

- [Vũ1] Vũ Quốc Phóng, *On the spectrum, complete trajectories, and asymptotic stability of linear semi-dynamical systems*, J. Differential Equations 105 (1993), 30–45.
- [Vũ2] —, *Semigroups with nonquasianalytic growth*, Studia Math. 104 (1993), 229–241.

Ralph deLaubenfels
 Scientia Research Institute
 P.O. Box 988
 Athens, Ohio 45701
 U.S.A.
 E-mail: 72260.2403@compuserve.com

Vũ Quốc Phóng
 Mathematics Department
 Ohio University
 Athens, Ohio 45701
 U.S.A.
 E-mail: qvu@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu

Received October 20, 1995

(3549)

On generalized Bergman spaces

by

WOLFGANG LUSKY (Paderborn)

Abstract. Let D be the open unit disc and μ a positive bounded measure on $[0, 1]$. Extending results of Mateljević/Pavlović and Shields/Williams we give Banach-space descriptions of the classes of all harmonic (holomorphic) functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\int_0^1 (\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi)^{q/p} d\mu(r) < \infty$.

1. Introduction. The aim of this paper is to give Banach space representations of certain classes of harmonic and holomorphic functions. Consider $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and put, for $0 \leq r$,

$$M_p(f, r) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(r \exp(i\theta))|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and $M_\infty(f, r) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$.

We want to study harmonic functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are not necessarily bounded but for which $M_p(f, r)$ grows in a controlled way as $r \rightarrow 1$. To this end we introduce a bounded (positive) measure μ on $[0, 1]$ and put, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left(\int_0^1 M_p^q(f, r) d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq q < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} (M_p(f, r)\mu([r, 1])).$$

We investigate the spaces

$$b_{p,q}(\mu) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ harmonic, } \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\},$$

$$b_{p,0}(\mu) = \{f \in b_{p,\infty}(\mu) : \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} M_p(f, r)\mu([r, 1]) = 0\}$$

and

$$B_{p,q}(\mu) = \{f \in b_{p,q}(\mu) : f \text{ holomorphic}\} \quad \text{if } q = 0 \text{ or } 1 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E15, 46B45.

The assumption on the boundedness of μ is only used to make sure that these spaces contain all trigonometric polynomials and all polynomials, resp. If $\mu(\{1\}) > 0$ then our definitions, for $p = q$, yield the classical L_p - and H_p -spaces which we want to exclude in what follows. So we assume

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu([r, 1]) = 0.$$

If we have $\text{supp } \mu \subset [0, a]$ for some $a < 1$ then we can replace $[0, 1]$ by $[0, a]$. Using substitution we see that it suffices to restrict ourselves to the case $a = 1$, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < \mu([r, 1]) \quad \text{for each } r < 1.$$

From now on we always assume (1.1) and (1.2).

EXAMPLE. Let $d\mu(r) = 2\pi r dr$. Then for $p = q < \infty$ we have $\|f\|_{p,q} = (\iint_D |f(x + iy)|^p dx dy)^{1/p}$. Hence in this case we obtain the classical Bergman spaces (see [1], [4], [10]).

For arbitrary μ put $v(r) = \mu([r, 1])$; v is called a *radial weight function*. $B_{\infty,q}(\mu)$ and $b_{\infty,q}(\mu)$, for $q \in \{0, \infty\}$, are the weighted spaces considered in [11], [12], [14]–[17]. Note that, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have $f \in b_{p,\infty}(\mu)$ iff $M_p(f, r) = O(1/v(r))$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. So, by characterizing $b_{p,\infty}(\mu)$ we obtain generalizations of results of Hardy and Littlewood ([8], [9], [5], Section 5, and Corollaries 2.6, 2.7 below). The space $b_{1,1}(\mu)$ was also considered in [15] and [16]. Our paper includes extensions of some results of Shields and Williams. We use non-trivial modifications of the methods of [12].

Our main result states that, under a mild assumption on μ , we have $b_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ (“ \sim ” means “is isomorphic to”). In this situation we can precisely determine for which measures μ we also have $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$. For example this is false for all q if $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \delta_{1-2^{-n}}$ and $p \in \{1, \infty\}$.

Our paper also extends the work of Mateljević and Pavlović [13], where in the case of analytic functions, $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ was proved for $1 < p < \infty$ for a more restricted class of measures μ . (See also [3] and [20]. For another kind of representation in some special cases see [4].)

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the main results; most of their proofs are given in Section 5. In Section 4 we collect the Banach space properties of $(\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ and of related spaces needed for the proofs. Section 3 deals with elementary properties of trigonometric polynomials and the operators R_n , defined for a harmonic function $f(r \exp(i\varphi)) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k r^{|k|} \exp(ik\varphi)$ on D as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad (R_n f)(r \exp(i\varphi)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{|k| \leq 2^{n+1}} \alpha_k r^{|k|} \exp(ik\varphi) & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty, \\ \sum_{|k| \leq 2^n} \alpha_k r^{|k|} \exp(ik\varphi) \\ \quad + \sum_{2^n+1 \leq |k| \leq 2^{n+1}} \frac{2^{n+1}-|k|}{2^n} \alpha_k r^{|k|} \exp(ik\varphi) & \text{if } p = 1, \infty. \end{cases}$$

(We put $R_0 = 0$.) Let

$$\lambda_p = \sup_n \sup \{M_p(R_n f, r) : f \text{ a trigonometric polynomial, } M_p(f, r) \leq 1\}.$$

Since R_n is a convolution operator with a Dirichlet or de la Vallée-Poussin kernel (see [18], [19]), λ_p does not depend on r and we have $\|R_n\|_{p,q} \leq \lambda_p \|f\|_{p,q}$ for all $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$. Moreover, we consider the Riesz projection

$$(1.4) \quad (Rf)(r \exp(i\varphi)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k r^{|k|} \exp(ik\varphi).$$

R is bounded for $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ if $1 < p < \infty$ ([5], [18]).

We shall use the following convention. If not specified otherwise, p is an element of $[1, \infty]$, and q is an element of $\{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$. For Banach spaces X_n put

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum \oplus X_n\right)_{(q)} &= \left\{ (x_n) : x_n \in X_n \text{ for all } n, \left(\sum \|x_n\|^q\right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}, \\ \left(\sum \oplus X_n\right)_{(\infty)} &= \left\{ (x_n) : x_n \in X_n \text{ for all } n, \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty \right\}, \\ \left(\sum \oplus X_n\right)_{(0)} &= \left\{ (x_n) \in \left(\sum \oplus X_n\right)_{(\infty)} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. The main results. First we list some elementary properties of $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,q}(\mu)$.

2.1. PROPOSITION. (a) All $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ are Banach spaces.

(b) Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ or $q = 0$. Then the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ while the polynomials are dense in $B_{p,q}(\mu)$.

Proof. (a) This follows from the fact that these spaces are closed in

$$\left\{ f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable} : \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right)^{q/p} d\mu(r) < \infty \right\}$$

if $1 \leq q < \infty$. The remaining cases $q = 0, \infty$ can be proven similarly.

(b) For any $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$ and $0 < r < 1$ we have $\lim_n M_p(f - R_n f, r) = 0$ in view of (1.3). This includes the case $p = \infty$ since f is continuous

on D . Moreover, $M_p(f - R_n f, r) \leq (1 + \lambda_p) M_p(f, r)$. So using the dominated convergence theorem we see that $R_n \rightarrow \text{id}$ pointwise on $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ as well as on $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ if $1 \leq q < \infty$. If $q = 0$ choose, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, some $r_0 \in [0, 1[$ such that

$$(1 + \lambda_p) \sup_{r \geq r_0} M_p(f, r) \mu([r, 1]) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\|f - R_n f\|_{p,0} \leq \max(\varepsilon, M_p(f - R_n f, r_0) \mu([0, 1]))$$

in view of the maximum principle. We also obtain $R_n \rightarrow \text{id}$ (pointwise) in the case $q = 0$. This implies (b). ■

2.2. PROPOSITION. *There are constants $a, b > 0$ and, for every p, q , positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ such that for all $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$,*

$$a \left(\sum_n \|(R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_n}) f\|_{p,q}^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_{p,q} \leq b \left(\sum_n \|(R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_n}) f\|_{p,q}^q \right)^{1/q}$$

if $1 \leq q < \infty$ and

$$a \sup_n \|(R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_n}) f\|_{p,q} \leq \|f\|_{p,q} \leq b \sup_n \|(R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_n}) f\|_{p,q}$$

if $q = 0$.

Proof. We deal with the case $1 \leq q < \infty$. The remaining case is similar (see [11]). Let E_n be the span of all trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$. Since the unit ball in E_n is compact we obtain from (1.1),

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \sup_{g \in E_n; \|g\|_{p,q} \leq 1} \int_s^1 M_p^q(g, r) d\mu(r) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have $|\alpha_k|^q \int_0^1 r^{|k|q} d\mu(r) \leq 1$ for any $f(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_k \alpha_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$ with $\|f\|_{p,q} \leq 1$. Hence, by (1.3) and the Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^s M_p^q((\text{id} - R_n) f, r) d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} &\leq \sum_{|k| \geq 2^n} |\alpha_k| \left(\int_0^s r^{|k|q} d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sum_{|k| \geq 2^n} \left(\frac{\int_0^s r^{|k|q} d\mu(r)}{\int_0^1 r^{|k|q} d\mu(r)} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sum_{|k| \geq 2^n} \frac{s^k}{((1+s)/2)^k} \left(\frac{\mu([0, s])}{\mu([(1+s)/2, 1])} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (1.2) yields, for every $s < 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{p,q} \leq 1} \int_0^s M_p^q((\text{id} - R_n) f, r) d\mu(r) = 0.$$

Using induction and (2.1), (2.2) we find s_n and m_{n+1} with

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{\|f\|_{p,q} \leq 1} \int_{s_n}^1 M_p^q(R_{m_n} f, r) d\mu(r) &\leq 4^{-n-1} 3^{1-q}, \\ \sup_{\|f\|_{p,q} \leq 1} \int_0^{s_n} M_p^q((\text{id} - R_{m_{n+1}}) f, r) d\mu(r) &\leq 4^{-n-1} 3^{1-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider an arbitrary $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$ and put $f_n = (R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_n}) f$. We have $f = \sum f_n$ and $f_n + f_{n+1} = (R_{m_{n+2}} - R_{m_n}) f$. Using (2.3) we obtain, for each n ,

$$\int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} M_p^q(f, r) d\mu(r) \leq 3^{q-1} \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} M_p^q(f_n + f_{n+1}, r) d\mu(r) + \frac{2}{4^{n+1}} \|f\|_{p,q}^q.$$

Summation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p,q}^q &\leq 3^{q-1} \sum_n \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} M_p^q(f_n + f_{n+1}, r) d\mu(r) + \frac{2}{3} \|f\|_{p,q}^q \\ &\leq 3^{q-1} \sum_n \|f_n + f_{n+1}\|_{p,q}^q + \frac{2}{3} \|f\|_{p,q}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Minkowski inequality we obtain the right-hand inequality of Proposition 2.2.

Now (1.3) yields $(\text{id} - R_{m_{n-1}}) f_n = f_n = R_{m_{n+2}} f_n$ (see (3.1), (3.2)). So, (2.3) applied to $f_n / \|f_n\|_{p,q}$ implies

$$\int_0^{s_{n-2}} M_p^q(f_n, r) d\mu(r) \leq 4^{-n-1} 3^{1-q} \|f_n\|_{p,q}^q$$

and

$$\int_{s_{n+2}}^1 M_p^q(f_n, r) d\mu(r) \leq 4^{-n-1} 3^{1-q} \|f_n\|_{p,q}^q.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \|f_n\|_{p,q}^q \leq \int_{s_{n-2}}^{s_{n+2}} M_p^q(f_n, r) d\mu(r) \leq (2\lambda_p)^q \int_{s_{n-2}}^{s_{n+2}} M_p^q(f, r) d\mu(r).$$

Summation yields $\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \|f_n\|_{p,q}^q \leq 4(2\lambda_p)^q \|f\|_{p,q}^q$ and thus the left-hand inequality of Proposition 2.2. ■

2.3. COROLLARY. (a) *The spaces $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ are reflexive if $1 < q < \infty$.*

(b) *We have $B_{p,0}(\mu)^{**} = B_{p,\infty}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,0}(\mu)^{**} = b_{p,\infty}(\mu)$.*

Proof. Proposition 2.2 shows that $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ are isomorphic to subspaces of $(\sum \oplus X_n)_{(q)}$ for some finite-dimensional Banach spaces X_n . If $1 < q < \infty$ the space $(\sum \oplus X_n)_{(q)}$ is reflexive. This yields (a). For the proof of (b) observe that $(\sum \oplus X_n)_{(0)}^{**} = (\sum \oplus X_n)_{(\infty)}$. Now it is very easy to see that the w^* -closures of $B_{p,0}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,0}(\mu)$ regarded as subspaces of $(\sum \oplus X_n)_{(0)}^{**}$ are $B_{p,\infty}(\mu)$ and $b_{p,\infty}(\mu)$. ■

We want to improve Proposition 2.2 for a special class of measures.

2.4. DEFINITION. Let μ be a bounded positive measure on $[0, 1]$ satisfying (1.1) and (1.2). Put $\mu_n = \mu([1 - 2^{-n}, 1])$. We consider the following conditions:

$$(*) \quad \sup_n \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\mu_{n+1}} \right) < \infty,$$

$$(**) \quad \inf_k \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu_{n+k}}{\mu_n} \right) < 1.$$

EXAMPLES. Put $d\mu_1(r) = (1-r)^\alpha dr$ for some $\alpha > -1$, $d\mu_2(r) = r^\beta dr$ for some $\beta > -1$,

$$d\mu_3(r) = \frac{dr}{(1-r) \log^\gamma(e/(1-r))} \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 1,$$

$$\mu_4 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \delta_{1-2^{-k}}.$$

Then μ_1, μ_2 satisfy $(*)$ and $(**)$ while μ_3, μ_4 fulfil $(*)$ but not $(**)$. μ_1 was considered first by Hardy and Littlewood ([8], [9], see also [6], [7]). μ_2 with $\beta = 1$ yields the "classical" Bergman spaces [1].

2.5. THEOREM. Assume that μ satisfies $(*)$. Then there are integers $1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ and constants $a, b > 0$ such that, for every p, q and $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad a \left(\sum_n M_p^q((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f, 1)(\mu_{m_n} - \mu_{m_{n+1}}) \right)^{1/q} \\ \leq \|f\|_{p,q} \leq b \left(\sum_n M_p^q((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f, 1)(\mu_{m_n} - \mu_{m_{n+1}}) \right)^{1/q}$$

if $1 \leq q < \infty$ and

$$(2.5) \quad a \sup_n M_p((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f, 1)\mu_{m_n} \\ \leq \|f\|_{p,q} \leq b \sup_n M_p((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f, 1)\mu_{m_n}$$

if $q \in \{0, \infty\}$. If $(**)$ holds then we can choose $m_n = Kn$ for some integer K . If $(**)$ is not satisfied and $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ then for any sequence (m_n) with (2.4) or (2.5) we have $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) = \infty$.

Remark. Recall that $R_m f$ is a trigonometric polynomial, hence $M_p(R_m f, 1)$ makes sense. The proof of the theorem as well as of the following corollaries will be given in Section 5. The proof shows that we can choose the m_n by induction such that $m_1 = 1$ and m_{n+1} is the smallest integer larger than m_n with $\mu_{m_n} \geq 3\mu_{m_{n+1}}$.

In [3] and [13] measures of the form $d\mu(r) = (1-r)^{-1}\varphi(1-r)dr$ were considered where φ is a non-decreasing function satisfying two further conditions which imply $(*)$ and $(**)$. Hence Theorem 2.5 includes, for $q \geq 1$, Theorem 2.1.(b) of [13] and Corollary 1 of [3].

Consider a harmonic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let \tilde{f} be its trigonometric conjugate, i.e. the harmonic function \tilde{f} with $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$ such that $\text{Re } f + i \text{Re } \tilde{f}$ and $\text{Im } f + i \text{Im } \tilde{f}$ are holomorphic. We obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{f} = -iRf + i(\text{id} - R)f + if(0) \quad \text{and} \quad Rf = \frac{1}{2}(f + i\tilde{f}) + \frac{1}{2}f(0).$$

2.6. COROLLARY. Let μ satisfy $(*)$.

- (a) $b_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ for all p and q .
- (b) $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ for all q and $1 < p < \infty$.
- (c) If $1 < p < \infty$ and q is arbitrary then the Riesz projection is a bounded operator from $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ onto $B_{p,q}(\mu)$.
- (d) Let $1 < p < \infty$, let q be arbitrary and consider a harmonic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $\|f\|_{p,q} < \infty$ if and only if $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p,q} < \infty$.

For the remaining cases there are some notable exceptions.

2.7. COROLLARY. Assume that $(*)$ holds. Let q be arbitrary and $p \in \{1, \infty\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum_n \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$.
- (ii) R is a bounded operator from $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ onto $B_{p,q}(\mu)$.
- (iii) μ satisfies $(**)$.
- (iv) For a harmonic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have $\|f\|_{p,q} < \infty$ if and only if $\|\tilde{f}\|_{p,q} < \infty$.

Remark. 2.5-2.7 extend the results of [12] where the cases $p = \infty$ and $q \in \{0, \infty\}$ were proved. Corollary 2.7 gives a positive answer to a problem raised in [13], p. 236. (This problem was independently solved by Wojtaszczyk in [20].) For $d\mu_2(r) = r dr$ we obtain the known isomorphic representations $b_{p,p}(\mu_2) \sim B_{p,p}(\mu_2) \sim l_p$ ([10], [16]). However, for the measures μ_3 and μ_4 of the above examples we have $B_{p,q}(\mu) \not\sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ if $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ (in particular, $B_{1,1}(\mu) \not\sim l_1$) but $B_{p,\infty}(\mu) \sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(\infty)}$ whenever $1 < p < \infty$.

Corollary 2.7(iv) together with Corollary 2.6(d) might be regarded as a generalization of some Hardy–Littlewood theorems: Considering

$$d\mu_1(r) = (1-r)^\alpha dr, \quad \alpha > -1, \quad \text{and} \quad q = \infty$$

we obtain, as $r \rightarrow 1$,

$$M_p(f, r) = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}\right) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad M_p(\tilde{f}, r) = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}\right)$$

([5], Theorem 5.7).

Virtually everything carries over to the case where D is the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{C}^n .

3. Trigonometric polynomials. Here we collect some basic properties of the operators R_n . Clearly, we always have

$$(3.1) \quad R_n R_m = R_{\min(n,m)} \quad \text{if } n \neq m.$$

Sometimes we use the following consequence of (3.1):

$$(3.2) \quad (R_q - R_p)(R_n - R_m) = \begin{cases} R_n - R_m & \text{if } p < m < n < q, \\ R_q - R_p & \text{if } m < p < q < n, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > p > n > m \\ & \text{or } n > m > q > p. \end{cases}$$

For $f(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum \alpha_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$ put

$$(3.3) \quad (\sigma_m f)(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} \frac{m - |k|}{m} \alpha_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}.$$

Then σ_m is contractive with respect to $M_p(\cdot, r)$ ([18]).

3.1. LEMMA. *There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that for $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ and all $r > 0$ we have*

$$(3.4) \quad M_p(R(R_{n+1} - R_n)f, r) \leq c M_p((R_{n+1} - R_n)f, r), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

whenever f is a harmonic function.

Proof. For each m we have, in view of (1.3),

$$R(R_{m+1} - R_m)f = e^{i2^{m+1}\varphi} \sigma_{2^{m+1}}(e^{-i2^{m+1}\varphi} f) - \frac{1}{2} e^{i2^m\varphi} \sigma_{2^m}(e^{-i2^m\varphi} f).$$

We conclude that $M_p(R(R_{n+2} - R_{n-1})f, r) \leq \frac{9}{2} M_p(f, r)$. Replacing f by $(R_{n+1} - R_n)f$ yields easily (3.4) (see [12], Corollary 3.1). ■

3.2. LEMMA. *Let $p \in \{1, \infty\}$. Consider integers $1 \leq m_j < n_j$ with $\sup_j (n_j - m_j) = \infty$. Then for any $\beta > 0$ there are a trigonometric polynomial $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and an integer k with $(R_{n_k} - R_{m_k})f = f$ such that*

$$M_p(f, 1) = 1 \text{— but } M_p(Rf, 1) > \beta.$$

Proof. For $p = \infty$ this is essentially [12], Lemma 3.5: Put $h(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} r^j \sin(j\varphi)$ which is the harmonic extension of $h(e^{i\varphi}) = i(\pi - \varphi)$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. For every integer k with $n_k \geq m_k + 3$ define $f_k = (R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})h$. Then $M_\infty(f_k, 1) \leq 2\lambda_\infty\pi$ and, in view of (1.3),

$$M_\infty(Rf_k, 1) \geq \sum_{j=2^{m_k+2}}^{2^{n_k-1}} \frac{1}{j}.$$

Since $\sup_k (n_k - m_k - 3) = \infty$ we find k such that $M_\infty(Rf_k, 1) > 2\lambda_\infty\pi\beta$. By (3.2), $f := f_k/M_\infty(f_k, 1)$ proves the case $p = \infty$.

Since $\sup_k (n_k - m_k - 4) = \infty$ we also find a trigonometric polynomial d and an integer k with

$$(R_{n_k-2} - R_{m_k+2})d = d, \quad M_\infty(d, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_\infty(Rd, 1) > 2\lambda_1\beta.$$

Consider a harmonic g with $M_1(g, 1) = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{i\varphi}) \cdot (Rd)(e^{-i\varphi}) d\varphi > 2\lambda_1\beta.$$

Since $(R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})^* = R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1}$ we obtain, according to (3.2), $M_1(R(R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})g, 1) > 2\lambda_1\beta$. Moreover, $M_1((R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})g, 1) \leq 2\lambda_1$. By (3.2), $f := (R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})g/M_1((R_{n_k-1} - R_{m_k+1})g, 1)$ proves the case $p = 1$. ■

3.3. LEMMA. *Let $0 < r < s$.*

(a) *If f is a trigonometric polynomial of degree n then*

$$M_p(f, s) \leq (s/r)^{2n} M_p(f, r).$$

(b) *Let $f(te^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{|k| \geq m} \alpha_k t^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$ for some integer $m > 0$. Then*

$$M_p(f, r) \leq c(r/s)^m M_p(f, s)$$

for some universal constant c which does not depend on f, m, r or s .

Proof. (a) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We may assume $r \leq s < \exp(1/(2n))r$ (if the lemma holds for these r and s then repeated application yields the general case). Let $f(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} \alpha_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$. Fix $z \in \partial D$. Then (3.3) yields

$$\left(\frac{n}{t}(\text{id} - \sigma_n)f\right)(z) = \sum_{0 < |k| \leq n} |k| \alpha_k t^{|k|-1} z^k.$$

This implies, with $1/p' + 1/p = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_p(f, s) - M_p(f, r) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(se^{i\varphi}) - f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_r^s \left(\frac{n}{t} (\text{id} - \sigma_n) f \right) (te^{i\varphi}) dt \right|^p d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_r^s \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{p/p'} \left(\int_r^s |((\text{id} - \sigma_n) f)(te^{i\varphi})|^p \frac{dt}{t} \right) d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq 2n M_p(f, s) \log(s/r).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $M_p(f, s) \leq (1 - 2n \log(s/r))^{-1} M_p(f, r)$. For a fixed integer m put $r_j = r^{(m-j)/m} s^{j/m}$, $j = 0, \dots, m$. Then we have $r_{j+1}/r_j = (s/r)^{1/m}$ and $r_j \leq r_{j+1} < e^{1/(2n)} r_j$. Repeated application of what we have just proved yields

$$\begin{aligned}
M_p(f, s) &\leq \left(1 - \frac{2n}{m} \log \left(\frac{s}{r} \right) \right)^{-1} M_p(f, r_{m-1}) \leq \dots \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{2n}{m} \log \left(\frac{s}{r} \right) \right)^{-m} M_p(f, r).
\end{aligned}$$

If $m \rightarrow \infty$ then $(1 - (2n/m) \log(s/r))^{-m}$ tends to $\exp(2n \log(s/r)) = (s/r)^{2n}$. This proves the case $1 \leq p < \infty$. The proof for $p = \infty$ is the same.

(b) It suffices to assume $r/s \leq 1 - 1/m$. (For $r/s > 1 - 1/m$ we have $M_p(f, r) \leq 2e(r/s)^m M_p(f, s)$.) The inequality of (b) is clear if f is holomorphic (even with $c = 1$). For arbitrary f satisfying the assumption let k be such that

$$(3.5) \quad 2^{k+1} \leq m \leq 2^{k+2}.$$

Then we have $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_{k+j+1} - R_{k+j})f = f$. Put $f_1 = R(R_{k+1} - R_k)f$ and $f_2 = (\text{id} - R)(R_{k+1} - R_k)f$. Using Lemma 3.1 and the continuity of R if $1 < p < \infty$ we find a universal constant $c_1 > 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_p((R_{k+1} - R_k)f, r) &\leq M_p(f_1, r) + M_p(f_2, r) \\
&\leq (r/s)^m (M_p(f_1, s) + M_p(f_2, s)) \\
&\leq c_1 (r/s)^m M_p((R_{k+1} - R_k)f, s) \\
&\leq 2\lambda_p c_1 (r/s)^m M_p(f, s).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$M_p((R_{k+j+1} - R_{k+j})f, r) \leq 2\lambda_p c_1 (r/s)^{2^{k+j}} M_p(f, s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

since $(R_{k+j+1} - R_{k+j})f$ is spanned by $\bar{z}^{2^{k+j}}, z^{2^{k+j}}, \dots, \bar{z}^{2^{k+j+1}}, z^{2^{k+j+1}}$. Hence for $r/s \leq 1 - 1/m$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M_p(f, r) &\leq 2\lambda_p c_1 (r/s)^m \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (r/s)^{2^{k+j} - m} \right) M_p(f, s) \\
&\leq 2\lambda_p c_1 (r/s)^m \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(- \frac{2^{k+j} - m}{m} \right) \right) M_p(f, s).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.5) there is a universal constant $c > 0$ with

$$M_p(f, r) \leq c(r/s)^m M_p(f, s). \quad \blacksquare$$

4. The Banach spaces $(\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$. Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Banach-Mazur distance between two Banach spaces.

4.1. LEMMA. Put $X = (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$. Let n_k be a sequence of positive integers with $\sup_k n_k = \infty$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus l_p^{n_k} \right)_{(q)} \sim X \sim (X \oplus X \oplus \dots)_{(q)}.$$

Proof. For each integer $m > 0$ find $n_k > m$. We obtain

$$d(l_p^{n_k}, (l_p^m \oplus l_p^{n_k - m}))_{(q)} \leq 2.$$

Hence there is a set N of integers $n_k - m$ with

$$(4.1) \quad d \left(\left(\sum \oplus l_p^{n_k} \right)_{(q)}, \left(\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \oplus l_p^m \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{j \in N} \oplus l_p^j \right) \right)_{(q)} \right) \leq 2.$$

Moreover, in the same way, for any infinite subset N_m of positive integers we find integers m_k with

$$(4.2) \quad d \left(\left(\sum_{j \in N_m} \oplus l_p^j \right)_{(q)}, \left(l_p^{m_k} \oplus l_p^{m_k} \oplus \dots \right) \oplus \left(\sum_k \oplus l_p^{m_k} \right)_{(q)} \right) \leq 2.$$

If we split the positive integers into a sequence of disjoint infinite subsets N_m then (4.2) shows that $d(X, (X \oplus X \oplus \dots)_{(q)}) \leq 2$. This together with (4.1) yields

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus l_p^{n_k} \right)_{(q)} \sim \left((X \oplus X \oplus \dots) \oplus \left(\sum_{j \in N} \oplus l_p^j \right) \right)_{(q)} \sim X. \quad \blacksquare$$

Next, consider $\alpha_k > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad 0 < \inf \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k+1}} \right) \leq \sup \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{k+1}} \right) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, take integers $m_0 = 0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ and define, for harmonic f ,

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \begin{cases} (\sum_k M_p((R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f, 1)^q \alpha_k)^{1/q} & \text{if } q \notin \{0, \infty\}, \\ \sup_k M_p((R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f, 1) \alpha_k & \text{if } q \in \{0, \infty\}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$Z_{p,q} = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ harmonic, } \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\} \quad \text{for } q \neq 0,$$

$$Z_{p,0} = \{f \in Z_{p,\infty} : \lim_n M_p((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f, 1) \alpha_n = 0\},$$

$$Y_{p,q} = \{f \in Z_{p,q} : f \text{ holomorphic}\}.$$

4.2. LEMMA. *Let N be a positive integer. Then each $Y_{p,q}$ contains a subspace X with a projection $Q : Z_{p,q} \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$d\left(X, \left(\sum_j \oplus l_p^j\right)_{(q)}\right) \leq 2, \quad \|Q\| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_N f = 0 \text{ for all } f \in X.$$

Proof. Put $F_k = \text{span}\{z^j : 2^{m_{k-1}+1} \leq j \leq 2^{m_k-1}\}$ if $m_{k-1}+1 < m_k-1$. In view of (3.2) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad (R_{m_j} - R_{m_{j-1}})f = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } f \in F_k.$$

Since by assumption $\sup_k \dim F_k = \infty$ we find, for each j , a suitable k_j , a subspace $E_{k_j} \subset F_{k_j}$ with $d(E_{k_j}, l_p^j) \leq 2$ and a projection $P_{k_j} : L_p(\partial D) \rightarrow E_{k_j}$ with $\|P_{k_j}\| \leq 2$. Here we consider the norm $M_p(g, 1) \alpha_{k_j}^{1/q}$ on $L_p(\partial D)$ which coincides with $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ on F_{k_j} by (4.4). (Of course E_{k_j} and P_{k_j} exist. At first consider the norm $M_p(g, 1)$ on $L_p(\partial D)$. Find a complemented subspace $E \subset L_p(\partial D)$ with $d(E, l_p^j) \leq 2$ consisting of trigonometric polynomials. Then apply a shift into a suitable F_k which is possible since $\sup_k (2^{m_k-1} - 2^{m_{k-1}+1}) = \infty$. In particular, if k is large enough we have $R_N|_{E_k} = 0$. Everything remains true if we go over to the norm $M_p(g, 1) \alpha_k^{1/q}$.)

For $k \neq k_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, put $P_k = 0$ and $E_k = \{0\}$. Let

$$X = \{f \in Y_{p,q} : (R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f \in E_k \text{ for all } k\}.$$

According to the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ and (4.4) we obtain

$$d\left(X, \left(\sum \oplus l_p^j\right)_{(q)}\right) \leq 2.$$

Finally, put $Qf = \sum_k P_k(R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f$. Then we have, by (4.4), if $q \neq 0, \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qf\|_{p,q} &= \left(\sum_k M_p(P_k(R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f, 1)^q \alpha_k\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_k M_p((R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})f, 1)^q \alpha_k\right)^{1/q} = 2\|f\|_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

(4.4) also shows that Q is a projection. The proof for $q \in \{0, \infty\}$ is the same. ■

4.3. LEMMA. *We have $Z_{p,q} \sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$.*

Proof. Put $X = (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$. It suffices to show that $Z_{p,q}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of X . Then by Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and Pełczyński's decomposition method we obtain $Z_{p,q} \sim X$. In the following we treat the cases $q \neq 0, \infty$. The proofs for the remaining cases are similar.

Consider $X_n := L_p(\partial D)$ endowed with the norm $M_p(f, 1) \alpha_n^{1/q}$. Find finite-dimensional subspaces $F_n \subset X_n$ such that $(R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})Z_{p,q} \subset F_n$ and $\sup_n d(F_n, l_p^{\dim F_n}) < \infty$. We may identify X with $(\sum \oplus F_n)_{(q)}$. Define $T : Z_{p,q} \rightarrow X$ by $Tf = ((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})f)$. Then T is an isomorphism. Define $S : X \rightarrow Z_{p,q}$ as follows: Each $f_n \in F_n$ has a natural extension to a harmonic function \hat{f}_n on D . So put $S(f_n) = \sum_n (R_{m_{n+1}} - R_{m_{n-1}-1}) \hat{f}_n$. This definition makes sense, at least, if the f_n are eventually zero. We have, using (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f_n)\|_{p,q} &\leq \sum_{k=-2}^2 \left(\sum_n M_p^q((R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n-1}})(R_{m_{n+k+1}} - R_{m_{n+k-1}-1}) \hat{f}_{n+k}, 1) \alpha_n\right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\|R_n\| \leq \lambda_p$ for all n . By (4.3) we obtain a universal constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|S(f_n)\|_{p,q} \leq c \left(\sum_n M_p^q(f_n, 1) \alpha_n\right)^{1/q}.$$

This means that $S(f_n) \in Z_{p,q}$ and S can be extended to a bounded operator from X to $Z_{p,q}$. By definition and (3.2) we have $STf = f$ for all $f \in Z_{p,q}$. Hence T is an isomorphism and TS is a bounded projection from X onto $TZ_{p,q}$. ■

4.4. LEMMA. *Let $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ and assume that $Y_{p,q} \sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$. Then $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) < \infty$.*

Proof. For a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \partial D$ put $(T_\lambda f)(z) = f(\lambda z)$, $z \in D$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for a trigonometric polynomial f , let $I_n f$ be the trigonometric polynomial with $(I_n f)(w) = w^n f(w)$, $w \in \partial D$.

Now assume $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) = \infty$. Fix $\beta > 0$ and find, by Lemma 3.2, a trigonometric polynomial f_β such that $\|f_\beta\|_{p,q} = 1$ and $\|Rf_\beta\|_{p,q} > \beta$. We can even assume that there are m_{n-1}, m_n such that f_β has the form

$$f_\beta(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{M \leq |k| \leq N} \gamma_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$$

for some M, N with

$$(4.5) \quad 2^{m_{n-1}+1} \leq M \leq N \leq 3N \leq 2^{m_n}.$$

(Apply Lemma 3.2 to the indices $m_{n-1} + 1$ and $m_n - 2$.) Put

$$g_1(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{k=M}^N \gamma_k r^{k+2N} e^{i(k+2N)\varphi}, \quad g_2(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{k=M}^N \gamma_{-k} r^k e^{i(-k)\varphi}$$

and

$$g_3(re^{i\varphi}) = (I_{2N}g_2)(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{k=M}^N \gamma_{-k} r^{2N-k} e^{i(2N-k)\varphi}.$$

In view of (4.5) we have, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(R_{m_k} - R_{m_{k-1}})g_j = \begin{cases} g_j, & k = n, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \partial D$,

$$(4.6) \quad \|T_\lambda g_1 + \lambda^{2N} I_{2N} T_\lambda g_2\|_{p,q} = M_p(T_\lambda(I_{2N}f_\beta), 1) \alpha_n^{1/q} = 1$$

and

$$\|g_1\|_{p,q} = \|Rf_\beta\|_{p,q}.$$

By assumption, Lemma 4.2 provides us with a subspace $X \subset \ker R_{3N}$ and a projection $Q : Z_{p,q} \rightarrow X$ such that $\|Q\| \leq 2$, and there is a constant c independent of β with $d(X, Y_{p,q}) < c$. Find an isomorphism $T : X \rightarrow Y_{p,q}$ with $\|T^{-1}\| = 1$ and $\|T\| < c$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $h_k(re^{i\varphi}) = r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$V = \text{span}\{h_{-k} : M \leq k \leq N\} \quad \text{and} \quad W = X + V.$$

We obtain $g_2 \in V$. Extend T to an operator $\tilde{T} : Y_{p,q} + V \rightarrow W$ by defining

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{T}(f + g) = T(f + I_{2N}g) + \varepsilon g, \quad f \in Y_{p,q}, g \in V.$$

Since $R_{3N}|_V = \text{id}$ and $X \subset \ker R_{3N}$ the operator \tilde{T} is linear bijective. For $\lambda \in \partial D$ define $S_\lambda : W \rightarrow W$ by

$$(4.8) \quad S_\lambda(\tilde{T}f + \tilde{T}g) = TT_\lambda f + \lambda^{2N} \tilde{T}T_\lambda g, \quad f \in Y_{p,q}, g \in V.$$

Then $S_1 = \text{id}$ and $S_\lambda S_\mu = S_{\lambda\mu}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \partial D$. Put

$$(4.9) \quad (Q_0 h)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (S_{e^{-i\varphi}} Q S_{e^{i\varphi}} h)(z) d\varphi, \quad h \in W, z \in D.$$

This definition makes sense since, for fixed h , the map $\lambda \mapsto (S_\lambda Q S_\lambda h)(z)$ is continuous. Q_0 is a projection from W onto X satisfying $S_\lambda Q_0 = Q_0 S_\lambda$ for all λ . For $M \leq k \leq N$ we obtain by (4.7), (4.8), since $h_{-k} \in V$,

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda^{2N-k} Q_0 \tilde{T} h_{-k} = Q_0 S_\lambda \tilde{T} h_{-k} = S_\lambda Q_0 \tilde{T} h_{-k}.$$

Now $R_{3N}|_X = 0$ and $X \subset Y_{p,q}$ imply that

$$S_\lambda Q_0 \tilde{T} h_{-k} = \sum_{j>3N} \delta_j \lambda^j h_j \quad \text{for some } \delta_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and all } \lambda \in \partial D.$$

Hence, by (4.10), $Q_0 \tilde{T} h_{-k} = 0$ if $M \leq k \leq N$. In particular, $Q_0 \tilde{T}(g_1 + g_2) = Tg_1$. Thus by (4.6)–(4.8) and the fact that $\|S_\lambda|_X\| \leq c$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta < \|Tg_1\|_{p,q} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|S_{e^{-i\varphi}} Q S_{e^{i\varphi}} \tilde{T}(g_1 + g_2)\|_{p,q} d\varphi \\ &\leq 2c \sup_{\lambda \in \partial D} \|S_\lambda \tilde{T}(g_1 + g_2)\|_{p,q} \\ &\leq 2c \sup_{\lambda \in \partial D} (\|T(T_\lambda g_1 + \lambda^{2N} I_{2N} T_\lambda g_2)\|_{p,q} + \varepsilon \|\lambda^{2N} T_\lambda g_2\|_{p,q}) \\ &\leq 2c^2(1 + \varepsilon \|g_2\|_{p,q}). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrarily fixed independent of β and g_2 , if β is large enough we arrive at a contradiction. ■

5. Proofs of the main results. First we go back to Definition 2.4.

5.1. LEMMA. Assume that μ satisfies (\star) .

(a) There are positive integers m_k such that

$$2 \leq \inf_k \left(\frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k}}{\mu_{m_k} - \mu_{m_{k+1}}} \right) \leq \sup_k \left(\frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k}}{\mu_{m_k} - \mu_{m_{k+1}}} \right) < \infty.$$

(b) If in addition $(\star\star)$ is satisfied then there is an integer $K > 0$ such that the inequalities of (a) hold for $m_n = Kn$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

(c) If $(\star\star)$ is not fulfilled then $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) = \infty$ for any sequence (m_n) of positive integers with $\mu_{m_{n-1}} \geq 3\mu_{m_n}$.

Proof. In (a) we take $m_1 = 1$ and let m_k be the smallest integer with $\mu_{m_{k-1}} \geq 3\mu_{m_k}$. If $(\star\star)$ is satisfied then we find K with $\mu_{Kn+K}/\mu_{Kn} \leq 1/3$ for all n , and put $m_n = Kn$. In any case we obtain

$$2\mu_{m_k} \leq \mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k} \leq \mu_{m_{k-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{3}\mu_{m_k} \leq \mu_{m_k} - \mu_{m_{k+1}}.$$

Hence

$$2 \leq \frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k}}{\mu_{m_k}} \leq \frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k}}{\mu_{m_k} - \mu_{m_{k+1}}} \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}}}{\mu_{m_k}}.$$

If $m_n = Kn$ then (a) follows directly from (\star) . If m_k is the smallest integer with $3\mu_{m_k} \leq \mu_{m_{k-1}}$ then we have $\mu_{m_{k-1}} < 3\mu_{m_{k-1}}$ and therefore $\mu_{m_{k-1}}/\mu_{m_k} \leq 3\mu_{m_{k-1}}/\mu_{m_k}$. We obtain, by (\star) ,

$$\sup_k \left(\frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}} - \mu_{m_k}}{\mu_{m_k} - \mu_{m_{k+1}}} \right) \leq \frac{9}{2} \sup_k \left(\frac{\mu_{m_{k-1}}}{\mu_{m_k}} \right) < \infty.$$

This proves (a) and (b).

(c) Assume that $\varrho := 2 \sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) < \infty$ and $\mu_{m_{n-1}} \geq 3\mu_{m_n}$ for all n . This implies $3\mu_{\varrho j} \leq \mu_{\varrho(j-1)}$ for all j . Consider n with $(j-2)\varrho < n \leq \varrho(j-1)$. Then $\varrho j \leq n + 2\varrho \leq \varrho(j+1)$ and we obtain

$$3\mu_{n+2\varrho} \leq 3\mu_{\varrho j} \leq \mu_{\varrho(j-1)} \leq \mu_n.$$

Hence μ_n satisfies $(\star\star)$. ■

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.5. Choose m_n according to Lemma 5.1. Put $\alpha_n = \mu_{m_n} - \mu_{m_{n+1}}$ (for $q = 0$ or $q = \infty$ we consider $\alpha_n = \mu_{m_n}$). We prove the theorem for $1 \leq q < \infty$. The proof of the remaining cases is similar. (For $p = q = \infty$ see [12].)

Define $r_n = 1 - 2^{-m_n}$ and $I_n = [r_n, r_{n+1}[$. Take $f \in b_{p,q}(\mu)$. Recall that $f_n := (R_{m_n} - R_{m_{n+1}})f$ is a trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq 2^{m_{n+1}}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, for $c_1 = \sup_n (1 - 2^{-m_n})^{2^{m_{n+2}q}}$ we have $M_p^q(f, 1) \leq c_1 M_p^q(f, r_n)$ and thus

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{c_1} M_p^q(f_n, 1) \alpha_n \leq \int_{I_n} M_p^q(f_n, r) d\mu(r) \leq M_p^q(f_n, 1) \alpha_n.$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3.3, there is a universal constant $c_2 \geq c_1$ with

$$M_p(f_j, r_{n+1}) \alpha_n^{1/q} \leq c_2 \begin{cases} (\alpha_n / \alpha_j)^{1/q} M_p(f_j, 1) \alpha_j^{1/q}, & j \leq n, \\ r_{n+1}^{2^{m_j-1}} (\alpha_n / \alpha_j)^{1/q} M_p(f_j, 1) \alpha_j^{1/q}, & j > n. \end{cases}$$

Put

$$\beta_{n,j} = \begin{cases} (1/2)^{(n-j)/q}, & j \leq n, \\ \exp(-2^{m_j-1-m_{n+1}}) \varrho^{(j-n)/q}, & j > n, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \varrho = \sup_k (\alpha_{k-1} / \alpha_k).$$

Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain a constant $c_3 \geq c_2$ with $M_p(f_j, r_{n+1}) \alpha_n^{1/q} \leq c_3 \beta_{n,j} M_p(f_j, 1) \alpha_j^{1/q}$. Note that $\sup_n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,j} < \infty$. The Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p,q} &\leq \left(\sum_n \int_{I_n} \left(\sum_j M_p(f_j, r) \right)^q d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_n \left(\sum_j M_p(f_j, r_{n+1}) \alpha_n^{1/q} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c_3 \left(\sum_n \left(\sum_j \beta_{n,j} M_p(f_j, 1) \alpha_j^{1/q} \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c_4 \left(\sum_n \sum_j \beta_{n,j} M_p^q(f_j, 1) \alpha_j \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= c_4 \left(\sum_j \left(\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} (1/2)^{(n-j)/q} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} \exp(-2^{m_j-1-m_{n+1}}) \varrho^{(j-n)/q} \right) M_p^q(f_j, 1) \alpha_j \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c_5 \left(\sum_j M_p^q(f_j, 1) \alpha_j \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

for universal constants $c_5 \geq c_4 \geq c_3$.

Conversely, according to (5.1) and (1.3),

$$(5.3) \quad c_1^{-1/q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_p^q(f_j, 1) \alpha_j \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_j} M_p^q(f_j, r) d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} \leq 2\lambda_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_j} M_p^q(f, r) d\mu(r) \right)^{1/q} \leq 2\lambda_p \|f\|_{p,q}.$$

If $(\star\star)$ is satisfied then we find K such that we can choose $m_n = Kn$ in the preceding estimates. In this case we have

$$R_{Kn} - R_{K(n-K)} = \sum_{j=1}^K (R_{K(n-1)+j} - R_{K(n-1)+j-1}).$$

Thus according to Lemma 3.1, if $p \in \{1, \infty\}$, the Riesz projection $R : b_{p,q}(\mu) \rightarrow B_{p,q}(\mu)$ is bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$.

If $(\star\star)$ is not satisfied then, by Lemma 5.1, our choice of m_n implies $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) = \infty$. If $p \in \{1, \infty\}$, then Lemma 3.2 shows that R is unbounded. Hence for no choice of (m_n) such that the first part of Theorem 2.5 holds can we have $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) < \infty$. ■

5.3. Proof of Corollary 2.6. Theorem 2.5 shows that $b_{p,q}(\mu) \sim Z_{p,q}$ with $\alpha_n = \mu_{m_n} - \mu_{m_{n+1}}$ ($\alpha_n = \mu_{m_n}$ if $q \in \{0, \infty\}$). (4.3) is satisfied according to Lemma 5.1. So (a) follows from Lemma 4.3.

Moreover, we have $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim Y_{p,q}$. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then the Riesz projection is always bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ because R is bounded with respect to $M_p(\cdot, 1)$. Hence $B_{p,q}(\mu)$ is complemented in $b_{p,q}(\mu)$ if $1 < p < \infty$. Now, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 together with Pełczyński's decomposition method show that $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum \oplus_p^n)_{(q)}$. This proves Corollary 2.6(b).

Since R is bounded, in view of (2.6), the map $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$. This yields (d). ■

5.4. Proof of Corollary 2.7. (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) follows directly from (2.6) since R is bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ if and only if the conjugation operator is bounded. Theorem 2.5 in connection with Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 shows (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

If R is bounded then $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim Y_{p,q}$ is complemented in $b_{p,q}(\mu) \sim Z_{p,q}$. Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 and an application of Pełczyński's decomposition method yield $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$.

Finally, if $B_{p,q}(\mu) \sim (\sum \oplus l_p^n)_{(q)}$ then Lemma 4.4 implies $\sup_n (m_n - m_{n-1}) < \infty$. So, by Lemma 3.1, R is bounded. ■

References

- [1] S. Axler, *Bergman spaces and their operators*, in: Survey of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, B. Conway and B. Morrel (eds.), Pitman Res. Notes, 1988, 1–50.
- [2] K. D. Bierstedt and W. H. Summers, *Biduals of weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Sec. A 54 (1993), 70–79.
- [3] O. Blasco, *Multipliers on weighted Besov spaces of analytic functions*, in: Contemp. Math. 144, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993, 23–33.
- [4] R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, *Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in L^p* , Astérisque 77 (1980), 12–66.
- [5] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p -Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] T. M. Flett, *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38 (1972), 746–765.
- [7] —, *Lipschitz spaces of functions on the circle and the disc*, ibid. 39 (1972), 125–158.
- [8] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals II*, Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403–439.
- [9] —, —, *Theorems concerning mean values of analytic or harmonic functions*, Quart. J. Math. 12 (1941), 221–256.
- [10] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Contributions to the theory of classical Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 225–249.
- [11] W. Lusky, *On the structure of $Hv_0(D)$ and $hv_0(D)$* , Math. Nachr. 159 (1992), 279–289.
- [12] —, *On weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995), 309–320.
- [13] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, *L^p -behaviour of the integral means of analytic functions*, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 219–237.
- [14] L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *The second duals of certain spaces of analytic functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 11 (1970), 276–280.
- [15] A. L. Shields and D. L. Williams, *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 287–302.
- [16] —, —, *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299/300 (1978), 256–279.
- [17] —, —, *Bounded projections and the growth of harmonic conjugates in the unit disc*, Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982), 3–25.

- [18] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [19] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [20] —, *On unconditional polynomial bases in L_p and Bergman spaces*, Constr. Approx., to appear.

Fachbereich 17
 Universität-Gesamthochschule
 Warburger Straße 100
 D-33098 Paderborn, Germany
 E-mail: lusky@uni-paderborn.de

Received September 28, 1995
 Revised version February 16, 1996

(3535)