

- [15] G. Herzog, *On linear operators having supercyclic vectors*, Studia Math. 103 (1992), 295–298.
- [16] G. Herzog and R. Lemmert, *Über Endomorphismen mit dichten Bahnen*, Math. Z. 213 (1993), 473–477.
- [17] H. Heuser, *Funktionalanalysis*, 2nd ed., Teubner, 1986.
- [18] M. Mbekhta et A. Ouahab, *Perturbations des opérateurs  $s$ -réguliers et continuité de certains sous-espaces dans le domaine quasi-Fredholm*, Publ. IRMA Lille 24 (1991), No. 10.
- [19] M. Ó. Searcoid and T. T. West, *Continuity of the generalized kernel and range of semi-Fredholm operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 105 (1989), 513–522.
- [20] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Math. 32 (1969), 17–22.
- [21] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [22] H. Salas, *A hypercyclic operator whose adjoint is also hypercyclic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 765–770.
- [23] C. Schmoeger, *Ascent, descent and the Atkinson region in Banach algebras, II*, Ricerche Mat., to appear.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I  
 UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE  
 POSTFACH 6980  
 D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

Received December 28, 1992  
 Revised version August 16, 1993

(3041)

## On quasi-multipliers

by

ZIYA ARGÜN (Ankara) and K. ROWLANDS (Aberystwyth)

**Abstract.** A quasi-multiplier is a generalization of the notion of a left (right, double) multiplier. The first systematic account of the general theory of quasi-multipliers on a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity was given in a paper by McKennon in 1977. Further developments have been made in more recent papers by Vasudevan and Goel, Kassem and Rowlands, and Lin. In this paper we consider the quasi-multipliers of algebras not hitherto considered in the literature. In particular, we study the quasi-multipliers of  $A^*$ -algebras, of the algebra of compact operators on a Banach space, and of the Pedersen ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra. We also consider the strict topology on the quasi-multiplier space  $QM(A)$  of a Banach algebra  $A$  with a bounded approximate identity. We prove that, if  $M_l(A)$  (resp.  $M_r(A)$ ) denotes the algebra of left (right) multipliers on  $A$ , then  $M_l(A) + M_r(A)$  is strictly dense in  $QM(A)$ , thereby generalizing a theorem due to Lin.

**1. Introduction.** A quasi-multiplier is a generalization of the notion of a left (right, double) multiplier, and was first introduced by Akemann and Pedersen in ([1], §4). The first systematic account of the general theory of quasi-multipliers on a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity was given in a paper by McKennon [14] in 1977. Further developments have been made as a result of more recent contributions by Vasudevan and Goel [21], [22], Kassem and Rowlands [11], and Lin [13]. In this paper we study the quasi-multipliers of algebras not hitherto considered in the literature.

We begin by outlining the necessary background results on quasi-multipliers and then proceed to consider the quasi-multipliers  $QM(A)$  of an  $A^*$ -algebra  $A$ ; in particular, we improve a result due to Vasudevan and Goel ([21], Theorem 3.4) on extending a quasi-multiplier from an  $A^*$ -algebra to its auxiliary norm completion. The result enables us to define an “auxiliary” norm on  $QM(A)$  and, in the special case when  $QM(A)$  is a Banach algebra, we prove that under certain conditions  $QM(A)$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra. In the literature topologies other than the norm topology have been defined on the quasi-multiplier space and properties established for the resulting locally

convex spaces (see, for example, [11] and [13]). In §3 we consider the strict topology on the quasi-multiplier space of a Banach algebra  $A$  with a bounded approximate identity. In particular, we prove that, if  $M_l(A)$  (resp.  $M_r(A)$ ) denotes the algebra of left (right) multipliers on  $A$ , then  $M_l(A) + M_r(A)$  is strictly dense in  $QM(A)$ , thereby generalizing a theorem due to Lin ([13], Theorem 9.3).

In §4,  $A$  is the algebra of approximable operators on a Banach space  $X$  (that is, operators that can be approximated, in the operator norm, by operators of finite rank), and our investigations lead to characterizations for  $QM(A)$  and  $QM(A^{**})$ . For example, if  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property then  $QM(A)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $M_r(A)$  and, if in addition  $X^*$  has the Radon-Nikodym property, then  $QM(A^{**})$  is topologically isomorphic to  $M_l(A^{**})$ . In the final section we study the quasi-multipliers of the Pedersen ideal  $K_A$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . In this case the quasi-multipliers are not necessarily continuous. Nevertheless, the space  $\delta(K_A)$  of quasi-multipliers on  $K_A$ , with the quasi-strict topology  $\gamma$ , has a number of interesting properties. In particular, we show that  $\delta(K_A)$  is  $\gamma$ -complete, and, for certain  $C^*$ -algebras,  $K_A$  is  $\gamma$ -dense in  $\delta(K_A)$ . We also establish a characterization for the dual space  $(\delta(K_A), \gamma)^*$ .

**2. Preliminaries and quasi-multipliers of  $A^*$ -algebras.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. A mapping  $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$  is said to be a *quasi-multiplier* on  $A$  if

$$(2.1) \quad m(ab, c) = am(b, c) \quad \text{and} \quad m(a, bc) = m(a, b)c$$

for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . Let  $QM(A)$  denote the set of all bilinear jointly continuous quasi-multipliers on  $A$ . If  $A$  is a Banach algebra with a bounded two-sided approximate identity (abbreviated to a.i. in the sequel), then every quasi-multiplier belongs to  $QM(A)$  ([14], Theorem 1), and  $QM(A)$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|m\| = \sup\{\|m(a, b)\| : a, b \in A, \|a\| \leq 1, \|b\| \leq 1\}$$

([14], Theorem 2). If the products  $a \circ m$  and  $m \circ a$  are defined by

$$(a \circ m)(x, y) = m(xa, y), \quad (m \circ a)(x, y) = m(x, ay)$$

( $m \in QM(A)$ ,  $x, y, a \in A$ ), then  $QM(A)$  becomes a Banach  $A$ -module.

A mapping  $T : A \rightarrow A$  is called a *left* (resp. *right*) *multiplier* on  $A$  if  $T(ab) = (Ta)b$  (resp.  $T(ab) = a(Tb)$ ) for all  $a, b$  in  $A$ , and  $T$  is called a *multiplier* if it is both a left and right multiplier on  $A$ . Let  $M_0(A)$  (resp.  $M_l(A), M_r(A)$ ) be the set of all continuous linear (left, right) multipliers on  $A$ . Then both  $M_l(A)$  and  $M_r(A)$  are closed subalgebras of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  of all continuous linear operators on  $A$  and  $M_0(A)$  is a closed commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ . A pair  $(S, T)$  of mappings

$S, T : A \rightarrow A$  is said to be a *double multiplier* on  $A$  if  $aSb = (Ta)b$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . If  $M(A)$  denotes the set of all continuous linear double multipliers on  $A$ , then, for each  $(S, T) \in M(A)$ , we have  $S \in M_l(A)$ ,  $T \in M_r(A)$ , and  $\|(S, T)\| = \max(\|S\|, \|T\|)$  defines a norm on  $M(A)$  relative to which it is a Banach algebra. For further details on the algebras of left, right and double multipliers on a Banach algebra we refer the reader to ([20], §3).

Each of the linear mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : A &\rightarrow QM(A), & \lambda : M_l(A) &\rightarrow QM(A), \\ \varrho : M_r(A) &\rightarrow QM(A), & \Psi : M(A) &\rightarrow QM(A), \end{aligned}$$

defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(a))(x, y) &= xay, & (\lambda(S))(x, y) &= xSy, \\ (\varrho(T))(x, y) &= (Tx)y, & \Psi(S, T) &= \lambda(S), \end{aligned}$$

is a norm decreasing embedding; if, in addition,  $A$  has a minimal a.i.  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  (that is,  $\|e_\alpha\| \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ ), then the mappings are isometric.

A bounded a.i.  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  in  $A$  is said to be an *ultra-approximate identity* if, for all  $m \in QM(A)$  and  $a \in A$ , the nets  $\{m(a, e_\alpha)\}$  and  $\{m(e_\alpha, a)\}$  are Cauchy ([14], p. 110). In this case  $\lambda$  and  $\varrho$  are surjective; for, if  $m \in QM(A)$ , the mappings  $S, T$  on  $A$  defined by

$$Sa = \lim_\alpha m(e_\alpha, a), \quad Ta = \lim_\alpha m(a, e_\alpha),$$

belong to  $M_l(A)$  and  $M_r(A)$  respectively, and  $\lambda(S) = m = \varrho(T)$ . Under these circumstances we can use either of the isomorphisms  $\lambda$  or  $\varrho$  to define multiplication in  $QM(A)$ . Thus, for example, the equation

$$(m_1 \odot m_2)(a, b) = m_1(a, \lim_\alpha m_2(e_\alpha, b))$$

defines a product in  $QM(A)$ , and, if we assume that the ultra-approximate identity is minimal, then  $QM(A)$  becomes a Banach algebra, with  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\varrho$ ) an isometric algebraic isomorphism of  $M_l(A)$  ( $M_r(A)$ ) onto  $QM(A)$ .

A bilinear mapping  $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$  can be extended in two natural ways to a bilinear map  $A^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ ; we outline the construction in stages, as follows:

- (i)  $m^* : A^* \times A \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $\langle b, m^*(f, a) \rangle = \langle m(a, b), f \rangle$ ,  
 $m^{**} : A^{**} \times A^* \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $\langle a, m^{**}(F, f) \rangle = \langle m^*(f, a), F \rangle$ ,  
 $m^{***} : A^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ ,  $\langle f, m^{***}(F, G) \rangle = \langle m^{**}(G, f), F \rangle$ ;
- (ii)  $(m')^* : A \times A^* \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $\langle b, (m')^*(a, f) \rangle = \langle m(b, a), f \rangle$ ,  
 $(m')^{**} : A^* \times A^{**} \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $\langle a, (m')^{**}(f, F) \rangle = \langle (m')^*(a, f), F \rangle$ ,  
 $(m')^{***} : A^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$ ,  $\langle f, (m')^{***}(F, G) \rangle = \langle (m')^{**}(f, F), G \rangle$

$(a, b \in A, f \in A^*, F, G \in A^{**})$ . It is easy to check that when  $m$  is continuous then  $m^*, m^{**}, m^{***}, (m')^*, (m')^{**}, (m')^{***}$  are continuous. Moreover, by routine calculations we can show that, if  $m \in QM(A)$ , then  $m^{***}$  and  $(m')^{***}$  are quasi-multipliers on  $(A^{**}, \cdot)$  and  $(A^{**}, *)$  respectively, where  $\cdot$  (resp.  $*$ ) denotes the first (second) Arens product on  $A^{**}$ . (For the definitions of the Arens products on  $A^{**}$ , we refer the reader to ([20], §4); in particular, we note that  $(A^{**}, \cdot)$  (resp.  $L(A^{**}, *)$ ) has a right (left) identity if and only if  $A$  has a bounded right (left) approximate identity ([3], p. 146, Proposition 9)). It is also straightforward to show that  $m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = m(\widehat{a}, b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

The following is a simpler proof of ([21], Theorem 2.1).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and suppose that  $E$  (resp.  $I$ ) is a right (left) identity in  $A^{**}$  with respect to the first (second) Arens product. Then the mapping  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(E, I)$  is a topological linear isomorphism of  $QM(A)$  into  $A^{**}$ , with*

$$\|m\| \leq \|m^{***}(E, I)\| \leq \|m\| \|E\| \|I\|.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that the mapping  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(E, I)$  is linear. For any  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\|m(a, b)\| = \|m(\widehat{a}, b)\| = \|m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})\|,$$

and since  $m^{***}$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $A^{**}$  we have

$$\|m(a, b)\| = \|a \cdot m^{***}(E, I) \cdot b\| \leq \|m^{***}(E, I)\| \|a\| \|b\|,$$

which implies that

$$\|m\| \leq \|m^{***}(E, I)\|.$$

The right side inequality follows from the fact that  $m^{***} \in QM(A^{**}, \cdot)$  and that  $\|m^{***}\| \leq \|m\|$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $\|E\| = \|I\| = 1$ , then the mapping  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(E, I)$  is isometric.*

Similarly we can show that  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(I, E)$  is a topological linear isomorphism of  $QM(A)$  into  $A^{**}$ . The following generalizes a result due to Vasudevan and Goel ([22], Corollary 3.1).

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. The image of  $\Phi(A)$  under the mapping  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(I, E)$  is  $\widehat{A}$ .*

**Proof.** We show that, for each  $a \in A$ ,  $(\Phi(a))^{***}(I, E) = \widehat{a}$ . Since  $E$  (resp.  $I$ ) is a right (left) identity in  $(A^{**}, \cdot)$  (resp.  $(A^{**}, *)$ ),  $E$  (resp.  $I$ ) is the weak\*-limit of a bounded right (left) a.i. in  $A$ . Thus, for any  $f$  in  $A^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, (\Phi(a))^{***}(I, E) \rangle &= \langle (\Phi(a))^{**}(E, f), I \rangle = \lim_{\beta} \langle \tilde{e}_{\beta}, (\Phi(a))^{**}(E, f) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\beta} \langle (\Phi(a))^*(f, \tilde{e}_{\beta}), E \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle e_{\alpha}, (\Phi(a))^*(f, \tilde{e}_{\beta}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle \tilde{e}_{\beta} a e_{\alpha}, f \rangle = \langle a, f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(\Phi(a))^{***}(I, E) = \widehat{a}$ , as required. ■

Let  $A_{\sigma}^{**} = \{F \in A^{**} : a \cdot F \cdot b \in A \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}$ . It is easy to show that  $A_{\sigma}^{**}$  is a closed subspace of  $A^{**}$  and the equation

$$\sigma(\widehat{F})(a, b) = a \cdot F \cdot b \quad (a, b \in A, F \in A_{\sigma}^{**})$$

defines a norm decreasing linear mapping  $\sigma$  of  $A_{\sigma}^{**}$  into  $QM(A)$ . The kernel of  $\sigma$  is  $(AA^*A)^{\perp}$ . For the sake of completeness we give the following properties of  $\sigma$ .

**LEMMA 2.4** (cf. [21], Theorem 2.4). *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and suppose that  $E$  (resp.  $I$ ) is a right (left) identity in  $A^{**}$  with respect to the first (second) Arens product. Then*

- (i)  $F \in A_{\sigma}^{**}$  if and only if there exist an  $m$  in  $QM(A)$  and a  $G \in \ker \sigma$  such that  $F = m^{***}(E, I) + G$ , and
- (ii)  $\sigma$  maps  $A_{\sigma}^{**}$  onto  $QM(A)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Suppose that  $F \in A_{\sigma}^{**}$ . Then the mapping  $(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \rightarrow a \cdot F \cdot b$  defines an element of  $QM(\widehat{A})$  and so, since  $QM(A)$  and  $QM(\widehat{A})$  are isomorphic (via the correspondence  $m \rightarrow m^{***}|_{\widehat{A}}$ ), there exists an  $m \in QM(A)$  such that  $m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = a \cdot F \cdot b$ . Thus, for any  $f \in A^*$ ,

$$\langle f, a \cdot m^{***}(E, I) \cdot b \rangle = \langle f, m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \rangle = \langle f, a \cdot F \cdot b \rangle,$$

which implies that  $a \cdot (m^{***}(E, I) - F) \cdot b = 0$ ; that is,  $m^{***}(E, I) - F \in \ker \sigma$ , and so  $F = m^{***}(E, I) + G$  for some  $G \in \ker \sigma$ , as required.

On the other hand, suppose that  $F \in A^{**}$  has the representation  $F = m^{***}(E, I) + G$  for some  $G \in \ker \sigma$  and  $m \in QM(A)$ . Then

$$a \cdot F \cdot b = m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) + a \cdot G \cdot b = m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})$$

since  $G \in \ker \sigma$ ; that is,  $F \in A_{\sigma}^{**}$ .

(ii) Let  $m$  be any element of  $QM(A)$ . Then  $m^{***}(E, I) \in A_{\sigma}^{**}$  and it is easy to show that  $\sigma(m^{***}(E, I)) = m$ ; that is,  $\sigma$  is a surjection. ■

We now turn our attention to Banach  $*$ -algebras. If  $A$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra, then we can define an involution in  $QM(A)$  by setting

$$m^*(a, b) = (m(b^*, a^*))^*$$

It is clear that, if  $a \rightarrow a^*$  is continuous in  $A$ , then  $m \rightarrow m^*$  is a continuous mapping in  $QM(A)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra and suppose that  $E$  (resp.  $I$ ) is a right (left) identity for the first (second) Arens product on  $A^{**}$ . If, in addition,  $(AA^*A)^\perp = \{0\}$ , then  $m \rightarrow m^{***}(E, I)$  is a continuous linear  $*$ -isomorphism of  $QM(A)$  into  $A^{**}$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.1 it is enough to prove that  $m^* \rightarrow (m^{***}(E, I))^*$ . (In this proof we are using the  $*$ -notation in different senses but it should not give rise to confusion.) First it is easy to show that the identities  $\langle a, f^* \rangle = \langle \widehat{a^*}, f \rangle$ ,  $\langle f, F^* \rangle = \langle \widehat{f^*}, F \rangle$  ( $a \in A, f \in A^*, F \in A^{**}$ ) define involutions in  $A^*$  and  $A^{**}$  respectively. A straightforward application of the above identities enables us to prove the following:

$$(b * f \cdot a)^* = a^* * f^* \cdot b^*, \quad a \cdot F^* \cdot b = (b^* \cdot F \cdot a^*)^*.$$

Thus, since  $m^*$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $A$ ,  $(m^*)^{***}$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $A^{**}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (m^*)^{***}(E, I) \cdot b &= (m^*)^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = m^*(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \\ &= (m(\widehat{b^*}, \widehat{a^*}))^* = (m^{***}(\widehat{b^*}, \widehat{a^*}))^* \\ &= (b^* \cdot m^{***}(E, I) \cdot a^*)^* = a \cdot (m^{***}(E, I))^* \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(m^*)^{***}(E, I) - (m^{***}(E, I))^* \in (AA^*A)^\perp$ , and so we have  $(m^*)^{***}(E, I) = (m^{***}(E, I))^*$ , as required. ■

The following is an improvement of a result given by Vasudevan and Goel in ([21], Theorem 3.4).

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a bounded a.i. and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be its auxiliary norm completion. Then, for each  $m \in QM(A)$ , there exists a unique  $\overline{m}$  in  $QM(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $m = \overline{m}|_{A \times A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|\cdot|$  denote the auxiliary norm in  $A$ . By ([19], Corollary 4.1.16) there exists a positive number  $\beta$  such that  $|a| \leq \beta \|a\|$  for all  $a \in A$ . It follows that, for each  $g \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , the restriction of  $g$  to  $A$ ,  $g_A$  say, is an element of  $(A, \|\cdot\|)^*$  (abbreviated to  $A^*$  in the sequel), with  $\|g_A\| \leq \beta |g|$ . Thus, if  $F \in A^{**}$ , the functional  $\overline{F}$  on  $\mathcal{U}^*$  defined by  $\langle g, \overline{F} \rangle = \langle g_A, F \rangle$  is an element of  $\mathcal{U}^{**}$ .

Let  $m$  be any element of  $QM(A)$  and let  $G = m^{***}(E, E)$ , where  $E$  is a right identity for the first Arens product and a left identity for the second. Now  $G \in A^{**}$ . We show that  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{**}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma^{**}$  is defined in an analogous way to  $A_\sigma^{**}$ . First we prove that, for  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma(G)(a, b)) = \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(b),$$

where  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}$  denotes the canonical embedding of  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\mathcal{U}^{**}$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle g, \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma(G)(a, b)) \rangle &= \langle \sigma(G)(a, b), g \rangle = \langle \sigma(G)(a, b), g_A \rangle \\ &= \langle g_A, a \cdot G \cdot b \rangle = \langle b * g_A \cdot a, G \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle g, \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(b) \rangle = \langle (b * g \cdot a)_A, G \rangle,$$

and since  $(b * g \cdot a)_A = b * g_A \cdot a$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \langle g, \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(b) \rangle = \langle b * g_A \cdot a, G \rangle.$$

Thus (2.2) follows from (2.3) and (2.4).

Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $A$  is  $|\cdot|$ -dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exist sequences  $\{a_n\}, \{b_m\}$  in  $A$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow u, b_m \rightarrow v$ , with respect to the auxiliary norm on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n, m} \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a_n) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(b_m) = \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(v),$$

and so by (2.1),

$$\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(v) = \lim_{n, m} \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma(G)(a_n, b_m)).$$

It follows that  $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(v) \in \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{U})$ ; that is,  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{U}_\sigma^{**}$ , as required.

Let  $\overline{m} = \sigma(\overline{G})$ . Then  $\overline{m} \in QM(\mathcal{U})$ , and, for  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\overline{m}(a, b)) &= \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) \cdot \overline{G} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(b) \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma(G)(a, b)) = \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(m(a, b)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\overline{m}|_{A \times A} = m$ . The uniqueness of  $m$  follows immediately from the fact that  $A$  is auxiliary norm dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ . ■

The above result enables us to make the following.

**DEFINITION 2.7.** For each  $m \in QM(A)$ , we define the “auxiliary” norm on  $QM(A)$  by

$$|m| = |\overline{m}|;$$

our use of the terminology will be justified later.

Before we make a further study of the space  $(QM(A), |\cdot|)$  we require some results on the double multipliers of an  $A^*$ -algebra; the theorems proved are variants of ([12], Theorems 3.3–3.7).

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a bounded a.i. and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be its auxiliary norm completion. Then each  $(S, T) \in M(A)$  has a unique extension to a double multiplier  $(S', T') \in M(\mathcal{U})$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that each  $S \in M_i(A)$  has a unique extension to an element  $S' \in M_i(\mathcal{U})$ . With  $E$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, let  $F = S^{**}E$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , the equation  $\langle g, \overline{F} \rangle = \langle g_A, F \rangle$ , where  $g_A$  denotes the restriction of  $g$  to  $A$ , defines an element  $\overline{F} \in \mathcal{U}^{**}$ . Moreover,  $\overline{F} \cdot a \in \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$  ( $a \in A$ ); for,  $\overline{F} \cdot a = \overline{F \cdot a}$  and since  $F \cdot a = \widehat{S}a$ , it follows that  $\overline{F} \cdot a \in \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ . Since



$\mathcal{U}$  is the  $|\cdot|$ -closure of  $A$  the above implies that  $\overline{F} \cdot u \in \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{U})$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . This enables us to define a mapping  $S' : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  by

$$(2.5) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(S'u) = \overline{F} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \quad (u \in \mathcal{U}).$$

It is straightforward to show that  $S' \in M_i(\mathcal{U})$ . Moreover,  $S'$  is an extension of  $S$ ; for, if  $a \in A$ , then

$$\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(S'a) = \overline{F} \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(a) = \overline{F \cdot a} = \widehat{Sa} = \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(Sa),$$

which implies that  $S'a = Sa$ ; that is,  $S'|_A = S$ . The extension is unique since  $A$  is  $|\cdot|$ -dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

In a similar way we can prove that each  $T \in M_r(A)$  has a unique extension to an element  $T' \in M_r(\mathcal{U})$ . The equation corresponding to (2.4) is given by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(T'u) = \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \cdot \overline{F}.$$

It follows that, if  $(S, T) \in M(A)$ , then  $(S', T')$  is its unique extension to  $M(\mathcal{U})$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a bounded a.i. Then  $M(A)$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra.*

**Proof.** We recall that  $M(A)$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm  $\|(S, T)\| = \max(\|S\|, \|T\|)$ . In addition, since  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra,  $M(A)$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra, the involution being defined by  $(S, T)^* = (T^*, S^*)$ , where  $S^*a = (Sa^*)^*$  and  $T^*a = (Ta^*)^*$  ( $a \in A$ ). Thus it is enough to show that we can define an auxiliary norm on  $M(A)$ .

By Theorem 2.8, each  $(S, T) \in M(A)$  has a unique extension to an element  $(S', T') \in M(\mathcal{U})$ . Define the auxiliary norm on  $M(A)$  by  $|(S, T)| = |(S', T')|$ . It is easy to check that  $(ST^*)' = S'(T')^*$  and  $(S^*T')' = (S')^*T'$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} |(S, T)(S, T)^*| &= |((ST^*)', (S^*T')')| = |(S'(T')^*, (S')^*T')| \\ &= |(S', T')(S', T')^*| = |(S', T')|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $M(\mathcal{U})$  is a  $B^*$ -algebra. Thus  $|(S, T)(S, T)^*| = |(S, T)|^2$ ; that is,  $M(A)$  with the norms  $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|$  and  $|\cdot|$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra. ■

By using the same arguments as the ones used to prove ([12], Theorem 3.5) (resp. ([12], Theorem 3.7)) we can show that  $M(A)$  is algebraically  $*$ -isomorphic and auxiliary norm isometric to the subalgebra  $K = \{(V, W) \in M(\mathcal{U}) : V(A) \subseteq A, W(A) \subseteq A\}$  of  $M(\mathcal{U})$  (resp. to a subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{U}^{**}, \cdot)$ ).

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a bounded a.i. and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be its auxiliary norm completion. Then  $QM(A)$  is linearly  $*$ -isomorphic and auxiliary norm isometric to a subspace of  $QM(\mathcal{U})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{m \in QM(\mathcal{U}) : m(A \times A) \subseteq A\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $*$ -subspace of  $QM(\mathcal{U})$ . If  $m \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $m|_{A \times A}$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $A$ , and so, since  $A$  has a bounded a.i.,  $m|_{A \times A} \in QM(A)$ . Let  $\tilde{\Psi}$  denote the mapping of  $QM(A)$  into  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by  $\tilde{\Psi}(q) = \bar{q}$ , where  $\bar{q}$  is the unique extension of  $q \in QM(A)$  as given in Theorem 2.6. It follows that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a linear surjection of  $QM(A)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is routine to show that, for  $m \in QM(A)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}(m^*)|_{A \times A} = (\tilde{\Psi}(m)|_{A \times A})^*$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\Psi}(m^*) = (\tilde{\Psi}(m))^*$ ; that is,  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism. Finally, it is clear from Definition 2.7 that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is auxiliary norm isometric. ■

If  $A$  has a minimal ultra-approximate identity, then  $QM(A)$  is a Banach algebra. In this case the above theorem may be strengthened to give the following.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a minimal ultra-approximate identity. Then  $QM(A)$  is algebraically  $*$ -isomorphic and auxiliary norm isometric to a subalgebra of  $QM(\mathcal{U})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{e_\alpha\}$  denote the minimal ultra-approximate identity in  $A$ . For  $m_1, m_2 \in QM(A)$ , the product  $m_1 \odot m_2$  is given by

$$(m_1 \odot m_2)(a, b) = m_1(a, \lim_{\alpha} m_2(e_\alpha, b)).$$

We now extend the above definition to define a product in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Since  $A$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra there exists a positive number  $\beta$  such that  $|a| \leq \beta \|a\|$  for all  $a \in A$ . Moreover, since  $A$  is auxiliary norm dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ , it follows that, for  $m \in QM(A)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , the nets  $\{\overline{m}(e_\alpha, u)\}$  and  $\{\overline{m}(u, e_\alpha)\}$  are Cauchy in  $\mathcal{U}$  and hence convergent. Thus we can define a product in  $\mathcal{P}$  by setting

$$(\overline{m}_1 \odot \overline{m}_2)(u, v) = \overline{m}_1(u, \lim_{\alpha} \overline{m}_2(e_\alpha, v)),$$

so that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subalgebra of  $QM(\mathcal{U})$ . We also note that  $\overline{m}_1 \odot \overline{m}_2|_{A \times A} = m_1 \odot m_2$ , and so

$$\overline{m}_1 \odot \overline{m}_2 = \overline{m_1 \odot m_2}.$$

It follows from the above and Theorem 2.10 that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is an algebraic  $*$ -isomorphism of  $QM(A)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}$ . ■

With  $A$  as in Theorem 2.11, the mappings  $\lambda, \rho$  and  $\Psi$  are isometric embeddings of  $(M_i(A), \|\cdot\|)$ ,  $(M_r(A), \|\cdot\|)$  and  $(M(A), \|\cdot\|)$  respectively into  $(QM(A), \|\cdot\|)$ . If, instead, we consider the auxiliary norms on  $M(A)$  and  $QM(A)$ , then we have the following

**THEOREM 2.12.** *Let  $A$  be an  $A^*$ -algebra with a minimal ultra-approximate identity. Then there exists a positive number  $\beta$  such that*

$$(i) \quad \beta^{-1}|(S, T)| \leq |\Psi(S, T)| \leq |(S, T)| \text{ for all } (S, T) \in M(A),$$

- (ii)  $\beta^{-1}|S| \leq |\lambda(S)| \leq |S|$  ( $S \in M_l(A)$ ),
- (iii)  $\beta^{-1}|T| \leq |\varrho(T)| \leq |T|$  ( $T \in M_r(A)$ ).

Proof. (i) Let  $\{e_\alpha\}$  denote the minimal ultra-approximate identity in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra there exists a positive number  $\beta$  such that  $|a| \leq \beta\|a\|$  for all  $a \in A$ . If  $(S, T) \in M(A)$  and  $(S', T')$  its unique extension to  $M(\mathcal{U})$ , then, for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

$$|S'u| = \lim_\alpha |e_\alpha S'u| = \lim_\alpha |\Psi(S', T')(e_\alpha, u)| \leq \beta |\Psi(S', T')||u|,$$

which implies that  $|S'| \leq \beta |\Psi(S', T')|$ . (We are using the same notation to denote the embeddings of  $M(A)$  in  $QM(A)$  and  $M(\mathcal{U})$  in  $QM(\mathcal{U})$  but this should not cause any confusion.) Since  $(\Psi(S', T'))|_{A \times A} = \Psi(S, T)$ , we have  $|\Psi(S, T)| = |\Psi(S', T')|$ . Now  $|(S, T)| = |(S', T')| = |S'|$ , and so it follows that  $\beta^{-1}|(S, T)| \leq |\Psi(S, T)|$ . The right hand side inequality holds since  $\Psi : (M(A), |\cdot|) \rightarrow (QM(A), |\cdot|)$  is norm decreasing.

(ii) and (iii) may be proved by the same methods. ■

THEOREM 2.13. Let  $A$  be as in Theorem 2.12. Then, for any  $m \in QM(A)$ ,

$$\beta^{-1}|m|^2 \leq |m \odot m^*| \leq \beta^2|m|^2.$$

Proof. Let  $m \in QM(A)$ . Since  $\Psi$  is surjective there exists an  $(S, T) \in M(A)$  such that  $m = \Psi(S, T)$ . We also note that  $m^* = \Psi((S, T)^*)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |m \odot m^*| &= |\Psi(S, T) \odot \Psi((S, T)^*)| = |\Psi((S, T)(S, T)^*)| \\ &\leq |(S, T)(S, T)^*| = |(S, T)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $M(A)$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra by Theorem 2.9. By Theorem 2.12(i),

$$|m \odot m^*| \leq \beta^2|m|^2;$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} |m|^2 &= |\Psi(S, T)|^2 \leq |(S, T)|^2 = |(S, T)(S, T)^*| \\ &\leq \beta |\Psi(S, T) \odot \Psi((S, T)^*)| = \beta |m \odot m^*|, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\beta^{-1}|m|^2 \leq |m \odot m^*| \leq \beta^2|m|^2,$$

as required. ■

COROLLARY 2.14. If  $\beta = 1$ , then  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the  $B^*$ -condition and  $QM(A)$  is an  $A^*$ -algebra.

The above corollary justifies the use of the term ‘‘auxiliary’’ norm in Definition 2.7.

3. Quasi-multipliers and the strict topology. Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded a.i.

DEFINITION 3.1. The left strict  $\beta_l$ , right strict  $\beta_r$ , strict  $\beta$  and quasi-strict  $\gamma$  topologies on  $QM(A)$  are defined respectively by the following families of semi-norms:

- (i)  $m \rightarrow \|a \circ m\|$ ,
- (ii)  $m \rightarrow \|m \circ a\|$ ,
- (iii)  $m \rightarrow \|a \circ m\|$  and  $m \rightarrow \|m \circ a\|$ ,
- (iv)  $m \rightarrow \|a \circ m \circ b\|$

( $a, b \in A, m \in QM(A)$ ).

Clearly  $\gamma \subseteq \beta_l$  and  $\beta_r \subseteq \beta$ . The properties of  $(QM(A), \gamma)$  have been studied in some detail in ([11], §3); in this section we turn our attention to  $(QM(A), \beta)$ .

THEOREM 3.2.  $QM(A)$  is  $\beta$ -complete.

Proof. We first note that, for each  $a \in A$  and  $m \in QM(A)$ , the mappings  $S_a$  and  $T_a$ , given by

$$S_a(b) = m(a, b), \quad T_a(b) = m(b, a),$$

define elements in  $M_l(A)$  and  $M_r(A)$  respectively, and it is easy to show that  $\lambda(S_a) = a \circ m$  and  $\varrho(T_a) = m \circ a$ .

Let  $\{m_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a  $\beta$ -Cauchy net in  $QM(A)$  and let  $a \in A$ . It follows from the definition of the  $\beta$ -topology that the nets  $\{\lambda(S_a)_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\varrho(T_a)_\alpha\}$ , where  $(S_a)_\alpha b = m_\alpha(a, b)$  and  $(T_a)_\alpha b = m_\alpha(b, a)$ , are norm-Cauchy in  $QM(A)$ . Since  $\lambda$  and  $\varrho$  are topological embeddings, the nets  $\{(S_a)_\alpha\}$  and  $\{(T_a)_\alpha\}$  are norm-Cauchy in  $M_l(A)$  and  $M_r(A)$  respectively. Both  $M_l(A)$  and  $M_r(A)$  are Banach spaces and so there exist  $S^{(a)}$  in  $M_l(A)$  and  $T^{(a)}$  in  $M_r(A)$  such that

$$\|(S_a)_\alpha - S^{(a)}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \|(T_a)_\alpha - T^{(a)}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\gamma \subseteq \beta$ , the net  $\{m_\alpha\}$  is  $\gamma$ -Cauchy. The space  $QM(A)$  is  $\gamma$ -complete ([14], Theorem 6) and so there exists an element  $m_0$  in  $QM(A)$  such that

$$\lim_\alpha m_\alpha(x, y) = m_0(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . For any  $b, c \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(S^{(a)}))(b, c) &= \lim_\alpha (\lambda((S_a)_\alpha))(b, c) = \lim_\alpha b m_\alpha(a, c) \\ &= (a \circ m_0)(b, c), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\lambda(S^{(a)}) = a \circ m_0$ . Similarly we can prove that  $\varrho(T^{(a)}) = m_0 \circ a$ . Thus

$$\|a \circ m_\alpha - a \circ m_0\| = \|\lambda(S_a)_\alpha - \lambda(S^{(a)})\| \leq \|(S_a)_\alpha - S^{(a)}\| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|m_\alpha \circ a - m_0 \circ a\| = \|\varrho(T_\alpha)_\alpha - \varrho(T^{(a)})\| \leq \|(T_\alpha)_\alpha - T^{(a)}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that  $m_0$  is the  $\beta$ -limit of the net  $\{m_\alpha\}$ ; that is,  $QM(A)$  is  $\beta$ -complete, as required. ■

Since  $\gamma \subseteq \beta$ , every  $\beta$ -bounded set is  $\gamma$ -bounded. But the  $\gamma$ -bounded and norm bounded subsets of  $QM(A)$  coincide ([11], Theorem 3.2), and so every  $\beta$ -bounded subset of  $QM(A)$  is norm bounded. Clearly every norm bounded subset of  $QM(A)$  is  $\beta$ -bounded. We thus have the following

**THEOREM 3.3.**  $(QM(A), \beta)$ ,  $(QM(A), \gamma)$  and  $(QM(A), \|\cdot\|)$  have the same bounded sets.

Our next aim is to generalize a theorem due to Lin ([13], Theorem 9.3).

Let

$$A_\mu^{**} = \{F \in A^{**} : F \cdot a \in A \text{ for all } a \in A\},$$

$$A_\nu^{**} = \{F \in A^{**} : a \cdot F \in A \text{ for all } a \in A\},$$

$$A_\sigma^{**} = \{F \in A^{**} : a \cdot F \cdot b \in A \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}.$$

The *strict topology* on  $A^{**}$  is defined to be the locally convex topology determined by the semi-norms  $F \rightarrow \|F \cdot a\|$  and  $F \rightarrow \|a \cdot F\|$  ( $a \in A$ ,  $F \in A^{**}$ ).

It is clear that  $A_\mu^{**} + A_\nu^{**} \subseteq A_\sigma^{**}$ , but, in fact, more is true:

**LEMMA 3.4.**  $A_\mu^{**} + A_\nu^{**}$  is strictly dense in  $A_\sigma^{**}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a bounded a.i. for  $A$ , with  $\|e_\alpha\| \leq C$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ), and suppose that  $F \in A_\sigma^{**}$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $F_\alpha = e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha + F \cdot e_\alpha$ . Clearly  $F \cdot e_\alpha \in A_\nu^{**}$  and  $e_\alpha \cdot F \in A_\mu^{**}$ , and so to complete the proof we show that  $F_\alpha$  converges strictly to  $F$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a \cdot F_\alpha - a \cdot F\| &\leq \|a \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha - a e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha\| + \|a e_\alpha \cdot F - a \cdot F\| \\ &\leq \|a - a e_\alpha\| \|F\| C + \|a e_\alpha - a\| \|F\| \rightarrow 0; \end{aligned}$$

similarly we can show that  $\|F_\alpha \cdot a - F \cdot a\| \rightarrow 0$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.5.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded a.i. Then  $M_l(A) + M_r(A)$  is strictly dense in  $QM(A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $m \in QM(A)$  and suppose that  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a bounded a.i. for  $A$ , with  $\|e_\alpha\| \leq C$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ). For each  $\alpha \in I$ , define mappings  $S_\alpha$  and  $T_\alpha$  by

$$S_\alpha(a) = m(e_\alpha, a - e_\alpha a), \quad T_\alpha(a) = m(a, e_\alpha) \quad (a \in A).$$

Clearly  $S_\alpha \in M_l(A)$  and  $T_\alpha \in M_r(A)$ .

Let  $F = m^{***}(E, E)$ , where  $E$  is a weak\*-cluster point of  $\{\widehat{e}_\alpha\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$  we prove the following.

$$(i) \quad (\varrho(T_\alpha))^{***}(E, E) = F \cdot e_\alpha,$$

$$(ii) \quad ((\lambda(S_\alpha))')^{***}(E, E) = e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha.$$

For (i),  $\langle f, (\varrho(T_\alpha))^{***}(E, E) \rangle = \langle (\varrho(T_\alpha))^{**}(E, f), E \rangle$  ( $f \in A^*$ ); routine calculations show that  $(\varrho(T_\alpha))^{**}(E, f) = T_\alpha^*(E \cdot f)$ , and so, since  $f$  is arbitrary in  $A^*$ , it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad (\varrho(T_\alpha))^{***}(E, E) = T_\alpha^{**} E.$$

Also, for any  $f$  in  $A^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, T_\alpha^{**} E \rangle &= \langle T_\alpha^* f, E \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle e_\beta, T_\alpha^* f \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle m(e_\beta, e_\alpha), f \rangle \\ &= \lim_\beta \langle e_\beta, m^{**}(\widehat{e}_\alpha, f) \rangle = \langle f, m^{***}(E, \widehat{e}_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \langle f, m^{***}(E, E \cdot e_\alpha) \rangle = \langle f, m^{***}(E, E) \cdot e_\alpha \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.2) \quad T_\alpha^{**} E = m^{***}(E, E) \cdot e_\alpha.$$

(i) follows from (3.1) and (3.2).

For (ii), we first note that, if  $S \in M_l(A)$  then  $S^{**} \in M_l(A^{**}, *)$ . For each  $S \in M_l(A)$ , let  $\widetilde{\lambda}(S^{**})$  be the element of  $QM(A^{**}, *)$  defined by

$$(\widetilde{\lambda}(S^{**}))(F, G) = F * S^{**} G.$$

A routine calculation shows that

$$((\lambda(S))')^{***}(F, G) = (\widetilde{\lambda}(S^{**}))(F, G),$$

so that, in particular, for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad ((\lambda(S_\alpha))')^{***}(E, E) = E * S_\alpha^{**} E = S_\alpha^{**} E.$$

For each  $\alpha \in I$  and  $f \in A^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, S_\alpha^{**} E \rangle &= \lim_\beta \langle S_\alpha^* f, \widehat{e}_\beta \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle m(e_\alpha, e_\beta - e_\alpha e_\beta), f \rangle \\ &= \lim_\beta \langle e_\alpha, m^*(f, e_\beta - e_\alpha e_\beta) \rangle = \langle m^*(f, e_\alpha), E - e_\alpha \cdot E \rangle \\ &= \langle e_\alpha, m^{**}(E - e_\alpha \cdot E, f) \rangle = \langle f, m^{***}(\widehat{e}_\alpha, E - e_\alpha \cdot E) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $S_\alpha^{**} E = m^{***}(\widehat{e}_\alpha, E - e_\alpha \cdot E)$ .

Now  $e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha = e_\alpha \cdot m^{***}(E, E) - e_\alpha \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot e_\alpha$  and since  $m^{***}$  is an element of  $QM(A^{**}, \cdot)$ , we have

$$e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha = m^{***}(\widehat{e}_\alpha, E - e_\alpha \cdot E).$$

Thus

$$(3.4) \quad S_\alpha^{**} E = e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4),

$$((\lambda(S_\alpha))')^{***}(E, E) = e_\alpha \cdot F - e_\alpha \cdot F \cdot e_\alpha$$

proving (ii).

Since  $a \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot b = m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) = m(\widehat{a}, b)$ , we have  $F \in A_{\sigma}^{**}$ . Thus, by the proof of Lemma 3.4,  $e_{\alpha} \cdot F - e_{\alpha} \cdot F \cdot e_{\alpha} + F \cdot e_{\alpha}$  converges strictly to  $F$ ; that is, for each  $a \in A$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \|((\lambda(S_{\alpha}))')^{***}(E, E) + (\varrho(T_{\alpha}))^{***}(E, E) - m^{***}(E, E)) \cdot a\| \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \|a \cdot ((\lambda(S_{\alpha}))')^{***}(E, E) + (\varrho(T_{\alpha}))^{***}(E, E) - m^{***}(E, E))\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Next we require the following identities:

- (iii)  $(m')^{***}(E, E) \cdot a = m^{***}(E, E) \cdot a$ ,
- (iv)  $(m \circ a)^{***} = m^{***} \circ \widehat{a}$ ,
- (v)  $(a \circ m)^{***} = \widehat{a} \circ m^{***}$

( $m \in QM(A)$ ,  $a \in A$ ). We prove (iii) below; (iv) and (v) can be proved using routine calculations and the property that  $m^{***}$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $(A^{**}, \cdot)$ . Since  $(m')^{***}$  is a quasi-multiplier on  $(A^{**}, *)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, (m')^{***}(E, E) \cdot a \rangle &= \langle f, (m')^{***}(E, \widehat{a}) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, (m')^{**}(f, E) \rangle = \langle (m')^*(a, f), E \rangle \\ &= \langle m^{**}(\widehat{a}, f), E \rangle = \langle f, m^{***}(E, \widehat{a}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(m')^{***}(E, E) \cdot a = m^{***}(E, E) \cdot a.$$

It follows from (iv) that

$$(m \circ a)^{***}(E, E) = (m^{***} \circ \widehat{a})(E, E) = m^{***}(E, E) \cdot a,$$

and from (v) that

$$(a \circ m)^{***}(E, E) = a \cdot m^{***}(E, E).$$

Thus, from (3.5), (iii), and the above we have

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|((\lambda(S_{\alpha}) + \varrho(T_{\alpha}) - m) \circ a)^{***}(E, E)\| = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|(\lambda(S_{\alpha}) + \varrho(T_{\alpha}) - m) \circ a\| = 0.$$

Similarly we can show that  $\lim_{\alpha} \|a \circ (\lambda(S_{\alpha}) + \varrho(T_{\alpha}) - m)\| = 0$ . Thus  $\lambda(S_{\alpha}) + \varrho(T_{\alpha})$  converges strictly to  $m$ ; that is,  $M_l(A) + M_r(A)$  is strictly dense in  $QM(A)$ .

**4. Quasi-multipliers and the algebra of compact operators.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $A = K_0(X)$ , the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $X$  which can be approximated, in the operator norm, by operators of finite rank. In this section our first aim is to establish a characterization for the quasi-multipliers of  $A$ . We begin, however, with some definitions and

necessary background results. Full details of the results given may be found in [4]. A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *approximation property* if, for every compact set  $K$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a linear operator  $T_{K,\varepsilon}$  of finite rank such that  $\|T_{K,\varepsilon}(x) - x\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in K$ . If, in addition, there exists a positive number  $C$ , independent of  $K$  and  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\|T_{K,\varepsilon}\| < C$ , then  $X$  is said to have the *bounded approximation property*. If  $C = 1$ , then  $X$  is said to have the *metric approximation property*. If  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property, then  $K_0(X) = K(X)$ , the algebra of all compact operators on  $X$  ([4], Theorem 3.5), and  $K(X)$  has a bounded a.i.; the latter property follows from ([4], Theorems 3.10 and 3.11), ([4], p. 93), and ([3], p. 59, Proposition 6).

The tensor  $x \otimes x'$  ( $x \in X$ ,  $x' \in X^*$ ) determines a bounded linear operator on  $X$  according to the equation

$$(4.1) \quad (x \otimes x')y = (x'(y))x \quad (y \in X)$$

and so the elements of the tensor product  $X \otimes X^*$  are operators on  $X$  which are of finite rank. If  $u \in X \otimes X^*$  and  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i$ , the right hand side of the equation

$$\|u\|^{\vee} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, f \rangle \langle x'_i, F \rangle \right| : f \in X^*, F \in X^{**} \right\}$$

is independent of the representation of  $u$  and defines a norm on  $X \otimes X^*$ , called the *inductive tensor norm*. The completion of  $X \otimes X^*$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|^{\vee}$  is denoted by  $X \hat{\otimes} X^*$  and it is straightforward to show that  $X \hat{\otimes} X^*$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $K_0(X)$ .

The *projective tensor norm* on  $X \otimes X^*$  is defined by

$$\|u\|^{\wedge} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|x'_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $u$ . The completion of  $X \otimes X^*$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|^{\wedge}$  is denoted by  $X \hat{\otimes} X^*$  and is called the *projective tensor product* of  $X$  and  $X^*$ . The mapping  $\phi$  of  $X \otimes X^*$  into  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  as defined by (4.1) is a norm decreasing mapping on  $(X \otimes X^*, \|\cdot\|^{\wedge})$  and so induces a contraction from  $X \hat{\otimes} X^*$  into  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Clearly its image is linearly isomorphic to the quotient space  $X \hat{\otimes} X^* / \ker \phi$ ;  $\phi(X \hat{\otimes} X^*)$ , equipped with the quotient norm, is denoted by  $N(X)$ . The elements of  $N(X)$  are called the *nuclear operators* on  $X$  and the norm is called the *trace norm*. In fact, if  $u \in N(X)$ , then the trace norm of  $u$  is given by

$$\|u\|_N = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|x'_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes x'_i \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $u$ .



A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $X$  is said to be an *integral operator* if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Tx_i, x'_i \rangle \right| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i \right\|$$

for all  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i \in X \otimes X^*$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . The infimum over all possible constants  $C$  is called the *integral norm* of  $T$  and is denoted by  $\|T\|_I$ . If  $I(X)$  denotes the integral operators on  $X$ , then  $(I(X), \|\cdot\|_I)$  is a Banach space ([23], p. 258). Every nuclear operator is integral, its integral norm being dominated by its nuclear norm. The dual of  $K_0(X)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(I(X^*), \|\cdot\|_I)$ ; the correspondence  $F \leftrightarrow f$  between  $(K_0(X))^*$  and  $I(X^*)$  is described by the relation

$$(4.2) \quad \langle u, F \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, fx'_i \rangle \quad (f \in I(X^*)),$$

where  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i \in X \otimes X^*$ .

For our investigations in this section we require, in addition to the above, the following properties of integral operators.

(i)  $I(X)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and, for  $f, g \in I(X)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $\|f \circ T \circ g\|_I \leq \|f\|_I \|T\| \|g\|_I$ ; the proof is routine.

(ii) An operator  $f$  on  $X$  is integral if and only if  $f^*$  is integral and  $\|f\|_I = \|f^*\|_I$  ([5], p. 236, Corollary 11).

(iii) An integral operator on  $X$  is weakly compact ([4], p. 228, Corollary 3.6) and so  $f^{**}$  maps  $X^{**}$  into  $\widehat{X}$  ([4], p. 227).

The bilinear functional  $(x', x'') \rightarrow \langle x', x'' \rangle$  on  $X^* \times X^{**}$  induces a unique linear functional  $\psi$  on  $X^* \otimes X^{**}$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \otimes x''_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x'_i, x''_i \rangle$$

([3], p. 232, Theorem 6). It is clear that  $\psi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $(X^* \otimes X^{**}, \|\cdot\|^\wedge)$  and so has a unique continuous extension,  $\tilde{\psi}$  say, to  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**}$ . Since the right hand side of (4.3) is independent of the representation of  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \otimes x''_i$ , we refer to it as the *trace* of  $u$  and it is written  $\text{tr}.u$ . In particular, if  $g \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ , then  $g \circ u = \sum_{i=1}^n gx'_i \otimes x''_i$ , and so

$$\text{tr}.(g \circ u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle gx'_i, x''_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x'_i, g^*x''_i \rangle = \text{tr}.(u \circ g).$$

If  $f \in I(X^*)$  and  $a = x \otimes x' \in X \otimes X^*$ , then  $a^* = x' \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{x}$  and so  $\text{tr}.(a^* \circ f) = \langle x', f^*\widehat{x} \rangle = \langle x, fx' \rangle = \text{tr}.(f \circ a^*) = \langle a, f \rangle$  (by (4.2)). It follows that, for

any  $a \in K_0(X)$ ,

$$\text{tr}.(f \circ a^*) = \text{tr}.(a^* \circ f) = \langle a, f \rangle.$$

We let  $\pi$  denote the canonical mapping of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**}$  into  $I(X^*)$  (in fact, the image of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**}$  under  $\pi$  is  $N(X^*)$ ). If  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property, then  $\pi$  is injective ([4], p. 80, Theorem 3.4), so that  $N(X^*)$  is the projective tensor product  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**}$  of  $X^*$  and  $X^{**}$ . In this case  $\text{tr}.u$  is well defined for every  $u \in N(X^*)$ . The dual space  $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**})^*$  may be identified (isometrically and isomorphically) with  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ , the correspondence  $h \rightarrow T_h$  ( $h \in (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X^{**})^*$ ,  $T_h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ ) being defined by the relation

$$(4.4) \quad \langle x' \otimes x'', h \rangle = \langle x', T_h x'' \rangle.$$

Thus the adjoint  $\pi^*$  is a mapping of  $(K_0(X))^{**}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and suppose that  $a \in K_0(X)$ . Then  $\pi^*\widehat{a} = a^{**}$ .*

Proof. Let  $x' \in X^*$ ,  $x'' \in X^{**}$ . It follows from (4.4) that, for any  $a \in K_0(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', (\pi^*\widehat{a})x'' \rangle &= \langle x' \otimes x'', \pi^*\widehat{a} \rangle = \langle \pi(x' \otimes x''), \widehat{a} \rangle \\ &= \langle a, \pi(x' \otimes x'') \rangle = \text{tr}.(a^* \circ \pi(x' \otimes x'')) \\ &= \text{tr}.(a^*x' \otimes x'') = \langle a^*x', x'' \rangle = \langle x', a^{**}x'' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(\pi^*\widehat{a}) = a^{**}$ . ■

For the remainder of this section, unless stated otherwise, we assume that  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property and in the sequel the algebra  $K_0(X)$  is denoted by  $A$ . We also use  $\iota_X$  (resp.  $\iota_{X^*}$ ) to denote the canonical embedding of  $X$  (resp.  $X^*$ ) into  $X^{**}$  (resp.  $X^{***}$ ) and, for each  $h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ , the “ $h$ -flat” mapping is the element of  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$  defined by  $h^\flat = \iota_X^* \circ h^* \circ \iota_{X^*}$ .

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and suppose that  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property. Then  $\varrho$  is a topological isomorphism of  $M_r(A)$  onto  $QM(A)$ .*

Proof. Since  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property,  $A$  has a bounded two-sided approximate identity and so  $\varrho$  is a topological isomorphism (isometric if  $X^*$  has the metric approximation property). Thus to complete the proof we have to show that  $\varrho$  is onto.

Let  $m \in QM(A)$  and let  $E$  be a right (left) identity with respect to the first (second) Arens product on  $A^{**}$ . Let  $F = m^{***}(E, E)$  and  $g = (\pi^*F)^\flat$ . The algebra  $M_r(A)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$  (see, for example, ([10], Theorem 19 and Corollary 1)), and the correspondence  $\tau$  which maps  $g \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$  onto  $\tau_g \in M_r(A)$  has the property that  $\iota_X \circ \tau_g(a) = a^{**} \circ g^* \circ \iota_X$

for all  $a \in A$ . Thus, for any  $a, b \in A, x \in X, x' \in X^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', \iota_X((\tau_g(a)b)x) \rangle &= \langle x', (a^{**} \circ g^*)\widehat{bx} \rangle \\ &= \langle x', a^{**} \circ (\pi^*F)^b(\widehat{bx}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $(h^b)^*|_{\widehat{X}} = h$  for every  $h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', \iota_X((\tau_g(a)b)x) \rangle &= \langle x', (a^{**} \circ \pi^*F \circ b^{**} \circ \iota_X)x \rangle \\ &= \langle x', (\pi^*\widehat{a} \circ \pi^*F \circ \pi^*\widehat{b} \circ \iota_X)x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1.

The mapping  $\pi^*$  satisfies  $\pi^*(F \cdot G) = \pi^*F \circ \pi^*G$  for all  $F, G \in A^{**}$  ([9], Proposition 3.2(iii)) and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', \iota_X((\tau_g(a)b)x) \rangle &= \langle x', \pi^*(a \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot b)\widehat{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle x', (\pi^*(m^{***}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})))\widehat{x} \rangle = \langle x', (\pi^*(m(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})))\widehat{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle x', (m(a, b))^{**}\widehat{x} \rangle = \langle x', (m(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}))x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\tau_g(a)b = m(a, b)$ ; that is,  $\varrho(\tau_g) = m$ , proving that  $\varrho$  is surjective, as required. ■

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and suppose that  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property. Then  $QM(A)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ ; the topological isomorphism is an isometric one if  $X^*$  has the metric approximation property.*

**COROLLARY 4.4** (Vasudevan and Goel ([22], Lemma 3.2)). *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $A = K(H)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  and  $QM(A)$  are isometrically isomorphic.*

**Proof.** The result follows immediately from Corollary 4.3 since every Hilbert space has the metric approximation property. ■

Before our next result we explain how we consider left, right and double multipliers of  $A^{**}$ ; our approach is due to Grosser ([9], p. 547). Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a left module over a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Banach space and there is a continuous bilinear mapping  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $a(bv) = (ab)v$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, v \in \mathcal{V}$ ). A *right multiplier* of  $\mathcal{V}$  is defined to be a mapping  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $T(ab) = aTb$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded right a.i., then every right multiplier is linear and continuous. Following the notation introduced in §2 we denote the set of all linear and continuous right multipliers of  $\mathcal{V}$  by  $M_r(\mathcal{V})$ . The space  $M_l(\mathcal{W})$  of all linear and continuous *left multipliers* of a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{W}$  is defined analogously. If  $\mathcal{V}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bi-module (that is, a left and a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module simultaneously satisfying  $a(vb) = (av)b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ), then the space  $M(\mathcal{V})$  of continuous linear *double multipliers* of  $\mathcal{V}$  consists of all pairs  $(S, T), S \in M_l(\mathcal{V})$  and

$T \in M_r(\mathcal{V})$ , satisfying  $aSb = (Ta)b$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). In particular,  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bi-module and so the right, left and double multipliers of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  are defined in the above sense. If  $S$  is a linear continuous mapping of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $S^t$  denotes the restriction of its adjoint to  $\mathcal{A}^*$ , then it is not difficult to show that  $S$  is a left multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  if and only if  $S^t(a * f) = a * S^t f$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Similarly, a continuous linear operator  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  is a right multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  if and only if  $T^t(f \cdot a) = T^t f \cdot a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , and the pair  $(S, T)$  (where  $S, T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  are continuous linear mappings) is a double multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  if and only if  $\langle b, S^t(f \cdot a) \rangle = \langle a, T^t(b * f) \rangle$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Thus we may regard  $M_l(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  and  $M_r(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  as subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^*)$  and  $M(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  as a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^*) \times \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^*)$ .

We now return to the algebra  $A$  and to the spaces  $M_r(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  and  $M_l(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ . In ([8], Theorem 1) Grosser proved that  $M_r(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  and that  $M_l(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ . We note that the characterizations do not require  $X^*$  to have the bounded approximation property and are therefore valid for any Banach space  $X$  and  $A = K_0(X)$ . For the sake of completeness we give the results in the following theorem using the notation and terminology developed in this paper.

**THEOREM** (Grosser [8], Theorem 1). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A = K_0(X)$ .*

(a) *The mapping  $\sigma' : \mathcal{L}(X^*) \rightarrow M_l(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ , which acts on  $A^*$  according to the equation*

$$\sigma'_g(f) = g \circ f \quad (g \in \mathcal{L}(X^*), f \in A^*),$$

*is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$  onto  $M_l(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .*

(b) *For each  $h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  and  $f \in A^*$ ,  $(h \circ f^*)^b \in A^*$ . Consequently, the mapping  $\tau' : \mathcal{L}(X^{**}) \rightarrow M_r(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ , whose action on  $A^*$  is given by the equation*

$$\tau'_h(f) = (h \circ f^*)^b,$$

*is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  onto  $M_r(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .*

The isometric isomorphism between  $A^*$  and the Banach algebra  $(I(X^*), \|\cdot\|_I)$  of integral operators on  $X^*$  enables us to define a product on  $A^*$  which makes it a Banach algebra. Consequently, we can consider mappings  $q : A^* \times A^* \rightarrow A^*$  which satisfy the quasi-multiplier condition (2.1). As in §2, we let  $QM(A^*)$  denote the space of all jointly continuous quasi-multipliers on  $A^*$ .

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A = K_0(X)$ . Then the equation*

$$(\theta(h))(f, g) = (\tau'_h f) \circ g \quad (h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**}), f, g \in A^*)$$

defines a norm decreasing linear isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  and a subspace of  $QM(A^*)$ .

*Proof.* We recall that  $A^* \simeq (I(X^*), \|\cdot\|_I)$ , the integral operators on  $X^*$ , and  $I(X^*)$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the integral norm. We first show that  $\theta$  maps  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  into  $QM(A^*)$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$  and  $f \in A^*$ . We first note that  $(\tau'_h f)^* = h \circ f^*$ ; for if  $x' \in X^*$ ,  $x'' \in X^{**}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x', (\tau'_h f)^* x'' \rangle &= \langle (\tau'_h f) x', x'' \rangle \\ &= \langle x'', (\iota_{X^*} \circ \iota_{X^*}^* \circ f^{**} \circ h^* \circ \iota_{X^*}) x' \rangle \\ &= \langle x'', (h \circ f^*)^* \widehat{x'} \rangle \quad (\text{since } \iota_{X^*} \circ \iota_{X^*}^* = \text{id}_{\widehat{X^*}}) \\ &= \langle x', (h \circ f^*) x'' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(\tau'_h f)^* = h \circ f^*$ . Thus, for  $f, g \in A^*$ ,

$$(\tau'_h(f \circ g))^* = h \circ g^* \circ f^* = (f \circ \tau'_h(g))^*,$$

and so  $\tau'_h(f \circ g) = f \circ \tau'_h g$ . It follows that, for  $f, g, l \in A^*$ ,

$$\theta(h)(f \circ g, l) = \tau'_h(f \circ g) \circ l = f \circ \tau'_h g \circ l = f \circ \theta(h)(g, l).$$

Similarly, we can show that  $\theta(h)(f, g \circ l) = \theta(h)(f, g) \circ l$ , so that  $\theta(h) \in QM(A^*)$ . The linearity of  $\theta$  follows immediately from the linearity of  $\tau'$ . We show that  $\theta$  is continuous, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(h)(f, g)\|_I &= \|(\theta(h)(f, g))^*\|_I = \|(\tau'_h f \circ g)^*\|_I \\ &= \|g^* \circ h \circ f^*\|_I \leq \|g^*\|_I \|h\| \|f^*\|_I = \|g\|_I \|f\|_I \|h\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\theta$  is continuous, with  $\|\theta\| \leq 1$ .

Finally,  $\theta$  is injective. Suppose that  $\theta(h) = 0$ . Then  $\tau'_h f \circ g = 0$  for all  $f, g \in A^*$ . In particular, for any  $x' \in X$  and  $x'' \in X^{**}$ ,  $\tau'_h f \circ \pi(x' \otimes x'') = 0$ . Thus, for any  $y' \in X^*$ ,

$$0 = (\tau'_h f \circ \pi(x' \otimes x'')) y' = \langle y', x'' \rangle (\tau'_h f) x',$$

which implies that, since  $x', y'$  and  $x''$  are arbitrary,  $\tau'_h = 0$ . Since  $\tau'$  is an isomorphism,  $h = 0$ , as required. ■

**DEFINITION 4.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. A mapping  $m : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  is said to be a *quasi-multiplier* of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  if

$$m(ab, c) = \widehat{a} \cdot m(b, c) \quad \text{and} \quad m(a, bc) = m(a, b) \cdot \widehat{c} \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let  $QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  denote the set of all bilinear and jointly continuous quasi-multipliers of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Then, as in the case of quasi-multipliers on  $\mathcal{A}$ , every quasi-multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  belongs to  $QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  and  $QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  is a Banach space. We note that  $QM(\mathcal{A})$  is the subspace of  $QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  which consists of those  $m \in QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  such that  $m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

We extend  $m \in QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  to a linear map on  $\mathcal{A}^{**} \times \mathcal{A}^{**}$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} m^* : \mathcal{A}^{***} \times \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*, \text{ defined by } \langle b, m^*(\mathcal{F}, a) \rangle = \langle m(a, b), \mathcal{F} \rangle, \\ m^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \times \mathcal{A}^{***} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*, \text{ defined by } \langle a, m^{**}(F, \mathcal{F}) \rangle = \langle m^*(\mathcal{F}, a), F \rangle, \\ m^{***} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \times \mathcal{A}^{**} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}, \text{ defined by } \langle \mathcal{F}, m^{***}(F, G) \rangle = \langle m^{**}(G, \mathcal{F}), F \rangle \\ (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{A}^{***}). \end{aligned}$$

We use the notation  $\cdot$  to denote the first Arens product on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and for convenience we also use  $\cdot$  to denote the corresponding first Arens product on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}^{***}$ .

**LEMMA 4.7.** For  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{b}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}^{**}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any element of  $\mathcal{A}^{***}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}, \widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{b}} \rangle &= \langle \widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}, m^{***}(E, E) \rangle \\ &= \langle m^{**}(E, \widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}), E \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle e_{\alpha}, m^{**}(E, \widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle m^*(\widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}, e_{\alpha}), E \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle e_{\beta}, m^*(\widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}, e_{\alpha}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle m(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}), \widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle \widehat{\widehat{b}} \cdot \mathcal{F} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}, m(\widehat{e_{\alpha}}, e_{\beta}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle \mathcal{F}, \widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot m(\widehat{e_{\alpha}}, e_{\beta}) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{b}} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle \mathcal{F}, \iota_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}(\widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot m(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{b}}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle \mathcal{F}, \iota_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}(m(ae_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}b)) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}, m(\widehat{a}, b) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot \widehat{\widehat{b}} = m(\widehat{a}, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}^{**}}$ , as required. ■

**THEOREM 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and suppose that  $X^*$  has the bounded approximation property. Then the mapping  $\lambda : M_I(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \rightarrow QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ , defined by

$$\lambda(S)(a, b) = \widehat{a} \cdot Sb \quad (S \in M_I(\mathcal{A}^{**}), a, b \in \mathcal{A}),$$

is a norm decreasing linear mapping of  $M_I(\mathcal{A}^{**})$  onto  $QM(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\lambda$  is linear and norm decreasing. Therefore to complete the proof it is enough to show that  $\lambda$  is a surjection.

Let  $F = (m^{***}(E, E))|_{\widehat{\mathcal{A}^*}}$ . In the sequel we regard  $F$  as an element of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Let  $g = (\pi^* F)^{\flat}$ . Then  $g \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ ; we recall that  $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $M_I(\mathcal{A}^{**})$ , the isomorphism  $\sigma'$  being given by  $\sigma'_g(f) = g \circ f$  ( $g \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ). It follows that the identity

$$\langle f, Sa \rangle = \langle a, \sigma'_g(f) \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

defines an element  $S$  of  $M_l(A^{**})$ ; for,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, S(ab) \rangle &= \langle ab, \sigma'_g(f) \rangle = \langle a, b * \sigma'_g(f) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, \sigma'_g(b * f) \rangle = \langle b * f, Sa \rangle = \langle b, f * Sa \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $S(ab) = Sa * \widehat{b}$ .

Thus, for all  $a, b \in A, f \in A^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \widehat{a} \cdot Sb \rangle &= \langle f \cdot a, Sb \rangle = \langle b, \sigma'_g(f \cdot a) \rangle \\ &= \langle b, (\pi^* F)^b \circ (f \cdot a) \rangle \\ &= \langle b, (f \cdot a) * F \rangle \quad ([9], \text{Proposition 3.2(ii)}) \\ &= \langle b * (f \cdot a), F \rangle = \langle \widehat{b} \cdot (f \cdot a), F \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \widehat{a} \cdot F \cdot \widehat{b} \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{a} \cdot m^{***}(E, E) \cdot \widehat{b} \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{f}, m(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) \rangle \quad \text{by Lemma 4.7} \\ &= \langle f, m(a, b) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $a \cdot Sb = m(a, b)$ . It follows that  $\lambda(S) = m$ , as required. ■

**Remark.** If  $E$  is an identity for the first Arens product (this is the case if, for example,  $N(X^*) = I(X^*)$ ; see ([9], p. 560)), then  $\lambda$  is a topological isomorphism. For, in this case,  $\lambda(S)(e_\alpha, a) = \widehat{e}_\alpha \cdot S_a \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \cdot S_\alpha = S_a$  for all  $a \in A$ , which implies that  $\lambda$  is injective and  $\lambda^{-1}$  is continuous. If, in addition,  $X^*$  has the metric approximation property, then  $\lambda$  is an isometric isomorphism. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}) \cong M_l(A^{**})$  we have  $\mathcal{L}(X^{**}) \cong QM(A^{**})$  in this case.

**5. Quasi-multipliers of the Pedersen ideal.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\widetilde{A}$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining the identity 1 to  $A$ . An element  $a \in A$  is said to be *positive* if it is self-adjoint and  $\text{Sp}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $\text{Sp}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \text{ is singular in } \widetilde{A}\}$ ); the set of positive elements of  $A$  is denoted by  $A^+$ . A subcone  $J$  of  $A^+$  is said to be an *order ideal* if the condition  $x \leq y$  for  $y \in J$  and  $x \in A^+$  implies that  $x \in J$ . An order ideal  $J$  of  $A^+$  is said to be *invariant* if  $a^*Ja \subseteq J$  for all  $a \in A$ . A  $*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  is said to be *order-related* if  $B^+$  is an order ideal in  $A^+$  and  $B$  is the linear span of  $B^+$ . In ([16], Theorem 1.3), Pedersen proved that every  $C^*$ -algebra contains a minimal, dense, order-related, two-sided ideal  $K_A$ . This ideal has subsequently become known as the *Pedersen ideal*. If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $A = C_0(X)$ , the complex-valued functions on  $X$  which vanish at infinity, then  $K_A = C_{00}(X)$ , the functions in  $C_0(X)$  which have compact supports ([7], p. 109, 7E and 7F). If  $A = B_0(\mathcal{H})$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $K_A = B_{00}(\mathcal{H})$ , the operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  of finite-rank ([15], Theorem 2.4.7 and Theorem 3.3.3).

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $K_A$  denote its Pedersen ideal. If  $\{x_i\}$  is a finite set of elements in  $K_A$ , then the order-related  $C^*$ -algebra generated by them is contained in  $K_A$ .*

**Proof.** See ([16], Proposition 4). ■

We shall require the following version of the Cohen–Hewitt factorization theorem.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a finite set of elements in  $K_A$ . Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $K_A$  and  $a, b \in K_A^+$  such that*

$$\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|x_i - z_i\| < \varepsilon,$$

and  $x_i = ay_i = z_ib$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be the order-related  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $B \subseteq K_A$ . Every  $C^*$ -algebra has a bounded a.i. consisting of positive elements (see, for example, the proof of ([18], p. 11, Theorem 1.4.2) and so, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B$  contains an a.i.  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  consisting of elements in  $B^+$ . By the Cohen–Hewitt factorization theorem there exist elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$ , and  $a, b$  in  $B$  such that  $x_i = ay_i = z_ib$  and

$$\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|x_i - z_i\| < \varepsilon \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

A close examination of the proof of the Cohen–Hewitt factorization (as given, for example, in ([6], Theorem 16.1, p. 93 et seq.)) shows that  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) is the limit of a sequence of elements in  $B^+$ , and so, since  $B^+$  is closed in  $B$ ,  $a$  (resp.  $b$ )  $\in B^+$ . Since  $B^+ \subseteq K_A^+$ , the proof is complete. ■

A quasi-multiplier on  $K_A$  is a mapping of  $K_A \times K_A \rightarrow K_A$  which satisfies conditions (2.1). The Pedersen ideal is not in general a Banach algebra and we cannot therefore make a direct appeal to ([14], Theorem 1) to deduce that the quasi-multipliers on  $K_A$  are (i) bilinear and (ii) jointly continuous. However, an application of Theorem 5.2 enables us to establish (i) as follows.

Let  $m$  be a quasi-multiplier on  $K_A$  and let  $w, x, y \in K_A$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . By Theorem 5.2 there exist elements  $z \in K_A^+$  and  $u, v \in K_A$  such that  $x = uz$  and  $y = vz$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha x + y, w) &= m((\alpha u + v)z, w) = (\alpha u + v)m(z, w) \\ &= \alpha m(uz, w) + m(vz, w) = \alpha m(x, w) + m(y, w). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that  $m(w, \alpha x + y) = \alpha m(w, x) + m(w, y)$ ; that is,  $m$  is bilinear.

Let  $\delta(K_A)$  denote the space of all quasi-multipliers on  $K_A$ . The members of  $\delta(K_A)$  are not, in general, continuous. However, for any  $m$  in  $\delta(K_A)$ , it is



easy to show that  $a \circ m \circ b \in QM(K_A)$  for  $a, b \in K_A$ , and that  $\|a \circ m \circ b\| \leq \|m(a, b)\|$ . Thus we can define the quasi-strict topology  $\gamma$  on  $\delta(K_A)$ ; that is,  $\gamma$  is determined by the family of semi-norms  $\{\gamma_{a,b} : a, b \in K_A\}$ , where  $\gamma_{a,b}(m) = \|a \circ m \circ b\|$  ( $m \in \delta(K_A)$ ). Since every  $C^*$ -algebra has a bounded a.i., it follows that  $K_A$  has a bounded a.i. Suppose that  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a bounded a.i. for  $K_A$ , with  $\|e_\alpha\| \leq C$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . Then, for  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ ,  $a, b \in K_A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a \circ m \circ b\| &= \|\Phi(m(a, b))\| \geq \limsup_\alpha \left\| \Phi(m(a, b)) \left( \frac{e_\alpha}{C}, \frac{e_\alpha}{C} \right) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{C^2} \lim_\alpha \|e_\alpha m(a, b) e_\alpha\| = \frac{1}{C^2} \|m(a, b)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$C^2 \|a \circ m \circ b\| \geq \|m(a, b)\| \geq \|a \circ m \circ b\|,$$

which implies that the  $\gamma$ -topology on  $\delta(K_A)$  may also be defined by the semi-norms  $m \rightarrow \|m(a, b)\|$ . In the sequel we find it more convenient to work with this family of semi-norms to establish properties of the locally convex space  $(\delta(K_A), \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 5.3.**  $\delta(K_A)$  is  $\gamma$ -complete.

**Proof.** Let  $\{m_\alpha\}$  be a  $\gamma$ -Cauchy net in  $\delta(K_A)$ . Then, for  $a, b \in K_A$ ,  $\{m_\alpha(a, b)\}$  is a Cauchy net in  $K_A$  and so  $\lim_\alpha m_\alpha(a, b)$  exists in  $A$ . Define  $m(a, b) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(a, b)$ . It is clear that, for all  $c, d \in K_A$ ,  $m(ca, bd) = cm(a, b)d$ . By Theorem 5.2 there exist elements  $u, v, w, w'$  in  $K_A$  such that  $a = wu$  and  $b = vw'$ , and so

$$m(a, b) = \lim_\alpha w m_\alpha(u, v) w' \in K_A.$$

Thus  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ , and since  $\gamma\text{-}\lim_\alpha m_\alpha = m$  it follows that  $\delta(K_A)$  is  $\gamma$ -complete. ■

The Pedersen ideal is a  $*$ -ideal ([17], Lemma 1.1), and so the equation

$$m^*(a, b) = (m(b^*, a^*))^*$$

defines an element of  $\delta(K_A)$ . The mapping  $m \rightarrow m^*$  defines an involution on  $\delta(K_A)$  and is continuous with respect to the  $\gamma$ -topology; for, if  $m = \gamma\text{-}\lim_\alpha m_\alpha$ , then, for any  $x, y \in K_A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha m_\alpha^*(x, y) &= \lim_\alpha (m_\alpha(y^*, x^*))^* = (\lim_\alpha m_\alpha(y^*, x^*))^* \\ &= (m(y^*, x^*))^* = m^*(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $m^* = \gamma\text{-}\lim_\alpha m_\alpha^*$ .

For the next two results we assume that  $K_A$  contains a bounded central a.i. This is the case if, for example,  $A$  is a quasi-central  $C^*$ -algebra. The

notion of a quasi-central  $C^*$ -algebra was considered by Archbold in [2], where a  $C^*$ -algebra is defined to be *quasi-central* if no primitive ideal contains the centre. A  $C^*$ -algebra is quasi-central if and only if it has a bounded a.i. which belongs to the centre  $Z(A)$  of  $A$  ([2], Proposition 1), and Archbold also proved ([2], Theorem 3) that, if  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ , then  $I \cap Z(A) = \bar{I} \cap Z(A)$ . Thus, in the special case when  $I = K_A$ ,  $K_A \cap Z(A)$  is dense in  $Z(A)$ , and so, if  $Z(A)$  contains a bounded a.i., then  $K_A$  contains a bounded a.i. which is central.

**THEOREM 5.4.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose that  $K_A$  contains a bounded central a.i. Then  $K_A$  is  $\gamma$ -dense in  $\delta(K_A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{e_\alpha\}$  be an a.i. in  $K_A$  with the required property and suppose that  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ . Then, for any  $a, b \in K_A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m(a, b) &= \lim_\alpha e_\alpha m(a, b) e_\alpha = \lim_\alpha m(e_\alpha a, b e_\alpha) \\ &= \lim_\alpha a m(e_\alpha, e_\alpha) b = \lim_\alpha \Phi(m(e_\alpha, e_\alpha))(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $m = \gamma\text{-}\lim_\alpha \Phi(m(e_\alpha, e_\alpha))$ ; that is,  $K_A$  is  $\gamma$ -dense in  $\delta(K_A)$ . ■

**THEOREM 5.5.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and suppose that  $K_A$  has a bounded central a.i. If  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $A$  onto  $B$ , then

- (i)  $\phi$  can be extended to a  $*$ -linear mapping  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $\delta(K_A)$  into  $\delta(K_B)$ , and
- (ii) the mapping  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\gamma$ -continuous.

**Proof.** We first note that  $\phi$  is norm decreasing ([18], p. 16, Theorem 1.5.7) and that  $\phi(K_A) = K_B$  ([17], Corollary 6). Let  $b_1, b_2 \in K_B$  and suppose that  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  are elements of  $K_A$  such that  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(y_1) = b_1$  and  $\phi(x_2) = \phi(y_2) = b_2$ . If  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is a central bounded a.i. in  $K_A$ , then, for any  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(m(x_1, x_2)) &= \lim_\alpha \phi(e_\alpha m(x_1, x_2) e_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha \phi(m(x_1 e_\alpha, e_\alpha x_2)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \phi(x_1) \phi(m(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)) \phi(x_2) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \phi(y_1) \phi(m(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)) \phi(y_2) = \phi(m(y_1, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equation  $\tilde{\phi}(m)(b_1, b_2) = \phi(m(x_1, x_2))$  defines a mapping  $\tilde{\phi}(m) : K_B \times K_B \rightarrow K_B$ . It is routine to show that  $\tilde{\phi}(m) \in \delta(K_B)$  and that the mapping  $m \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(m)$  of  $\delta(K_A)$  into  $\delta(K_B)$  is linear and is an extension of  $\phi$ . To complete the proof of (i) we show that  $m^* \rightarrow (\tilde{\phi}(m))^*$ . Let  $a, b \in K_B$  and suppose that  $a = \phi(x)$ ,  $b = \phi(y)$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $K_A$ . Then, since

$\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism,  $a^* = \phi(x^*)$  and  $b^* = \phi(y^*)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\phi}(m^*))(a, b) &= \phi(m^*(x, y)) = \phi((m(y^*, x^*))^*) = (\phi(m(y^*, x^*)))^* \\ &= ((\tilde{\phi}(m))(b^*, a^*))^* = (\tilde{\phi}(m))^*(a, b); \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\tilde{\phi}(m^*) = (\tilde{\phi}(m))^*$ , as required.

To prove (ii), let  $b_1, b_2$  be any elements of  $K_B$  and suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in K_A$  are such that  $\phi(x_1) = b_1$  and  $\phi(x_2) = b_2$ . Then

$$\|\tilde{\phi}(m)(b_1, b_2)\| = \|\phi(m(x_1, x_2))\| \leq \|m(x_1, x_2)\|,$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\phi} : (\delta(K_A), \gamma) \rightarrow (\delta(K_B), \gamma)$  is continuous. ■

LEMMA 5.6. *The sets*

$$V_{a,b} = \{m \in \delta(K_A) : \|m(a, b)\| \leq 1, a, b \in K_A^+\}$$

form a neighbourhood base at 0 for the  $\gamma$ -topology on  $\delta(K_A)$ .

Proof. Clearly  $V_{a,b}$  is a  $\gamma$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $\delta(K_A)$  for each  $a, b \in K_A^+$ . On the other hand, let  $U$  be any  $\gamma$ -neighbourhood of 0. Then there exist elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$  in  $K_A$  such that

$$\{m \in \delta(K_A) : \|m(x_i, y_j)\| \leq 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m)\} \subseteq U.$$

By Theorem 5.2 there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in K_A^+$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m$  in  $K_A$  such that

$$x_i = u_i a_1 \ (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad \text{and} \quad y_j = b_1 v_j \ (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Let  $M = \max\{\|u_i\| \|v_j\| : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  and let  $a = \sqrt{M}a_1, b = \sqrt{M}b_1$ . Then  $a, b \in K_A^+$  and, for any  $m \in V_{a,b}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(x_i, y_j)\| &= \|m(u_i a_1, b_1 v_j)\| \leq \|u_i\| \|m(a_1, b_1)\| \|v_j\| \\ &\leq \|m(a, b)\| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $V_{a,b} \subseteq U$ , as required. ■

We now establish a characterization for the  $\gamma$ -dual of  $\delta(K_A)$ .

THEOREM 5.7. *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $K_A$  its Pedersen ideal. Then*

$$(\delta(K_A), \gamma)^* = \{a \cdot g \cdot b : a, b \in K_A^+, g \in A^*\},$$

where  $a \cdot g \cdot b$  is the functional on  $\delta(K_A)$  defined by  $(a \cdot g \cdot b)(m) = g(m(b, a))$ .

Proof. We note that when  $a \cdot g \cdot b$  is restricted to  $A$  it agrees with the usual Arens product of  $a, b$  and  $g$ ; this justifies our use of the notation to define the functional  $a \cdot g \cdot b$ . Since

$$|(a \cdot g \cdot b)(m)| \leq \|g\| \|m(b, a)\| \quad (m \in \delta(K_A))$$

it follows that  $a \cdot g \cdot b \in (\delta(K_A), \gamma)^*$  for each  $a, b \in K_A^+$  and  $g \in A^*$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $f \in (\delta(K_A), \gamma)^*$ . By Lemma 5.6 there exist  $a, b \in K_A^+$  such that  $|f(m)| \leq 1$  whenever  $\|m(b, a)\| \leq 1$ . This implies that, for any  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ ,  $|f(m)| \leq \|m(b, a)\|$ . On the subspace  $W_{a,b} = \{m(b, a) : m \in \delta(K_A)\}$  we define the functional  $g$  by

$$g(m(b, a)) = f(m).$$

It is clear that  $g$  is well defined and that  $g \in (W_{a,b}, \|\cdot\|)^*$ , with  $\|g\| \leq 1$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem  $g$  has a continuous extension to all of  $A$ ; we retain the notation  $g$  to denote the extension so that  $g \in A^*$  and  $\|g\| \leq 1$ . By the first part of the proof  $a \cdot g \cdot b \in (\delta(K_A), \gamma)'$ . Moreover, for any  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ ,  $(a \cdot g \cdot b)(m) = g(m(b, a)) = f(m)$ ; that is,  $f = a \cdot g \cdot b$ . ■

Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $A = (\sum_{i \in I} A_i)_0$ ; that is,  $A$  consists of those elements  $a = (a_i)$  such that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{i \in I : \|a_i\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is finite. With the usual operations of addition and multiplication,  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the norm being given by  $\|a\| = \sup_i \|a_i\|$  and the involution by  $a^* = (a_i^*)$ . If  $K_{A_i}$  denotes the Pedersen ideal of  $A_i$ , then the Pedersen ideal  $K_A$  of  $A$  consists of those elements  $(a_i)$  such that  $a_i \in K_{A_i}$  and  $a_i = 0$  except for a finite number of the  $i$ 's. We let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_i$  denote the quasi-strict topologies on  $\delta(K_A)$  and  $\delta(K_{A_i})$  respectively.

THEOREM 5.8. *Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras and suppose that each  $A_i$  has a minimal central a.i. If  $A = (\sum_{i \in I} A_i)_0$ , then  $\delta(K_A)$  is topologically  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\prod_{i \in I} \delta(K_{A_i})$ , the topology on  $\delta(K_A)$  being  $\gamma$  and that of  $\prod_{i \in I} \delta(K_{A_i})$  being the product of the spaces  $(\delta(K_{A_i}), \gamma_i)$ .*

Proof. For each  $i \in I$ ,  $\phi_i(a) = a_i$  ( $a = (a_i) \in A$ ) defines a natural  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi_i$  of  $A$  onto  $A_i$ . The hypothesis ensures that  $A$  has a bounded central a.i. and so by Theorem 5.5 each  $\phi_i$  has an extension to a  $*$ -linear mapping,  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  say, of  $\delta(K_A)$  into  $\delta(K_{A_i})$ . Each  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  is given by the equation

$$(\tilde{\phi}_i(m))(a_i, b_i) = \phi_i(m(\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i)) \quad (m \in \delta(K_A)),$$

where  $a_i \rightarrow \tilde{a}_i$  is the natural embedding of  $K_{A_i}$  into  $K_A$ .

We define a mapping  $\xi : \delta(K_A) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \delta(K_{A_i})$  by

$$\xi(m) = (\tilde{\phi}_i(m)).$$

Clearly  $\xi$  is a  $*$ -linear mapping. It is also surjective, as follows. Let  $(m_i)$  be any element of  $\prod_{i \in I} \delta(K_{A_i})$ . If  $a, b$  are any elements of  $K_A$ , then  $a_i = 0$  and  $b_j = 0$  except for a finite number of  $i$ 's and  $j$ 's and so  $m_i(a_i, b_i) = 0$  except for a finite number of  $i$ 's. Thus the equation

$$(m(a, b))_i = m_i(a_i, b_i) \quad (i \in I)$$

defines a mapping  $m : K_A \times K_A \rightarrow K_A$  and it is easy to see that  $m \in \delta(K_A)$ .

Moreover,  $\xi(m) = (m_i)$ ; for, if  $j$  is any index in  $I$ , then

$$(\tilde{\phi}_j(m))(a_j, b_j) = \phi_j(m(\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j)) = (m(\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j))_j = m_j(a_j, b_j),$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\phi}_j(m) = m_j$ ; that is,  $\xi(m) = (m_i)$ , as required.

It is clear that  $\xi$  is injective. Finally, we show that  $\xi$  is topological. Let  $U$  be any neighbourhood of 0 in  $\prod_{i \in I} \delta(K_{A_i})$ . Then there exist elements  $a_{i_j}, b_{i_j} \in K_{A_{i_j}}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) such that

$$\{(m_i) : \|m_{i_j}(a_{i_j}, b_{i_j})\| \leq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq U.$$

Let  $a = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{i_j}$ ,  $b = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{i_j}$  and  $V = \{m \in \delta(K_A) : \|m(a, b)\| \leq 1\}$ . If  $m \in V$ , then, for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,

$$\|(\tilde{\phi}_{i_j}(m))(a_{i_j}, b_{i_j})\| = \|\phi_{i_j}(m(\tilde{a}_{i_j}, \tilde{b}_{i_j}))\|.$$

Since  $\phi_{i_j}(\tilde{a}_{i_j}) = \phi_{i_j}(a)$  and  $\phi_{i_j}(\tilde{b}_{i_j}) = \phi_{i_j}(b)$ , it follows from the proof of Theorem 5.5 that

$$\|\phi_{i_j}(m(\tilde{a}_{i_j}, \tilde{b}_{i_j}))\| = \|\phi_{i_j}(m(a, b))\|.$$

Thus

$$\|(\tilde{\phi}_{i_j}(m))(a_{i_j}, b_{i_j})\| = \|(m(a, b))_{i_j}\| = \|m_{i_j}(a_{i_j}, b_{i_j})\| \leq 1;$$

that is,  $\xi(V) \subseteq U$ , and so  $\xi$  is continuous.

On the other hand, suppose that  $a, b \in K_A$ . Then  $a_i = 0$  (resp.  $b_j = 0$ ) except for  $i$  (resp.  $j$ ) in a finite set of indices, say  $I_1$  (resp.  $I_2$ ). Suppose that  $(m_i)$  satisfies  $\|m_i(a_i, b_i)\| \leq 1$  for  $i \in I_1 \cup I_2$ . Then

$$\|\xi^{-1}(m_i)(a, b)\| = \sup_i \|m_i(a_i, b_i)\| \leq 1,$$

which implies that  $\xi^{-1}$  is continuous, as required. ■

The authors would like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] C. A. Akemann and G. K. Pedersen, *Complications of semicontinuity in  $C^*$ -algebra theory*, Duke Math. J. 40 (1973), 785–795.
- [2] R. J. Archbold, *Density theorems for the centre of a  $C^*$ -algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 189–197.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer, 1973.
- [4] J. Cigler, V. Losert and P. Michnor, *Banach Modules and Functors on Categories of Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 46, Dekker, 1979.
- [5] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., 1977.
- [6] R. S. Doran and J. Wichmann, *Approximate Identities and Factorization in Banach Modules*, Lecture Notes in Math. 768, Springer, 1979.

- [7] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, 1960.
- [8] M. Grosser, *Module-tensor products of  $K_0(X, X)$  with its dual*, in: *Functions, Series, Operators*, Budapest, 1980, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 35, North-Holland, 1983, 551–560.
- [9] —, *Arens semi-regularity of the algebra of compact operators*, Illinois J. Math. 31 (1987), 544–573.
- [10] B. E. Johnson, *An introduction to the theory of centralizers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964), 299–320.
- [11] M. S. Kassem and K. Rowlands, *The quasi-strict topology on the space of quasi-multipliers of a  $B^*$ -algebra*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 101 (1987), 555–566.
- [12] —, —, *Double multipliers and  $A^*$ -algebras of the first kind*, ibid. 102 (1987), 507–516.
- [13] H. Liu, *The structure of quasi-multipliers of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 315 (1987), 147–172.
- [14] K. McKennou, *Quasi-multipliers*, ibid. 233 (1977), 105–123.
- [15] G. J. Murphy,  *$C^*$ -algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, 1990.
- [16] G. K. Pedersen, *Measure theory for  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Scand. 19 (1966), 131–145.
- [17] —, *A decomposition theory for  $C^*$ -algebras*, ibid. 22 (1968), 266–268.
- [18] —,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [19] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, 1960.
- [20] B. J. Tomiuk, *Multipliers on Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 54 (1976), 267–283.
- [21] R. Vasudevan and S. Goel, *Embedding of quasi-multipliers of a Banach algebra into its second dual*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 95 (1984), 457–466.
- [22] —, —, *Quasi-multipliers and normed full direct sum of Banach algebras*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 99 (1985), 85–95.
- [23] Y.-C. Wong, *Schwartz Spaces, Nuclear Spaces and Tensor Products*, Lecture Notes in Math. 726, Springer, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION  
GAZI UNIVERSITY  
TEKNIK-OKULLAR  
ANKARA, TURKEY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF WALES  
ABERYSTWYTH  
DYFED SY23 3BZ, U.K.

Received February 2, 1993.  
Revised version July 27, 1993

(3058)