

## Convolution algebras with weighted rearrangement-invariant norm

by

R. KERMAN (St. Catharines, Ont.) and E. SAWYER (Hamilton, Ont.)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a rearrangement-invariant space of Lebesgue-measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such as the classical Lebesgue, Lorentz or Orlicz spaces. Given a nonnegative, measurable (weight) function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $X(w) = \{F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \infty > \|F\|_{X(w)} := \|Fw\|_X\}$ . We investigate conditions on such a weight  $w$  that guarantee  $X(w)$  is an algebra under the convolution product  $F * G$  defined at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $(F * G)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x-y)G(y) dy$ ; more precisely, when  $\|F * G\|_{X(w)} \leq \|F\|_{X(w)}\|G\|_{X(w)}$  for all  $F, G \in X(w)$ .

**1. Introduction.** A *weight function* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a Lebesgue-measurable function  $w$  for which  $0 < w < \infty$  a.e. with respect to Lebesgue measure. Given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , define

$$L^p(w) = \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \infty > \|F\|_{L^p(w)} = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x)w(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \right\}.$$

When  $w \equiv 1$  we use the abbreviated notations  $L^p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . As usual,  $p' = p/(p-1)$ .

This paper was motivated by the problem of determining when  $L^p(w)$  is an algebra under the convolution product  $F * G$  defined at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$(F * G)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x-y)G(y) dy;$$

more precisely, when

$$(1) \quad \|F * G\|_{L^p(w)} \leq \|F\|_{L^p(w)}\|G\|_{L^p(w)} \quad \text{for } F, G \in L^p(w).$$

The problem was solved in the case  $p = 1$  by Beurling [2] who showed (1) holds if and only if

$$(2) \quad w(x+y) \leq w(x)w(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46E30, 42A85.

Research supported in part by grants from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

or, equivalently, setting  $w(x) = e^{\Phi(x)}$ ,

$$(3) \quad \Phi(x+y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We observe that a natural class of weights for which (2) holds is the class  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of those  $w = e^{\Phi}$ , where  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(|x|)$  is radial and, considered as a function on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi$  is increasing and concave with  $\Phi(0+) = 0$ . Examples of such weights are  $(1 + |x|)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and  $e^{|x|^\beta}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ . Here  $|x|$  can be any norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . However, for  $n \geq 2$ , the methods used below require the norm  $|x| = |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which we adopt from now on. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$ , we denote by  $B_r(x_0)$  the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}$ .

Another case readily dealt with is  $p = \infty$ . The weights  $w$  satisfying (1) are those for which  $w(w^{-1} * w^{-1}) \leq 1$ ; that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} dy \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This, together with (2) written in the form

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

suggests, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , the condition

$$(4) \quad \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right)^{p'} dy \right]^{1/p'} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Nikol'skiĭ [12] showed (4) is sufficient for (1) in the context of sequence spaces. See also Grabiner [7]. The short proof, which it will be convenient for us to reproduce here, is a clever application of Hölder's inequality. Observe first that, writing  $F = f/w$ ,  $G = g/w$ , (1) becomes

$$\left\| w \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) \right\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_p.$$

Now,

$$(5) \quad \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| w \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) \right|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} |f(x-y)| |g(y)| dy \right]^p dx \right]^{1/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right)^{p'} dy \right]^{p-1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)g(y)|^p dy \right] dx \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right)^{p'} dy \right]^{1/p'} \left\| \|f(x-y)g(y)\|_{L^p(dy)} \right\|_{L^p(dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

The first factor in the last line of (5) is, by (4), at most 1, while Fubini's theorem can be applied to the second factor to yield

$$(6) \quad \left\| \|f(x-y)g(y)\|_{L^p(dy)} \right\|_{L^p(dx)} = \|f\|_p \|g\|_p.$$

This proves (1).

Condition (4) is not, in general, necessary for (1). But, as we will show in Section 4, it is if  $w$  is in a certain class containing  $\mathcal{C}$  (cf. [10] for a result similar to this in the case  $n = 1$ ).

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate when

$$X(w) = \{F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \infty > \|F\|_{X(w)} = \|Fw\|_X\}$$

is closed under convolution, where  $X$  is a rearrangement-invariant (r.i.) space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Köthe dual  $X'$ . See Section 2 for definitions and some properties of such spaces. For more background we recommend [1].

Inequalities (5) with  $X$  and  $X'$  in place of  $L^p$  and  $L^{p'}$ , respectively, suggest the condition

$$(7) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C$$

is sufficient for

$$(8) \quad \|F * G\|_{X(w)} \leq C \|F\|_{X(w)} \|G\|_{X(w)},$$

which would certainly, by Nikol'skii's argument (5), be true if the following (weaker) analogue of (6) held:

$$(9) \quad \left\| \|f(x-y)g(y)\|_{X(dy)} \right\|_{X(dx)} \leq C \|f\|_X \|g\|_X.$$

(We would like to point out that (8) is equivalent to  $X(w)$  being closed under convolution, see [8], p. 471, and that one can take  $C = 1$  if  $w$  is replaced by  $w/C$ .) Now, on the one hand, (7) is no longer sufficient for (8); in particular, as shown in Section 5, (7) guarantees (8) for the Lorentz space  $X = L^{pq}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $q \geq p$ , if and only if  $p = q$ . On the other hand, as shown in Section 2, (9) does hold for nonnegative  $f$  and  $g$  in the class  $\mathcal{R.D.}$  of radially decreasing functions; that is,  $f(x) = f(|x|)$  and  $g(x) = g(|x|)$  are decreasing functions of  $|x|$ . This, then, raises the question of characterizing those weights for which it is enough to test (8) for nonnegative functions in  $\mathcal{R.D.}$

To this end, we introduce the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of weights  $w(x) = w(|x|)$  for which  $w(y) \leq Cw(z)$ ,  $0 < y < z$ , and

$$B(r, s) = \frac{w(r+s)}{w(r)w(s)} \quad (r, s > 0)$$

is essentially decreasing in each variable separately, i.e.  $B(r_1, s) \leq CB(r_2, s)$ , whenever  $s > 0$  and  $r_1 \geq r_2 > 0$ . (This class contains  $\mathcal{C}$ , since for  $w = e^\Phi$ ,  $\Phi$  concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\partial B/\partial r = (\Phi'(r+s) - \Phi'(r))B(r, s) \leq 0$ .) We prove that given  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  there holds the following weighted analogue of an inequality of F. Riesz [13] and S. L. Sobolev [14]:

$$(10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) hw \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^{++} w \quad \text{for } f, g, h \geq 0.$$

Here, for example,  $h^+$  is the (a.e.) unique nonnegative function in  $\mathcal{R.D.}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h^+(|x|) > \lambda\}| = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |h(x)| > \lambda\}|$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $h^{++}$  is the (larger) nonnegative  $\mathcal{R.D.}$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$h^{++}(x) = h^{++}(|x|) = (C_n |x|)^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} h^+(|y|) dy,$$

where  $C_n^n = |B_1(0)|$ .

In sum, we are able to prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  and suppose  $X$  is an r.i. space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which the mapping  $f \rightarrow f^{++}$  is bounded on  $X'$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $X(w)$  to be closed under convolution is*

$$(11) \quad \left\| \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The requirement that  $f \rightarrow f^{++}$  be bounded on  $X'$  eliminates those r.i. spaces  $X$  near  $L^\infty$  (see Lemma 6 below). To include such spaces in our theory requires a stronger weighted analogue of the Riesz inequality, namely (10) with  $h^+$  in place of  $h^{++}$ ; that is,

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) hw \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^+ w \quad \text{for } f, g, h \geq 0.$$

We show that for  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ , where

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty = \left\{ w \in \mathcal{M} : \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \right\},$$

(12) holds if and only if  $w$  satisfies the additional condition

$$(13) \quad \int_0^{r/2} \frac{w(r)}{w(r-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq C \int_0^r \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

(We note in passing that  $w(x) = e^{|x|^\alpha}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , but satisfies (13) if and only if  $\alpha = 1$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha = 1$  the left side is  $\approx r^n$ , while the right side is  $O(1)$ .) We can now obtain the following result having no restriction on  $X$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $w(x) = w(|x|)$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  and satisfy (13). Suppose  $X$  is an r.i. space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then (11) is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $X(w)$  to be closed under convolution.*

The sufficiency of (11) is related to (10) and (12) in Section 2 and proofs of the latter are given in the following section. The necessity of (11) is the subject of Section 4 and, as mentioned above, the question of the general sufficiency of (11) is considered for the  $L^{pq}$  spaces,  $q \geq p$  (when  $n = 1$ ), in Section 5.

The referee has pointed out that it should be possible to extend some of our results to the setting of locally compact Abelian groups.

**2. Rearrangement-invariant function spaces.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. A *Banach lattice*  $X = X(\Omega)$  is a Banach space of (equivalence classes of  $\mu$ -a.e. equal) complex-valued measurable functions on  $\Omega$  such that if  $|g| \leq |f|$   $\mu$ -a.e., where  $f \in X$  and  $g$  is measurable, then  $g \in X$  and  $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$ . If, in addition,  $X$  has the *Fatou property*:

$$0 \leq f_n \uparrow f \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}, \sup_n \|f_n\|_X < \infty \Rightarrow f \in X \text{ and } \|f\|_X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_X$$

together with the property that whenever  $E \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$  we have  $\chi_E \in X$  and  $\int_\Omega |f| \chi_E d\mu < \infty$  for all  $f \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be a *Banach function space*. Such a space is a *saturated* Banach lattice in the sense that every  $E \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(E) > 0$  has a measurable subset  $F$  of finite positive measure for which  $\chi_F \in X$ .

The Banach function space  $X = X(\Omega)$  is called a *rearrangement-invariant function space* (r.i. space) if  $f \in X$  implies  $g \in X$  and  $\|g\|_X = \|f\|_X$ , whenever  $g$  is equimeasurable with  $f$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f(t) &:= \mu(\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t\}) \\ &= \mu(\{x \in \Omega : |g(x)| > t\}) =: \mu_g(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Important examples of r.i. spaces are the Lorentz spaces  $L^{pq}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , with norms given by

$$\|f\|_{pq} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \int_0^\infty (s\mu_f(s)^{1/p})^q s^{-1} ds \right\}^{1/q} & \text{for } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{s>0} s\mu_f(s)^{1/p} & \text{for } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

In case  $p = q$ ,  $L^{pq}(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega)$ , the usual Lebesgue space, and we shorten  $\|f\|_{pp}$  to  $\|f\|_p$ . The smallest of all r.i. spaces is the intersection,  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$ , of  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , with  $\|f\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} = \max\{\|f\|_1, \|f\|_\infty\}$ .

The *Köthe dual* or *associate space*  $X' = X'(\Omega)$  of a Banach lattice  $X = X(\Omega)$  consists of those complex-valued measurable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $fg \in L^1(\Omega)$  for all  $g \in X$ . We define

$$\|f\|_{X'} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Omega fg d\mu \right| : \|g\|_X \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This is a norm provided  $X$  has the Fatou property. In this case,  $X'$  is a Banach lattice which is both saturated and has the Fatou property; moreover,  $X'' = X$  isometrically, so that

$$(14) \quad \|f\|_X = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Omega fg d\mu \right| : \|g\|_{X'} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The *generalized Hölder inequality* asserts the consequence of (14) that when  $f \in X$ ,  $g \in X'$ , the function  $fg \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}$ . Theorem 5.2 of [1] shows that for  $X$  an r.i. space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$(15) \quad \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_X \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_{X'} = C_n r^n \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

Given Banach lattices  $X = X(\Omega)$ ,  $Y = Y(\Omega)$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ , the *Calderón product*  $Z = X^{1-\theta}Y^\theta$  consists of all measurable  $h$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $|h| \leq \lambda f^{1-\theta}g^\theta$   $\mu$ -a.e. for some  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 \leq f \in X$ ,  $0 \leq g \in Y$ ,  $\|f\|_X, \|g\|_Y \leq 1$ . In this case,  $\|h\|_Z = \inf \lambda$ . It is shown in #33.5 of [4] that  $Z$  is a Banach lattice. Further, one readily proves that  $Z$  is saturated whenever  $X$  and  $Y$  are and that it has the Fatou property whenever  $X$  and  $Y$  do.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X_i = X_i(\Omega)$ ,  $Y_i = Y_i(\Omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be Banach lattices which have the Fatou property and let  $X_\theta = X_1^{1-\theta}X_2^\theta$ ,  $Y_\theta = Y_1^{1-\theta}Y_2^\theta$  for some fixed  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Suppose  $T$  is a linear operator which satisfies*

$$0 \leq g_n \uparrow g \in X_i \mu\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow 0 \leq Tg_n \uparrow Tg \in Y_i \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

with

$$\|Tf_i\|_{Y_i} \leq M_i \|f_i\|_{X_i} \quad \text{for } f_i \in X_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\|Tf\|_{Y_\theta} \leq M_\theta \|f\|_{X_\theta} \quad \text{for } f \in X_\theta, \quad \text{where } M_\theta \leq M_1^{1-\theta}M_2^\theta.$$

**Proof.** Consider  $f \in X_\theta$  with

$$|f| \leq \lambda g^{1-\theta}h^\theta \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}, \quad \lambda > 0; \quad g, h \geq 0; \quad \|g\|_{X_1}, \|h\|_{X_2} \leq 1.$$

Then, by the abstract Hölder inequality ([9], p. 143)

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf| &\leq T|f| \leq \lambda T(g^{1-\theta}h^\theta) \leq \lambda[Tg]^{1-\theta}[Th]^\theta \\ &\leq \lambda M_1^{1-\theta} M_2^\theta \left(\frac{Tg}{M_1}\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\frac{Th}{M_2}\right)^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|Th\|_{Y_\theta} \leq \lambda M_1^{1-\theta} M_2^\theta$  and we are done.

**THEOREM 4** (Lozanovskii [11]). *Let  $X = X(\Omega)$  be a Banach lattice with Köthe dual  $X' = X'(\Omega)$ . Suppose  $X$  (and hence  $X'$ ) is saturated and has the Fatou property. Set  $Z = X(\Omega)^{1/2}X'(\Omega)^{1/2}$ . Then  $Z = L^2(\Omega)$  isometrically.*

*Proof.* We begin by observing that  $Z$  is a saturated Banach lattice which has the Fatou property.

Given  $f \in Z$ , let  $\lambda > 0$  be such that  $|f| \leq \lambda g^{1/2}h^{1/2}$  for  $0 \leq g \in X$ ,  $0 \leq h \in X'$ , with  $\|g\|_X, \|h\|_{X'} \leq 1$ . Then

$$\|f\|_2 = \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq \lambda \left( \int_{\Omega} gh d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq \lambda (\|g\|_X \|h\|_{X'})^{1/2} \leq \lambda,$$

and so  $\|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_Z$ . Here, we have used Hölder's inequality.

Suppose, next, that  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\|f\|_2 = 1$ . We have  $|f| = \sqrt{|f|^2}$ , where  $|f|^2 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\||f|^2\|_1 = 1$ . By Theorem 1 in [6],  $|f|^2 = gh$ , where  $\|g\|_X \|h\|_{X'} = 1$ ; indeed, without loss of generality,  $\|g\|_X = \|h\|_{X'} = 1$ . It follows that  $\|f\|_Z \leq 1 = \|f\|_2$ . The same is then clearly true of any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . This completes the proof.

Given a Banach lattice  $X = X(\Omega)$  and measurable  $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , define

$$X(w) = \{F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \infty > \|F\|_{X(w)} = \|Fw\|_X\}.$$

It is easily seen  $X(w)$  is a Banach lattice which is saturated whenever  $X$  is and has the Fatou property whenever  $X$  does; further,  $X(w)' = X'(w^{-1})$ . We thus have

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $X = X(\Omega)$  be a Banach lattice with Köthe dual  $X' = X'(\Omega)$  and assume  $X$  (and hence  $X'$ ) is saturated and has the Fatou property. Suppose  $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is measurable. Then  $X(w)^{1/2}X'(w^{-1})^{1/2} = L^2(\Omega)$  isometrically.*

We now record two additional results for r.i. spaces, the first of which characterizes one of the hypotheses in Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 6** (D. Boyd [3]). *Suppose  $X = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an r.i. space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the mapping  $f \rightarrow f^{++}$  is bounded on  $X$  if and only if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) = 0$ , where  $h(s)$  is the (finite) operator norm of the dilation operator  $(E_s f)(x) := f(sx)$  ( $s > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ) from  $X$  to itself.*

LEMMA 7. Suppose  $X = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an r.i. space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for all  $0 \leq f, g \in \mathcal{R.D.}$ ,

$$(16) \quad \| \|f(x-y)g(y)\|_{X(dy)} \|_{X(dx)} \leq C \|f\|_X \|g\|_X.$$

Proof. Given  $0 \leq f, g \in \mathcal{R.D.}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$f(x-y)g(y) = f(|x-y|)g(|y|) \leq f(|x|/2)g(|y|) + f(|x-y|)g(|x|/2),$$

since  $f(|x-y|) \leq f(|x|/2)$  if  $|x-y| \geq |x|/2$  while  $g(|y|) \leq g(|x|/2)$  if  $|y| \geq |x|/2$  (one of these cases must hold as  $|x| \leq |x-y| + |y|$ ). Thus, the left side of (16) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \|f(|x|/2)g(|y|) + f(|x-y|)g(|x|/2)\|_{X(dy)} \|_{X(dx)} \\ & \leq \|f(|x|/2)\|_X \|g\|_X + \|f\|_X \|g(|x|/2)\|_X \leq 2h(1/2) \|f\|_X \|g\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $X$  is translation-invariant.

Finally, we show how the sufficiency of (11) for  $X(w)$  to be closed under convolution reduces to (10) (in Theorem 1) and (12) (in Theorem 2). Indeed, assuming first the hypotheses of Theorem 1 we have, by (15), with  $F = f/w \geq 0$ ,  $G = g/w \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \|F * G\|_{X(w)} &= \sup_{\substack{\|h\|_{X'} \leq 1 \\ h \geq 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) h w \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{\|h\|_{X'} \leq 1 \\ h \geq 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^{++} w \quad \text{given (10)} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\substack{\|h\|_{X'} \leq 1 \\ h \geq 0}} \left\| \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) w \right\|_X \|h^{++}\|_{X'} \quad \text{by Hölder's inequality} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) w \right\|_X \quad \text{since } h \rightarrow h^{++} \text{ is bounded on } X' \\ &\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \| \|f^+(x-y)g^+(y)\|_{X(dy)} \|_{X(dx)} \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{as in (5)} \\ &\leq C \|f^+\|_X \|g^+\|_X = C \|f\|_X \|g\|_X = \|F\|_X(w) \|G\|_X(w), \end{aligned}$$

since, by Lemma 7, (9) holds for the nonnegative  $\mathcal{R.D.}$  functions  $f^+, g^+$ .

Assuming the hypotheses of Theorem 2 instead, we again obtain (17), but this time with  $\|h^+\|_{X'} = \|h\|_{X'}$  in place of  $\|h^{++}\|_{X'}$  (by (12)) and since  $\|h\|_{X'} \leq 1$ , no assumption on  $X'$  is needed now.



**3. The weighted Riesz–Sobolev inequalities.** As shown in the last section, the sufficiency of (11) for  $X(w)$  to be closed under convolution depends, under varying assumptions on  $X$  and  $w$ , on the following two theorems:

THEOREM 8. *Suppose  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then*

$$(18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) hw \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^{++} w \quad \text{for } f, g, h \geq 0.$$

THEOREM 9. *Suppose  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . Then*

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) hw \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^+ w \quad \text{for } f, g, h \geq 0$$

*if and only if  $w$  satisfies (13).*

The proofs of Theorems 8 and 9 require certain monotonicity properties of  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . These are a consequence of the following general result.

LEMMA 10. *Suppose  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(20) \quad \Phi(x) \leq C\Phi(z) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq |z|,$$

*then*

$$(21) \quad \int_{|x| \geq r} \chi_E(x) \Phi(x) dx \leq C|E| \min \left\{ \Phi(r), |F|^{-1} \int_F \Phi(y) dy \right\}$$

*for all  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $F \subset B_r(0)$ . In particular,*

$$(22) \quad \int_E \Phi(x) dx \leq (C^2 + 1) \int_{|x| \leq r_n} \Phi(x) dx \quad \text{for } E \subset \mathbb{R}^n, r_n = C_n^{-1}|E|^{1/n}.$$

*If there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(23) \quad \Phi(x) \leq C(C_n|x|)^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} \Phi(y) dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

*then*

$$(24) \quad \int_{|x| \leq r} \chi_E(x) \Phi(x) dx \leq (C + 1) \int_{|x| \leq r} \chi_E^{++}(x) \Phi(x) dx,$$

*for all  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ .*

PROOF. We obtain (21) from (20) since  $\Phi(x) \leq C\Phi(r)$  and  $\Phi(x) \leq C\Phi(y)$  whenever  $|x| > r$  and  $|y| < r$ . Then (22) follows on writing

$$\int_E \Phi(x) dx = \int_{|x| < r_n} \chi_E(x) \Phi(x) dx + \int_{|x| \geq r_n} \chi_E(x) \Phi(x) dx$$

and applying (21) with  $F = B_{r_n}(0)$ .

If  $r \leq r_n$ , then (24) is trivial, so we suppose  $r > r_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \leq r} \chi_E(x) \Phi(x) dx \\
& \leq \int_{|x| \leq r_n} \Phi(x) dx + C \int_{r_n \leq |x| \leq r} \chi_E(x) \left\{ (C_n |x|)^{-n} \int_{|z| \leq |x|} \Phi(z) dz \right\} dx \\
& \leq \int_{|x| \leq r_n} \Phi(x) dx + C \int_{|z| \leq r} \Phi(z) \left\{ \int_{\max(|z|, r_n)}^r \chi_E(x) (C_n |x|)^{-n} dx \right\} dz \\
& \leq \int_{|x| \leq r_n} \Phi(x) dx + C \int_{|z| \leq r} \Phi(z) \frac{|E|}{\max\{(C_n |x|)^n, |E|\}} dz \\
& \leq (C + 1) \int_{|x| \leq r} \chi_E^{++}(x) \Phi(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 11. *Suppose  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then*

- (i)  $B(|x|, \cdot)$  and  $B(\cdot, |x|)$  satisfy (20) with  $C > 0$  independent of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- (ii)  $W(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \frac{w(|x|)}{w(|x| - s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds$  satisfies (23).

Proof. (i) is obvious. To prove (ii) we first show that if  $3|x|/4 \leq |y| \leq |x|$ , then  $W(x) \leq CW(y)$ . Now,

$$W(x) = \left( \int_0^{|x|/2} + \int_{|x|/2}^{|x|} \right) \frac{w(|x|)}{w(|x| - s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds = I + II.$$

Since  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$(25) \quad I \leq \int_0^{|x|/2} \frac{w(|y|)}{w(|y| - s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq W(|y|),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad II & \leq \int_{|x|/2}^{|x|} \frac{w(|y|)}{w(|x| - s)w(s + |y| - |x|)} s^{n-1} ds \\
& \leq \int_{|y| - |x|/2}^{|y|} \frac{w(|y|)}{w(|y| - t)w(t)} (t + |x| - |y|)^{n-1} dt \leq C_n W(|y|),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $t + |x| - |y| \leq Ct$  for  $|y| - |x|/2 \leq t|y|$  and  $3|x|/4 \leq |y| \leq |x|$ . From (25) and (26) we obtain  $W(|x|) \leq C_n W(|y|)$  for  $3|x|/4 \leq |y| \leq |x|$ , as claimed.

Iterating this inequality yields the doubling condition

$$W(x) \leq C \left( \frac{|x|}{|y|} \right)^\beta W(|y|) \quad \text{for } 0 < |y| \leq |x|,$$

where  $C$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants depending only on the dimension  $n$ . We now obtain that  $W$  satisfies (23) easily from

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= C_\beta (C_n |x|)^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} W(x) \frac{|y|^\beta}{|x|^\beta} dy \\ &\leq C_\beta (C_n |x|)^{-n} \int_{|y| \leq |x|} W(|y|) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_n \geq 0\}$ . Define the set  $E^+$  by  $\chi_{E^+} = (\chi_E)^+$ ; this will be a ball (recall  $|x| = |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$ ) centred at the origin with, say, radius  $r_{E^+}$ . Lastly, denote by  $\tilde{E}$  the ball concentric with  $E^+$  and with radius  $r_{\tilde{E}} = \frac{1}{2}r_{E^+}$ .

**Proof of Theorems 8 and 9.** To begin, observe that it is enough to prove (18) and (19) for nonnegative simple functions  $f, g$  and  $h$  which are symmetric with respect to all  $2^n$ -orthotants of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Furthermore, we claim that one need only consider  $f = \chi_E, g = \chi_F, h = \chi_G$ , where  $E, F$  and  $G$  are sets symmetric with respect to all  $2^n$ -orthotants of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For, suppose the latter fact to be true. Then the simple functions  $f, g$  and  $h$  referred to above can be written as finite sums of the form  $f = \sum_i f_i \chi_{E_i}, g = \sum_j g_j \chi_{F_j}, h = \sum_k h_k \chi_{G_k}$ , where the sets  $E_i, F_j$  and  $G_k$  are symmetric, with  $E_i \supset E_{i+1}, F_j \supset F_{j+1}, G_k \supset G_{k+1}$  and the constants  $f_i, g_j$  and  $h_k$  are nonnegative. Hence, we get (for example) (18) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{g}{w} \right) h w &= \sum_{i,j,k} f_i g_j h_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\chi_{E_i}}{w} * \frac{\chi_{F_j}}{w} \right) \chi_{G_k} w \\ &\leq C \sum_{i,j,k} f_i g_j h_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\chi_{E_i^+}}{w} * \frac{\chi_{F_j^+}}{w} \right) \chi_{G_k^{++}} w = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{f^+}{w} * \frac{g^+}{w} \right) h^{++} w. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that in order to prove (18) and (19), it is enough to establish, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad \int \int_{E \times F} \chi_G(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\ \leq C \int \int_{E^+ \times F^+} \chi_G^{++}(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

for symmetric sets  $E, F, G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$(28) \quad \int \int_{E \times F} \chi_G(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\ \leq C \int \int_{E^+ \times F^+} \chi_G^+(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy,$$

for symmetric sets  $E, F, G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

To prove (27) and (28) we distinguish three cases, in all of which it may be assumed without loss of generality that  $|E| \leq |F|$ .

Case 1:  $|E| \leq |F| \leq |G|$ . In this case we actually have the stronger inequality (28) for  $w \in \mathcal{M}$  without any additional assumptions. Indeed, since  $E$  and  $F$  are symmetric and  $w(x+y) \leq Cw(|x|+|y|)$ , the left side of (28) is at most

$$C \int \int_F B(|x|, |y|) dx dy \leq C \int \int_{\tilde{E}} B(|x|, |y|) dx dy$$

by Lemma 11(i) and (21) of Lemma 10, and thus at most

$$(29) \quad \leq C \int \int_{\tilde{F} \tilde{E}} B(|x|, |y|) dx dy \\ = 2^n C \int \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \chi_{\tilde{E}}(x) \chi_{\tilde{E}}(y) B(|x|, |y|) dx dy$$

upon reversing the order of integration and applying (21) again. Since  $\tilde{E} + \tilde{F} \subset G^+$  and  $|x+y| = |x|+|y|$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the last integral in (29) is at most

$$C \int \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \chi_E^+(x) \chi_F^+(y) \chi_G^+(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\chi_E^+}{w} * \frac{\chi_F^+}{w} \right) \chi_G^+ w.$$

Case 2:  $|E| \leq |G| \leq |F|$ . Here again there holds the stronger inequality (28) assuming only  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . We have

$$(30) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\chi_E}{w} * \frac{\chi_F}{w} \right) \chi_G w \leq \int \int_{E \times \mathbb{R}^n} \chi_G(x+y) B(|x|, |y|) dx dy \\ \leq C \int \int_{E \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \chi_G(x, y) B(|x|, |y|) dy dx,$$

where  $\chi_G(x, y) = \sum \chi_G(x_1 \pm y_1, \dots, x_n \pm y_n)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the sum being extended over all choices of  $\pm$ . The last term in (30) equals

$$C \int_{E \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathcal{G}_x} B(|x|, |y|) dy dx \quad \text{where } \chi_{\mathcal{G}_x}(y) = \chi_G(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Arguing as in case 1 and observing that  $|\mathcal{G}_x| \leq 2^n |G|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we obtain the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \chi_{\tilde{E}}(x) \chi_{\tilde{G}}(y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n} \chi_{\tilde{E}}(x) \chi_{\tilde{G}}(y) \chi_{G^+}(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \quad \text{since } \tilde{E} + \tilde{G} \subset G^+ \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\chi_E^+}{w} * \frac{\chi_F^+}{w} \right) \chi_{G^+}^+ w. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3:  $|G| \leq |E| \leq |F|$ . In this case we can only obtain (27) for  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ . We then prove (28) holds for  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  if and only if (13) does. The left side of (27) is at most

$$(31) \quad \left( \int_{\tilde{E} \times \tilde{E}} + \int_{(\tilde{E} \times \tilde{E})^c} \right) \chi_G(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy = I + II.$$

Let  $r_k = x_k + y_k$ ,  $s_k = y_k$ ,  $r = \sum_k |r_k|$  and  $s = \sum_k |s_k|$ . Since  $|r - s| \leq \sum_k |r_k - s_k| = |x|$ , we have  $w(|r - s|) \leq Cw(|x|)$ , and we may bound  $I$  by

$$(32) \quad \int_{E^+} \chi_G(r_1, \dots, r_n) \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r)}{w(|r-s|)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds dr_1 \dots dr_n.$$

We now show that the inner integral in (32) satisfies

$$(33) \quad \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r)}{w(|r-s|)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq C[W(r) + W(r_{\tilde{E}})].$$

Indeed, when  $r_{\tilde{E}} \leq r \leq r_{E^+}$ , the left side of (33) is at most  $CW(r_{\tilde{E}})$  since  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ ; while, for  $0 \leq r \leq r_{\tilde{E}}$ , we have, letting  $r_0 = \min\{r, r_{\tilde{E}} - r\}$  and observing that  $w(r)/w(r+s) \leq C$  and  $w(r_{\tilde{E}})/w(r_{\tilde{E}} - s) \geq c$ ,

$$\int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r)}{w(|r-s|)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds = W(r) + \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}-r} \frac{w(r)}{w(r+s)w(s)} (r+s)^{n-1} ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}-r} \frac{w(r)}{w(r+s)w(s)} (r+s)^{n-1} ds \\ & \leq C \left[ r^{n-1} \int_0^{r_0} B(r_{\tilde{E}}-s, s) ds + \int_r^{r_{\tilde{E}}} B(r_{\tilde{E}}-s, s) s^{n-1} ds \right] \\ & \leq C \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} B(r_{\tilde{E}}-s, s) s^{n-1} ds = CW(r_{\tilde{E}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(34) \quad I \leq C \int_{E^+} \chi_G(r_1, \dots, r_n) W(r) dr_1 \dots dr_n + C|G|W(r_{\tilde{E}}).$$

Both terms on the right side of (34) are no larger than

$$C \int_{E^+} \chi_G^{++}(r) W(r) dr_1 \dots dr_n;$$

this is true for the first term by Lemma 11(ii) and (24) of Lemma 10, while for the second term we have, by Lemma 11(ii) again,

$$|G|W(r_{\tilde{E}}) \leq C \frac{|G|}{(r_{\tilde{E}})^n} \int_{\tilde{E}} W(r) dr_1 \dots dr_n \leq C \int_{E^+} \chi_G^{++}(r) W(r) dr_1 \dots dr_n.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E \times F} \chi_G^{++}(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\ & \geq \int_{(E^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) \times (E^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n)} \chi_G^{++}(|x|+|y|) B(|x|, |y|) dx dy \\ & \geq c \int_{E^+} \chi_G^{++}(r) W(r) dr_1 \dots dr_n, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $I$  dominated by the right side of (27).

Using the notations  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_x$  as in case 2 above, term  $II$  in (31) is seen to be at most

$$(35) \quad \left( \int_{\tilde{E} \times \tilde{E}^c} + \int_{\tilde{E}^c \times \tilde{E}} + \int_{\tilde{E}^c \times \tilde{E}^c} \right) \chi_{\mathcal{G}_x}(y) B(|x|, |y|) dx dy = II_1 + II_2 + II_3.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad II_1 &= \int_{\tilde{E}} \left\{ \int_{\tilde{E}^c} \chi_{\mathcal{G}_x}(y) B(|x|, |y|) dy \right\} dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{E^+} \left\{ \frac{|\mathcal{G}_x|}{|E|} \int_{E^+} B(|x|, |y|) dy \right\} dx \quad \text{by (21)} \\
 &\leq C \int_{E^+ \times E^+} \frac{|G|}{|E|} B(|x|, |y|) dx dy \quad \text{since } |\mathcal{G}_x| \leq 2^n |G|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(37) \quad II_2 \leq C \int_{E^+ \times E^+} \frac{|G|}{|E|} B(|x|, |y|) dx dy.$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad II_3 &\leq C |E| |G| B(r_{\tilde{E}}, r_{\tilde{E}}) \quad \text{by Lemma 11(i)} \\
 &\leq C \int_{E^+ \times E^+} \frac{|G|}{|E|} B(|x|, |y|) dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

But the common right side of (36), (37) and (38) is no bigger than

$$\int_{E^+ \times E^+} \chi_G^{++}(x+y) B(x, y) dx dy,$$

since  $\chi_G^{++}(2r_{E^+}) = C|G|/|E|$ , which is dominated, in turn, by the right side of (18).

Next, we show that when  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ , (28) and (13) are equivalent. Suppose (28) holds. Taking  $E = F = B_r(0)$  and  $G = B_{r+\delta}(0) - B_{r-\delta}(0)$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq r/2$ , in (28) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad \int_{|x|<r} \int_{|y|<r} \chi_G(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dy dx \\
 \leq C \int_{|x|<r} \int_{|y|<r} \chi_G^+(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dy dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the left side of (39) restrict attention to  $x$  and  $y$  in the first orthotant and make the substitution  $t_k = x_k + y_k$ ,  $s_k = x_k$ ,  $t = \sum_k t_k$ ,  $s = \sum_k s_k$  to get the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned}
C \int_0^{r-\delta} s^{n-1} \int_{r-\delta}^{r+\delta} B(s, t-s) t^{n-1} dt ds \\
\geq c \int_0^{r-\delta} s^{n-1} B(s, r+\delta-s) \int_{r-\delta}^{r+\delta} t^{n-1} dt ds \\
\geq c\delta r^{n-1} \int_0^{r-\delta} \frac{w(r+\delta)}{w(r+\delta-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the right side of (39), with  $\varepsilon^n = c\delta r^{n-1}$ , it is dominated by

$$C \int_{|x| \leq r} \int_{B_\varepsilon(-x)} \frac{w(\varepsilon)}{w(x)w(y)} dy dx < \infty,$$

since  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . We conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^n \int_0^{r/2} \frac{w(r+\delta)}{w(r+\delta-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \\
\leq Cw(\varepsilon) \int_0^r s^{n-1} \frac{ds}{w(s)} \int_{B_\varepsilon(-x)} \frac{dy}{w(y)} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $\varepsilon^n$  and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , we obtain (13).

Now suppose that  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  and that (13) holds. With a view to bounding  $I$  in (31) by the right side of (28) we claim that, given (13),

$$(40) \quad \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r)}{w(|r-s|)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq C \int_0^{r_{E^+}} s^{n-1} \frac{ds}{w(s)^2}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_{E^+}.$$

For  $r_{\tilde{E}} \leq r \leq r_{E^+}$ , the left side of (40) is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r_{\tilde{E}})}{w(r_{\tilde{E}}-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \quad \text{since } w \in \mathcal{M} \\
\leq C \int_0^{r_{E^+}} s^{n-1} \frac{ds}{w(s)^2} \quad \text{by (13)}.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $0 \leq r \leq r_{\tilde{E}}$ ,

$$\int_0^r \frac{w(r)}{w(r-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq C \int_0^{r_{E^+}} \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds$$



by (13), while, arguing as for (33),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r)}{w(s-r)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds &= \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}-r} \frac{w(r)}{w(r+s)w(s)} (r+s)^{n-1} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} \frac{w(r_{\tilde{E}})}{w(r_{\tilde{E}}-s)w(s)} s^{n-1} ds \leq C \int_0^{r_{E^+}} \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds, \end{aligned}$$

by (13). This proves (40), so we have

$$(41) \quad I \leq C \int_{E^+} \chi_G(r_1, \dots, r_n) dr_1 \dots dr_n \int_0^{r_{E^+}} \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds \leq C|G| \int_{E^+} \frac{dx}{w(x)^2}.$$

We now show (41) holds with  $I$  replaced by  $II$ . By symmetry,  $II_1$  in (35) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq C \int_{\tilde{E} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \left\{ \int_{(E^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n)^c} \chi_{G_x}(y) B(|x|, |y|) dy \right\} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\tilde{E} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} |G| B(|x|, r_{\tilde{E}} - |x|) dx = C|G| \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} B(r_{\tilde{E}} - s, s) s^{n-1} ds \\ &\leq C|G| \int_0^{r_{E^+}} \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds, \end{aligned}$$

by (13). The term  $II_2$  in (35) is dealt with similarly. Again,

$$\begin{aligned} II_3 &\leq C|E||G|B(r_{\tilde{E}}, r_{\tilde{E}}) \\ &\leq C|G| \int_0^{r_{\tilde{E}}} B(r_{\tilde{E}} - s, s) s^{n-1} ds \leq C|G| \int_0^{r_{E^+}} \frac{s^{n-1}}{w(s)^2} ds \end{aligned}$$

by (13). Since  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ ,

$$\frac{|G|}{w(x)^2} \leq C \int_{-G^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{w(-y)}{w(x-y)w(x)} dy,$$

whence, by (41) (for  $II$  as well as for  $I$ ), the left side of (28) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{-G^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{w(-y)}{w(x-y)w(x)} dy dx &\leq C \int_{E^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{(-G^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n) - x} \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dy dx \\ &\leq C \int_{E^+ \times E^+} \chi_{G^+}(x+y) \frac{w(x+y)}{w(x)w(y)} dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**4. Necessary conditions.** In this section we prove the necessity half of Theorems 1 and 2. In fact, we show that, given  $w \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $X(w)$  closed under convolution implies (11). But first we prove simpler necessary conditions which are valid in a wider context than that of Theorem 1 or 2.

LEMMA 12. *Suppose  $w$  is even on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $w(x) = w(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $X = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an r.i. space and  $X(w)$  is closed under convolution, then  $X(w) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or, equivalently,  $w^{-1} \in X'$ . Moreover, if  $C > 0$  is as in (8), then*

$$\|f\|_{L^1} \leq C\|f\|_{X(w)} \quad \text{for } f \in X(w).$$

PROOF. Fix  $f \in X(w)$  with  $\|f\|_{X(w)} = 1$  and define  $T : X(w) \rightarrow X(w)$  by  $(Tg)(x) = (|f| * g)(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By (8),  $T$  is bounded on  $X(w)$  with norm at most  $C$  and, by duality,  $T'$  is bounded on  $X(w)' = X'(w^{-1})$  with norm at most  $C$ . But, since  $w$  is even,  $T' = T$ , so, by Theorem 3 and Corollary 5,  $|f| * L^2 \subset L^2$  with norm at most  $C$  and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f\|^\wedge(\zeta)^2 |g(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|( |f| * \widehat{g} )(x)\|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{g}(x)|^2 dx = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in L^2$ . Thus  $\|f\|^\wedge(\zeta)$  is bounded by  $C$  and, in particular,

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| = |f|^\wedge(0) \leq C = C\|f\|_{X(w)}.$$

LEMMA 13. *Suppose  $w$  is radial, finite a.e. and satisfies*

$$(42) \quad B(r_1, s) \leq CB(r_2, s) \quad \text{for } s > 0, r_1 \geq r_2 > 0,$$

*yet fails to satisfy*

$$(43) \quad w(y) \leq Cw(z) \quad \text{for } 0 < y < z$$

*for the same constant  $C$ ; that is,*

$$(44) \quad w(y) > Cw(z) \quad \text{for some } 0 < y < z.$$

*Then  $(w^{-1})^+(x) = \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

PROOF.  $w$  radial and finite a.e. implies there exists  $M > 0$  and a set  $E \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : y \leq |x| \leq z\}$ ,  $|E| > 0$ , with  $w(x) \leq M$  for all  $x$  with  $|x| \in E$ . We will be done if we can show that for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$w(x) \leq Mr^k \quad \text{for } |x| \in E + k(z - y),$$

where  $r = Cw(z)/w(y) < 1$  by (44). But, for  $|x| \in E + k(z - y)$ , say  $|x| = u + k(z - y)$ ,  $u \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x) = w(|x|) &= w(u) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{w(u + (j+1)(z-y))}{w(u + j(z-y))} \\
&\leq M \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} C \frac{w(z)}{w(y)} \quad \text{by (42)} \\
&\leq Mr^k.
\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 14. *If  $w$  is radial and satisfies (42) and  $X(w)$  is closed under convolution, then (43) holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 12,  $w^{-1} \in X'$ , which means  $(w^{-1})^+(x) < \infty$  for all  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We suppose now that  $w$  satisfies (42),  $X = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an r.i. space and  $X(w)$  is closed under convolution (i.e. (8) holds) and prove that (11) holds. Begin by fixing  $r > 0$ . For  $g(x) = g(|x|) \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad &\int_{|x| < r} ((\chi_{B_r(0)} w^{-1}) * (g w^{-1}))(x) w(x) dx \\
&\leq \|(\chi_{B_r(0)} w^{-1}) * (g w^{-1})\|_{X(w)} \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_{X'} \\
&\leq C \|g w^{-1}\|_{X(w)} \|\chi_{B_r(0)} w^{-1}\|_{X(w)} \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_{X'} \quad \text{by (8)} \\
&\leq C \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_X \|\chi_{B_r(0)}\|_{X'} \|g\|_X \leq Cr^n \|g\|_X
\end{aligned}$$

by (15). Now, the left side of (45) is

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad &\int_{|x| < r} \int_{|y| < r} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} g(y) dy dx \\
&\geq cr^n \int_{|y| < r/2} g(y) \frac{1}{r^n - |y|^n} \int_{|y| \leq |x| \leq r} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} dx dy \\
&\geq cr^n \int_{\substack{|y| < r/2 \\ y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n}} g(y) \frac{1}{r^n - |y|^n} \int_{\substack{|y| \leq |x| \leq r \\ x-y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n}} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} dx dy \\
&\geq cr^n \int_{\substack{|y| < r/2 \\ y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n}} g(y) \frac{1}{r^n - |y|^n} \int_{|y|}^r B(s - |y|, |y|) s^{n-1} ds dy \\
&\geq cr^n \int_{\substack{|y| < r/2 \\ y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n}} g(y) B(r - |y|, |y|) dy \quad \text{since } w \in \mathcal{M} \\
&\geq cr^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \chi_{B_{r/2}(0)}(y) B(r - |y|, |y|) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (45) and (46) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{B_{r/2}(0)}(y) B(r - |y|, |y|) g(y) dy \leq C \|g\|_X,$$

which, by duality, implies

$$\|\chi_{B_{r/2}(0)}(y) B(r - |y|, |y|)\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C.$$

Thus, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have, by (43),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{B_{|x|/2}(0)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \\ \leq C \|\chi_{B_{|x|/2}(0)}(y) B(|x| - |y|, |y|)\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

From (43) and the rearrangement-invariance of  $X'$  we further obtain for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|z| = |x|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{B_{|x|/2}(z)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} &\leq \left\| \chi_{B_{|x|/2}(x)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \\ &\leq \left\| \chi_{B_{|x|/2}(0)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $B_{|x|}(0)$  is covered by  $B_{|x|/2}(0)$ , together with a finite number (independent of  $x$ ) of  $B_{|x|/2}(z)$ ,  $|z| = |x|$ , we conclude

$$(47) \quad \left\| \chi_{B_{|x|}(0)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C.$$

By (43) again,

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n - B_{|x|}(0)}(y) \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \\ \leq C \left\| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n - B_{|x|}(0)}(y) \frac{1}{w(x-y)} \right\|_{X'(dy)} \leq C \|w^{-1}\|_{X'} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 12, and, together, (47) and (48) yield (11).

**5. Examples.** Let

$$(49) \quad w(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & -3 < x < 3, \\ 9^k [3^k - (1 - 3^{-k})|x| - 2 \cdot 3^k], & 3^k < |x| < 3^{k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

We will prove that  $w$  satisfies (11) for all r.i. spaces  $X$ , yet  $L^{p,q}(w)$  is not an algebra when  $1 < p < q \leq \infty$ .

The assertion concerning (11) is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$  is the smallest r.i. space and

LEMMA 15. Let  $w$  be defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  by (49). Then

$$\left\| \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty(dy)} \leq C \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider  $x > 0$ , indeed  $x > 3$ . Let  $j$  and  $k$  be integers,  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , such that

$$3^k - 3^{j+1} < |x - 2 \cdot 3^k| < 3^k - 3^j + 1.$$

We show

$$(50) \quad \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq 324 \left( \frac{1}{w(x-y)} + \sum_{i=-1}^1 W_{k+i}(y) \right),$$

where

$$W_l(y) = \frac{1 + 9^l H(|y| - 3^l)}{w(y)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

( $H = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}$  being the Heaviside function) is readily seen to be in  $L^1 \cap L^\infty(dy)$  uniformly in  $l$ . Observe that  $w(x) \leq 4 \cdot 9^{k+j+1}$  and consider the following cases for  $y$ , assuming  $j \geq 1$ .

Case 1:  $|y - 2 \cdot 3^k| < 3^k - 3^{j-1}$ . Here,  $w(y) \geq 9^{k+j-1}$ , so  $w(x)/w(y) \leq 324$  and

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq \frac{324}{w(x-y)}.$$

Case 2:  $3^k - 3^{j-1} < |y - 2 \cdot 3^k| < 3^k + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$ . We have  $y > 3^{k-1}$  and

$$|x - y| \geq |y - 2 \cdot 3^k| - |x - 2 \cdot 3^k| > 3^j - 3^{j-1} - 1 \geq 3^{j-1},$$

so  $w(x-y) \geq 9^{j-1}$  and

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq \frac{4 \cdot 9^{k+j+1}}{9^{j-1}w(y)} \leq 324W_{k-1}(y).$$

Case 3:  $|y - 2 \cdot 3^k| > 3^k + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$ ,  $y > 0$ . Either  $0 < y < 3^{k-1} \leq x/2$  and we are done by symmetry, or  $y \geq 3^{k+1} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$ , which means  $y - x \geq 3^{k-1}$ ,  $w(x-y) \geq 9^{k-1}$  and

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq 4W_{k+1}(y).$$

Case 4:  $y < 0$ . If  $-3^{j-1} < y < 0$ , then  $3^k < x - y < 3^{k+1}$  and

$$|x - y - 2 \cdot 3^k| \leq |x - 2 \cdot 3^k| + |y| < 3^k - 3^j + 3^{j-1} + 1,$$

so  $w(x-y) \geq 9^{k+j-1}$ ,  $w(x)/w(x-y) \leq 324$ , whence

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq \frac{324}{w(y)}.$$

If  $y < -3^{j-1}$ , then  $w(y) \geq 9^{j-1}$ , and

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \leq 324W_k(y).$$

Finally, when  $j = 0$ , one of  $y$  and  $x - y$  is greater than  $3^{j-1}$ . Therefore, (50) holds then also.

To see that  $L^{pq}(w)$  is not an algebra when  $1 < p < q \leq \infty$ , let  $N$  be a large positive integer and set  $f = \sum_{k=1}^n 3^{-k} \chi_{E_k}$ , where  $E_k = \bigcup I_j$  and  $I_j = (3^j, 3^j + 3/2)$  for  $3^{kp+1} \leq j \leq 3^{(k+1)p}$ . We show that

$$\left\| w \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{f}{w} \right) \right\|_{L^{pq}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{pq}}^2$$

implies

$$N^{1/p+1/q} \leq CN^{2/q}$$

with  $C > 0$  independent of  $N$ , and hence that  $q \leq p$ .

Since  $\mu_f(t) \leq (3^{p+1}/2)t^{-p} \chi_{(3^{-N}, 3^{-1})}(t)$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{pq}}^2 \leq 3^{2(p+1)} N^{2/q}.$$

Next,  $(f*f)(x) \neq 0$  only when  $(f*f)(x) = \int_{I_j} f(x-y)f(y) dy$  and  $x \in I_j + I_{j'}$  for some  $j$  and  $j'$ ; moreover, for  $x \in I_j + I_{j'}$  and  $y \in I_j$ ,

$$\frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \geq \frac{1}{1000}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{f}{w} \right) (x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} f(x-y)f(y) dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1000} (f*f)(x) = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{k=1}^n 3^{-k} \int_{E_k} f(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, now, that  $3^{-N} < t \leq 3^{-1}$  and that the positive integer  $l$  satisfies  $3^{-l-1} < t \leq 3^{-l}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x : w(x) \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{f}{w} \right) (x) > \frac{t}{1000} \right\} \right| &\geq |\{x : (f*f)(x) > 3^{-l}\}| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^l \left| \left\{ x : \int_{E_k} f(x-y) dy > 3^{-(l-k)} \right\} \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^l 3^{kp} 3^{(l-k)p} = l 3^{lp} \geq \frac{1}{\log 3} \frac{\log \frac{1}{3t}}{(3t)^p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left\| w \left( \frac{f}{w} * \frac{f}{w} \right) \right\|_{L^{pq}} \geq CN^{1/p+1/q} > 0,$$

$c > 0$  independent of  $N$ , and so we are done.

In the case  $p > q$  we are unable to construct a weight  $w$  satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{w(x)}{w(x-y)w(y)} \right\|_{L^{p'q'}(dy)} \leq C, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$((L^{pq})' = L^{p'q'})$  for which  $L^{pq}(w)$ ,  $p > q$ , is not an algebra, though we believe such a  $w$  exists. In any event, we can show Nikol'skiĭ's proof will not work in this case, since (9) does not hold for  $X = L^{pq}$  when  $p > q$ . (Of course, what we just proved implies (9) does not hold for  $L^{pq}$  when  $q > p$ .)

Indeed, as we now prove, (9) with  $X = L^{pq}$  implies  $p \leq q$ . For, take  $f = g = \chi_{E_N}$ , where  $E_N = \bigcup_{k=1}^N I_k$ , with  $I_k = [4^k, 4^k + 1/k]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . Then,

$$|E_N| = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k} \leq C \log N,$$

whence

$$\|\chi_{E_N}\|_{L^{pq}}^2 \leq C|E_N|^{2/p} \leq C(\log N)^{2/p}.$$

We claim

$$(51) \quad \|\|\chi_{E_N}(x-y)\chi_{E_N}(y)\|_{L^{pq}(dy)}\|_{L^{pq}(dx)} \geq c(\log N)^{1/p+1/q},$$

so that (9) entails  $(\log N)^{1/p+1/q} \leq C(\log N)^{2/p}$  and so  $p \leq q$ . Observe that the left side of (51) equals

$$\|(\chi_{E_N} * \chi_{E_N})^{1/p}\|_{L^{pq}} \geq C \left\{ \int_{N^{-2/p}}^{N^{-1/p}} |\{\chi_{E_N} * \chi_{E_N} > 2t^p\}|^{q/p} t^{q-1} dt \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Now,

$$\chi_{E_N} * \chi_{E_N} \geq 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=j}^N \frac{1}{k} \chi_{I_{j,k}},$$

where the  $I_{j,k} = [4^j + 4^k + 1/k, 4^j + 4^k + 1/j]$  are pairwise disjoint. So, when  $N^{-2/p} < t < N^{-1/p}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{\chi_{E_N} * \chi_{E_N} > 2t^p\}| &\geq \sum_{j=1}^T \sum_{k=j}^T \left( \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{k} \right), \quad T = \left[ \frac{1}{t^p} \right], \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{[T/3]} \sum_{k=2j}^T \frac{1}{j} \geq \frac{1}{2} (T - 2[T/3]) \sum_{j=1}^{[T/3]} \frac{1}{j} \geq c \frac{\log N}{t^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\chi_{E_N} * \chi_{E_N})^{1/p}\|_{L^{pq}} &\geq c \left\{ \int_{N^{-2/p}}^{N^{-1/p}} \left( \frac{\log N}{t^p} \right)^{q/p} t^{q-1} dt \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\geq c(\log N)^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{N^{-2/p}}^{N^{-1/p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/q} \geq c(\log N)^{1/p+1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank M. Cwikel and N. Kalton for pointing out that Theorem 1 in [6] would lead to a simplified proof of Lozanovskii's result (Theorem 4).

### References

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, 1988.
- [2] A. Beurling, *Sur les intégrales de Fourier absolument convergentes et leur application à une transformation fonctionnelle*, Neuvième Congrès Math. Scand., Helsingfors, 1938, 345–366.
- [3] D. Boyd, *The Hilbert transform on rearrangement-invariant spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), 599–616.
- [4] A. P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
- [5] M. Cwikel and P. Nilsson, *Interpolation of weighted Banach lattices*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [6] T. A. Gillespie, *Factorization in Banach function spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 84 (1981), 287–300.
- [7] S. Grabiner, *Weighted convolution algebras on the half line*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83 (1981), 531–583.
- [8] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, II*, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [9] R. Howard and A. Schep, *Norms of positive operators on  $L^p$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), 135–146.
- [10] E. Kerlin and A. Lambert, *Strictly cyclic shifts on  $l_p$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 35 (1973), 87–94.
- [11] G. Ya. Lozanovskii, *On some Banach lattices*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 10 (1969), 419–431 (in Russian).
- [12] N. K. Nikol'skii, *Invariant subspaces of the shift operator in some sequence spaces*, Candidate's Dissertation, Leningrad, 1966 (in Russian).
- [13] F. Riesz, *Sur une inégalité intégrale*, J. London Math. Soc. 5 (1930), 162–168.
- [14] S. L. Sobolev, *On a theorem of functional analysis*, Mat. Sb. 46 (1938), 471–497 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BROCK UNIVERSITY  
ST. CATHARINES, ONTARIO  
CANADA L2S 3A1

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
McMASTER UNIVERSITY  
HAMILTON, ONTARIO  
CANADA L8S 4K1

Received January 7, 1992  
Revised version May 24, 1993

(2885)