

**On weighted Bergman kernels
of bounded domains**

by

SORIN DRAGOMIR (Milano)

Abstract. We build on work by Z. Pasternak-Winiarski [PW2] and study a -Bergman kernels of bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ for admissible weights $a \in L^1(\Omega)$.

1. Admissible weights and a -Bergman kernels. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$ be an open subset, $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $W(\Omega)$ be the set of all *weights* on Ω , i.e. an element $a \in W(\Omega)$ is a Lebesgue measurable function $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $a \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Given $a \in W(\Omega)$ let $L^2(\Omega, a)$ denote the Hilbert space of complex functions on Ω for which $\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 a d\mu < \infty$, $d\mu$ denoting the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{2N} . The inner product on $L^2(\Omega, a)$ is

$$\langle f, g \rangle_a = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(z)} g(z) a(z) d\mu(z)$$

and as usual the norm is defined by $\|f\|_a = \langle f, f \rangle_a^{1/2}$.

Let $L^2H(\Omega, a)$ denote the set of functions in $L^2(\Omega, a)$ which are holomorphic in Ω . For $z \in \Omega$ fixed define $E_z(f) = f(z)$, for any $f \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$. Then $a \in W(\Omega)$ is an *admissible weight* if $L^2H(\Omega, a)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, a)$ and E_z is continuous on $L^2H(\Omega, a)$ for any $z \in \Omega$. Let $AW(\Omega)$ be the set of all admissible weights on Ω . If $a \in AW(\Omega)$ then, by the Riesz representation theorem, there is a unique $e_{z,a} \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$ so that $E_z(f) = \langle e_{z,a}, f \rangle_a$ for any $f \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$. The function $K_a : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $K_a(z, w) = \overline{e_{z,a}(w)}$ is the *a -Bergman kernel* of Ω . For $a \equiv 1$ this is the Bergman kernel $K(z, w)$ of Ω (cf. [Be]). If Ω is bounded then K is known to give rise to a Kählerian metric g on Ω so that each holomorphic diffeomorphism is an isometry. Moreover, if Ω is homogeneous (i.e. the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of Ω acts transitively on Ω) then $K(z, z)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32H10; Secondary 32C17.

Key words and phrases: admissible weight, a -Bergman kernel, a -Bergman metric.

Research partially supported by G.N.S.A.G.A., Italy.

is proportional to the density $G(x, y)^{1/2}$ where $G = \det(g_{ij})$ (cf. Prop. 3.6 of [He], p. 371).

It is our purpose in the present paper to establish weighted analogues of the above results. If Ω is bounded and $a \in L^1(\Omega) \cap AW(\Omega)$ then

$$(1) \quad g_a = \text{Re}\{H_a|_{\mathcal{X}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{X}(\Omega)}\}$$

is a Kählerian metric on Ω (cf. our Theorem 1) where H_a is given by

$$(2) \quad H_a = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \log K_a(z, z) \right) dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$

and $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ is the $C^\infty(\Omega)$ -module of all real tangent vector fields on Ω . The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the representation of $K_a(z, w)$ in terms of a complete orthonormal system in $L^2H(\Omega, a)$ (cf. Th. 2.1 of [PW2], p. 3). Let $\Omega = \mathbb{D}^N$ be the unit polidisc and $a(z) = \exp(|z|^{-1/2})$, $z \neq 0$, $a(0) = 0$. Then $K_a(z, z)$ is shown to be proportional to the density $G_a(x, y)^{1/2}$ on any N -dimensional torus in Ω (cf. our Theorem 2). The lack of generality of Theorem 2 (as opposed to Prop. 3.6 of [He], p. 371) may be justified as follows. Let $\text{Hol}(\Omega)$ be the group of all holomorphic diffeomorphisms of Ω . There is a natural action of $\text{Hol}(\Omega)$ on $AW(\Omega)$ (cf. Section 3). Let I_a be the isotropy group of $a \in AW(\Omega)$. Then I_a acts on $L^2H(\Omega, a)$. However, I_a may be calculated only for specific choices of a . In particular, if a is the admissible weight in Theorem 2 then I_a acts transitively on any N -dimensional torus in the unit polidisc in \mathbb{C}^N . Finally, in Section 4 we mention an open problem in connection with work in [Ke].

2. The behaviour of a -Bergman kernels under holomorphic diffeomorphisms and the a -Bergman metrics. Let $a \in AW(\Omega)$ and let $K_a(z, w)$ be the a -Bergman kernel of Ω . For any complete orthonormal system $\{\phi_k\}$ in $L^2H(\Omega, a)$,

$$(3) \quad K_a(z, z) = \sum_k \phi_k(z) \overline{\phi_k(z)}$$

for any $z \in \Omega$ (cf. Th. 2.1(i) of [PW2], p. 3). The series $\sum_k \phi_k(z) \overline{\phi_k(w)}$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\Omega \times \Omega$ (cf. Prop. 2.1 of [PW2], p. 4) so that (3) may be differentiated term by term. We obtain

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \log K_a(z, z) = K_a(z, z)^{-2} \sum_{k>l} \left\{ \phi_k \frac{\partial \phi_l}{\partial z_i} - \phi_l \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial z_i} \right\} \overline{\left\{ \phi_k \frac{\partial \phi_l}{\partial z_j} - \phi_l \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial z_j} \right\}}.$$

Let H_a be given by (2) and $Z, W \in \Gamma^\infty(T(\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C})$ two complex vector fields on Ω . Then (4) yields $H_a(Z, \bar{Z}) \geq 0$ and $H_a(Z, W) = \overline{H_a(\bar{W}, \bar{Z})}$, i.e.

g_a is positive and symmetric. To show that g_a is a Riemannian metric on Ω , it remains to be checked that g_a is definite. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ and assume that $g_a(X, X) = 0$ at $z \in \Omega$. If $X = \sum_{i=1}^N (\xi_i \partial/\partial z_i + \bar{\xi}_i \partial/\partial \bar{z}_i)$ then (4) gives

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\phi_k \frac{\partial \phi_l}{\partial z_i} - \phi_l \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial z_i} \right) \xi_i = 0$$

at $z \in \Omega$. If Ω is bounded there is $M > 0$ so that $|z_j| \leq M$ (where $z_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, are the coordinate functions). Thus $\int_\Omega |z_j|^2 a(z) d\mu(z) \leq M^2 \int_\Omega a(z) d\mu(z) < \infty$, provided that $a \in L^1(\Omega)$, so that $1, z_1, \dots, z_N \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$. The rest of our argument reproduces that in [He], p. 368. Indeed, let

$$\tilde{\phi}_{j+1} = z_{j+1} - \sum_{k=0}^j \langle z_{j+1}, \phi_k \rangle_a \phi_k, \quad \tilde{\phi}_0 \equiv 1,$$

where $\phi_j \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$ is given by $\phi_j = \|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_a^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_j$, $0 \leq j \leq N$. Set $b_{ij} = \partial \phi_j / \partial z_i$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Then $\det(b_{ij}) = b_{11} \dots b_{NN} \neq 0$ together with (5) for $k = 0$ lead to $\xi_i = 0$ at $z \in \Omega$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $a \in AW(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(\Omega)$. If Ω is bounded and $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ then g_a is a Kählerian metric on Ω . Moreover, φ is an isometry of (Ω, g_a) into $(\Omega, g_{a \circ \varphi^{-1}})$, provided that $a' = a \circ \varphi^{-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Note that g_a has complex components $(g_a)_{ij} = (g_a)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0$, $(g_a)_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 \log K_a(z, z) / \partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j$, as a consequence of (2). Thus (by Lemma 2.2 of [He], p. 360), g_a is Kählerian. We shall need the following:

LEMMA 1. *Let Ω, Ω' be domains in \mathbb{C}^N and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ a holomorphic diffeomorphism. Let $a \in AW(\Omega)$ and set $a' = a \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then:*

- (i) $a' \in AW(\Omega')$.
- (ii) *The following identity holds:*

$$(6) \quad K_a(z, w) = K_{a'}(\varphi(z), \varphi(w)) J_\varphi(z) \overline{J_\varphi(w)}$$

for any $z, w \in \Omega$.

Here, if $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = (\zeta_1(z_1, \dots, z_N), \dots, \zeta_N(z_1, \dots, z_N))$ then J_φ denotes the Jacobian determinant $J_\varphi = \partial(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N) / \partial(z_1, \dots, z_N)$. To prove (i) let $Y \subset \Omega'$ be a compact subset and $w_0 \in Y$. Set $X = \varphi^{-1}(Y) \subset \Omega$ and $z_0 = \varphi^{-1}(w_0)$. Next, let $f \in L^2H(\Omega', a')$ and set $g = (f \circ \varphi) J_\varphi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_a^2 &= \int_\Omega |f(\varphi(z))|^2 a(z) |J_\varphi(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega'} |f(w)|^2 a'(w) d\mu(w) = \|f\|_{a'}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also J_φ is holomorphic in Ω , so that $g \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$. By Theorem 2.2 of [PW2], p. 4, as a is admissible and X compact, there is $C_X > 0$ so that $|E_{z_0}g| \leq C_X \|g\|_a$. Thus $|f(w_0)| |J_\varphi(z_0)| \leq C_X \|f\|_{a'}$, which yields

$$(7) \quad |E_{w_0}f| \leq C_Y \|f\|_{a'}$$

where $C_Y = C_X \sup_{z \in X} |J_\varphi(z)|^{-1}$. The estimate (7) holds for arbitrary $f \in L^2H(\Omega', a')$ so that, again by Theorem 2.2 of [PW2], it follows that a' is admissible.

Next, the identity $E_z((f \circ \varphi)J_\varphi) = E_{\varphi(z)}(f)J_\varphi(z)$ may be written as

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega'} \{K_a(z, \varphi^{-1}(\zeta)) \overline{(J_\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta)))^{-1}} - K_{a'}(\varphi(z), \zeta) J_\varphi(z)\} f(\zeta) a'(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) = 0.$$

Note that $\zeta \mapsto e_{z,a}(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta))(J_\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta)))^{-1}$ is holomorphic in Ω' and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (e_{z,a} \circ \varphi^{-1})(J_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1} \|_{a'}^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega'} |e_{z,a}(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta))|^2 |J_\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta))|^{-2} a'(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\Omega'} |e_{z,a}(\varphi^{-1}(\zeta))|^2 |J_{\varphi^{-1}}(\zeta)|^2 a'(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) = \|e_{z,a}\|_a^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

so that $(e_{z,a} \circ \varphi^{-1})(J_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1} \in L^2H(\Omega', a')$ and (8) becomes

$$((e_{z,a} \circ \varphi^{-1})(J_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})^{-1} - \overline{J_\varphi(z)} e_{\varphi(z), a'} f)_{a'} = 0$$

for any $f \in L^2H(\Omega', a')$. This yields (6). ■

In particular, $K_a(z, z) = K_{a \circ \varphi^{-1}}(\varphi(z), \varphi(z)) |J_\varphi(z)|^2$ for any $z \in \Omega$, so that the proof of the second statement in Theorem 1 is similar to that of Proposition 3.5 of [He], p. 370 (and is therefore left as an exercise to the reader). Cf. also [M2]. The Kählerian metric g_a is the a -Bergman metric of Ω . It is an open problem to study curvature properties of (Ω, g_a) (cf. e.g. [KL], when $a \equiv 1$).

As a byproduct of our Lemma 1, if $\Omega = \mathbb{D}^1$ is the unit disc in \mathbb{C} , one may estimate $K_a(z, w)$ in terms of the unweighted Bergman kernel of Ω , i.e. $K_1(z, w) = \pi^{-1}(1 - z\bar{w})^{-2}$ (cf. e.g. [Ho], p. 147). Let $z \in \Omega$ be fixed and φ_z the automorphism which takes z to 0, i.e. $\varphi_z(w) = (z - w)(1 - w\bar{z})^{-1}$. Since $\varphi'_z(w) = (|z|^2 - 1)(1 - w\bar{z})^{-2}$ the identity (6) becomes

$$K_a(z, w) = K_{a \circ \varphi_z^{-1}}(0, \varphi_z(w)) \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^2}.$$

Let $X \subset \Omega$ be a compact subset and $Y_z = \varphi_z(X)$. Then

$$|K_a(z, w)| \leq C_z |K_1(z, w)|$$

for any $|z| < 1$, $w \in X$, where $C_z = \pi \sup_{w \in Y_z} |K_a(0, w)| < \infty$.

3. Isotropy groups of the natural action of $\text{Hol}(\Omega)$ on $AW(\Omega)$.

Let $H(\Omega)$ be the space of all holomorphic functions on Ω . Consider the actions $\alpha : H(\Omega) \times \text{Hol}(\Omega) \rightarrow H(\Omega)$, $\alpha(f, \varphi) = (f \circ \varphi)J_\varphi$, and $\beta : \text{Hol}(\Omega) \times AW(\Omega) \rightarrow AW(\Omega)$, $\beta(\varphi, a) = a \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then β is well defined, by Lemma 1. Let I_a be the isotropy group of $a \in AW(\Omega)$ with respect to β . Then α induces an action $H(\Omega) \times I_a \rightarrow H(\Omega)$ for each $a \in AW(\Omega)$. This descends to an action $L^2H(\Omega, a) \times I_a \rightarrow L^2H(\Omega, a)$ since $\|\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_a = \|f\|_{\beta(\varphi, a)} = \|f\|_a < \infty$, for any $f \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$, $\varphi \in I_a$. Set $\mathbb{D}^N = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ and define $a \in W(\mathbb{D}^N)$ by

$$a(z) = \begin{cases} \exp(|z|^{-1/2}), & z \neq 0, \\ 0 & z = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_N|^2$, $z \in \mathbb{D}^N$.

THEOREM 2. (i) $a \in AW(\mathbb{D}^N)$.

(ii) Let $0 < r < 1$ and consider the torus $T^N(r) = S^1(r) \times \dots \times S^1(r)$ (N factors). Then there is $C_a > 0$ so that $K_a(z, z) = C_a G_a(x, y)^{1/2}$ for any $z \in T^N(r)$.

Proof. (i) follows from Corollary 3.1 of [PW2], p. 6. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{D}^N$. To prove (ii) recall (cf. e.g. [N], p. 68) that $\text{Hol}(\Omega) = \{\varphi_{\theta, \alpha, p} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^N, |\alpha_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq N, p \in \sigma_N\}$ where

$$\varphi_{\theta, \alpha, p}(z) = \left(e^{i\theta_1} \frac{z_{p(1)} - \alpha_1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1 z_{p(1)}}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N} \frac{z_{p(N)} - \alpha_N}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_N z_{p(N)}} \right), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

and σ_N denotes the permutation group of order $N!$.

Step 1. $I_a = \{\varphi_{\theta, 0, p} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^N, p \in \sigma_N\}$.

Proof. We must solve

$$(9) \quad a \circ \varphi_{\theta, \alpha, p} = a$$

for θ, α and p . Apply (9) to $z = 0$. This gives $\alpha = 0$. On the other hand, let $z \in \Omega$, $z \neq 0$. Then $|\varphi_{\theta, 0, p}(z)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N |e^{i\theta_j} z_{p(j)}|^2 = |z|^2$, i.e. $\varphi_{\theta, 0, p}$ satisfies the functional equation (9).

Step 2. I_a acts transitively on $T^N(r)$.

Proof. Let $I_a \times T^N(r) \rightarrow T^N(r)$, $(\varphi, z) \mapsto \varphi(z)$. Then $|\varphi(z)_j| = |e^{i\theta_j} z_{p(j)}| = r$ so that the action is well defined. Next, for any $z, w \in T^N(r)$ the equation $\varphi_{\theta, 0, p}(z) = w$ may be solved for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $p \in \sigma_N$ (e.g. take $p = \text{id}$ and $\theta_j \in \{2n\pi + \arg(w_j/z_j) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$).

Set $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, and let $(g_a)_{AB}$ be the real components of g_a , $1 \leq A, B \leq 2N$ (with respect to $\partial/\partial x_j, \partial/\partial y_j$). Let $G_a(x, y) = \det((g_a)_{AB}(x, y))$. We finish the proof of (ii) in Theorem 2 by showing:

Step 3. $K_a(z, z)/G_a(x, y)^{1/2} = \text{const.}$ on $T^N(r)$.

Proof. Let $z, w \in T^N(r)$. By Step 2 there is $\varphi \in I_a$ so that $\varphi(z) = w$. Then (6) yields $K_a(z, z) = K_a(w, w)|J_\varphi(z)|^2$. Set $w_j = u_j + iv_j$. Finally, $G_a(x, y) = G_a(u, v)|J_\varphi(z)|^4$ so that

$$K_a(z, z)G_a(x, y)^{-1/2} = K_a(w, w)G_a(u, v)^{-1/2}. \blacksquare$$

We end Section 3 by looking at yet another example. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{D}^1$ be the unit disc in \mathbb{C} and $a \in W(\mathbb{D}^1)$ given by $a(z) = |\text{Im}(z)|^{1/(1-|z|)}$, $|z| < 1$.

PROPOSITION 1. (i) $a \in AW(\mathbb{D}^1)$.

(ii) I_a is a subgroup of $G_a = \{\psi_{k,\alpha} : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| < 1\}$, where

$$\psi_{k,\alpha}(z) = (-1)^k \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \alpha z}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\psi_{k,\alpha} \circ \psi_{l,\beta} = \psi_{k+l,\gamma}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = ((-1)^l \alpha + \beta)(1 + (-1)^l \alpha \beta)^{-1},$$

and $(\psi_{k,\alpha})^{-1} = \psi_{k,\beta}$, where $\beta = (-1)^{k+1} \alpha$, so that G_a is a group. To prove $I_a \subset G_a$ recall that $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}^1) = \{\varphi_{\theta,\alpha} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| < 1\}$, where

$$\varphi_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}.$$

If $\varphi_{\theta,\alpha} \in I_a$ then

$$(10) \quad a(\varphi_{\theta,\alpha}(z)) = a(z)$$

for any $|z| < 1$. Let $z = 0$ in (10). This gives $\text{Re}(\alpha) \sin \theta + \text{Im}(\alpha) \cos \theta = 0$ and we distinguish the following cases: 1) $\cos \theta \neq 0$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) \neq 0$, and then $\tan \theta = -\text{Im}(\alpha)/\text{Re}(\alpha)$, or 2) $\cos \theta \neq 0$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) = 0$, and then $\alpha = 0$, or 3) $\cos \theta = 0$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) = 0$. Clearly the case $\cos \theta = 0$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) \neq 0$ cannot occur. Let $z = \alpha$ in (10). This yields $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, according to cases 1) to 3) above, we obtain the following sets of holomorphic diffeomorphisms: 1) $\psi_{k,\alpha}(z) = \varphi_{k\pi,\alpha}(z) = (-1)^k \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \alpha z}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| < 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, 2) $\varphi_{\theta,0}(z) = \exp(i\theta)z$, and 3) $\psi_k(z) = \varphi_{k\pi+\pi/2,0}(z) = i(-1)^k z$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $a(\varphi_{\theta,0}(1/2)) = 0$ yields $\theta \in \{k\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ so that 2) is contained in 1) for $\alpha = 0$. Finally, $a(\psi_k(1/2)) \neq 0$ so that $\psi_k \notin I_a$.

4. Derivatives of a -Bergman kernels and an open problem. Let

Δ be the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \approx \mathbb{C}^N$, $N \geq 2$, and

$$\Gamma_w(z) = \frac{|z - w|^{2(1-N)}}{2(1-N)\omega_{2N}}, \quad \text{where } \omega_{2N} = 2\pi^N \Gamma(N)^{-1}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded domain, $a = e^{-\phi}$, and assume $\phi \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ throughout Section 4. Then $a \in AW(\Omega)$ (cf. [Ho], p. 145). Let $P_\phi : L^2(\Omega, a) \rightarrow L^2H(\Omega, a)$ be the orthogonal projection (with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$). For $w \in \Omega$ fixed there exist (cf. [Ke], p. 156) open sets U_j , $1 \leq j \leq 4$, so that

$w \in U_4$ and $\bar{U}_{j+1} \subset U_j$, $0 \leq j \leq 3$ (here $U_0 = \Omega$), and real-valued functions φ_w, ψ_w with the properties $\varphi_w \in C_0^\infty(U_2)$, $\varphi_w|_{U_3} \equiv 1$, $\psi_w \in C_0^\infty(U_1)$, $\psi_w|_{U_4} \equiv 0$ and $\psi_w|_{U_2-U_3} \equiv 1$. Let $K_a(z, w)$ be the a -Bergman kernel of Ω . We seek for a weighted analogue of Lemma 1 of [Ke], p. 152. We set ourselves under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.5.1 of [Ho], p. 145, i.e. we assume that the weak maximal operator $\bar{\partial} : L^2(\Omega, a) \rightarrow L^2_{(0,1)}(\Omega, a)$ has a closed range, and that $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^2 and strictly pseudoconvex (i.e. the Levi form $\sum \varrho_{jk}(z)t_j \bar{t}_k$ is positive definite in the plane $\sum \varrho_j(z)t_j = 0$, for any $z \in \partial\Omega$, cf. conventions and notations of [Ho], p. 127). We establish the following:

THEOREM 3. (i) $D_w^\beta K_a(\cdot, w) \in L^2H(\Omega, a)$ provided that $|\varrho|^{-2(N+1)}a \in L^1(\Omega)$.

(ii) $D_w^\beta K_a(\cdot, w) = (-1)^{|\beta|} P_\phi e^\phi D_{\bar{z}}^\beta(\varphi_w \Delta(\psi_w \Gamma_w))$, i.e. $D_w^\beta K_a(z, w)$ can be represented as an orthogonal projection.

Here $D_{\bar{z}}^\beta = \partial^{|\beta|} / \partial \bar{z}_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial \bar{z}_N^{\beta_N}$. To prove (i) fix $w \in \Omega$ and a polyradius $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N)$ so that $\bar{D}_r(w) \subset \Omega$, where $\bar{D}_r(w) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^N : |\zeta_j - w_j| \leq r_j, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$. As $K_a(\cdot, z) \in H(\Omega)$ for any $z \in \Omega$, we may use the Cauchy integral formula and Theorem 2.1(ii) of [PW2], p. 3, to obtain

$$(11) \quad D_w^\beta K_a(z, w) = (-1)^N \beta! \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^N \int_{\partial_0 D} \frac{K_a(z, \zeta)}{(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{w})^{\beta+1}} d\mu(\zeta)$$

where $D = D_r(w)$ and $\partial_0 D$ denotes its distinguished boundary. Clearly $D_w^\beta K_a(\cdot, w) \in H(\Omega)$. On the other hand, set $\zeta - w = (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_N e^{i\theta_N})$ and use $|K_a(\zeta, z)| \leq C_X \|e_{z,a}\|_a$ (for some $C_X > 0$ depending only on the compact set $X = \partial_0 D \subset \Omega$, and any $\zeta \in X$) to obtain

$$(12) \quad \left| \int_{\partial_0 D} \frac{K_a(\zeta, z)}{(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{w})^{\beta+1}} d\mu(\zeta) \right| \leq C_X \|e_{z,a}\|_a \frac{(2\pi)^N}{r^\beta}.$$

Next (11)–(12) yield the estimate

$$(13) \quad \|D_w^\beta K_a(\cdot, w)\|_a^2 \leq C_X^2 r^{-2\beta} \int_\Omega \|e_{z,a}\|_a^2 a(z) d\mu(z).$$

We need to estimate the integral $\iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K_a(z, w)|^2 a(z) a(w) dz dw$. Define $F_a : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_a(z) = \begin{cases} |\varrho(z)|^{N+1} K_a(z, z), & z \in \Omega, \\ \lambda(z) e^{\phi(z)} N! / (4\pi^N), & z \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is the product of the $N - 1$ eigenvalues of the Levi form of $\partial\Omega$ in the plane $\sum \varrho_j t_j = 0$. By Theorem 3.5.1 of [Ho], p. 145, F_a is continuous. Finally, by Theorem 2.1(i) of [PW2], p. 3, $|K_a(z, w)| \leq K_a(z, z) + K_a(w, w)$

so that we may perform the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K_a(z, w)|^2 a(z) a(w) dz dw \\ & \leq 2A \int_{\Omega} K_a(z, z)^2 a(z) d\mu(z) + 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} K_a(z, z) a(z) d\mu(z) \right)^2 \\ & = 2A \int_{\Omega} F_a(z)^2 |\varrho(z)|^{-2(N+1)} a(z) d\mu(z) \\ & \quad + 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} F_a(z) |\varrho(z)|^{-(N+1)} a(z) d\mu(z) \right)^2 \\ & \leq 2(\sup_{\bar{\Omega}} F_a)^2 \left\{ A \int_{\Omega} |\varrho|^{-2(N+1)} a d\mu + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\varrho|^{-(N+1)} a d\mu \right)^2 \right\} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \int_{\Omega} a d\mu < \infty$, so that $D_{\bar{w}}^{\beta} K_a(\cdot, w) \in L^2(\Omega, a)$, and (i) of Theorem 3 is completely proved. It is easy to see that $|\varrho|^{-2(N+1)} a \in L^1(\Omega)$ yields $|\varrho|^{-(N+1)} a \in L^1(\Omega)$ as well (e.g. let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} = \{z \in \Omega : \varrho(z) \geq \varepsilon\}$ and note that $\int_{\Omega} |\varrho|^{-(N+1)} a d\mu \leq \int_{\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\varrho|^{-(N+1)} a d\mu + \int_{\Omega - \bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\varrho|^{-2(N+1)} a d\mu < \infty$).

The proof of the second statement in Theorem 3 is similar to that of Lemma 1 of [Ke], p. 152, so that we allow ourselves to be somewhat sketchy. Let $g \in H(\Omega)$. Using (1.18) of [J], p. 97, and $\psi_w \Gamma_w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (to integrate by parts) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(w) &= \int_{\Omega} \psi_w(z) \Gamma_w(z) \Delta(\varphi_w g)(z) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g(z) \varphi_w(z) \Delta(\psi_w \Gamma_w)(z) d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = D^{\beta} f$, $f \in L^2 H(\Omega, e^{-\phi})$, and integrate again by parts to get

$$(14) \quad D^{\beta} f(w) = (-1)^{|\beta|} \langle P_{\phi} e^{\phi} D_{\bar{z}}^{\beta} (\varphi_w \Delta(\psi_w \Gamma_w)), f \rangle_a.$$

Next apply D_w^{β} to $f(w) = \int_{\Omega} K_a(w, z) f(z) a(z) d\mu(z)$ to obtain

$$(15) \quad D^{\beta} f(w) = \overline{\langle D_w^{\beta} K_a(w, \cdot), f \rangle_a}.$$

Finally, (14)–(15) together with Theorem 3(i) yield (ii). ■

It is an open problem to prove differentiability up to the boundary of the a -Bergman kernel of Ω ; cf. Theorem 1 of [Ke], p. 151, where $\phi \equiv 0$. There, essential use is made of a formula for $P_0 : L^2(\Omega, 1) \rightarrow L^2 H(\Omega, 1)$ in terms of the Neumann operator (cf. the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem,

[Ko], p. 140) and the Sobolev lemma (a weighted version of which is already known, cf. [Ku]).

References

[Be] S. Bergman, *Über die Kernfunktion eines Bereiches und ihr Verhalten am Rande*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 169 (1933), 1–42.
 [Bo] B. Berndtsson, *Weighted estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ in domains in \mathbb{C}* , preprint, Göteborg, 1992.
 [He] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978, 352–372.
 [Ho] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 89–152.
 [J] F. John, *Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 1982.
 [Ke] N. Kerzman, *The Bergman kernel function. Differentiability at the boundary*, Math. Ann. 195 (1972), 149–158.
 [Kl] P. F. Klembeck, *Kähler metrics of negative curvature, the Bergman metric near the boundary, and the Kobayashi metric on smooth bounded strictly pseudoconvex sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (2) 27 (1978), 275–282.
 [Ko] J. J. Kohu, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex manifolds I, II*, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963), 112–148; 79 (1964), 450–472.
 [Ku] A. Kufner, *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Wiley, Chichester, 1985.
 [M1] T. Mazur, *Canonical isometry on weighted Bergman spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 136 (1989), 303–310.
 [M2] —, *On the complex manifolds of Bergman type*, in: Classical Analysis, Proc. 6-th Symposium, 23–29 September 1991, Poland, World Scientific, 1992, 132–138.
 [N] R. Narasimhan, *Several Complex Variables*, The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1971.
 [PW1] Z. Pasternak-Winiarski, *On the dependence of the reproducing kernel on the weight of integration*, J. Funct. Anal. 94 (1990), 110–134.
 [PW2] —, *On weights which admit the reproducing kernel of Bergman type*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 15 (1992), 1–14.

POLITECNICO DI MILANO
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
 PIAZZA LEONARDO DA VINCI 32
 20133 MILANO, ITALY

Received October 8, 1992
 Revised version July 4, 1993

(3006)