

## On Kato non-singularity

by

ROBIN HARTE (Dublin)

**Abstract.** An exactness lemma offers a simplified account of the spectral properties of the “holomorphic” analogue of normal solvability.

Call a bounded linear operator between Banach spaces *normally solvable* if it has closed range  $TX = \text{cl}(TX) \subseteq Y$ ; by an old theorem of Banach this implies that an equation  $Tx = y$  is solvable if there is the implication, for arbitrary bounded linear functionals  $g \in Y^\dagger$ ,

$$(0.1) \quad gT = 0 \Rightarrow gy = 0.$$

When  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces then normal solvability implies that  $T$  is *regular*, or “relatively Fredholm”, in the sense of having a (bounded) *generalized inverse*,  $T^\wedge : Y \rightarrow X$ , for which

$$(0.2) \quad T = TT^\wedge T$$

(so that if  $y = Tx$  can be solved then  $x = T^\wedge y$  is a solution). Goldberg and others have tried to make a “spectrum” out of this, collecting ([6], Definition VI.7.1) the complex numbers  $\lambda$  for which  $T - \lambda I$  is not normally solvable, but nothing works: for example the operator  $0 : X \rightarrow X$  has empty spectrum, and ([2], § 2.8) there are simple examples which show that this spectrum is not closed, and does not satisfy the spectral mapping theorem (either way, even for the polynomial  $z^2$ ).

For a Hilbert space  $X = Y$  Mbekhta ([13], [14]) has examined a “holomorphic” analogue of normal solvability, which of course coincides with regularity: we may call the operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  *holomorphically regular* or “Kato invertible” if there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$  and a holomorphic function  $T_\lambda^\wedge : U \rightarrow X$  for which

$$(0.3) \quad T - \lambda I = (T - \lambda I)T_\lambda^\wedge(T - \lambda I) \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in U.$$

The work of Mbekhta shows that, on a Hilbert space, the spectrum derived from “holomorphic regularity” is non-empty, closed and subject to the

spectral mapping theorem. We have offered an extension of this to Banach spaces [8], and here wish to consider the corresponding extension to Banach spaces of the holomorphic analogue of normal solvability. The key to the definition is the observation ([13], Théorème 2.6; [8], Theorem 9) that if  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is bounded and linear then

$$(0.4) \quad T \text{ holomorphically regular} \Leftrightarrow T \text{ regular hyperexact,}$$

where  $T$  is called *hyperexact* if

$$(0.5) \quad T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X) = \bigcap_n T^n(X),$$

i.e. its null space is included in its “hyperrange”. There are ([8], Theorem 7) various equivalent versions of hyperexactness and related concepts; it is easy to see that, with no topology,  $T$  is hyperexact if and only if it is *perfect* in the sense of Saphar ([20], Definition 2). Hyperexactness by itself need not give a good spectrum: for example, any operator which is either one-one or onto satisfies this condition. We do get part of the spectral mapping theorem: if  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $S : X \rightarrow X$  commute, in the sense that  $ST = TS$ , then

$$(0.6) \quad ST \text{ hyperexact} \Rightarrow S, T \text{ hyperexact,}$$

with the reverse implication if either  $S$  is a power of  $T$ ,

$$(0.7) \quad S = T^n \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

or the pair  $(S, T)$  satisfies the “middle exactness” condition of Taylor ([8], (3.4)):

$$(0.8) \quad (-S \ T)^{-1}(0) \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} T \\ S \end{pmatrix} (X).$$

This is enough for the spectral mapping theorem for non-constant polynomials. An example of Müller ([18], Example 2.2) shows that the implication (0.6) cannot be reversed in general. To extend (0.6) to holomorphic regularity we are able to use a simple lemma ([8], Theorem 3): if  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $S : Y \rightarrow Z$  are bounded and linear between normed spaces, and if there are bounded linear  $S' : Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $T' : Y \rightarrow X$  for which

$$(0.9) \quad S'S + TT' = I,$$

then

$$(0.10) \quad ST \text{ regular} \Leftrightarrow S, T \text{ regular.}$$

Our main result in this note is the analogue of (0.10) for normal solvability:

**1. THEOREM.** *If  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $S : Y \rightarrow Z$  are bounded linear operators between Banach spaces then*

$$(1.1) \quad S^{-1}(0) \cap TX = \{0\}, \quad S^{-1}(0) + TX \text{ closed} \Rightarrow TX \text{ closed}$$

and, even if  $X$  is not complete,

$$(1.2) \quad SY, \quad S^{-1}(0) + TX \text{ closed} \Rightarrow STX \text{ closed} \Rightarrow S^{-1}(0) + TX \text{ closed.}$$

In particular, if

$$(1.3) \quad S^{-1}(0) \subseteq TX$$

then

$$(1.4) \quad SY, \quad TX \text{ closed} \Rightarrow STX \text{ closed} \Rightarrow TX \text{ closed.}$$

**Proof.** The implication (1.1) is an application ([7], Theorem 4.8.2) of the open mapping theorem, the “lemma of Neuberger”: renorm the operator range  $TX$  ([10], Lemma 1). The first part of (1.2) is a lemma of Kato ([9], Lemma 331): consider the quotient  $(S^{-1}(0) + TX)/S^{-1}(0)$ . The second part of (1.2) ([10], Lemma 1) reduces to the remark

$$(1.5) \quad S^{-1}(0) + T(X) = S^{-1}(STX).$$

(1.2) is converted to (1.4) by (1.3). ■

The argument of (1.1) extends to the case in which  $S^{-1}(0) \cap TX$  is finite-dimensional, but such a condition cannot entirely be eliminated: let  $ST = 0$  with  $TX$  not closed. The assumption that  $SY$  is closed can be neither removed from the left hand side of (1.4) nor added to the right: take  $S$  to be one-one and either  $T = I$  or  $T = 0$ . It is also not possible to add the closedness of  $STX$  to the right hand side of (1.1): take  $T = I$  and  $S$  to be one-one. Theorem 1 offers two different reasons why the product of two closed range operators should again have closed range (compare also the lemma of Bouldin [1]). Mbekhta and Laursen [10] are concerned with “central multipliers”  $T$  on a semiprime algebra  $X$ , for which  $T^{-1}(0) \cap TX = \{0\}$ ; they use (1.1) and (1.2) to deduce that all the powers  $T^n$  have closed range. Our interest here is of course with operators  $T$  which satisfy the Saphar condition  $T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X) \subseteq TX$ .

We shall call  $T : X \rightarrow X$  *Kato non-singular* if it is normally solvable and hyperexact. Combining (0.6) and (1.4), specialised to  $X = Y = Z$ , shows that if  $S$  and  $T$  commute then

$$(1.6) \quad ST \text{ Kato non-singular} \Rightarrow S, T \text{ Kato non-singular,}$$

with the reverse implication if either (0.7) or (0.8) holds. To see that the spectrum derived from Kato non-singularity is closed, we might look for a “holomorphic” characterization (cf. [14], Théorème 2.7): call a point  $x \in X$  a *holomorphic kernel point* for  $T : X \rightarrow X$  if there exist a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$  and a holomorphic function  $f : U \rightarrow X$  for which

$$(1.7) \quad f(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad (T - \lambda I)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in U;$$

more generally, call  $x$  a *consorted kernel point* for  $T$  if there exist sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  and  $(S_n)$  in  $\text{comm}^{-1}(T)$ , the invertible operators commuting with  $T$ , for which

$$(1.8) \quad (T - S_n)x_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|S_n\| + \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0.$$

2. THEOREM. *If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is normally solvable on the Banach space  $X$  then the following conditions are equivalent:*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{every point of } T^{-1}(0) \text{ is consorted;}$$

$$(2.2) \quad T \text{ is hyperexact;}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{every point of } T^{-1}(0) \text{ is holomorphic.}$$

Proof. If  $T$  is normally solvable and hyperexact then by Theorem 1 every power  $T^n$  is normally solvable, so that the hyperrange  $T^\infty(X) = \bigcap_n T^n(X)$  is closed, and therefore a Banach space in its own right. The hyperexactness implies in particular ([8], (7.8)) that the induced operator  $T^\wedge : T^\infty(X) \rightarrow T^\infty(X)$  is onto:

$$(2.4) \quad y = Tx_0 = T^{n+1}x_n \Rightarrow x_0 - T^n x_n \in T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X) \\ \Rightarrow x_0 \in T^n(X)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the open mapping theorem  $T^\wedge$  is therefore open, with  $k > 0$  for which

$$(2.5) \quad y \in T^\infty(X) \Rightarrow y = Tx_0 \quad \text{with } x_0 \in T^\infty(X) \text{ and } \|x_0\| \leq k\|y\|,$$

and hence inductively a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $T^\infty(X)$ :

$$(2.6) \quad x_n \in T^\infty(X) \Rightarrow x_n = Tx_{n+1} \\ \text{with } x_{n+1} \in T^\infty(X) \text{ and } \|x_{n+1}\| \leq k\|x_n\|.$$

Thus we also have  $\|x_n\| \leq k^{n+1}\|y\|$ ; now define

$$(2.7) \quad U = \{|z| < k^{-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n x_n : U \rightarrow X.$$

This gives implication (2.2)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.3); conversely, if every kernel point is consorted and  $Tx = 0$  then

$$(2.8) \quad x = \lim_n x_n \in \text{cl} \bigcup_n (T - S_n)^{-1}(0) \subseteq \text{cl} T^\infty(X) \subseteq \bigcap_n \text{cl} T^n(X).$$

The argument is now induction on  $n$ , using Theorem 1: if  $TX$  and  $T^n X$  are both closed then so is  $T^{n+1}X$ . This shows (2.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2), and trivially (2.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.1). ■

Theorem 2 generalises the observation of Finch ([4], Theorem 2) that if  $T$  is onto then the “single-valued extension property at 0” implies  $T$  is one-one; compare also Schmoegeer ([22], Proposition 3) and Laursen and Neumann ([11], Remark 1.6).

Dual to the conditions of Theorem 2, we shall call  $y \in X$  a *consorted range point* of  $T$  if there are  $x$  and  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  and  $(S_n)$  in  $\text{comm}^{-1}(T)$  for which

$$(2.9) \quad y = (T - S_n)x_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|S_n\| + \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and a *holomorphic range point* if there is  $U \in \text{Nbd}(0)$  and holomorphic  $f : U \rightarrow X$  for which

$$(2.10) \quad y = (T - \lambda I)f(\lambda) \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in U.$$

These holomorphic range points coincide ([15], Proposition 1.3) with the “coeur analytique” of Mbekhta. Under the conditions of Theorem 2 (cf. [16], Theorem 1.1), we have

3. THEOREM. *If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is Kato non-singular on the Banach space  $X$  and  $y \in X$  then the following are equivalent:*

$$(3.1) \quad y \text{ is a consorted range point of } T;$$

$$(3.2) \quad y \in T^\infty(X);$$

$$(3.3) \quad y \text{ is a holomorphic range point of } T.$$

Proof. If (2.9) holds then  $y = (T - S_n)x_n \rightarrow Tx \in TX$  with

$$(3.4) \quad x_n = S_n^{-1}(Tx_n - y) = TS_n^{-1}(x_n - x) \in TX \\ \text{and} \quad x = \lim_n x_n \in \text{cl}(TX) = TX.$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  this argument gives

$$(3.5) \quad y \in T^k X \Rightarrow x \in \text{cl}(T^k X) = T^k X \Rightarrow y \in T^{k+1} X,$$

so that (3.2) follows by induction. Conversely, if (3.2) holds then the construction of (2.7) gives (2.10), and trivially again (2.10)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.9). ■

The equivalence of (3.2) and (3.3) is also given by Laursen and Neumann ([11], Theorem 1).

The “single-valued extension property” ([3], [4], [16]) says that  $T - \lambda I$  has no non-zero holomorphic kernel points for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ; dually,  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is not in the “local spectrum”  $\sigma_T(y)$  of  $y \in X$  (this writer would prefer “ $\sigma_y(T)$ ”) if and only if  $y \in X$  is not a holomorphic range point of  $T$ .

On closer examination Theorem 2 does not achieve its aim, to show that the Kato spectrum is closed: this is part of a perturbation theorem of Kato ([9], Theorem 3). Non-emptiness of the Kato spectrum, and the fact that it contains the topological boundary of the usual spectrum, follows from the local constancy of the hyperrange  $(T - zI)^\infty(X)$  and of the closure of the hyperkernel  $(T - zI)^{-\infty}(0)$  on its complement ([21], Satz 1); the proof seems to need gap theory ([5], Satz 3; [17], Théorème 4.1). More generally,

recalling the *reduced minimum modulus*

$$(3.6) \quad \gamma(T) = \inf\{\|Tx\| : \text{dist}(x, T^{-1}(0)) \geq 1\},$$

we have

4. THEOREM. *If  $S$  commutes with  $T$  and  $\|S\| \leq \gamma(T)$  then*

$$(4.1) \quad T \text{ Kato non-singular} \Rightarrow T - S \text{ Kato non-singular}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad T - S \text{ invertible, } T \text{ Kato non-singular} \Rightarrow T \text{ invertible.}$$

Proof. If  $S$  commutes with  $T$  then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad (T^{-1}S)^n(0) \subseteq T^{-n}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad T^n(X) \subseteq (S^{-1}T)^n(X),$$

and hence if  $T$  is hyperexact in the sense (0.5) then

$$(4.4) \quad (T^{-1}S)^n(0) \subseteq (S^{-1}T)^m(X) \quad \text{for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But now the stability result of Kato ([9], Theorem 3) says that on the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda|\|S\| < \gamma(T)\}$  the range  $(T - \lambda S)(X)$  is closed of constant (possibly infinite) codimension and the null space  $(T - \lambda S)^{-1}(0)$  of constant (again possibly infinite) dimension, while (4.4) continues to hold with  $T - \lambda S$  in place of  $T$ . ■

Kato's theorem also uses gap theory; we have been unable to find an elementary argument like the proof of the analogous result ([8], (9.5)) for hyperregularity. The stability result of Kato gives immediately a "punctured neighbourhood theorem"; we correct the statement of Lee ([12], Theorem 2) and further simplify the argument of Schmoegeer ([23], Theorem 1):

5. THEOREM. *If*

$$(5.1) \quad T^{-1}(0) + T(X) \text{ is closed and } T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) \text{ is finite-dimensional}$$

then for  $S \in \text{comm}^{-1}(T)$  of sufficiently small norm

$$(5.2) \quad T - S \text{ is normally solvable}$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \dim(T - S)^{-1}(0) = \dim T^{-1}(0) \cap T^\infty(X) < \infty \quad \text{independent of } S.$$

Proof. Once again  $\text{comm}^{-1}(T) = BL^{-1}(X, X) \cap \text{comm}(T)$  is the "invertible commutant" of  $T \in BL(X, X)$ , and we write  $U^\sim : T^\infty(X) \rightarrow T^\infty(X)$  for the operator induced by  $U \in \text{comm}(T)$ ; then it is elementary that ([8], Lemma 6)

$$(5.4) \quad S \in \text{comm}^{-1}(T) \Rightarrow (T - S)^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \dim T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) < \infty \Rightarrow T^\infty(X) \subseteq T(T^\infty(X)).$$

It follows that for sufficiently small  $S \in \text{comm}^{-1}(T)$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad \dim(T - S)^{-1}(0) = \dim(T - S)^{\sim -1}(0) \\ = \text{index}(T - S)^\sim = \text{index } T^\sim = \dim T^{\sim -1}(0):$$

the fourth equality is (5.5), which says that  $T^\sim$  is onto, the third equality is the continuity of the index on the Banach space  $T^\infty(X)$  and the second the fact that the onto mappings on  $T^\infty(X)$  form an open set; the first equality is just (5.4). ■

## References

- [1] R. H. Bouladin, *Closed range and relative regularity for products*, J. Math. Anal. 61 (1977), 397-403.
- [2] S. R. Caradus, *Operator Theory of the Pseudoinverse*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. 38, Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1974.
- [3] I. Colojoară and C. Foiaş, *Theory of Generalized Spectral Operators*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
- [4] J. K. Finch, *The single-valued extension property on a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 61-69.
- [5] K. H. Förster, *Über die Invarianz einiger Räume, die zum Operator  $T - \lambda A$  gehören*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 17 (1966), 56-64.
- [6] S. Goldberg, *Unbounded Linear Operators*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [7] R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [8] —, *Taylor exactness and Kato's jump*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), 793-801.
- [9] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Anal. Math. 6 (1958), 261-322.
- [10] K. B. Laursen and M. Mbekhta, *Closed range multipliers and generalized inverses*, Studia Math. 107 (1993), 127-135.
- [11] K. B. Laursen and M. M. Neumann, *Local spectral theory and spectral inclusions*, Glasgow Math. J. 36 (1994), 331-343.
- [12] W. Y. Lee, *A generalization of the punctured neighborhood theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 107-109.
- [13] M. Mbekhta, *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectrales*, Glasgow Math. J. 29 (1987), 159-175.
- [14] —, *Résolvant généralisé et théorie spectrale*, J. Operator Theory 21 (1989), 69-105.
- [15] —, *Sur la théorie spectrale locale et limite des nilpotents*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1990), 621-631.
- [16] —, *Local spectrum and generalized spectrum*, ibid. 112 (1991), 457-463.
- [17] M. Mbekhta et A. Ouahab, *Opérateur s-régulier dans un espace de Banach et théorie spectrale*, Publ. Inst. Rech. Math. Av. Lille 22 (1990), XII.
- [18] V. Müller, *On the regular spectrum*, J. Operator Theory 31 (1995), 366-380.
- [19] V. Rakočević, *Generalized spectrum and commuting compact perturbations*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 36 (1993), 197-209.
- [20] P. Saphar, *Contribution à l'étude des applications linéaires dans un espace de Banach*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 363-384.
- [21] C. Schmoegeer, *Ein Spektralabbildungssatz*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 55 (1990), 484-489.

- [22] C. Schmoegeer, *On isolated points of the spectrum of a bounded linear operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 715–719.
- [23] —, *On a generalized punctured neighborhood theorem in  $L(X)$* , *ibid.* 123 (1995), 1237–1240.
- [24] T. Starr and T. West, *A positive contribution to operator theory*, *Bord na Mona Bull.* 5 (1938), 6.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
TRINITY COLLEGE  
DUBLIN, IRELAND

Current address:  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO  
MÉXICO 04510 DF, MEXICO  
E-mail: RHARTE@GAUSS.MATEM.UNAM.MX

Received January 27, 1994  
Revised version August 18, 1995

(3223)

## Accretive approximation in $C^*$ -algebras

by

RAINER BERNTZEN (Münster)

**Abstract.** The problem of approximation by accretive elements in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra suggested by P. R. Halmos is substantially solved. The key idea is the observation that accretive approximation can be regarded as a combination of positive and self-adjoint approximation. The approximation results are proved both in the  $C^*$ -norm and in another, topologically equivalent norm.

**1. Introduction.** For every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  let  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the set of all accretive elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. the set of all elements with positive real part. For an element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  let  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$  denote the set of all accretive approximants of  $a$ . Here an *approximant* means an element  $x$  of  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\|a-x\| \leq \|a-y\|$  for every element  $y$  of  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Furthermore, let the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  be defined by  $\|a\| = \|\frac{1}{2}(a^*a + aa^*)\|^{1/2}$  (cf. [Bo 2, Be 1]). The accretive approximants in this norm will be called *accretive near-approximants*; the set of all accretive near-approximants will be denoted by  $\tilde{\text{Acc}}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to describe the sets  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$  and  $\tilde{\text{Acc}}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ . The key idea is the observation that accretive approximation is a combination of positive and self-adjoint approximation (Theorem 2.1(c)). As a consequence the real dimensions of the convex sets  $\text{Acc}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}(A)$  and  $\tilde{\text{Acc}}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}(A)$  can be computed for every bounded linear operator  $A$  on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and some extreme points can be constructed.

**2. Accretive approximation in  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the set of all self-adjoint elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For every element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$  (respectively  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ ) be the set of all self-adjoint approximants (respectively self-adjoint near-approximants) of  $a$ . Similarly  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the set of all positive elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$  (respectively  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ ) denotes the set of all positive approximants (respectively near-approximants) of  $a$ .