

On analytic vector-valued functions of a real variable

by

A. ALEXIEWICZ and W. ORLICZ (Poznań).

The purpose of this note is to transfer a theorem of DUNFORD¹⁾ concerning the analyticity of vector-valued functions to the case of vector-valued functions of a real variable. The theorem of Dunford states that if for a vector-valued function $x(\zeta)$ defined in a simply connected domain and for any functional ξx belonging to a determining manifold, the complex-valued function $\xi x(\zeta)$ is analytic, then the function $x(\zeta)$ itself is analytic. The proof in the case considered in this paper is different from that in the case of the complex variable.

We will denote by $x(t)$ a function from a real interval (a, b) to a Banach space X . The function $x(t)$ is said to have the derivative $x'(t_0)$ at t_0 if

$$\left\| \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h} - x'(t_0) \right\|$$

tends to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$. Similarly the derivatives of higher order $x''(t_0), \dots$ are defined.

The function $x(t)$ is said to be analytic in (a, b) if the derivatives $x^{(n)}(t)$ exist in this interval for any n , and if

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} (t-t_0)^n,$$

the series being convergent almost uniformly for $t_0 \in (a, b)$ and $|t-t_0| < \min(b-t_0, t_0-a)$ (i.e. being uniformly in any closed subinterval).

A set of linear functionals Γ will be said to be *fundamental*²⁾ if there exist two constants $\alpha > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$

$$(1) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma, \|\xi\| \leq K} |\xi x| \geq \alpha \|x\|.$$

A fundamental set Γ will be said to be *strictly fundamental* if it satisfies the following condition: if for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements $\overline{\lim}_n |\xi x_n| < \infty$ for every $\xi \in \Gamma$, then $\overline{\lim}_n \|x_n\| < \infty$.

Every closed linear fundamental set Γ is strictly fundamental. In fact, suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\overline{\lim}_n |\xi x_n| < \infty$ for any $\xi \in \Gamma$. Considering Γ as a Banach space and ξx_n as linear functional $\overline{\lim}_n \xi x_n$ over Γ , we see that $\overline{\lim}_n |\xi x_n| < \infty$ in Γ ; hence by a theorem of BANACH³⁾ $\overline{\lim}_n \|x_n\| < \infty$. The conclusion follows now by the inequality $\|x_n\| \leq K \|\overline{\lim}_n \xi x_n\| / \alpha$, which is a consequence of (1).

Non-trivial examples of strictly fundamental sets are: in the space M of bounded measurable functions the set of the functionals of the form

$$\xi x = \int_a^b x(t) h(t) dt$$

with integrable $h(t)$, or, in the same space, the set of the functionals of the form

$$\xi x = \pm \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E x(t) dt,$$

E denoting any measurable set in (a, b) , of positive measure.

In the sequel Γ will denote a fixed strictly fundamental set.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that for every $\xi \in \Gamma$ there exists the derivative $d^2(\xi x(t))/dt^2$, bounded in any closed subinterval of (a, b) . Then the derivative $x'(t)$ exists in (a, b) .*

¹⁾ N. Dunford, *Uniformity in linear spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 44 (1938), p. 305-356, Theorem 76.

²⁾ N. Dunford, *ibidem*, p. 354, calls any fundamental set which is, moreover, closed and linear, a *determining manifold*.
³⁾ S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa, 1932, p. 80.

Proof. Let $\langle a', b' \rangle$ be any subinterval of (a, b) , $\langle a'', b'' \rangle$ any subinterval of (a', b') . Write for $h_n \rightarrow 0$

$$y_n(t) = \frac{x(t+2h_n) - 2x(t+h_n) + x(t)}{h_n^2};$$

since $\xi y_n(t)$ is equal to the difference quotient of the second order of $\xi x(t)$, a well known formula yields

$$\xi y_n(t) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \xi x(t+2\vartheta h_n),$$

with $0 < \vartheta < 1$. The derivative $d^2(\xi x(t))/dt^2$ being bounded in (a', b') for any $\xi \in \Gamma$, $|h_n| < \min(a'' - a', b' - b'')$ implies

$$\sup_{t \in (a'', b'')} |\xi y_n(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in (a', b')} \left| \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \xi x(t) \right| < \infty.$$

From this inequality we infer that $\|y_n(t)\| \leq B$ in (a'', b'') for $n > n_0$. For in the contrary case there would exist sequences $\{t_n\}$, $\{k_n\}$ such that $\|y_{k_n}(t_n)\| \geq n$, $t_n \in (a'', b'')$, $k_n \rightarrow \infty$; this is, however, impossible since $\|y_{k_n}(t_n)\| \leq \sup_{t \in (a'', b'')} |\xi y_{k_n}(t)| < \infty$ for any $\xi \in \Gamma$. Now, $\|\xi y_n(t)\| \leq B \|\xi\|$ in (a'', b'') , and since $\xi y_n(t) \rightarrow \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \xi x(t)$ we get

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \xi x(t) \right| \leq B \|\xi\| \quad \text{in } (a'', b'').$$

The mean-value theorem gives now

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t_1) - \frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t_2) \right| \leq B \|\xi\| |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Let $t_0, t_0+h, t_0+k \in (a'', b'')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \xi \left(\frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h} - \frac{x(t_0+k) - x(t_0)}{k} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t_0 + \vartheta_1 h) - \frac{d}{dt} \xi x(t_0 + \vartheta_2 k) \right| \leq (|\vartheta_1 h| + |\vartheta_2 k|) \|\xi\| B, \end{aligned}$$

with $0 < \vartheta_1 < 1$, $0 < \vartheta_2 < 1$, hence by (1)

$$\left\| \frac{x(t_0+h) - x(t_0)}{h} - \frac{x(t_0+k) - x(t_0)}{k} \right\| \leq \frac{KB}{\alpha} (|h| + |k|).$$

This shows that $x'(t)$ exists in (a'', b'') . Since any subinterval of (a, b) can be chosen, as (a'', b'') , $x'(t)$ exists in the whole of (a, b) .

Theorem 2. Suppose that for every $\xi \in \Gamma$ the function $\xi x(t)$ is analytic in (a, b) . Then $x(t)$ itself is analytic in (a, b) .

Proof. By Theorem 1 $x'(t)$ exists in (a, b) , and a trivial induction shows that $x^{(n)}(t)$ exists in (a, b) for any n . Obviously $d^n(\xi x(t))/dt^n = \xi x^{(n)}(t)$. Since every function $\xi x(t)$ is analytic in (a, b) , $\xi \in \Gamma$ and $|t - t_0| < \min(b - t_0, t_0 - a) = \eta$ imply

$$\xi x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi x^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} (t - t_0)^n.$$

It follows, Γ being strictly fundamental, that

$$\sup_n \left\| \frac{x^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} \delta^n \right\| < \infty \quad \text{if } \delta < \eta,$$

and by the classical method one can prove now the convergence for $|t - t_0| < \eta$ of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} (t - t_0)^n.$$

The conclusion follows now by the formula

$$\xi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{(n)}(t_0)}{n!} (t - t_0)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \xi x(t_0) (t - t_0)^n = \xi x(t)$$

valid for any $\xi \in \Gamma$.

The following example shows that if we suppose in Theorem 2 that Γ is a fundamental set and not a strictly fundamental one, Theorem 2 does not hold any more. Let X be the space \mathcal{C} of the convergent sequences $x = \{\gamma_n\}$, and Γ the set of the functionals $\xi_1 x = \gamma_1, \xi_2 x = \gamma_2, \dots$. This set is fundamental. Now let $\gamma_n(t)$ be any sequence of polynomials convergent in $(0, 1)$ to a discontinuous function. Consider the sequence $\{\gamma_n(t)\}$ as a function $x(t)$ from $(0, 1)$ to \mathcal{C} . For any ξ_n , the function $\xi_n x(t) = \gamma_n(t)$ is analytic in $(0, 1)$, the function $x(t)$ itself is, however, non-analytic, since for the linear functional $\xi_\infty x = \lim_n \gamma_n$ the function $\xi_\infty x(t)$ is non-analytic.

(Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 3. 1950).