STUDIA MATHEMATICA 113 (2) (1995)

Vasilescu–Martinelli formula for operators in Banach spaces

by

V. KORDULA and V. MÜLLER (Praha)

Abstract. We prove a formula for the Taylor functional calculus for functions analytic in a neighbourhood of the splitting spectrum of an n-tuple of commuting Banach space operators. This generalizes the formula of Vasilescu for Hilbert space operators and is closely related to a recent result of D. W. Albrecht.

Let A be an n-tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X. The existence of the functional calculus for functions analytic in a neighbourhood of the Taylor spectrum is one of the most important results of spectral theory [4], [5]. The formula giving the calculus is, however, rather inexplicit. Situation is better for commuting Hilbert space operators where an explicit formula was given by Vasilescu [6], [7].

The aim of this paper is to show that for such a formula to hold the equality between the Taylor and splitting spectra for operators in Hilbert spaces is essential. We generalize the Vasilescu formula to commuting Banach space operators and to functions analytic in a neighbourhood of the splitting spectrum.

The results are closely related to the paper of D. W. Albrecht [1]. He proved the Vasilescu formula under the assumption of existence of a certain "smooth generalized inverse".

We show that a smooth generalized inverse with similar properties exists everywhere in the complement of the splitting spectrum, which enables us to construct the calculus. Another difference is that we do not assume the existence of the Taylor functional calculus.

Let X, Y be Banach spaces. We say that an operator $T : X \to Y$ has a *generalized inverse* if there is an operator $S : Y \to X$ such that $TST = T$ and $STS = S$.

We shall use the following easy characterization (see e.g. [2]):

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A60, 47A13.

The research was supported by the grant no. 119106 of the Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces, and let $T : X \to Y$ be an operator. The following conditions are equivalent*:

(1) *T has a generalized inverse*,

(2) *there exists an operator $S : Y \to X$ such that $TST = T$*,

(3) *$\operatorname{Im} T$ is closed and both $\ker T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ are complemented subspaces of X and Y, respectively.*

Proof. Clearly (1)⇒(2).

(2)⇒(1). Let $TST = T$ for some operator $S : Y \to X$. Set $S' = STS$. It is easy to check that $TS'T = T$ and $S'TS' = S'$.

(1)⇒(3). Let $TST = T$ and $STS = S$. Then $TS : Y \to Y$ is a bounded projection and $\operatorname{Im} T \supset \operatorname{Im} TS \supset \operatorname{Im} TST = \operatorname{Im} T$, so that TS is a projection onto $\operatorname{Im} T$.

Similarly ST is a bounded projection with $\ker ST = \ker T$.

(3)⇒(1). Let $X = \ker T \oplus M$ and let $P \in B(Y)$ be a bounded projection onto $\operatorname{Im} T$. Then $T|M : M \to \operatorname{Im} T$ is a bijection. Set $S = (T|M)^{-1}P$. Then $TST = T(T|M)^{-1}PT = T$ and $STS = (T|M)^{-1}PT(T|M)^{-1}P = (T|M)^{-1}P = S$.

We now repeat the basic notations of Taylor [4].

Denote by $\Lambda(s)$ the complex exterior algebra generated by the indeterminates $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. Then

$$\Lambda(s) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^p(s),$$

where $\Lambda^p(s)$ is the set of all elements of degree p in $\Lambda(s)$.

Let X be a Banach space. Set $\Lambda(s, X) = X \otimes \Lambda(s)$ and $\Lambda^p(s, X) = X \otimes \Lambda^p(s)$. Then the elements of $\Lambda^p(s, X)$ are of the form

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_p \le n} x_{i_1, \ldots, i_p} s_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{i_p}$$

where $x_{i_1, \ldots, i_p} \in X$.

Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be an n-tuple of mutually commuting operators in X. Define an operator $\delta_A : \Lambda(s, X) \to \Lambda(s, X)$ by

$$\delta_A(x s_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{i_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_j x) s_j \wedge s_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{i_p}.$$

Write $\delta_A^p = \delta_A | \Lambda^p(s, X)$. Then the *Koszul complex* $K(A)$ is the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(s, X) \xrightarrow{\delta_A^0} \Lambda^1(s, X) \xrightarrow{\delta_A^1} \ldots \xrightarrow{\delta_A^{n-1}} \Lambda^n(s, X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have $(\delta_A)^2 = 0$, i.e. $\delta_A^p \delta_A^{p-1} = 0$ for each p (for convenience we define $\Lambda^{-1}(s, X) = \Lambda^{n+1}(s, X) = 0$).

We say that the n-tuple $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ is *Taylor-regular* if the Koszul complex $K(A)$ is exact (i.e. $\operatorname{Im} \delta_A = \ker \delta_A$). The *Taylor spectrum* $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)$ is the set of all n-tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A - \lambda = (A_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, A_n - \lambda_n)$ is not Taylor-regular.

Closely related to the Taylor spectrum is the splitting spectrum. We say that $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ is *splitting-regular* if $\ker \delta_A = \operatorname{Im} \delta_A$ and the space $\ker \delta_A$ is complemented in $\Lambda(s, X)$. The *splitting spectrum* $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A)$ is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A - \lambda$ is not splitting-regular. Clearly $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A)$. It is well-known that the properties of the splitting spectrum are similar to those of the Taylor spectrum—it is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and it has the spectral mapping property.

The following result characterizes the splitting-regular n-tuples of operators.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be a Taylor-regular n-tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X. The following conditions are equivalent*:

(1) *A is splitting-regular*,

(2) *$\ker \delta_A^p$ is a complemented subspace of $\Lambda^p(s, X)$ $(p = 0, \ldots, n-1)$*,

(3) *there exist operators $V_1, V_2 : \Lambda(s, X) \to \Lambda(s, X)$ such that $V_1 \delta_A + \delta_A V_2 = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$*,

(4) *there exists an operator $V : \Lambda(s, X) \to \Lambda(s, X)$ such that $V^2 = 0$, $V\delta_A + \delta_A V = I$ and $V\Lambda^p(s, X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s, X)$ $(p = 0, \ldots, n)$ (i.e. there are operators $V_p : \Lambda^{p+1}(s, X) \to \Lambda^p(s, X)$ such that $V_{p-1}V_p = 0$ and $V_p \delta_A^p + \delta_A^{p-1} V_{p-1} = I_{\Lambda^p(s,X)}$ for every p).*

Proof. (4)⇒(3) is clear.

(3)⇒(1). If $V_1 \delta_A + \delta_A V_2 = I$ then $\delta_A V_1 \delta_A = \delta_A$, so that δ_A has a generalized inverse, i.e. $\ker \delta_A$ is complemented.

(1)⇒(2). Denote by $J_p : \Lambda^p(s, X) \to \Lambda(s, X)$ the natural embedding, $Q_p : \Lambda(s, X) \to \Lambda^p(s, X)$ the natural projection and let $P : \Lambda(s, X) \to \ker \delta_A$ be a bounded projection onto $\ker \delta_A$.

Clearly $Q_p(\ker \delta_A) = \ker \delta_A^p$. Then $Q_p P J_p$ is a bounded projection onto $\ker \delta_A^p$.

(2)⇒(4). Let M_p be a subspace of $\Lambda^p(s, X)$ such that $\ker \delta_A^p \oplus M_p = \Lambda^p(s, X)$. The operator $\delta_A^p | M_p : M_p \to \operatorname{Im} \delta_A^p = \ker \delta_A^{p+1}$ is a bijection. In the decompositions $\Lambda^p(s, X) = \ker \delta_A^p \oplus M_p$, $\Lambda^{p+1}(s, X) = \ker \delta_A^{p+1} \oplus M_{p+1}$ we have

$$\delta_A^p = \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Ker} \delta_A^p & M_p \end{array} & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_A^p | M_p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Im} \delta_A^p \\ M_{p+1} \end{array} \end{array}.$$

Set

$$V_p = \begin{array}{cc} \operatorname{Im}\delta_A^p & M_{p+1} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ (\delta_A^p|M_p)^{-1} & 0 \end{array}\right) & \begin{array}{l} \ker\delta_A^p \\ M_p \end{array} \end{array}.$$

Then $V_{p-1}V_p = 0$ since $\operatorname{Im} V_p \subset M_p \subset \ker V_{p-1}$. For $x \in M_p$ we have

$$(V_p\delta_A^p + \delta_A^{p-1}V_{p-1})x = V_p\delta_A^p x = x.$$

For $x \in \ker\delta_A^p$ we have

$$(V_p\delta_A^p + \delta_A^{p-1}V_{p-1})x = \delta_A^{p-1}V_{p-1}x = x.$$

Thus $V_p\delta_A^p + \delta_A^{p-1}V_{p-1} = I_{\Lambda^p(s,X)}$ for each p. (For $p = 0$ and $p = n$ this reduces to $V_0\delta_A^0 = I_{\Lambda^0(s,X)}$ and $\delta_A^{n-1}V_{n-1} = I_{\Lambda^n(s,X)}$.)

THEOREM 3. *Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be an n-tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and suppose that $\mu - A$ is splitting-regular, i.e. $\ker\delta_{\mu-A} = \operatorname{Im}\delta_{\mu-A}$ and $\delta_{\mu-A}$ has a generalized inverse. Then there exists a neighbourhood U of μ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and an analytic function $V : U \to B(\Lambda(s,X))$ such that $V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} + \delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda) = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$ for every $\lambda \in U$. Moreover, we may assume that $V(\lambda)^2 = 0$ $(\lambda \in U)$ and*

$$V(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in U,\ p = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Proof. By the previous proposition there exists an operator $V : \Lambda(s,X) \to \Lambda(s,X)$ such that $V^2 = 0$, $\delta_{\mu-A}V + V\delta_{\mu-A} = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$, and $V\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X)$ for every p.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ define $H_\lambda = \delta_{\lambda-A} - \delta_{\mu-A}$. Let U be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|H_\lambda\| < \|V\|^{-1}$. Clearly U is a neighbourhood of μ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and, for $\lambda \in U$, the operators $I + H_\lambda V$ and $I + VH_\lambda$ are invertible. We have $V(I + H_\lambda V) = (I + VH_\lambda)V$, so that $(I + VH_\lambda)^{-1}V = V(I + H_\lambda V)^{-1}$. For $\lambda \in U$ set $V(\lambda) = (I + VH_\lambda)^{-1}V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda) + V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} \\ &\quad = (\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda)V(I + H_\lambda V)^{-1} + (I + VH_\lambda)^{-1}V(\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda) \\ &\quad = (I + VH_\lambda)^{-1}[(I + VH_\lambda)(\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda)V \\ &\qquad + V(\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda)(I + H_\lambda V)](I + H_\lambda V)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression in square brackets is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_{\mu-A}V + H_\lambda V + VH_\lambda\delta_{\mu-A}V + VH_\lambda^2 V \\ &\qquad + V\delta_{\mu-A} + VH_\lambda + V\delta_{\mu-A}H_\lambda V + VH_\lambda^2 V \\ &\quad = (I + VH_\lambda)(I + H_\lambda V) + V(H_\lambda\delta_{\mu-A} + \delta_{\mu-A}H_\lambda + H_\lambda^2)V \\ &\quad = (I + VH_\lambda)(I + H_\lambda V) + V((\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda)^2 - (\delta_{\mu-A})^2)V \\ &\quad = (I + VH_\lambda)(I + H_\lambda V) \end{aligned}$$

since $(\delta_{\mu-A})^2 = 0$ and $(\delta_{\mu-A} + H_\lambda)^2 = (\delta_{\lambda-A})^2 = 0$. Thus

$$\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda) + V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} = I_{\Lambda(s,X)} \quad (\lambda \in U).$$

Further,

$$V(\lambda)^2 = (I + VH_\lambda)^{-1}V \cdot V(I + H_\lambda V)^{-1} = 0.$$

Finally, $V(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i (VH_\lambda)^i V$ where

$$(VH_\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^p(s,X) \quad (p = 0, \ldots, n),$$

so that

$$V(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in U,\ p = 0, \ldots, n).$$

COROLLARY 4. *Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be an n-tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X. Define $G = \mathbb{C}^n - \sigma_s(A)$. Then there exists an operator-valued C^∞-function $V : G \to B(\Lambda(s,X))$ such that $\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda) + V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$, $V(\lambda)^2 = 0$ and*

$$V(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in G,\ p = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Proof. For every $\mu \in G$ there exists a neighbourhood U_μ of μ and an analytic operator-valued function $V_\mu : U_\mu \to B(\Lambda(s,X))$ such that $V_\mu(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} + \delta_{\lambda-A}V_\mu(\lambda) = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$, $V_\mu(\lambda)^2 = 0$ and

$$V_\mu(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in U_\mu,\ p = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a C^∞-partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U_\mu : \mu \in G\}$ of G, i.e. ψ_i's are C^∞-functions, $0 \le \psi_i \le 1$, $\operatorname{supp}\psi_i \subset U_{\mu_i}$ for some $\mu_i \in G$, for each $\mu \in G$ there exists a neighbourhood U of μ such that all but finitely many ψ_i are 0 on U and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \psi_i(\mu) = 1$ for each $\mu \in G$. For $\lambda \in G$ set

$$P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \psi_i(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}V_{\mu_i}(\lambda).$$

Clearly $\operatorname{Im} P(\lambda) \subset \operatorname{Im}\delta_{\lambda-A}$ and, for $x \in \operatorname{Im}\delta_{\lambda-A}$, we have

$$P(\lambda)x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \psi_i(\lambda)x = x,$$

since $\delta_{\lambda-A}V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)$ is a projection onto $\operatorname{Im}\delta_{\lambda-A}$ ($V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)$ is a generalized inverse of $\delta_{\lambda-A}$). Thus $P(\lambda)$ is a projection onto $\operatorname{Im}\delta_{\lambda-A}$ $(\lambda \in G)$. Further,

$$P(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^p(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in G,\ p = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Set

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \psi_i(\lambda)(I - P(\lambda))V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)P(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in G).$$

Clearly V is a C^∞-function, $V(\lambda)^2 = 0$ and

$$V(\lambda)\Lambda^p(s,X) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,X) \quad (\lambda \in G,\ p = 0,\dots,n).$$

It remains to show that $\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda)+V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A} = I_{\Lambda(s,X)}$. If $x \in \operatorname{Im}\delta_{\lambda-A}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda)+V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A})x &= \delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda)x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}(I-P(\lambda))V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)P(\lambda)x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)(I-V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A})x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)x = x.\end{aligned}$$

If $x \in \ker P(\lambda)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_{\lambda-A}V(\lambda)+V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A})x &= V(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)(I-P(\lambda))V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)P(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)(I-P(\lambda))V_{\mu_i}(\lambda)\delta_{\lambda-A}x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)(I-P(\lambda))(I-\delta_{\lambda-A}V_{\mu_i}(\lambda))x\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)(I-P(\lambda))x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_i(\lambda)x = x.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

In the rest of the paper we shall fix a commuting n-tuple $A=(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ of operators in a Banach space X, $G = \mathbb{C}^n - \sigma_s(A)$ and a C^∞-function $V : G \to B(\Lambda(s,X))$ with the properties of Corollary 4. Denote by $C^\infty(G,X)$ the space of all X-valued C^∞-functions defined in G.

We shall consider the space $C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X))$. Clearly this space can be identified with the set $\Lambda(s,C^\infty(G,X))$.

Every function $V : G \to B(\Lambda(s,X))$ induces naturally the operator (denoted by the same symbol) $V : C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X)) \to C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X))$ by

$$(Vy)(\mu) = V(\mu)y(\mu) \quad (\mu \in G,\ y \in C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X))).$$

Similarly we define the operator $\delta : C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X)) \to C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X))$ by

$$(\delta y)(\mu) = \delta_{\mu-A}\,y(\mu) \quad (\mu \in G,\ y \in C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X))).$$

Clearly $V^2 = 0$, $\delta^2 = 0$, $V\delta+\delta V = I_{\Lambda(s,C^\infty(G,X))}$ and both V and δ are "graded", i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}V\Lambda^p(s,C^\infty(G,X)) &\subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,C^\infty(G,X))\\ \delta\Lambda^p(s,C^\infty(G,X)) &\subset \Lambda^{p+1}(s,C^\infty(G,X)).\end{aligned}$$

Consider now other indeterminates $d\overline{z} = (d\overline{z}_1,\dots,d\overline{z}_n)$ and the set $\Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$. We define the operator

$$\overline{\partial} : \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)) \to \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\partial} f s_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge s_{i_p}\wedge d\overline{z}_{j_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{j_q}\\ &\qquad = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_k}d\overline{z}_k\wedge s_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge s_{i_p}\wedge d\overline{z}_{j_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{j_q}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$.

The operators V and δ can be "lifted" from $\Lambda(s,C^\infty(G,X))$ to $\Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$ by

$$\begin{aligned}V(y\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_p}) &= (Vy)\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_p},\\ \delta(y\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_p}) &= (\delta y)\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1}\wedge\dots\wedge d\overline{z}_{i_p} \quad (y \in \Lambda(s,C^\infty(G,X))).\end{aligned}$$

Clearly the properties of V and δ are preserved: $V^2 = 0$, $V\delta+\delta V = I$ and both V and δ are graded. Note also that $\delta\overline{\partial} = -\overline{\partial}\delta$ and if U is an open subset of G and $\eta \in \Lambda(s,C^\infty(G,X))$ $(= C^\infty(G,\Lambda(s,X)))$ with $\eta|U \equiv 0$, then $\overline{\partial}\eta|U \equiv 0$, $\delta\eta|U \equiv 0$ and $V\eta|U \equiv 0$.

THEOREM 5. *There exists an operator*

$$W : \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)) \to \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$$

such that $W^2 = 0$, $W(\delta+\overline{\partial})+(\delta+\overline{\partial})W = I$ *and*

$$W\Lambda^p(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)) \subset \Lambda^{p-1}(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)) \quad (p = 0,\dots,2n)$$

(*i.e.* W "*splits*" $\delta+\overline{\partial}$).

Proof. Clearly $V : \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)) \to \Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$ decreases by 1 the degree in $s_1,\dots,s_n$ and $\overline{\partial}$ does not decrease this degree. Thus $(\overline{\partial}V)^{n+1} = 0$. Hence $(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1}$ exists and $(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j(\overline{\partial}V)^j$. Similarly $(I+V\overline{\partial})^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j(V\overline{\partial})^j$. Since $V(I+\overline{\partial}V) = (I+V\overline{\partial})V$ we have $(I+V\overline{\partial})^{-1}V = V(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1}$.

Set $W = (I+V\overline{\partial})^{-1}V = V(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^jV(\overline{\partial}V)^j$. Clearly

$$W^2 = (I+V\overline{\partial})^{-1}V\cdot V(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1} = 0$$

and W decreases the (total) degree by 1. It remains to prove that $(\delta+\overline{\partial})W+W(\delta+\overline{\partial})=I$, i.e.

$$(\delta+\overline{\partial})V(I+\overline{\partial}V)^{-1}+(I+V\overline{\partial})^{-1}V(\delta+\overline{\partial})=I.$$

It is sufficient to show

$$(I+V\overline{\partial})(\delta+\overline{\partial})V+V(\delta+\overline{\partial})(I+\overline{\partial}V)=(I+V\overline{\partial})(I+\overline{\partial}V)$$

or

$$\delta V+\overline{\partial}V+V\overline{\partial}\delta V+V\delta+V\overline{\partial}+V\delta\overline{\partial}V=I+V\overline{\partial}+\overline{\partial}V.$$

The last equality follows from the relations $\delta V+V\delta=I$ and $\overline{\partial}\delta+\delta\overline{\partial}=0$.

Denote by P the natural projection

$$P:\Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))\to\Lambda(d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X)).$$

Let $M:X\to\Lambda^{n-1}(d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$ be the operator defined by

$$Mx=(-1)^{n-1}PWxs,$$

where we write briefly $s=s_1\wedge\ldots\wedge s_n$. Since

$$W=V\cdot\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i(\overline{\partial}V)^i=V-V\overline{\partial}V+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1}V(\overline{\partial}V)^{n-1},$$

$\overline{\partial}$ does not decrease the degree in $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ and V decreases it by 1, we can see that

$$Mx=V(\overline{\partial}V)^{n-1}xs.$$

PROPOSITION 6. *$\overline{\partial}Mx=0$ for every $x\in X$.*

Proof. We have $(\delta+\overline{\partial})xs=0$ so that

$$(\delta+\overline{\partial})Wxs=[(\delta+\overline{\partial})W+W(\delta+\overline{\partial})]xs=xs.$$

Let $Wxs=PWxs+\eta$, where $\eta\in\Lambda(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$ consists of terms of degree at least 1 in $s_1,\ldots,s_n$.

Thus

$$(\delta+\overline{\partial})Wxs=[(\delta+\overline{\partial})\eta+\delta PWxs]+\overline{\partial}PWxs,$$

where $\overline{\partial}PWxs$ consists of terms of degree 0 in $s_1,\ldots,s_n$. Thus

$$0=Pxs_n=P(\delta+\overline{\partial})Wxs=\overline{\partial}PWxs.$$

Let U be a neighbourhood of $\sigma_s(A)$. One can find an open set Δ containing $\sigma_s(A)$ such that $\overline{\Delta}$ is compact, $\overline{\Delta}\subset U$ and the boundary $\partial\Delta$ is a smooth surface. Let f be a function analytic in U. Define the operator $f(A)$ by

$$f(A)x=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\partial\Delta}Mf(z)x\wedge dz\quad(x\in X),\tag{1}$$

where dz stands for $dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_n$. By the Stokes formula

$$f(A)x=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int\overline{\partial}\varphi Mf(z)x\wedge dz$$

where φ is a C^∞-function which is 0 on a neighbourhood of $\sigma_s(A)$ and 1 on $\mathbb{C}^n-\Delta$.

To show the correctness of the definition of $f(A)$ we need the following simple proposition (see [6]).

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $\eta\in\Lambda^n(s,d\overline{z},C^\infty(G,X))$ be a differential form with a compact support disjoint from $\sigma_s(A)$ such that $(\delta+\overline{\partial})\eta=0$. Then*

$$\int P\eta\wedge dz=0.$$

Proof. Set $\xi=W\eta$. Then $(\delta+\overline{\partial})\xi=\eta$ and $P\eta=P(\delta+\overline{\partial})\xi=P\overline{\partial}\xi=\overline{\partial}P\xi$. Hence, for a suitable surface Σ we have

$$\int P\eta\wedge dz=\int\overline{\partial}P\xi\wedge dz=\int_\Sigma P\xi\wedge dz=0.$$

We now show that the definition of $f(A)$ does not depend on the particular choice of φ. Indeed, if φ_1 and φ_2 are two C^∞-functions with the required properties, then $(\delta+\overline{\partial})(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)Wf(z)xs$ has the properties of Proposition 7. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\int P(\delta+\overline{\partial})(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)f(z)Wxs\wedge dz\\&=\int P\overline{\partial}(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)f(z)Wxs\wedge dz\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\int\overline{\partial}(\varphi_1-\varphi_2)f(z)Mx\wedge dz.\end{aligned}$$

This also means that $f(A)$ does not depend on the choice of the set Δ.

Finally, we show that $f(A)$ does not depend on the choice of the generalized inverse V which determines W and M.

Suppose that W_1,W_2 are two operators satisfying

$$(\delta+\overline{\partial})W_i+W_i(\delta+\overline{\partial})=I\quad(i=1,2).$$

Then $(\delta+\overline{\partial})W_if(z)xs=f(z)xs$. For those z where $\varphi\equiv1$ we have

$$(\delta+\overline{\partial})\varphi(W_1-W_2)f(z)xs=0$$

so that the form $(\delta+\overline{\partial})\varphi(W_1-W_2)f(z)xs$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 7. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\int P(\delta+\overline{\partial})\varphi(W_1-W_2)f(z)xs\wedge dz\\&=\int P\overline{\partial}\varphi(W_1-W_2)f(z)xs\wedge dz\\&=\int\overline{\partial}\varphi P(W_1-W_2)f(z)xs\wedge dz\\&=(-1)^{n-1}\int\overline{\partial}\varphi f(z)(M_1-M_2)x\wedge dz,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_i x = (-1)^{n-1} P W_i x s \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Clearly $f(A)$ is a bounded linear operator and the mapping $f \mapsto f(A)$ is linear. To show that $f \mapsto f(A)$ is a functional calculus we have to prove that

$$f(A) = I \quad \text{if } f \equiv 1,$$
$$f(A) = A_i \quad \text{if } f(z) = z_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

and the multiplicativity of the mapping $f \mapsto f(A)$.

As the proof is rather technical and it is described elsewhere (see [6], [3]), we just outline the main steps.

1) If $n = 1$ then M is just the inverse $Mx = (\lambda - A_1)^{-1} x$, so that the described calculus coincides with the ordinary calculus for one operator. Set

$$\overline{W} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} (-1)^{n-1} [(\delta + \overline{\partial}) \varphi W - I], \tag{2}$$

so that

$$f(A)x = \int f(z) P \overline{W} x s \wedge dz.$$

2) Let $(A, B) = (A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ be a commuting $(n+m)$-tuple of operators in X, let Δ, Δ' be open neighbourhoods of $\sigma_s(A), \sigma_s(B)$ with compact closures and with smooth boundaries. Let f be a function analytic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\Delta} \times \overline{\Delta}'$. Let $\overline{W}^n, \overline{W}^m, \overline{W}^{n+m}$ be the operators defined by (2) for the tuples A, B and (A, B), respectively. Then

$$\int f(z, w) P (\overline{W}^{n+m} - \overline{W}^m \overline{W}^n) x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw = 0,$$

where $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ and $dw = (dw_1, \ldots, dw_m)$ are indeterminates corresponding to B. This follows from considerations similar to the proof of Proposition 7.

3) If $f(z, w) = f_1(z) f_2(w)$ then, by the Fubini theorem and by 2), $f(A, B) = f_1(A) f_2(B)$.

4) Consider the n-tuple $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ and the identity function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, $f \equiv 1$. Then 3) together with 1) gives $f(A) = I$. Similarly $f(A) = A_i$ for $f(z) = z_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$.

5) Consider the $2n$-tuple $(A, A) = (A_1, \ldots, A_n, A_1, \ldots, A_n)$. Let f, g be functions analytic in a neighbourhood of $\sigma_s(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A)g(A)x &= \int f(z) P \overline{W}^z \Big(\int g(w) P \overline{W}^w x t \wedge dw \Big) \wedge s \wedge dz \\ &= \int f(z) g(w) P \overline{W}^z \overline{W}^w x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw \\ &= \int f(z) g(w) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw \end{aligned}$$

and, by 2),

$$(fg)(A) = (fg)(A) \cdot \mathrm{id}(A) = \int f(z) g(z) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show

$$\int f(z)(g(z) - g(w)) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw = 0.$$

Since $g(z) - g(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - w_i) h_i(z, w)$ for some analytic functions $h_i(z, w)$, the previous integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \int f(z) h_i(z, w)(z_i - w_i) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw \\ &\quad = \sum_{i=1}^n \int f(z) h_i(z, w)(z_i - A_i) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw \\ &\qquad - \sum_{i=1}^n \int f(z) h_i(z, w)(w_i - A_i) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\int f(z) h(z, w)(z_i - A_i) P \overline{W}^{z,w} x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw = 0$$

for every analytic function $h(z, w)$. The last integral is equal to (up to multiplication by a constant)

$$\int h(z_i - A_i) P(\delta + \overline{\partial}) \varphi W x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw = \int h \overline{\partial} \varphi P(z_i - A_i) W x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw.$$

By using the definition of W one can show that

$$P(z_i - A_i) W x s \wedge t \wedge dz \wedge dw = \overline{\partial} \xi$$

for some ξ so that

$$\int h \overline{\partial} \varphi \overline{\partial} \xi = \int \overline{\partial} \varphi \overline{\partial} h \xi = \int_{\partial \Delta} \overline{\partial} h \xi = 0.$$

Concluding remarks. 1) If X is a Hilbert space, then $\Lambda(s, X)$ can be given a natural Hilbert space structure, so that the splitting spectrum coincides with the Taylor spectrum. For $\lambda \notin \sigma_s(A)$ the operator $\delta_{\lambda - A} + \delta^*_{\lambda - A} : \Lambda(s, X) \to \Lambda(s, X)$ is invertible and

$$(\delta_{\lambda - A} + \delta^*_{\lambda - A})^{-1} \delta_{\lambda - A} + \delta_{\lambda - A} (\delta_{\lambda - A} + \delta^*_{\lambda - A})^{-1} = I_{\Lambda(s, X)}.$$

Clearly the function $\lambda \mapsto (\delta_{\lambda - A} + \delta^*_{\lambda - A})^{-1}$ is C^∞ and although it does not satisfy all the conditions of Corollary 4, it can replace the operator $V : \Lambda(s, C^\infty(G, X)) \to \Lambda(s, C^\infty(G, X))$. The remaining conditions of Corollary 4 ($V^2 = 0$ and that V is "graded") are not essential for the construction of the functional calculus and only make the considerations easier.

On the other hand, the formula obtained for $f(A)$ using the function $\lambda \mapsto (\delta_{\lambda-A} + \delta^*_{\lambda-A})^{-1}$ is quite explicit (see [6], [7]).

2) Let $V : \Lambda(s, C^\infty(G,X)) \to \Lambda(s, C^\infty(G,X))$ be an operator with the properties of Corollary 4. Then $(\delta + V)^{-1} = \delta + V$ and

$$P(\delta + V)[\overline{\partial}(\delta + V)]^{n-1} xs = PV(\overline{\partial}V)^{n-1} xs$$

so that the functional calculus constructed here coincides with the construction of Albrecht [1].

3) If $A = (A_1 \ldots, A_n)$ has a real Taylor spectrum, $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then one can show that $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A) = \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)$. Indeed, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n - \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)$ then one can find a point $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n - (\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \cup \{\lambda\})$ and a rational function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z_1 - \mu_1} \cdots \frac{1}{z_n - \mu_n}$ such that $|f(\lambda)| > \max\{|f(z)| : z \in \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)\}$. Consider the operator $f(A)$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A)$ then, by the spectral mapping theorems for σ_{T} and σ_{s}, we have

$$\max\{|z| : z \in \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(f(A))\} < \max\{|z| : z \in \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(f(A))\},$$

which contradicts the fact that σ_{T} and σ_{s} coincide for single operators. Thus the functional calculus for functions analytic in a neighbourhood of the splitting spectrum coincides with the Taylor functional calculus.

4) In general, $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A)$. It is an open problem whether it is possible to find an n-tuple $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X such that $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \neq \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(A)$.

5) The Taylor functional calculus can be constructed similarly to the calculus for the splitting spectrum constructed here. It is well known that the sequence

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{\delta+\overline{\partial}} \Lambda^p(s, d\overline{z}, C^\infty(G,X)) \xrightarrow{\delta+\overline{\partial}} \Lambda^{p+1}(s, d\overline{z}, C^\infty(G,X)) \xrightarrow{\delta+\overline{\partial}} \ldots$$

is exact (see e.g. [8], Propositions III.2.4, 2.5, 2.8). If f is a function analytic in a neighbourhood of $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)$, then instead of Wxs, one can take in (1) a form $\xi \in \Lambda^{n-1}(s, d\overline{z}, C^\infty(G,X))$ such that $(\delta + \overline{\partial})\xi = xs$. It is not clear at first glance that the operator $f(A)$ defined in this way is bounded. This can be shown by choosing ξ not too big in norm (cf. [3]).

References

[1] D. W. Albrecht, *Integral formulae for special cases of Taylor's functional calculus*, Studia Math. 105 (1993), 51–68.

[2] K. Laursen and M. Mbekhta, *Closed range multipliers and generalized inverses*, ibid. 107 (1993), 127–135.

[3] R. Levi, *Notes on the Taylor joint spectrum of commuting operators*, in: Spectral Theory, Banach Center Publ. 8, PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1982, 321–332.

[4] J. L. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 6 (1970), 172–191.

[5] —, *Analytic-functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Acta Math. 125 (1970), 1–38.

[6] F.-H. Vasilescu, *A Martinelli type formula for the analytic functional calculus*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 23 (1978), 1587–1605.

[7] —, *A multidimensional spectral theory in C^*-algebras*, in: Spectral Theory, Banach Center Publ. 8, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1982, 471–491.

[8] —, *Calcul Funcţional Analitic Multidimensional*, Editura Academiei, Bucureşti, 1979.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC
ŽITNÁ 25
115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

Received February 25, 1994
Revised version June 28, 1994 (3234)