

[7] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata
Mar del Plata, Bs. As.
Argentina
E-mail: ferrando@bart.uni-mdp.edu.ar

Department of Mathematics
DePaul University
2219 N. Kenmore
Chicago, Illinois 60614
U.S.A.
E-mail: rjones@condor.depaul.edu

Department of Mathematics
University at Albany, SUNY
1400 Western Ave.
Albany, New York 12222
U.S.A.
E-mail: reinhold@csc.albany.edu

Received October 31, 1995
Revised version February 22, 1996

(3557)

On invariant measures for power bounded positive operators

by

RYOTARO SATO (Okayama)

To the memory of Hisao Tominaga

Abstract. We give a counterexample showing that $\overline{(I - T^*)L_\infty} \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$ does not imply the existence of a strictly positive function u in L_1 with $Tu = u$, where T is a power bounded positive linear operator on L_1 of a σ -finite measure space. This settles a conjecture by Brunel, Horowitz, and Lin.

1. Introduction. Let (X, \mathcal{E}, m) be a σ -finite measure space and T a positive linear operator in $L_1 = L_1(X, \mathcal{E}, m)$. T is called a *contraction* if $\|T\| \leq 1$, *power bounded* if $\sup_n \|T^n\| < \infty$, and *Cesàro bounded* if $\sup_n \|n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k\| < \infty$. Many ergodic theorems for positive L_1 contractions require the existence of a finite invariant measure equivalent to the original one, i.e., a strictly positive $u \in L_1$ with $Tu = u$. This problem has attracted many top researchers, and one of the conditions equivalent to the existence of such a $u \in L_1$, obtained by Brunel [1], is that

$$(1) \quad \overline{(I - T^*)L_\infty} \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}.$$

For any T positive and Cesàro bounded, condition (1) is seen, by using the known fact that $n^{-1} \|T^n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to be equivalent to the following condition:

$$(2) \quad \limsup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{*k} 1_A \right\|_\infty > 0 \quad \text{for any } A \in \mathcal{E} \text{ with } m(A) > 0.$$

Sucheston [7] started a systematic study of power bounded positive linear operators in L_1 , and Fong [4] studied the problem of existence of strictly positive fixed points under an additional assumption of a null disappearing part. The problem in general was studied by Derriennic and Lin [3] (see also Sato [6]), who proved that for any T positive and Cesàro bounded, an

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47A35.

Key words and phrases: power bounded and Cesàro bounded positive operators, invariant measures, L_1 spaces.

equivalent condition for the existence of a strictly positive fixed point in L_1 is

$$(3) \quad m\left(\left\{\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{*k} 1_A > 0\right\}\right) > 0 \quad \text{for any } A \in \Sigma \text{ with } m(A) > 0,$$

and implicitly asked if also the weaker condition (2) (hence (1)) is sufficient. For more detailed arguments in this topic we refer the reader to Krengel's book [5].

Recently Brunel, Horowitz and Lin [2] showed that (1) implies the existence of a strictly positive *subinvariant* function in L_1 , but left the problem of invariance unsolved. Further they proved that the condition

$$(4) \quad (\text{weak}^*\text{-closure}(I - T^*)L_\infty) \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$$

is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a strictly positive invariant function in L_1 . The purpose of this note is to construct a power bounded positive linear operator in L_1 satisfying (1) which has no $u \in L_1$ with $Tu = u \neq 0$.

2. The construction of an operator

THEOREM. *If L_1 has infinite dimension, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive linear operator T in L_1 and $0 \leq \nu \in L_\infty^*$, with $\nu = T^{**}\nu$, such that*

- (i) $1 < \|T\| < \|T^2\| < \dots < 2 + \varepsilon$,
- (ii) $\lim_n \|T^n u\|_1 = 0$ for every $u \in L_1$,
- (iii) $\nu(f) > 0$ for all $0 \neq f \in L_\infty^+$.

Hence $\overline{(I - T^*)L_\infty} \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$, and T has no $u \in L_1$ with $Tu = u \neq 0$.

Proof. An easy standard argument shows that it is sufficient to construct T in $L_1 = L_1(X, \Sigma, m)$, where $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $m(\{k\}) = 1$ for each $k \in X$, and Σ is the subsets of X . First of all, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ with $2\alpha/(1 - \alpha) < \varepsilon$ let us choose a sequence a_1, a_2, \dots of positive reals such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k,$$

$$(6) \quad \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \leq \frac{1 - \beta_1}{2} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1,$$

where

$$\beta_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \alpha < 1.$$

For $u \in L_1$ define

$$(7) \quad Tu(k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(n) & (k=0), \\ a_k u(0) + u(k+1) & (k \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Then T maps L_1 into itself, as

$$\|Tu\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u(k)| + |u(0)| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |u(k)| \leq 2\|u\|_1.$$

From the relations $\int (Tu)f \, dm = \langle Tu, f \rangle = \langle u, T^*f \rangle = \int u(T^*f) \, dm$ for $u \in L_1$ and $f \in L_\infty$ it follows at once that

$$(8) \quad T^*f(k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f(n) & (k=0), \\ f(0) & (k=1), \\ f(0) + f(k-1) & (k \geq 2), \end{cases}$$

so that $T^*1(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \beta_1$, $T^*1(1) = 1$ and $T^*1(k) = 2$ for $k \geq 2$. If $n \geq 2$ then, upon writing $f = T^{*(n-1)}1$ so that $T^{*n}1 = T^*(T^{*(n-1)}1) = T^*f$, (8) gives

$$(9) \quad T^{*n}1(k) = T^*f(k) = \begin{cases} T^{*(n-1)}1(0) & (k=1), \\ T^{*(n-1)}1(0) + T^{*(n-1)}1(k-1) & (k \geq 2); \end{cases}$$

and since $T^*1 = T^*1_{\{0\}} + T^*(1 - 1_{\{0\}}) = (1 - 1_{\{0\}}) + T^*(1 - 1_{\{0\}})$ by (8), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{*n}1(0) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{*n}(1 - 1_{\{0\}})(0).$$

We now prove the fundamental equality

$$(*) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{*n}(1 - 1_{\{0\}})(0) = \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

To do this, we set

$$f_n = 1_{\{n, n+1, \dots\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then $T^*1_{\{0\}} = f_1$, $T^*f_n = \beta_n 1_{\{0\}} + f_{n+1}$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \alpha$. Thus

$$T^*f_1 = \beta_1 1_{\{0\}} + f_2,$$

$$T^{*2}f_1 = \beta_2 1_{\{0\}} + T^*(\beta_1 1_{\{0\}}) + f_3,$$

\vdots

$$T^{*n}f_1 = \beta_n 1_{\{0\}} + T^*(\beta_{n-1} 1_{\{0\}}) + \dots + T^{*(n-1)}(\beta_1 1_{\{0\}}) + f_{n+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n T^{*k} f_1 &= (\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n) 1_{\{0\}} + T^*((\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{n-1}) 1_{\{0\}}) + \dots \\ &\quad + T^{*(n-1)}(\beta_1 1_{\{0\}}) + (f_2 + \dots + f_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{n-j} < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i = \alpha$, putting $g_N = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} f_i$ for $N > 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N T^{*k} f_1 &\leq \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} T^{*j} 1_{\{0\}} + g_N = \alpha 1_{\{0\}} + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} T^{*j} f_1 + g_N \\ &\leq \alpha 1_{\{0\}} + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} T^{*j} f_1 + g_N. \end{aligned}$$

By definition $g_N(0) = 0 = f_1(0)$, so

$$\sum_{k=1}^N T^{*k} f_1(0) \leq \alpha + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} T^{*j} f_1(0).$$

Define $c_N = \sum_{k=1}^N T^{*k} f_1(0)$. Then $c_N \leq \alpha + \alpha c_{N-1}$ for $N > 1$, with

$$c_1 = \beta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \alpha < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha},$$

since $0 < \alpha < 1$. Hence by induction we have $c_N < \alpha/(1-\alpha)$ for every $N \geq 1$, so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{*k} f_1(0) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}.$$

We have the equality

$$\sum_{k=1}^n T^{*k} f_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} \beta_k T^{*j} 1_{\{0\}} \right) + g_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Evaluating at 0, using $g_n(0) = 0$, and by the convergence already proved of

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{*k} f_1(0)$ we obtain, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{*k} f_1(0) &\geq \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} \beta_k \right) T^{*(j-1)} f_1(0) \\ &= \alpha + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} \beta_k \right) T^{*(j-1)} f_1(0) \\ &\rightarrow \alpha + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \alpha T^{*(j-1)} f_1(0) \end{aligned}$$

as n tends to infinity. Hence

$$(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{*k} f_1(0) \geq \alpha,$$

which completes the proof of (*).

We then notice by induction that

$$\|T^{*n} 1\|_{\infty} = \sup_{k \geq 2} T^{*n} 1(k) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

In fact, for $n = 1$ it follows from $T^* 1(0) = \beta_1 < 1$, $T^* 1(1) = 1$, and $T^* 1(k) = 2$ for $k \geq 2$. The induction step follows from (8) and (9). Using this together with (*) and (9) we see that for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{*n} 1\|_{\infty} &= T^{*(n-1)} 1(0) + \|T^{*(n-1)} 1\|_{\infty} = \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T^{*k} 1(0) + \|T^* 1\|_{\infty} \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{*k} 1(0) + \|T^* 1\|_{\infty} = \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha} + 2 < \varepsilon + 2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i).

To prove (ii), take a positive real γ so that

$$(10) \quad \beta_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k < \gamma < \frac{1+\beta_1}{2},$$

and define a function w in L_1^+ by

$$(11) \quad w(0) = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad w(k) = a_k \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

By (7), (6) and (10),

$$T w(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \beta_1 < \gamma = w(0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Tw(k) &= a_k w(0) + w(k+1) = a_k \gamma + a_{k+1} \\ &= \left(\gamma + \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right) a_k \leq \left(\gamma + \frac{1-\beta_1}{2} \right) a_k \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, letting $\delta = \max\{\beta_1 \gamma^{-1}, \gamma + (1-\beta_1)2^{-1}\}$, we have

$$(12) \quad 0 < Tw \leq \delta w \quad \text{on } X, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \delta < 1.$$

It follows that $\lim_n \|T^n w\|_1 \leq \lim_n \delta^n \|w\|_1 = 0$. Since T is power bounded, (ii) follows from an approximation argument.

To prove (iii), let LIM denote any Banach limit on $L_\infty (= \ell_\infty)$, and define a bounded linear functional ν on L_∞ by the relation

$$(13) \quad \nu(f) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k f(k) + (1-\alpha) \text{LIM}(f)$$

for all $f \in L_\infty$.

By (5) we see that $0 \leq \nu \in L_\infty^*$ with $\|\nu\| = \nu(1) = 2$; further (8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(T^* f) &= T^* f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k T^* f(k) + (1-\alpha) \text{LIM}(T^* f) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k f(k) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k \right) f(0) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_k f(k-1) \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)(f(0) + \text{LIM}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + \beta_{k+1}) f(k) + \alpha f(0) + (1-\alpha)f(0) + (1-\alpha) \text{LIM}(f) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k f(k) + f(0) + (1-\alpha) \text{LIM}(f) = \nu(f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nu = T^{**}\nu$, and clearly $\nu(f) = \nu(T^* f) > 0$ for all $0 \neq f \in L_\infty^+$. This completes the proof.

Remark. By a slight modification of the construction of T we may sharpen (i) in the theorem as

$$(i)' \quad 1 < \|T\| < \|T^2\| < \dots < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

where ε is an arbitrary positive number.

Acknowledgements. In conclusion, the author would like to express his gratitude to the referee for helpful comments which made the paper readable.

References

- [1] A. Brunel, *Sur quelques problèmes de la théorie ergodique ponctuelle*, Thèse, University of Paris, 1966.
- [2] A. Brunel, S. Horowitz and M. Lin, *On subinvariant measures for positive operators in L_1* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 29 (1993), 105–117.
- [3] Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *On invariant measures and ergodic theorems for positive operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 13 (1973), 252–267.
- [4] H. Fong, *On invariant functions for positive operators*, Colloq. Math. 22 (1970), 75–84.
- [5] U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985.
- [6] R. Sato, *Ergodic properties of bounded L_1 -operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 540–546.
- [7] L. Sucheston, *On the ergodic theorem for positive operators I*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 8 (1967), 1–11.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Okayama University
Okayama, 700 Japan

Received January 29, 1996
Revised version April 22, 1996

(3604)