12) is less than

$$\left\{ j : \frac{e^{-t} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{r}_j}}{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}_1}^{1/2} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_j^1}^{1/2}} \leq 2C, \frac{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}}^{1/2} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_j}^{1/2}}{e^{-\tau} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{s}_j}} \leq 2C, \frac{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{m}_1}^{1/2} \tilde{a}_{j\tilde{q}_j^1}^{1/2}}{\tilde{a}_{j\tilde{p}}} \leq 2C \right\}.$$

Here the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}[\chi(\tilde{m}_1 - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) + \chi(\tilde{q}_j - \tilde{\kappa}(j))] - \chi(\tilde{p} - \tilde{\kappa}(j)) + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}_1} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{q}_j} \leq \frac{\log(2C)}{\tilde{a}_j}.$$

Since $\tilde{a}_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ this inequality holds for large enough j if and only if $\tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{p}$ or $\tilde{\kappa}(j) > \tilde{q}_j$. In that case the other two inequalities are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\tilde{\gamma}_j - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_j} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}_1} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{q}_j^1} \right) \tilde{a}_j \leq t + \log(2C), \\ & \left(\tilde{\delta}_j - \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_j} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{q}_j} \right) \tilde{a}_j \geq \tau - \log(2C), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ and $\tilde{\delta}_j$ take values 0, 1/2, 1. Obviously for large enough j the left-hand side of the first inequality is greater than $\tilde{a}_j/(3\tilde{m}_1)$, while the left-hand side of the second is less than $2\tilde{a}_j$. Therefore there exists $\tau_0 > 3\log(2C)$ such that for $\tau \geq \tau_0$ the right-hand side of (12) is less than

$$(14) \quad |\{j : \tilde{\kappa}(j) < \tilde{p} \text{ or } \tilde{\kappa}(j) \geq \tilde{q}_j, \tau/3 \leq \tilde{a}_j \leq 4\tilde{m}_1 t\}|.$$

Now (b) follows from the bounds (13) and (14).

4. Main results

THEOREM 2. *If $X = D(\kappa, a)$ and $Y = D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ are isomorphic and $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} X^2$ then $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y$.*

PROOF. If X and Y are isomorphic then the conditions (a), (b) of Theorem 1 hold. Using them we construct a quasi-diagonal imbedding of X into Y^{10} . Analogously the corresponding symmetric conditions imply the existence of a quasi-diagonal imbedding of Y into X^{10} . Therefore since $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} X^2$ we obtain $X^{10} \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y^{10}$, so by Lemma 2, $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y$, and also $Y \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} X^{10} \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} X$.

Hence by Lemma 1, $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y$. So, by symmetry we only have to prove that $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y^{10}$.

Let I and J denote respectively the sets of indices of the canonical bases in X and Y .

By Theorem 1 there exists an increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the condition (a) of Theorem 1 holds with $p = \varphi(\tilde{p})$ and $\tilde{q} = \varphi(q)$. In fact, one can consider the function φ used in the proof of Theorem 1. We put

$$p_n = \varphi^{n+4}(1), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then by Theorem 1 there exist constants $\tau_n > 0, c_n > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that for $\tau > \tau_n$,

$$(15) \quad |\{i : p_n < \kappa(i) \leq p_{n+1}, \tau < a_i \leq t\}| \\ \leq |\{j : p_{n-1} < \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq p_{n+2}, \tau/c_n < a_j \leq c_n t\}|$$

and $c_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume for convenience that $\tau_n = c_n^{3m_n-2}, n = 1, 2, \dots$, where m_n are integers, and put

$$I_{n,m} = \{i : p_n < \kappa(i) \leq p_{n+1}, c_n^m < a_i \leq c_n^{m+1}\}, \\ J_{n,m} = \{j : p_{n-1} < \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq p_{n+2}, c_n^{m-1} < a_j \leq c_n^{m+2}\}, \\ I^{\beta,\gamma} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{s>m_n} I_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}, \quad \beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$K = \{i : \kappa(i) \leq p_1\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{i : p_n < \kappa(i) \leq p_{n+1}, a_i \leq c_n^{3m_n-2}\}.$$

Then obviously we have

$$|I_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}| \leq |J_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}|, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, s > m_n,$$

as a consequence of (15). Therefore, since the sets $J_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}, r, s = 1, 2, \dots$, (for fixed β, γ) are disjoint, we deduce that for every $\beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2$ there exists an injection $\sigma_{\beta,\gamma} : I^{\beta,\gamma} \rightarrow J$ such that

$$\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(I_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}) \subset J_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, s > m_n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{c_{3r-\beta}^2} a_i \leq \tilde{a}_{\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(i)} \leq c_{3r-\beta}^2 a_i, \quad |\tilde{\kappa}(\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(i)) - \kappa(i)| \leq p_{r+2} - p_{r-1},$$

for $i \in I_{3r-\beta,3s-\gamma}, r \in \mathbb{N}, s > m_n, \beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2$. Therefore by Proposition 3 the formula

$$T_{\beta,\gamma}(e_i) = [\exp(a_i - \tilde{a}_{\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(i)})] \tilde{e}_{\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(i)}$$

defines a quasi-diagonal isomorphic imbedding $T_{\beta,\gamma} : X_{\beta,\gamma} \rightarrow Y$, where $X_{\beta,\gamma} = \overline{\text{span}}_X \{e_i : i \in I^{\beta,\gamma}\}, \beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2$.

On the other hand,

$$K \subset \{i : \kappa(i) \leq p \text{ or } \kappa(i) > q_i\}$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of indices (q_i) such that $q_i \uparrow \infty$. By Theorem 1 there exist $\tilde{p}, (q_j), C > 0$ and $\tau_0 > 0$ such that (7) holds for $t > \tau > \tau_0$. Let

$$K_1 = \{i \in K : a_i > \tau_0\} = \{i_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ L = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \tilde{\kappa}(j) \leq \tilde{p} \text{ or } \tilde{\kappa}(j) > \tilde{q}_j\} = \{j_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ E = \overline{\text{span}}_X \{e_i : i \in K_1\}, \quad F = \overline{\text{span}}_Y \{\tilde{e}_j : j \in L\}.$$

Then by Corollary 1,

$$E \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} E_0(c), \quad F \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} E_0(d),$$

where $c = (c_k) = (a_{i_k})$ and $d = (d_k) = (\tilde{a}_{j_k})$. In this notation (7) means that

$$|\{k : \tau \leq c_k \leq t\}| \leq |\{k : \tau/C \leq d_k \leq Ct\}|.$$

Then the result of Mityagin [7] (see also [12] for a simple proof, without using the Hall-König theorem) implies that $E_0(c) \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} E_0(d)$, and therefore

$$E \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} F \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y.$$

Since the space $G = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_i : i \in K, a_i \leq \tau_0\}$ is finite-dimensional, we have $E \oplus G \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y$. Finally, taking into account that X is the direct sum of $X_{\beta,\gamma}, \beta, \gamma = 0, 1, 2$, and E, G we get $X \stackrel{\text{qd}}{\cong} Y^{10}$.

COROLLARY 5. *Conditions (a), (b) of Theorem 1, together with the symmetric conditions obtained by interchanging the roles of a, b and \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} , determine a complete linear topological invariant in the class of $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces which are quasi-diagonally isomorphic to their Cartesian square.*

Finally, we consider the question of quasi-equivalence of absolute bases in $D(\kappa, a)$ spaces. Recall that two absolute bases (x_i) and (y_j) are quasi-equivalent if and only if the corresponding Köthe spaces $K(|x_i|_p)$ and $K(|y_j|_p)$ are quasi-diagonally isomorphic.

THEOREM 3. *If $X = D(\kappa, a)$ is quasi-diagonally isomorphic to its Cartesian square then any two absolute bases in X are quasi-equivalent.*

PROOF. Of course it is enough to show that any absolute basis (x_j) in X is quasi-equivalent to the canonical basis (e_i) . By [4] (see also [3]), the bases (e_i) and (x_j) are weakly quasi-equivalent, i.e. there exist constants $r_j > 0$ and a finite-to-one function $i(j) : J \rightarrow I$ such that the Köthe matrices $(|r_j x_j|_p)$ and $(|e_{i(j)}|_p)$ are equivalent. Hence $K(|r_j x_j|_p)$ and $K(|e_{i(j)}|_p)$ coincide and we obtain

$$K(|r_j x_j|_p) = D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a}),$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}(j) = \kappa(i(j))$ and $\tilde{a}_j = a_{i(j)}$. Since the spaces $D(\kappa, a)$ and $D(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{a})$ are isomorphic it follows by Theorem 1 that they are quasi-diagonally isomorphic. This proves the theorem.

References

- [1] M. M. Dragilev, *On special dimensions, defined on some classes of Köthe spaces*, Mat. Sb. 80 (1969), 225–240 (in Russian).
- [2] M. Hall, *A survey of combinatorial analysis*, in: Some Aspects of Analysis and Probability, Surveys Appl. Math. 4, Wiley, New York, 1958, 35–104.
- [3] V. P. Kondakov, *Structure of unconditional bases of some Köthe spaces*, Studia Math. 76 (1983), 137–151 (in Russian).
- [4] V. P. Kondakov and V. P. Zahariuta, *On weak equivalence of bases in Köthe spaces*, Izv. Severo-Kavkaz. Nauchn. Tsentra Vyssh. Shkoly Estestv. Nauk 4 (1982), 110–115 (in Russian).
- [5] B. S. Mityagin, *Approximative dimension and bases in nuclear spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 16 (4) (1961), 63–132 (in Russian).
- [6] —, *Sur l'équivalence des bases inconditionnelles dans les échelles de Hilbert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 269 (1969), 426–428.
- [7] —, *Equivalence of bases in Hilbert scales*, Studia Math. 37 (1971), 111–137 (in Russian).
- [8] V. P. Zahariuta, *On isomorphisms of Cartesian products of linear topological spaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 4 (2) (1970), 87–88 (in Russian).
- [9] —, *On isomorphisms and quasi-equivalence of bases of power Köthe spaces*, in: Proc. 7th Winter School in Drogobych, Moscow, 1976, 101–126 (in Russian).
- [10] —, *Generalized Mityagin invariants and a continuum of pairwise nonisomorphic spaces of analytic functions*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 11 (3) (1977), 24–30 (in Russian).
- [11] —, *Synthetic diameters and linear topological invariants*, in: School on Theory of Operators in Function Spaces (abstracts of reports), Minsk, 1978, 51–52 (in Russian).
- [12] —, *Linear topological invariants and their applications to generalized power series spaces*, Turkish J. Math., to appear.

Department of Mathematics
Sofia University
1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail: djakov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Department of Mathematics
Marmara Research Center
Gebze, Kocaeli, Turkey
E-mail: zaha@yunus.mam.tubitak.gov.tr
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Rostov State University
Rostov-na-Donu, Russia

Received March 10, 1995
Revised version April 12, 1996

(3431)

A non-regular Toeplitz flow with preset pure point spectrum

by

T. DOWNAROWICZ (Wrocław) and Y. LACROIX (Brest)

Abstract. Given an arbitrary countable subgroup σ_0 of the torus, containing infinitely many rationals, we construct a strictly ergodic 0-1 Toeplitz flow with pure point spectrum equal to σ_0 . For a large class of Toeplitz flows certain eigenvalues are induced by eigenvalues of the flow Y which can be seen along the aperiodic parts.

Introduction. In this paper we continue the study of Toeplitz flows initiated in 1984 by S. Williams in her work [W]. Toeplitz sequences have been known earlier (e.g. [O], [G-H], [J-K]), but it is the construction of Williams that is exploited in most of later works on Toeplitz sequences (e.g. [B-K1], [D], [B-K2], [I-L], [D-K-L], [I]). Spectral properties of Toeplitz flows have been studied in [I-L] and [I]. In this note we develop the method introduced by A. Iwanik in [I]. Each eigenvalue γ obtained there satisfies a certain equation formulated in Section I of this paper as (3). In [I], however, this equation remains unsolved, and an irrational γ is obtained by constructing uncountably many Toeplitz flows with different eigenvalues.

We have succeeded in solving the equation (3) simultaneously for an arbitrary countable set of γ 's. This enables us to prove the existence of strictly ergodic Toeplitz flows with an arbitrarily preset pure point spectrum containing infinitely many rationals.

Section I contains slightly modified formulations of the results of [I]. We rid the constructions of technical details used in [I] to produce uncountably many sequences. For a large class of Toeplitz flows we identify certain eigenvalues not arising from the maximal uniformly continuous factor. We also adapt the cohomology statement of [I] to the countable product of tori.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28D05, 54H20.

Key words and phrases: Toeplitz sequence, pure point spectrum, strict ergodicity, group extension.

Research of the first author supported by KBN grant 2 P 03A07608. The author acknowledges the hospitality of Mathematics Department of Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, where this paper was written.

Research of the second author supported by C.A.F. Nord Finistère.