

Commutativity of compact selfadjoint operators

by

G. GREINER (Erlangen) and W. J. RICKER (Kensington, N.S.W.)

Abstract. The relationship between the *joint spectrum* $\gamma(A)$ of an n -tuple $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of selfadjoint operators and the support of the corresponding *Weyl calculus* $T(A) : f \mapsto f(A)$ is discussed. It is shown that one always has $\gamma(A) \subset \text{supp}(T(A))$. Moreover, when the operators are compact, equality occurs if and only if the operators A_j mutually commute. In the non-commuting case the equality fails badly: While $\gamma(A)$ is countable, $\text{supp}(T(A))$ has to be an uncountable set. An example is given showing that, for non-compact operators, coincidence of $\gamma(A)$ and $\text{supp}(T(A))$ no longer implies commutativity of the set $\{A_i\}$.

Introduction. A notion of joint spectrum $\gamma(A)$ for a *commuting* n -tuple of bounded linear operators $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ in a Banach space X was introduced by McIntosh and Pryde in [5]. Namely

$$(1) \quad \gamma(A) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \in \sigma \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - \lambda_j I)^2 \right) \right\},$$

where $\sigma(B)$ is the usual spectrum of a single operator B . For n -tuples A satisfying $\sigma(A_j) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, this particular joint spectrum $\gamma(A)$ coincides with most other known joint spectra [6], and has proved to be effective in the solution of certain linear systems of operator equations [5, 7].

For commuting n -tuples A satisfying an estimate of the form

$$\|e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle}\| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^s, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some positive constants C and s (where $\langle \xi, A \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j A_j$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n) it turns out that $\gamma(A)$ is precisely the support, $\text{supp}(T(A))$, of a certain functional calculus $T(A) : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, that is,

$$(2) \quad \text{supp}(T(A)) = \gamma(A)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A13, 47A25, 47A60, 47B15.

The support of an Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship is gratefully acknowledged by the second author.

(see [5], for example). Here \mathcal{A}_s is an algebra of functions containing the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all rapidly decreasing, \mathbb{C} -valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the space of all bounded linear operators of X into itself. For the case $s = 0$, the algebra \mathcal{A}_s reduces to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ itself and the calculus $T(A) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, given by the formula

$$(3) \quad T(A)f = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

may be interpreted as an operator-valued distribution (where \widehat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f). In this case $T(A)$ is called the Weyl calculus of A [1, 2, 12], and $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is precisely the support of $T(A)$ in the usual sense for distributions; it is always a non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n [1, Lemma 2.3].

So, for commuting n -tuples A which generate bounded groups $\xi \mapsto e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the joint spectral set $\gamma(A)$ is intimately related to the Weyl calculus $T(A)$. An examination of (1) shows that the definition of $\gamma(A)$, unlike many other joint spectra, also makes perfectly good sense for *non-commuting* n -tuples A . Moreover, the recent articles [8, 9] show that $\gamma(A)$ also has useful applications in the non-commutative setting. Of course, the Weyl calculus (3) is also well defined for certain non-commuting n -tuples A ; indeed, it was introduced by H. Weyl precisely because of this point. So, the natural question is: *How closely related are the sets $\gamma(A)$ and $\text{supp}(T(A))$ in general?*

The aim of this note is to give a detailed answer to this question for the case of n -tuples A of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space. A suggestion as to what might be expected can be found in [3] where a detailed study is made of certain properties of the sets $\gamma(A)$ for (possibly) non-commutative A (call A commutative if the operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, mutually commute). For an n -tuple A of selfadjoint operators in a 2-dimensional Hilbert space it is known that A is commutative if and only if (2) holds [3, Proposition 8]. We show that the same is true in any finite-dimensional Hilbert space H ; see Theorem 2. Moreover, commutativity of A turns out to be equivalent to $\text{supp}(T(A))$ being a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^n with at most k elements, where $k = \dim(H)$. For non-commutative n -tuples A (still with $\dim(H) < \infty$) the equality (2) “fails badly”. Indeed, the set $\gamma(A)$ remains finite (always being a subset of $\sigma(A_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(A_n)$) whereas $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is necessarily an uncountable subset of \mathbb{R}^n ; see Theorem 3. This dichotomy makes it somewhat unclear what to expect in arbitrary Hilbert spaces. Surprisingly, for n -tuples A consisting of *compact* selfadjoint operators the analogy with the finite-dimensional case is rather close. It turns out that equality in (2) is still equivalent to commutativity of A , which, in turn, is equivalent to $\text{supp}(T(A))$ being a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n (cf. Theorem 4). So (curiously),

the commutativity of A is equivalent to the equality of a purely algebraic notion (namely, the set $\gamma(A)$) with a purely analytic notion (namely, the set $\text{supp}(T(A))$).

The main ingredients in the proofs of the above results are the notion of the maximal abelian subspace of A (introduced in [3]), Theorem 1 below which states that particular kinds of isolated points of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ (called hyperisolated) are joint eigenvalues of A , and the fact (cf. Proposition 4) that every isolated point of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is hyperisolated whenever $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a countable set.

Since any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n is the support of some (even commuting) n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators [1, p. 255], it cannot be expected that Theorem 4 has a larger range of applicability. Indeed, we exhibit a pair $A = (A_1, A_2)$ of bounded selfadjoint (but not compact) operators A_1 and A_2 in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space for which equality in (2) does hold, but such that $A_1 A_2 \neq A_2 A_1$; see Example 1.

In the final section of the paper a study is made, for pairs $A = (A_1, A_2)$ of compact selfadjoint operators A_1 and A_2 , of the connection between the sets $\gamma(A)$, $\text{supp}(T(A))$ and $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2)$ with the aim of extending Proposition 10 of [3] from 2-dimensional spaces to finite-dimensional spaces.

1. Basic properties of $\gamma(A)$ and $\text{supp}(T(A))$. In this section we collect together some basic facts about the sets $\gamma(A)$ and $\text{supp}(T(A))$ which are needed in the sequel. We begin with a simple but useful result.

LEMMA 1. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H and M be a closed linear subspace of H which is invariant for A (i.e., invariant for each operator A_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$).*

(i) *The orthogonal complement M^\perp is invariant for each operator A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

(ii) *If A_M (respectively, A_{M^\perp}) denotes the selfadjoint n -tuple in the Hilbert space M (respectively, M^\perp) consisting of the restrictions of A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, to M (respectively, M^\perp), then*

- (a) $\text{supp}(T(A)) = \text{supp}(T(A_M)) \cup \text{supp}(T(A_{M^\perp}))$, and
 (b) $\gamma(A) = \gamma(A_M) \cup \gamma(A_{M^\perp})$.

PROOF. (i) follows from $A_j^*(M^\perp) \subset M^\perp$ and the selfadjointness of each A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

(ii) We have $H = M \oplus M^\perp$ and $A_j = (A_j)_M \oplus (A_j)_{M^\perp}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

(a) It follows that $(i\langle \xi, A \rangle)^r = (i\langle \xi, A_M \rangle)^r \oplus (i\langle \xi, A_{M^\perp} \rangle)^r$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence, via the power series expansion of the exponential function, that

$$e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} = e^{i\langle \xi, A_M \rangle} \oplus e^{i\langle \xi, A_{M^\perp} \rangle}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is then clear from the definition of $T(A)f$ as a Bochner integral with respect to the uniform operator topology of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ (see (3)) that

$$T(A)f = T(A_M)f \oplus T(A_{M^\perp})f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

from which (a) follows.

(b) follows from the formulae

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j I - A_j)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j I - (A_j)_M)^2 \oplus \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j I - (A_j)_{M^\perp})^2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

together with the fact that $U \oplus V$ is invertible in $H = M \oplus M^\perp$ if and only if U is invertible in M and V is invertible in M^\perp . ■

We recall that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a *joint eigenvalue* of an n -tuple of bounded operators $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ if there exists a non-zero vector $x \in H$ such that $A_j x = \lambda_j x$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. The vector x is then called a *joint eigenvector* of A corresponding to λ .

LEMMA 2. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a joint eigenvalue of A . Then $\lambda \in \gamma(A) \cap \text{supp}(T(A))$.

PROOF. Let $x \neq 0$ be a joint eigenvector of A corresponding to λ . A simple calculation (using power series expansion) shows that $e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} x = e^{i\langle \xi, \lambda \rangle} x$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It then follows from (3) and the Fourier inversion theorem that

$$(4) \quad [T(A)f]x = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \xi, \lambda \rangle} \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right] x = f(\lambda)x$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, given any neighbourhood U of λ in \mathbb{R}^n choose $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\text{supp}(f) \subset U$ and $f(\lambda) = 1$. Then $[T(A)f]x = x \neq 0$, that is, $T(A)f \neq 0$. Accordingly, $\lambda \in \text{supp}(T(A))$.

Since joint eigenvalues of A are also joint approximate eigenvalues, it follows from [3, Proposition 2] that $\lambda \in \gamma(A)$. ■

LEMMA 3. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . Then $\gamma(A) \subset \text{supp}(T(A))$.

PROOF. Let $\lambda \in \gamma(A)$. Then λ is a joint approximate eigenvalue of A by [3, Proposition 2]. Choose vectors $x_n \in H$ satisfying $\|x_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_j x_n - \lambda_j x_n\| = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} and $H_{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(H)/c_{\mathcal{U}}(H)$ denote the \mathcal{U} -product of H (where $\ell^\infty(H)$ is the space of all bounded sequences in H and $c_{\mathcal{U}}(H)$ is the subspace of those sequences converging to 0 along \mathcal{U} ; see [11, V.1]). Furthermore, let $(A_j)_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the canonical extension of A_j . Then $A_{\mathcal{U}} = ((A_1)_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, (A_n)_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an n -tuple of selfadjoint operators on the Hilbert space $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $x_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_n) + c_{\mathcal{U}}(H) \in H_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an eigenvector of $(A_j)_{\mathcal{U}}$ corresponding to λ_j , for each

$1 \leq j \leq n$. That is, $x_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a joint eigenvector of $A_{\mathcal{U}}$ corresponding to λ . From Lemma 2 we conclude that $\lambda \in \text{supp}(T(A_{\mathcal{U}}))$. So, it remains to show that $\text{supp}(T(A_{\mathcal{U}})) = \text{supp}(T(A))$. This will follow from the identity

$$(T(A)f)_{\mathcal{U}} = T(A_{\mathcal{U}})f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

To establish this identity we note that the mapping $B \mapsto B_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isometric homomorphism of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)$ into $\mathcal{L}(H_{\mathcal{U}})$; see [11, V.1.2]. Thus we have $\langle \eta, A \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle \eta, A_{\mathcal{U}} \rangle$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then (by power series expansion) it follows that $(e^{i\langle \eta, A \rangle})_{\mathcal{U}} = e^{i\langle \eta, A_{\mathcal{U}} \rangle}$ and finally, for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have (by approximating the integral via Riemann sums)

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{n/2} (T(A)f)_{\mathcal{U}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \eta, A \rangle} \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right)_{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \eta, A_{\mathcal{U}} \rangle} \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta = (2\pi)^{n/2} T(A_{\mathcal{U}})f. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ an n -tuple of selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H , define

$$H_\lambda(A) = \{0\} \cup \{x \in H : x \text{ is a joint eigenvector of } A \text{ for } \lambda\}.$$

Then $H_\lambda(A)$, called the *joint eigenspace* of λ , is a closed subspace of H . The orthogonal projection onto $H_\lambda(A)$ is denoted by $E_\lambda(A)$ and is called the *joint eigenprojection* of A corresponding to λ .

We recall that $M[A]$ denotes the *maximal abelian subspace* for A ; see [3]. It is the largest closed subspace of H which is invariant for A and such that the restrictions $(A_j)_{M[A]}$ of A_j to $M[A]$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, mutually commute in the Hilbert space $M[A]$. The connection between $M[A]$ and the joint eigenprojections of A is given by the following

PROPOSITION 1. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of compact selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . Then

(i) $E_\lambda(A)E_\mu(A) = 0 = E_\mu(A)E_\lambda(A)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \gamma(A)$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$, and

(ii) $M[A] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \gamma(A)} H_\lambda(A)$ is the closed subspace of H generated by the family of joint eigenspaces $\{H_\lambda(A) : \lambda \in \gamma(A)\}$.

PROOF. (i) Choose any index $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_j \neq \mu_j$. Since A_j is selfadjoint and $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \sigma(A_j)$ [3, Proposition 2], it follows that $\ker(A_j - \lambda_j I)$ is orthogonal to $\ker(A_j - \mu_j I)$. Since $H_\lambda(A) = \bigcap_{r=1}^n \ker(A_r - \lambda_r I)$ and $H_\mu(A) = \bigcap_{r=1}^n \ker(A_r - \mu_r I)$ it follows that $H_\lambda(A)$ is orthogonal to $H_\mu(A)$ and (i) follows.

(ii) It is clear that each closed subspace $H_\lambda(A)$, $\lambda \in \gamma(A)$, is invariant for each operator A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and the restrictions of A_j to $H_\lambda(A)$ mutually

commute. By definition of $M[A]$ it follows that the closed subspace of H generated by $\{H_\lambda(A) : \lambda \in \gamma(A)\}$ is contained in $M[A]$. On the other hand, the restrictions $(A_j)_{M[A]}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, form a mutually commuting family of compact selfadjoint operators in the Hilbert space $M[A]$. Accordingly, there exists an orthonormal basis of $M[A]$ consisting of joint eigenvectors of $\{(A_j)_{M[A]} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Each such joint eigenvector $x \in M[A]$ of $A_{M[A]}$ is also a joint eigenvector of A with the same joint eigenvalue μ as for $A_{M[A]}$. Lemma 2 implies that $\mu \in \gamma(A)$ and hence, $M[A]$ is contained in the closed subspace of H generated by $\{H_\lambda(A) : \lambda \in \gamma(A)\}$. ■

The next result shows that for compact n -tuples A the Weyl calculus $T(A)$ almost takes its values in the compact operators on H .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of compact selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . Then $T(A)f - f(0)I$ is a compact operator for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ fixed, a consideration of the power series expansion of $e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle}$, together with the fact that each operator $(i\langle \xi, A \rangle)^r$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$, is compact, shows that $e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} - I$ is compact. Let $B_N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < N\}$ for each $N = 1, 2, \dots$ and fix $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the map $\xi \mapsto e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is continuous for the operator norm topology in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the integral

$$K_N(f) = \int_{B_N} (e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} - I)\widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

exists as the operator norm limit of Riemann sums and hence, is a compact operator. The conclusion follows from the identities

$$(2\pi)^{n/2}(T(A)f - f(0)I) = \int_{B_N} (e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} - I)\widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_N} (e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} - I)\widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

together with the estimates

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_N} (e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} - I)\widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\| \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_N} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi,$$

valid for $N = 1, 2, \dots$, which show that $K_N(f) \rightarrow T(A)f - f(0)I$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the operator norm topology. ■

Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define the ν -translate $f_\nu : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of f by $f_\nu(x) = f(x - \nu)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For a subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ let $K - \nu = \{x - \nu : x \in K\}$. Finally, if $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is an n -tuple of elements from $\mathcal{L}(H)$ denote the n -tuple $(A_1 - \nu_1 I, \dots, A_n - \nu_n I)$ by $A - \nu I$.

LEMMA 4. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

- (i) $T(A)f_\lambda = T(A - \lambda I)f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (ii) $\text{supp}(T(A - \lambda I)) = \text{supp}(T(A)) - \lambda$, and
- (iii) $\gamma(A - \lambda I) = \gamma(A) - \lambda$.

Proof. (i) follows from the definition of $T(A)f_\lambda$, the fact that $\widehat{f}_\lambda = e^{-i\langle \cdot, \lambda \rangle} \widehat{f}$ and the observation that

$$e^{-i\langle \xi, \lambda \rangle} e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} = e^{-i\langle \xi, \lambda I \rangle} e^{i\langle \xi, A \rangle} = e^{i\langle \xi, A - \lambda I \rangle}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

since the operators $\langle \xi, \lambda I \rangle$ and $\langle \xi, A \rangle$ commute.

(ii) follows from (i), the definition of the support of a distribution, and the fact that $\text{supp}(f_\lambda) = \lambda + \text{supp}(f)$ for every $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) follows from the definition of the sets involved. ■

We conclude this section with a topological result needed later.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let K be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n which is compact, infinite and countable. Let P denote the set of all isolated points of K . Then*

- (i) P is an infinite set, and
- (ii) $K = \overline{P}$ (the bar denoting closure).

Proof. (i) The set $K = \bigcup_{\lambda \in K} \{\lambda\}$ is a countable union. By Baire's Theorem at least one set $\{\lambda\}$ has non-empty interior, that is, $\lambda \in P$. Choose any $\lambda \in P$. Then $K \setminus \{\lambda\}$ is again compact, infinite and countable and hence, also has isolated points. Continuing this argument inductively it follows that P is infinite.

(ii) Suppose $\overline{P} \neq K$. Then $M = K \setminus \overline{P}$ is a non-empty, open subset of the compact space K . The set $M = \bigcup_{\lambda \in M} \{\lambda\}$ is a countable union, hence by Baire's Theorem at least one set $\{\lambda\}$, $\lambda \in M$, is open in M . Since M is open (in K), $\{\lambda\}$ is open in K , that is, $\lambda \in P$, a contradiction. ■

2. Commutativity criteria. The purpose of this section is to present some criteria which characterize commutativity of n -tuples $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of compact selfadjoint operators. These results are consequences of the following important fact concerning the nature of particular kinds of isolated points of $\text{supp}(T(A))$. First we require a new notion.

DEFINITION 2. Let M be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A point $\lambda \in M$ is called *hyperisolated* if it is isolated and there is a hyperplane (i.e. a maximal proper affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n), say L , such that $L \cap M = \{\lambda\}$.

Analytically this means that there is a (necessarily non-zero) $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\langle \lambda - \mu, \eta \rangle| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $\mu \in M$ with $\mu \neq \lambda$.

Remark 1. λ is hyperisolated in M if and only if there exists a direction η and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the n -dimensional strip

$$S(\lambda, \eta, \varepsilon) = \lambda + \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \eta \rangle| < \varepsilon\}$$

intersects M only at the point λ .

THEOREM 1. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H and $\lambda \in \text{supp}(T(A))$ be hyperisolated. Then λ is a joint eigenvalue of A . Moreover, the decomposition $H = H_\lambda(A) \oplus H_\lambda(A)^\perp$ reduces the n -tuple A (that is, $A = A_{H_\lambda} \oplus A_{H_\lambda^\perp}$), one has $A_{H_\lambda} = \lambda I$ and $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_\lambda^\perp})) = \text{supp}(T(A)) \setminus \{\lambda\}$.*

Remark 2. (a) It will be shown in the course of the proof of Theorem 1 that the corresponding eigenprojection $E_\lambda(A)$ equals $T(A)\varphi$, where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is supported in a neighbourhood U_λ of λ with $U_\lambda \cap \text{supp}(T(A)) = \{\lambda\}$ and φ is constantly equal to 1 in a (smaller) neighbourhood of λ . It follows from Proposition 2 that $E_\lambda(A)$ is a finite rank projection whenever the operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, are compact and $\lambda \neq 0$.

(b) As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain $\text{supp}(T(A)) = \{\lambda\}$ if and only if $A = \lambda I$. Furthermore, if $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a finite set, say $\text{supp}(T(A)) = \{\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(m)}\}$, then we can successively split off the joint eigenspaces. After m steps we end up with the following representation of A : there exist non-zero orthogonal projections P_1, \dots, P_m satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^m P_j = I$ and $P_k P_j = P_j P_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$ such that $A = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda^{(j)} P_j$. In particular, $A_r = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_r^{(j)} P_j$ for each $1 \leq r \leq n$, where $\lambda^{(j)} = (\lambda_1^{(j)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(j)})$.

For ease of reading we postpone the proof of Theorem 1 to the end of this section. We prefer first to establish some consequences. We begin with a finite-dimensional result.

THEOREM 2. *Let H be a Hilbert space of finite dimension $k \geq 1$ and $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of selfadjoint operators in H . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, mutually commute.*
- (ii) *$\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^n .*
- (iii) *$\text{supp}(T(A))$ has at most k elements.*
- (iv) *$\gamma(A) = \text{supp}(T(A))$.*

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows from the main Theorem in [10]; see also Remark 2(b). The implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is well known (cf. Introduction) and (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) since $\gamma(A) \subset \sigma(A_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(A_n)$; see [3, Proposition 2]. Clearly (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). So, it remains to establish (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Since each point of a finite set is hyperisolated it follows from Theorem 1 that each point of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a joint eigenvalue of A . Since joint eigenvectors corresponding to distinct

joint eigenvalues are eigenvectors of some A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of A_j , these joint eigenvectors are necessarily orthogonal in H . So, there can be at most k points in $\text{supp}(T(A))$. ■

The next result illustrates “how different” the set $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is when the n -tuple A does not commute.

THEOREM 3. *Let H be a Hilbert space of finite dimension $k \geq 1$ and $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of selfadjoint operators in H . Then $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is either a set with at most k elements (in which case A commutes), or $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is an uncountable set (in which case A is not commutative).*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{supp}(T(A))$ has more than k elements, in which case it is an infinite set by Theorem 2. Suppose that it is a countable set. Then Proposition 3 implies that the set P of all isolated points of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is infinite as well. Since each point of P is hyperisolated (see the following Proposition 4) each such point is a joint eigenvalue of A by Theorem 1. This is impossible as H is finite-dimensional and joint eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct joint eigenvalues are orthogonal. Accordingly, $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is an uncountable subset of \mathbb{R}^n . ■

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . If $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then*

- (i) *each isolated point of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is hyperisolated, and*
- (ii) *$\text{supp}(T(A)) = \gamma(A)$.*

Proof. (i) By a suitable translation it suffices to consider the special case of 0 being an isolated point of $\text{supp}(T(A))$; see Lemma 4. Since the countable union of hyperplanes $V = \bigcup \{\ker(\langle \cdot, \lambda \rangle) : \lambda \in \text{supp}(T(A)) \setminus \{0\}\}$ cannot be all of \mathbb{R}^n (hyperplanes have Lebesgue measure 0) there must exist a point $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V$. Then the hyperplane $\ker(\langle \cdot, \eta \rangle)$ intersects $\text{supp}(T(A))$ only in 0. Here $\langle \cdot, \lambda \rangle$ denotes the linear functional $x \mapsto \langle x, \lambda \rangle$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) By Theorem 1 and part (i) all isolated points of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ are joint eigenvalues. By Lemma 2 they belong to $\gamma(A)$. By Proposition 3, $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is the closure of its isolated points. Since $\gamma(A)$ is a closed set [3, Proposition 1], it follows that $\text{supp}(T(A)) \subset \gamma(A)$. The converse inclusion is just Lemma 3. ■

The following result may be viewed as a natural extension of Theorem 2 to a class of operators in infinite-dimensional spaces.

THEOREM 4. *Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of compact selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, mutually commute.*
- (ii) *$\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n .*

(iii) $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n with 0 as only possible limit point.

(iv) $\gamma(A) = \text{supp}(T(A))$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is well known (cf. Introduction) and (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) by Corollary 3.1 of [3]. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious and (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from Proposition 4.

So, it remains to establish (iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $M = M[A]$ be the maximal abelian subspace of A , in which case $H = M \oplus M^\perp$. By Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\text{supp}(T(A_{M^\perp})) \subset \text{supp}(T(A)) = \gamma(A)$$

and hence, $\text{supp}(T(A_{M^\perp}))$ is a countable set. Suppose that $M^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Then $\text{supp}(T(A_{M^\perp}))$ is a nonempty, countable, compact set, hence it has an isolated point λ (by Proposition 3). By Proposition 4(i) it is hyperisolated and then by Theorem 1 it is a joint eigenvalue of A_{M^\perp} . Clearly a corresponding joint eigenvector $x \in M^\perp$ of A_{M^\perp} is also a joint eigenvector of A . This is a contradiction since, by Proposition 1(ii), joint eigenvectors belong to M . ■

Remark 3. Slightly more is true than proved in Theorem 4. Namely, let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be any n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators. It is not assumed that the operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, are compact. If $\text{supp}(T(A))$ is a countable set, then the operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, mutually commute. This follows from the same argument as used to establish (iv) \Rightarrow (i) in the proof of Theorem 4, after noting that Proposition 4 implies $\gamma(A) = \text{supp}(T(A))$.

Theorem 4 shows that the spectral set $\gamma(A)$, originally introduced for commuting n -tuples A actually characterizes commutativity of A for the case of n -tuples of compact selfadjoint operators. The following example shows that the hypothesis of the operators A_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, being compact cannot be omitted.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $B = (B_1, B_2)$ where $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are considered as selfadjoint operators in \mathbb{C}^2 . It will be shown in Example 2 of Section 3 that $\text{supp}(T(B)) = \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$. Since $B_1 B_2 \neq B_2 B_1$, it follows from [3, Proposition 7] that $\gamma(B) = \emptyset$. Let $\{\lambda^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense subset of \mathbb{D} and let T be the multiplication operator on ℓ^2 with the (bounded) sequence $(\lambda^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$. Then T is normal, hence $C_1 = \Re(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$ and $C_2 = \Im(T) = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$ commute. Thus, for the pair $C = (C_1, C_2)$, we have $\gamma(C) = \text{supp}(T(C))$. Each $\lambda^{(k)}$ is a joint eigenvalue of C (the k th unit vector is a corresponding eigenvector) and so, by the closedness of $\gamma(C)$, it follows that $\mathbb{D} \subset \gamma(C)$. Since T is a contraction, for each $\nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$, $\nu \notin \mathbb{D}$, the operator

$$(\nu_1 I - C_1)^2 + (\nu_2 I - C_2)^2 = (\nu I - T)(\nu I - T)^*$$

is invertible, that is, $(\nu_1, \nu_2) \notin \gamma(C)$. Accordingly, $\gamma(C) = \text{supp}(T(C)) = \mathbb{D}$.

Let $A_j = B_j \oplus C_j$, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, act in the Hilbert space $H = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \ell^2$ ($\cong \ell^2$). Then Lemma 1 implies that

$$\text{supp}(T(A)) = \text{supp}(T(B)) \cup \text{supp}(T(C)) = \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}$$

and also that

$$\gamma(A) = \gamma(B) \cup \gamma(C) = \emptyset \cup \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}.$$

However, $A_1 A_2 \neq A_2 A_1$ since $B_1 B_2 \neq B_2 B_1$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. By translating to the origin (cf. Lemma 4) it suffices to prove the result for the case when 0 is a hyperisolated point of $\text{supp}(T(A))$.

Choose a non-negative function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is supported inside a disc $B_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < \varepsilon\}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, such that φ is constantly 1 near 0 (say, in $B_{\varepsilon/2}$) and $\overline{B_\varepsilon} \cap \text{supp}(T(A)) = \{0\}$.

Define a distribution $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(f) = T(A)(\varphi f), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then $\text{supp}(\mathcal{U}) = \{0\}$ and \mathcal{U} is of finite order, say N (with N not exceeding the (finite) order of $T(A)$ [1, Lemma 3.8]). So, there exist bounded operators R_α for $|\alpha| \leq N$ (multi-index notation) such that

$$\mathcal{U}(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (D^\alpha f)(0) R_\alpha, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Being compactly supported, this distribution has a (unique) extension to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $e_\xi(x) = e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, in which case

$$(5) \quad T(A)(\varphi e_\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} i^{|\alpha|} \xi^\alpha R_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, since $(\varphi e_\xi)^\wedge = (\widehat{\varphi})_\xi$ it follows that

$$T(A)(\varphi e_\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle y, A \rangle} \widehat{\varphi}(y - \xi) dy = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle u + \xi, A \rangle} \widehat{\varphi}(u) du$$

and hence, since $\|e^{i\langle u + \xi, A \rangle}\| = 1$, we have

$$\|T(A)(\varphi e_\xi)\| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\varphi}(u)| du < \infty$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows from (5) that $R_\alpha = 0$ whenever $|\alpha| > 0$. Denoting R_0 simply by R gives

$$(6) \quad T(A)(\varphi f) = f(0)R, \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

FACT 1. The operator R is non-zero, selfadjoint and coincides with $T(A)\varphi$.

Proof. Substitute $f = \varphi$ into (6) and use the fact that $\varphi^2 = \varphi$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ yields $R = T(A)\varphi$. That R is selfadjoint then follows from [1, Theorem 2.9]. To see that $R \neq 0$, let $f_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be any function supported in B_ε such that $T(A)f_\varepsilon \neq 0$; since $0 \in \text{supp}(T(A))$ such a function f_ε exists. Then $f_\varepsilon\varphi$ coincides with f_ε in a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ and so $T(A)(f_\varepsilon\varphi) = T(A)f_\varepsilon \neq 0$. But $T(A)(f_\varepsilon\varphi) = f_\varepsilon(0)R$ by (6). Accordingly, $f_\varepsilon(0)R \neq 0$ and hence, also $R \neq 0$. ■

Since 0 is hyperisolated there is $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\eta| = 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the strip $S(\eta, \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle x, \eta \rangle| < 2\delta\}$ intersects $\text{supp}(T(A))$ only in 0. Let $M_\eta = (m_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ be an orthogonal $(n \times n)$ -matrix which maps the unit vector η onto the unit vector $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. $M_\eta\eta = e_1$. Let $M_\eta A$ be the n -tuple of selfadjoint operators given by $(M_\eta A)_j = \sum_{k=1}^n m_{jk}A_k$. By [1, Theorem 2.9(a)] we have

$$(7) \quad T(M_\eta A)f = T(A)(f \circ M_\eta), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since both distributions $T(A)$ and $T(M_\eta A)$ have compact support, identity (7) also holds for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We choose a non-zero C^∞ -function $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is constantly 1 on a neighbourhood of 0 and vanishes on $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| \geq \delta\}$. Define $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi_1(\langle x, \eta \rangle)$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ coincides with φ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ and hence,

$$R = T(A)\varphi = T(A)\tilde{\varphi} = T(M_\eta A)(\tilde{\varphi} \circ M_\eta^t),$$

where M_η^t denotes the transpose of M_η . We have

$$(\tilde{\varphi} \circ M_\eta^t)(x) = \varphi_1(\langle M_\eta^t x, \eta \rangle) = \varphi_1(\langle x, M_\eta \eta \rangle) = \varphi_1(x_1).$$

That is, $\tilde{\varphi} \circ M_\eta^t$ is a function depending on just one of the variables. It follows from Theorem 2.9(b) of [1] that

$$R = T(M_\eta A)(\tilde{\varphi} \circ M_\eta^t) = T((M_\eta A)_1)\varphi_1.$$

Note that $M_\eta\eta = e_1$ implies $M_\eta^t e_1 = \eta$, that is, $m_{1j} = \eta_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that $(M_\eta A)_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{1j}A_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j A_j = \langle \eta, A \rangle$. Thus we have

$$R = T(M_\eta A)(\tilde{\varphi} \circ M_\eta^t) = T(\langle \eta, A \rangle)\varphi_1 = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\langle \eta, A \rangle} \tilde{\varphi}_1(t) dt.$$

By multiplicativity of the Weyl calculus for a single operator [1, Lemma 3.1] and the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}^2 = \tilde{\varphi}$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ we have

$$R = T(A)(\tilde{\varphi}^2) = T((M_\eta A)_1)(\varphi_1^2) = [T(\langle \eta, A \rangle)(\varphi_1)]^2 = R^2.$$

Thus we have established

FACT 2. R is a projection.

It is well known that the Weyl calculus for a single selfadjoint operator B coincides with the standard $C(\sigma(B))$ -functional calculus $f \mapsto f(B)$ for selfadjoint operators; in particular, $T(B)f = f(B)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Define $\varphi_{1,n}(t) = \varphi_1(nt)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_n(x) = \varphi_{1,n}(\langle x, \eta \rangle)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; the functions $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ coincide on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(T(A))$, hence

$$R = T(A)\tilde{\varphi}_n = T(\langle \eta, A \rangle)\varphi_{1,n} = \varphi_{1,n}(\langle \eta, A \rangle) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the $C(\sigma(B))$ -functional calculus the operator norm of $\psi(B)$ can be estimated by the sup-norm of ψ , i.e. $\|\psi(B)\| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\psi(t)|$. Since $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t\varphi_{1,n}(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that we have $\|\langle \eta, A \rangle R\| = \|\langle \eta, A \rangle \varphi_{1,n}(\langle \eta, A \rangle)\| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t\varphi_{1,n}(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that

$$\langle \eta, A \rangle R = R \langle \eta, A \rangle = 0.$$

So far η was fixed. However, this holds for every η which generates a strip separating 0 from the rest of $\text{supp}(T(A))$. By the compactness of $\text{supp}(T(A))$ the set of all such η 's forms an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, there exist n linearly independent vectors $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\langle \eta^{(j)}, A \rangle R = R \langle \eta^{(j)}, A \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In matrix notation $\eta(AR) = \eta(RA) = 0$, where η is the invertible $(n \times n)$ -matrix having rows η_1, \dots, η_n . Multiplying by η^{-1} from the right we obtain $AR = RA = 0$, i.e.

$$A_j R = R A_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

From this it follows that R maps H into the joint eigenspace $H_0(A)$. Actually, R is also onto as the following argument shows. Suppose $x \in H_0(A)$. Then by (4) and (6) we have $Rx = [T(A)\varphi]x = \varphi(0)x = x$, that is, $x \in R(H)$. Summarizing these results and taking into account that $R \neq 0$ (by Fact 1) we obtain

FACT 3. *The joint eigenspace $H_0(A)$ is non-zero and R is the joint eigenprojection $E_0(A)$ of A corresponding to 0.*

The joint eigenspace $H_0(A)$, being invariant for every A_j , reduces the n -tuple A , that is, A can be considered as a direct sum $A = A_{H_0} \oplus A_{H_0^\perp}$ corresponding to the decomposition $H = H_0(A) \oplus H_0(A)^\perp$. We have $A_{H_0} = 0$, hence $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_0})) = \{0\}$. Since $\text{supp}(T(A)) = \text{supp}(T(A_{H_0})) \cup \text{supp}(T(A_{H_0^\perp}))$ (by Lemma 1), it follows that either $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_0^\perp})) = \text{supp}(T(A))$ or $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_0^\perp})) = \text{supp}(T(A)) \setminus \{0\}$. Actually 0 cannot be an element of $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_0^\perp}))$, since otherwise the result proved above, applied to the n -tuple $A_{H_0^\perp}$ on the Hilbert space $H_0(A)^\perp$, implies that there is a joint eigenvector $x \in H_0(A)^\perp$ of A corresponding to 0, a contradiction. So, we have finally shown that $\text{supp}(T(A_{H_0^\perp})) = \text{supp}(T(A)) \setminus \{0\}$ and Theorem 1 is proved. ■

3. Pairs of selfadjoint operators. The aim of this section is to extend Proposition 10 of [3], formulated for 2-dimensional Hilbert spaces, to arbitrary finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. First a preliminary result is needed.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $A = (A_1, A_2)$ be a pair of bounded selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space H . Then*

$$(i) \gamma(A) \subset \sigma(A_1 + iA_2).$$

Suppose, in addition, that A_1 and A_2 are compact.

$$(ii) \text{ If } A_1A_2 = A_2A_1, \text{ then } \gamma(A) = \sigma(A_1 + iA_2).$$

PROOF. (i) Choose $\lambda \in \gamma(A)$. Then $0 \in \sigma(S)$, where $S = (A_1 - \lambda_1 I)^2 + (A_2 - \lambda_2 I)^2$. Since S is selfadjoint, there are unit vectors x_n such that $Sx_n \rightarrow 0$ in H as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then also $(Sx_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and hence, $(A_j - \lambda_j I)x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $j \in \{1, 2\}$, from which the result follows.

(ii) Since $A_1 + iA_2$ is a compact (normal) operator its spectrum is a countable set with 0 as only possible limit point. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma(A_1 + iA_2) \setminus \{0\}$, in which case λ is an eigenvalue of $A_1 + iA_2$. So, there is $x \neq 0$ such that $(A_1 + iA_2)x = (\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)x$, where $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2$. That is, $[(A_1 - \lambda_1 I) + i(A_2 - \lambda_2 I)]x = 0$ and hence, also

$$[(A_1 - \lambda_1 I) - i(A_2 - \lambda_2 I)][(A_1 - \lambda_1 I) + i(A_2 - \lambda_2 I)]x = 0.$$

Expanding this identity and using $A_1A_2 = A_2A_1$ gives

$$[(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)^2 + (A_2 - \lambda_2 I)^2]x = 0.$$

Since $x \neq 0$ it follows that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ belongs to $\gamma(A)$. This shows that $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2) \setminus \{0\} \subset \gamma(A)$. If 0 is also an eigenvalue of $A_1 + iA_2$, then the same argument shows that $0 \in \gamma(A)$. Otherwise, 0 is a limit point of $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2)$, in which case the closedness of $\gamma(A)$ ensures that $0 \in \gamma(A)$. Hence, $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2) \subset \gamma(A)$ and so, by part (i), $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2) = \gamma(A)$. ■

PROPOSITION 6. *Let H be a Hilbert space of dimension $k < \infty$ and $A = (A_1, A_2)$ be a pair of selfadjoint operators in H . The following statements are equivalent.*

$$(i) A_1A_2 = A_2A_1.$$

$$(ii) A_1 + iA_2 \text{ is a normal operator.}$$

(iii) *The standard (polynomial) functional calculus $S(A_1 + iA_2) : C^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ of the single operator $A_1 + iA_2$, when restricted to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, agrees with the extension of the Weyl calculus $T(A) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

$$(iv) S(A_1 + iA_2)\bar{\lambda} = T(A)\bar{\lambda}, \text{ where } \bar{\lambda}(x, y) = x - iy.$$

$$(v) \text{ The Weyl calculus } T(A) \text{ is multiplicative in } C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

$$(vi) \text{ supp}(T(A)) \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$(vii) T(A) \text{ has order zero as a distribution.}$$

$$(viii) \text{ supp}(T(A)) = \gamma(A).$$

$$(ix) \text{ supp}(T(A)) = \sigma(A_1 + iA_2), \text{ where } \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ is identified with } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

PROOF. The mutual equivalence of the first five statements follows from [3, Proposition 9]. The equivalence of (i) with both (vi) and (vii) is the main Theorem in [10]; see also [4] for the case $k = 2$. Theorem 2 implies that (i) \Leftrightarrow (viii). Clearly (ix) \Rightarrow (vi). Finally, (i) \Rightarrow (ix) by Proposition 5 and the implication (i) \Rightarrow (viii). ■

Remark 4. In Proposition 10 of [3] it is shown (for 2-dimensional Hilbert spaces) that each of the statements in Proposition 6 is equivalent to

$$(x) \gamma(A) \neq \emptyset.$$

$$(xi) \sigma(A_1 + iA_2) = \gamma(A), \text{ where } \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ is identified with } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It is shown in Remark 2 of [3] that the statements of Proposition 6 are *not* equivalent to statement (x) for $\dim(H) > 2$. We conclude with an example which shows that the statements of Proposition 6 are also *not* equivalent to statement (xi) for $2 < \dim(H) < \infty$. Indeed, it is shown that $\gamma(A) = \sigma(A_1 + iA_2)$ is a proper subset of $\text{supp}(T(A))$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let B_1 and B_2 be the (2×2) -selfadjoint matrices given in Example 1 and $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $A_j = \begin{pmatrix} B_j & 0 \\ 0 & u_j \end{pmatrix}$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, considered as operators in the Hilbert space $H = \mathbb{C}^3$. Since $B_1 + iB_2$ is nilpotent (of order 2) it follows that $\sigma(B_1 + iB_2) = \{0\}$. Since $A_1 + iA_2$ equals the direct sum $(B_1 + iB_2) \oplus (u_1 + iu_2)I$ in $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ it follows that

$$\sigma(A_1 + iA_2) = \sigma(B_1 + iB_2) \cup \sigma((u_1 + iu_2)I) = \{0\} \cup \{u_1 + iu_2\}.$$

It was shown in Remark 2 of [3] that $\gamma(A) = \{(u_1, u_2)\}$, where $A = (A_1, A_2)$. Moreover, Lemma 1 implies that

$$\text{supp}(T(A)) = \text{supp}(T(B)) \cup \{(u_1, u_2)\}.$$

Putting $u_1 = u_2 = 0$ shows that $\gamma(A) = \sigma(A_1 + iA_2)$, even though $A_1A_2 \neq A_2A_1$. However, as must be the case, $\sigma(A_1 + iA_2) = \gamma(A)$ is a proper subset of $\text{supp}(T(A))$.

It remains to show that $\text{supp}(T(B)) = \mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = 1\}$ be the 2-dimensional sphere and m denote normalized surface measure on \mathbb{S}^2 . For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the functions

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto f(x_1, x_2) \pm \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) + (x_1 + x_2)\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

are denoted by $f \pm \partial_1 f + (x_1 + x_2)\partial_2 f$. It follows from Theorem 4.1 of [1] that

$$(8) \quad T(B)f = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (f + \partial_1 f + (x_1 + x_2)\partial_2 f) dm(x) & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \partial_2 f dm(x) \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \partial_2 f dm(x) & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (f - \partial_1 f + (x_1 + x_2)\partial_2 f) dm(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$; see [4] for the details. It is clear from (8) that $\text{supp}(T(B)) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Since $\text{supp}(T(B))$ is equal to the union of the supports of the four distributions forming the entries of the right-hand side of (8) it suffices to show that the support of the \mathbb{C} -valued distribution

$$V : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \partial_2 f dm(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

contains \mathbb{D} . But $Vf = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^2} \partial_2 f dm(x)$ where $\mathbb{S}_+^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^2 : x_3 \geq 0\}$ and so the problem reduces to showing that the support of the distribution

$$\mathcal{U} : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^2} \partial_2 f dm(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

contains \mathbb{D} . Let $\varphi(u, v) = (1 - u^2 - v^2)^{-1/2}$ for $u^2 + v^2 < 1$. Then a transformation of measure shows that $\mathcal{U}f = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \varphi(u, v) \partial_2 f(u, v) du dv$. By considering functions of the form $f(u, v) = g(u)h(v)$, for suitable g and h , it can be shown that all interior points of \mathbb{D} belong to $\text{supp}(\mathcal{U})$ and hence, $\mathbb{D} \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{U})$. ■

References

- [1] R. F. V. Anderson, *The Weyl functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 240–267.
- [2] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 122, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [3] G. Greiner and W. J. Ricker, *Joint spectral sets and commutativity of systems of (2×2) selfadjoint matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 36 (1993), 47–58.
- [4] B. R. F. Jefferies and W. J. Ricker, *Commutativity for systems of (2×2) selfadjoint matrices*, ibid. 35 (1993), 107–114.
- [5] A. McIntosh and A. J. Pryde, *A functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 36 (1987), 421–439.
- [6] A. McIntosh, A. J. Pryde and W. J. Ricker, *Comparison of joint spectra for certain classes of commuting operators*, Studia Math. 88 (1988), 23–36.
- [7] A. McIntosh, A. J. Pryde and W. J. Ricker, *Systems of operator equations and perturbation of spectral subspaces of commuting operators*, Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988), 43–65.
- [8] A. J. Pryde, *A non-commutative joint spectral theory*, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. (Canberra) 20 (1988), 153–161.
- [9] —, *Inequalities for exponentials in Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 100 (1991), 87–94.
- [10] W. J. Ricker, *The Weyl calculus and commutativity for systems of selfadjoint matrices*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 61 (1993), 173–176.

- [11] H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer, 1974.
- [12] M. E. Taylor, *Functions of several selfadjoint operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 91–98.

IMMD IX
UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN
91058 ERLANGEN, GERMANY
E-mail: GREINER@INFORMATIK.UNI-ERLANGEN.DE

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES
SYDNEY, NEW SOUTH WALES 2052
AUSTRALIA
E-mail: WERNER@SOLUTION.MATHS.UNSW.EDU.AU

Received August 13, 1992
Revised version June 21, 1994

(2985)