

V. MANDREKAR and P. RICHARD, Factorization through Hilbert space and the dilation of $L(X, Y)$ -valued measures 101-113
 F. WEISZ, An application of two-parameter martingales in harmonic analysis . . . 115-126
 K. B. LAURSEN and M. MBEKHTA, Closed range multipliers and generalized inverses 127-135
 E. PUSTYLNİK, Estimation of the position of intermediate spaces for a Banach couple 137-155
 R. W. BRAUN, A partial differential operator which is surjective on Gevrey classes $\Gamma^d(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $1 \leq d < 2$ and $d \geq 6$ but not for $2 \leq d < 6$ 157-169
 Z. CIESIELSKI, G. KERKYACHARIAN et B. ROYNETTE, Quelques espaces fonctionnels associés à des processus gaussiens 171-204
 H. ŻOŁĄDEK, On first integrals for polynomial differential equations on the line 205-211

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

Executive Editors: Z. Ciesielski, A. Pełczyński, W. Żelazko

The journal publishes original papers in English, French, German and Russian, mainly in functional analysis, abstract methods of mathematical analysis and probability theory. Usually 3 issues constitute a volume.

Detailed information for authors is given on the inside back cover. Manuscripts and correspondence concerning editorial work should be addressed to

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

Śniadeckich 8, P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland, fax 48-22-293997

Correspondence concerning subscription, exchange and back numbers should be addressed to

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 Publications Department

Śniadeckich 8, P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland, fax 48-22-293997

© Copyright by Instytut Matematyczny PAN, Warszawa 1993

Published by the Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences

Typeset in \TeX at the Institute

Printed and bound by

Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN
 Instytut Matematyczny PAN

02-240 WARSZAWA, ul. Jakobinów 23

PRINTED IN POLAND

ISSN 0039-3223

Factorization through Hilbert space and the dilation of $L(X, Y)$ -valued measures

by

V. MANDREKAR (East Lansing, Mich.) and P. RICHARD (Flint, Mich.)

Abstract. We present a general necessary and sufficient algebraic condition for the spectral dilation of a finitely additive $L(X, Y)$ -valued measure of finite semivariation when X and Y are Banach spaces. Using our condition we derive the main results of Rosenberg, Makagon and Salehi, and Mianee without the assumption that X and/or Y are Hilbert spaces. In addition we relate the dilation problem to the problem of factoring a family of operators through a single Hilbert space.

0. Introduction. Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and T be an $L(X, Y)$ -valued (f.a.) measure on an algebra Σ of subsets of a space Ω . Following the original work of Masani [4] on c.a.o.s. dilation ($X = \mathbb{C}$ and Y a Hilbert space), the problem of the spectral dilation of T was introduced by Rosenberg [9] and solved under the condition of 2-majorizability for the case in which X is finite-dimensional and Y is any Hilbert space. This was extended to X, Y Hilbert spaces in [3]. An alternate general condition was proposed by Makagon and Salehi [3] and several examples were derived. In [5], the work was extended to the case when X is a Banach space and Y is a Hilbert space. In Richard [8], the general problem for X, Y Banach spaces was solved using 2-majorizability and was related to the work of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński [2] on Hilbertian operators in Banach spaces.

In our work, following recent ideas of Pisier [6], we establish Lemma 1 which is exploited to derive Corollary 1 from which the main results of [3], [5] and [9] are easily derived. It should be noted that the proof in [3] reduces their condition to 2-majorizability and fails in case Y is a Banach space. In addition to obtaining a general condition for dilation which includes ([3], [5], [9]), we are able to relate the dilation problem to the problem of factoring a family of operators through a single Hilbert space. It should be noted that

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 47A66; Secondary 60B11, 28B05.

Key words and phrases: spectral dilation of operator-valued measure, Hilbertian operators, factorization.

Research of the first author supported by ONR N00014-85-K-0150.

our solution is algebraic and free from any topological considerations as in [3] and [5]. In addition, the sufficient conditions of [3] and [5] are not satisfied by spectral measures. Some simple consequences of Corollary 1 also include a generalization of the main result of [4] on c.a.o.s. measures.

Throughout this work Ω is an arbitrary set, Σ is an algebra of subsets of Ω , X and Y are (complex) Banach spaces, and $L(X, Y)$ is the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y with the usual operator norm. As usual, we write $L(X) = L(X, X)$, $X^* = L(X, \mathbb{C})$ where \mathbb{C} is the complex plane, \mathbb{R}^n is n -dimensional Euclidean space, and \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers.

A set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is *finitely additive* (f.a.) provided $T(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) = T(\Delta_1) + T(\Delta_2)$ for all disjoint Δ_1, Δ_2 in Σ and has *finite semivariation* provided $\|T\|_{sv} = \sup\{\|\sum_{k=1}^n t_k T(\Delta_k)\|\}$ is finite, where the supremum is taken over all finite Σ -partitions (Δ_k) of Ω and scalars (t_k) with $|t_k| \leq 1$.

1. Spectral dilation of operator-valued set functions. In [8], a connection was established between the 2-majorizability of $L(X, Y)$ -valued measures and a result of Lindenstrauss–Pełczyński ([7], Theorem 2.4) concerning Hilbertian operators which gives an explicit form of the factoring Hilbert space. The technique used in [8] was an equivalent form of the relation $(y_j) < (x_i)$ in ([7], page 22). For this the following definition of the spectral dilation of an $L(X, Y)$ -valued measure was introduced in [8].

DEFINITION 1. A set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is said to have a *finitely additive* (f.a.) *spectral dilation* if there exists a Hilbert space H , a f.a. spectral measure E on (Ω, Σ) in H , and bounded linear operators $V_1 : X \rightarrow H$ and $V_2 : H \rightarrow Y$ such that for each Δ in Σ , $T(\Delta) = V_2 E(\Delta) V_1$.

Recent techniques introduced by Pisier [6] and our Lemma 1 motivate the following definition which is a generalization of the condition for factorization of an operator given by Lindenstrauss–Pełczyński.

DEFINITION 2. A f.a. set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ of finite semivariation has *Property (L–P)* provided there is some constant C such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, disjoint $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in Σ , x_1, \dots, x_n in X and complex matrices $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$, $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} \beta_j \right|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_j|^2, \quad \forall (\beta_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we get, in our special case, a strengthened form of the relation $(z_i) < (x_i)$ introduced by Pisier [6]. This form allows us to produce matrices $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$ which, uniformly in k , satisfy the relation $(y_j) < (x_i)$ in [7]. Stated simply, our main result is as follows:

THEOREM 1. *If a set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ has Property (L–P) then T has a finitely additive spectral dilation.*

We use the following notation. Let μ be a c.a. measure on (Ω, Σ) with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ and $L^2(\mu)$ denote the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on Ω (up to μ -equivalence) with the usual inner product. We let S be the collection of (complex-valued) Σ -simple functions on Ω with the supremum norm and define $L_T : S \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ by

$$L_T(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k T(\Delta_k), \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k 1_{\Delta_k} \in S.$$

We let $S \otimes X$ be the algebraic tensor product of S and X and denote by $\widehat{L}_T : S \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ the linear map defined by

$$\widehat{L}_T(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_T(f_i) x_i \quad \text{for } z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes x_i \in S \otimes X.$$

Let $I = L(X, L^2(\mu))$. For $\xi \in I$ and $z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes x_i \in S \otimes X$ we write

$$\xi \cdot z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \xi(x_i).$$

Finally, for $z_1, \dots, z_n \in S \otimes X$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we write $(z_i) < (x_i)$ provided for every $\xi \in I$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi \cdot z_i\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2.$$

LEMMA 1. *If $(z_i) < (x_i)$ then there exist disjoint $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in Σ and $n \times n$ matrices $(a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)})$ satisfying (1) such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} 1_{\Delta_k} \right) \otimes x_j.$$

Proof. Suppose $(z_i) < (x_i)$. Then there are functions f_{ij} in S such that for each i , $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} \otimes x_j$ (see [6], Remark 2.3).

Let $f_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} 1_{\Delta_k} \in S$. Fix k' such that $\mu(\Delta_{k'}) > 0$ and $x^* \in X^*$.

Consider $\xi = 1_{\Delta_{k'}} \otimes x^* \in I$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot z_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} 1_{\Delta_k} \right) 1_{\Delta_{k'}} x^*(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k')} 1_{\Delta_{k'}} x^*(x_j) = 1_{\Delta_{k'}} x^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k')} x_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

So since $(z_i) < (x_i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi \cdot z_i\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\Delta_{k'}) \left| x^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k')} x_j \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\Delta_{k'}) |x^*(x_i)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(\Delta_{k'}) > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| x^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k')} x_j \right) \right|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^2 \quad \text{for every } x^* \text{ in } X^*,$$

which by ([7], Proposition 1) implies the matrices $(a_{ij}^{(k')})$ satisfy (1). ■

We now come to the proof of Theorem 1 which is an adaptation of that of Theorem 1.1 of [6] by using Property (L-P).

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ has Property (L-P). If $z_1, \dots, z_n \in S \otimes X$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ with $(z_i) < (x_i)$ then by Lemma 1, $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} 1_{\Delta_k} \right) \otimes x_j$ where the matrices $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$ satisfy (1) so since T has Property (L-P),

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|\widehat{L}_T(z_i)\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n L_T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} 1_{\Delta_k} \right) x_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall $I = L(X, L^2(\mu))$. Let Λ be the space of all functions $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for some finite sequence x_1, \dots, x_n in X ,

$$|\varphi(\xi)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \text{ in } I,$$

and let Λ_+ be the set of all positive functions in Λ .

For φ in Λ define $p(\varphi) = \inf\{C \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2\}$ where the infimum is taken

over all finite sets (x_i) in X such that

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \text{ in } I.$$

For φ in Λ_+ define $q(\varphi) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n \|\widehat{L}_T(z_i)\|^2\}$ where the supremum is taken over all finite sets (z_i) in $S \otimes X$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi \cdot z_i\|_2^2 \leq \varphi(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \text{ in } I.$$

Then p is subadditive on Λ_+ and both are positive homogeneous. Also by (2), $q(\varphi) \leq p(\varphi)$ for all φ in Λ_+ . By the Hahn-Banach Theorem ([6], Theorem 0.3) there is a linear form $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3) \quad q(\varphi) \leq f(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \text{ in } \Lambda_+, \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad f(\varphi) \leq p(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \text{ in } \Lambda.$$

Let $\Lambda + i\Lambda$ be the complexification of Λ ; extend f linearly to a linear form on $\Lambda + i\Lambda$ and continue to call this extension f .

Next define the space H of all functions $g : I \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ such that the function $\xi \rightarrow \|g(\xi)\|_2^2$ is in Λ . If g, g' are in H , the function $\xi \rightarrow \langle g(\xi), g'(\xi) \rangle_2$ is in $\Lambda + i\Lambda$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g(\xi), g'(\xi) \rangle_2| &\leq \|g(\xi)\|_2 \|g'(\xi)\|_2 \leq \left(\sum \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum \|\xi(y_i)\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 + \sum \|\xi(y_i)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some finite sets (x_i) and (y_i) in X .

An inner product can thus be defined on H by $\langle g, g' \rangle = f(\langle g(\cdot), g'(\cdot) \rangle_2)$. After passing to the quotient of the kernel of the associated seminorms we obtain a Hilbert space \widehat{H} .

For x in X let $\widehat{x} : I \rightarrow \widehat{H}$ be defined by $\widehat{x}(\xi) = \xi(x)$. Consider $\varphi(\xi) = \langle \widehat{x}(\xi), \widehat{x}(\xi) \rangle \in \Lambda$. Since $\varphi(\xi) = \|\xi(x)\|_2^2$ for all ξ in I , $p(\varphi) \leq C\|x\|^2$. Also, by definition, $\langle \widehat{x}, \widehat{x} \rangle = f(\langle \widehat{x}(\cdot), \widehat{x}(\cdot) \rangle)$, giving $\langle \widehat{x}, \widehat{x} \rangle = f(\varphi) \leq p(\varphi) \leq C\|x\|^2$. There is, therefore, a linear operator $V_1 : X \rightarrow \widehat{H}$ with $\|V_1\| \leq C^{1/2}$ such that $V_1 x$ is the equivalence class of \widehat{x} in \widehat{H} .

For h_1, \dots, h_n in S and x_1, \dots, x_n in X consider for $\xi \in I$,

$$\varphi(\xi) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i(\xi) \right\|^2.$$

If $z = \sum h_i \otimes x_i$ then $\|\xi \cdot z\|_2^2 = \varphi(\xi)$ so by (3),

$$\|\widehat{L}_T(z)\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_T(h_i) x_i \right\|^2 \leq q(\varphi) \leq f(\varphi).$$

Moreover, $\varphi \geq 0$ and for ξ in I , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\xi) &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \xi(x_i) \right\|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|h_i\| \|\xi(x_i)\|_2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|h_i\|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2 \right) \equiv \varrho_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(x_i)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y_i = \varrho_n x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\xi \in I$, we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, since

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi(y_i)\|_2^2.$$

The operator $\Pi : L(L^2(\mu)) \rightarrow L(\widehat{H})$ defined by $\Pi(h)g(\xi) = hg(\xi)$ is a representation (up to equivalence classes).

For h_1, \dots, h_n in S and x_1, \dots, x_n in X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(h_i) V_1 x_i \right\|_H &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(h_i) \widehat{x}_i \right\|_H = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i \right\|_H \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i \right\rangle \\ &= f \left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i(\cdot), \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \widehat{x}_i(\cdot) \right\rangle \right) \\ &\equiv f(\varphi) \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_T(h_i) x_i \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have identified S as a subspace of $L(L^2(\mu))$. Thus one can define a linear map $V_2 : \overline{\text{span}}(\Pi(S)V_1X) \rightarrow Y$ with $\|V_2\| \leq 1$ such that $V_2(\sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(h_i)V_1x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_T(h_i)x_i$. Extend this operator V_2 to a continuous linear operator on \widehat{H} to Y with $\|V_2\| \leq 1$ and continue to call this extension V_2 .

For $\Delta \in \mathfrak{S}$ consider $E(\Delta) = \Pi(1_\Delta)$. Then $E : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow L(\widehat{H})$ and since Π is a representation,

$$\begin{aligned} [E(\Delta)]^2 &= [\Pi(1_\Delta)]^2 = \Pi(1_\Delta^2) = \Pi(1_\Delta) = E(\Delta), \\ [E(\Delta)]^* &= [\Pi(1_\Delta)]^* = \Pi(\overline{1_\Delta}) = E(\Delta), \\ E(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) &= \Pi(1_{\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2}) = \Pi(1_{\Delta_1} 1_{\Delta_2}) = \Pi(1_{\Delta_1}) \Pi(1_{\Delta_2}) \\ &= E(\Delta_1) E(\Delta_2), \end{aligned}$$

and $E(\Omega) = I$ so E is a spectral measure in \widehat{H} . Moreover, $V_2 E(\Delta) V_1 x = V_2 \Pi(1_\Delta) V_1 x = L_T(1_\Delta) x = T(\Delta) x$ for x in X , which completes the proof. ■

The following corollary is an analogue of ([7], Corollary 2.5).

COROLLARY 1. Let $T : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be finitely additive of finite semi-variation. The following are equivalent:

- (a) T has a finitely additive spectral dilation.
- (b) For all n and for all n -tuples of $n \times n$ unitary matrices $((a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)}))$ we have for all x_1, \dots, x_n in X and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ disjoint in \mathfrak{S} that there is a constant C with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2.$$

Proof. Suppose (a) holds. Let $T(\Delta) = V_2 E(\Delta) V_1$ where E is a spectral measure in some Hilbert space H . For $(a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)})$ unitary, x_1, \dots, x_n in X and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ disjoint in \mathfrak{S} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \left\| \sum_j \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|_Y^2 &= \sum_i \left\| \sum_j \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} V_2 E(\Delta_k) V_1 x_j \right\|_Y^2 \\ &\leq \|V_2\|^2 \sum_i \sum_j \sum_{j'} \sum_{k'} a_{ij}^{(k)} \overline{a_{ij'}^{(k')}} \langle E(\Delta_{k'}) E(\Delta_k) V_1 x_j, V_1 x_{j'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ are disjoint this equals

$$\|V_2\|^2 \sum_k \sum_j \sum_{j'} \left(\sum_i a_{ij}^{(k)} \overline{a_{ij'}^{(k)}} \right) \langle E(\Delta_k) V_1 x_j, V_1 x_{j'} \rangle.$$

Using this and the fact that for each k , $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$ is unitary we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \left\| \sum_j \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|_Y^2 &\leq \|V_2\|^2 \sum_k \sum_j \langle E(\Delta_k) V_1 x_j, V_1 x_j \rangle \\ &= \|V_2\|^2 \sum_j \left\langle E \left(\bigcup_k \Delta_k \right) V_1 x_j, V_1 x_j \right\rangle \\ &\leq \|V_2\|^2 \|V_1\|^2 \sum_j \|x_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose (b) holds. By Theorem 1 it suffices to show that T has Property (L-P). Fix x_1, \dots, x_n in X and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ disjoint in \mathfrak{S} and consider $\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2$ where the matrices $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, each satisfy (1). Let B_n be the collection of all n -tuples of $n \times n$ matrices satisfying (1). Then B_n is convex and the extreme points of B_n consist of the set of all n -tuples of $n \times n$ unitary matrices. Thus for these fixed x_1, \dots, x_n and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$, the supremum of $\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2$ over B_n is attained for an n -tuple of unitary matrices $((a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)}))$. It follows that (b) implies T has Property (L-P). ■

2. Some special cases. In this section we derive the extensions of the main results in [3], [5], [8] and [9] concerning the spectral dilation for $L(X, Y)$ -valued measures. We use the following notation. For a Banach space X , we let \bar{X}^* denote the set of all continuous conjugate linear functionals on X . For x^* in \bar{X}^* or x^* in X^* we let $\langle x^*, x \rangle$ denote the evaluation of the functional x^* at the point x in X . By a *positive operator* F in $L(X, \bar{X}^*)$ we mean an operator satisfying $\langle Fx_1, x_2 \rangle = \overline{\langle Fx_2, x_1 \rangle}$ and $\langle Fx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all x, x_1, x_2 in X . The collection of all positive operators in $L(X, \bar{X}^*)$ will be denoted by $L^+(X, \bar{X}^*)$. Additionally, for Banach spaces X, Y and $S \in L(X, Y)$ we define the conjugate adjoint $\bar{S}^* \in L(\bar{Y}^*, \bar{X}^*)$ of S by $\bar{S}^*(y) = y^* \circ S, y^* \in Y^*$.

DEFINITION 3. A f.a. set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is said to have a *finitely additive (f.a.) two-majorant* F if $F : \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(X, \bar{X}^*)$ is finitely additive and there is a constant C such that for each positive integer n, x_1, \dots, x_n in X and disjoint $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in Σ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T(\Delta_j)x_j \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \langle F(\Delta_j)x_j, x_j \rangle.$$

PROPOSITION 1. A f.a. set function $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ has a f.a. spectral dilation if and only if T has a f.a. 2-majorant $F : \Sigma \rightarrow L^+(X, \bar{X}^*)$.

Proof. Taking $F(\Delta) = \bar{V}_1^* E(\Delta) V_1$, the necessity is obvious. To prove the sufficiency we use Corollary 1. Let n be a positive integer, x_1, \dots, x_n be in $X, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ be disjoint in Σ and $((a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)}))$ be an n -tuple of $n \times n$ unitary matrices. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k)x_j \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Delta_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle F(\Delta_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right), \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right\rangle \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

This equals $\sum_k \sum_j \sum_{j'} \langle \sum_i a_{ij}^{(k)} \overline{a_{ij'}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle F(\Delta_k)x_j, x_{j'} \rangle$, which since each $(a_{ij}^{(k)})$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, is unitary equals

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle F(\Delta_k)x_j, x_j \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle F \left(\bigcup_k \Delta_k \right) x_j, x_j \right\rangle \leq \|F\|_{sv} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2$$

where $\|F\|_{sv}$ is the semivariation of F . ■

PROPOSITION 2. Let $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be finitely additive. The following are equivalent.

(a) There exists a constant C such that for any $x_1^l, x_2^l, \dots, x_n^l$ in $X, l = 1, \dots, N$, and for any disjoint $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in Σ ,

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n T(\Delta_i)x_i^l \right\|^2 \leq C \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^n \langle F(\Delta_i)x_i^l, x_i^l \rangle \right\| \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all f.a. $L(X, \bar{X}^*)$ -valued set functions with $\|F\|_{sv} \leq 1$.

(b) T has a f.a. spectral dilation.

Proof. Implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is obvious from the necessity in Proposition 1. We use Corollary 1 to show (a) implies (b). Let x_1, \dots, x_n be in $X, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ be disjoint in Σ and $((a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)}))$ be an n -tuple of unitary matrices. Then since each $(a_{ij}^{(k)}), k = 1, \dots, n$, is unitary we have, with the supremum taken as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k)x_j \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Delta_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq C \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle F(\Delta_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right), \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right\rangle \right\| \right\} \\ &= C \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} \overline{a_{ij'}}^{(k)} \langle F(\Delta_k)x_j, x_{j'} \rangle \right\| \right\} \\ &= C \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle F(\Delta_k)x_j, x_j \rangle \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq C \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle F \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta_k \right) x_j, x_j \right\rangle \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq C \sup \left\{ \|F\|_{sv} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2 \right\} = C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

This gives the main result of [5], which includes that of [3]. We now give some sufficient conditions by verifying Property (L-P) which depend on the geometry of X and/or Y . For this we need the definition of type 2 and cotype 2 spaces.

Let $D = \{-1, +1\}^\infty$ and μ be the infinite product of $\frac{1}{2}[\delta_{-1} + \delta_{+1}]$ on D where δ_t is the Dirac measure. We denote by $\varepsilon_n : D \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ the n th coordinate of D . A Banach space X is of *type 2* if there is a constant C such that for any finite sequence x_1, \dots, x_n in $X, \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2$. A Banach space Y is of *cotype 2* if for any sequence y_1, y_2, \dots in $Y, \sum \varepsilon_i y_i$ converging μ -almost everywhere implies $\sum \|y_i\|^2 < \infty$.

An operator $U : X \rightarrow Y$ is *2-summing* if there is a constant C such that for any finite sequence x_1, \dots, x_n in X we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \|Ux_i\|^2 \leq C \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi(x_i)|^2 : \varphi \in X^*, \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}$.

We next establish an extension of the main result of [1].

LEMMA 2. *Let Y be a Banach space of cotype 2 and T be a f.a. Y -valued set function of finite semivariation on (Ω, Σ) . Then there exists a Hilbert space H , a f.a. spectral measure E in H , a bounded linear operator $V : H \rightarrow Y$ and a unique x_0 in H such that for each Δ in Σ , $T(\Delta) = VE(\Delta)x_0$. In addition, $\|V\| \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let K be the Stone space associated with (Ω, Σ) , $C(K)$ be the space of continuous functions on the compact space K equipped with the supremum norm, and $B(\Sigma)$ be the uniform closure of the set of all complex-valued Σ -simple functions on Ω . Then ([1]) there is an isometric isomorphism $\tau : C(K) \rightarrow B(\Sigma)$. Define $L_T : B(\Sigma) \rightarrow Y$ to be the continuous linear extension of the operator

$$L_T(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Delta_k)\alpha_k, \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k}\alpha_k, \\ \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n \text{ in } \Sigma, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \text{ in } \mathbb{C}.$$

Since Y is of cotype 2, $L_T \circ \tau : C(K) \rightarrow Y$ is 2-summing ([7], p. 62). By the Pietsch Factorization Theorem, there is a probability measure λ' on K and a constant C such that

$$\|L_T(\tau f)\|^2 \leq C \int_K |\tau f(k)|^2 \lambda'(dk) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K).$$

Since τ , being an isomorphism between the complex algebras $C(K)$ and $B(\Sigma)$, satisfies $|\tau^{-1}g|^2 = \tau^{-1}|g|^2$ for all g in $B(\Sigma)$, it follows that

$$\|\Phi_T(g)\|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} |g(\omega)|^2 \lambda(d\omega)$$

where $\lambda(A) = \int_K (\tau^{-1} \circ 1_A)(k) \lambda'(dk)$ is finitely additive and positive. Taking $g = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k}\alpha_k$ in $B(\Sigma)$ yields

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Delta_k)\alpha_k \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|^2 \lambda(\Delta_k)$$

so λ is a finitely additive 2-majorant of T . Here we regard T as being $L(\mathbb{C}, Y)$ -valued. By Proposition 1 there is a Hilbert space H , a spectral measure E in H and bounded linear operators $V_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$ and $V_2 : H \rightarrow Y$ such that for Δ in Σ ,

$$T(\Delta) = V_2 E(\Delta) V_1 = V_2 E(\Delta) x_0$$

for some unique x_0 in H . Taking $V = V_2$ completes the proof since the fact that $\|V\| \leq 1$ follows from the proof of Theorem 1. ■

For a Banach space X and an algebra Σ of subsets of a set Ω we denote by $M(X)$ the space of all finitely additive set functions on (Ω, Σ) of bounded semivariation and by $S(X)$ the space of all X -valued Σ -simple functions on Ω . For f in $S(X)$ of the form $f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k} x_k$, $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ in Σ , x_1, \dots, x_n in X , we let

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle m(\Delta_k), x_k \rangle \right| : m \in M(X^*), \|m\|_{sv} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then $(S(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a normed linear space. Moreover, the map $T_m : (M(X^*), \|\cdot\|_{sv}) \rightarrow (S(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^*$ defined by

$$T_m(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle m(\Delta_k), x_k \rangle, \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k} x_k,$$

is an antilinear isometry ([3], Lemma 2). We denote the closure of $S(X)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ simply by $(\overline{S(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$.

For any $f = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k} x_k \in S(X)$ and $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$, f.a. and of finite semivariation, we define $\Phi_T \in L((\overline{S(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}), Y)$ by $\Phi_T(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Delta_k)x_k$ and refer to Φ_T as the operator associated with T . We extend Φ_T linearly without increasing norm to $(\overline{S(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and continue to call this extension Φ_T .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let X be a Banach space of type 2. If $\Phi_T : (\overline{S(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow Y$ is 2-summing then $T : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ has a f.a. spectral dilation.*

Proof. Let $((a_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{ij}^{(n)}))$ be an n -tuple of unitary matrices, x_1, \dots, x_n be in X and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ be disjoint in Σ . Suppose $\Phi_T : (\overline{S(X)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow Y$ is 2-summing. Then letting

$$f_i = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right) \in S(X)$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \Phi_T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 1_{\Delta_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right) \right) \right\|^2 \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Phi_T(f_i)\|^2 \leq C \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi(f_i)|^2 : \varphi \in (S(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^*, \|\varphi\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ = C \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle m(\Delta_k), a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \rangle \right|^2 : m \in M(X^*), \|m\|_{sv} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Fix $m \in M(X^*)$, with $\|m\|_{sv} \leq 1$. Since X is of type 2, X^* is of cotype 2 so by Lemma 2, there is a Hilbert space H , a finitely additive spectral measure E in H , a bounded operator $V_m : H \rightarrow Y$ and a unique x_0 in H such that $m(\Delta) = V_m E(\Delta) x_0$ with $\|V_m\| \leq 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle m(\Delta_k), a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \rangle \right|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle V_m E(\Delta_k) x_0, a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_0\|^2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n E(\Delta_k) \bar{V}_m^* a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \|x_0\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^n \langle V_m E(\Delta_{k'}) E(\Delta_k) \bar{V}_m^* a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j, a_{ij'}^{(k')} x_{j'} \rangle \\ &= \|x_0\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle V_m E(\Delta_k) \bar{V}_m^* a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j, a_{ij'}^{(k)} x_{j'} \rangle \\ &= \|x_0\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} \overline{a_{ij'}^{(k)}} \langle V_m E(\Delta_k) \bar{V}_m^* x_j, x_{j'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over i yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^{(k)} T(\Delta_k) x_j \right\|^2 &\leq C \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle m(\Delta_k), a_{ij}^{(k)} x_j \rangle \right|^2 : m \in M(X^*), \|m\|_{sv} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq C \|x_0\|^2 \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \langle V_m E(\Delta) \bar{V}_m^* x_j, x_j \rangle : \|m\|_{sv} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq C \|x_0\|^2 \|V_m\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which by Corollary 1 completes the proof as $\|V_m\|^2 \leq 1$ for all m . ■

References

- [1] S. D. Chatterji, *Orthogonally scattered dilation of Hilbert space valued set functions*, in: Measure Theory, Oberwolfach 1981, D. Kölzow and D. Maharam-Stone (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 945, Springer, New York, 1982, 269–281.
- [2] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. 29 (1968), 275–326.



- [3] A. Makagon and H. Salehi, *Spectral dilation of operator-valued measures and its application to infinite-dimensional harmonizable processes*, *ibid.* 85 (1987), 257–297.
- [4] P. Masani, *Quasi-isometric measures and their applications*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1970), 427–528.
- [5] A. G. Miamee, *Spectral dilation of $L(B, H)$ -valued measures and its application to stationary dilation for Banach space valued processes*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 38 (1989), 841–860.
- [6] G. Pisier, *Completely bounded maps between sets of Banach space operators*, *ibid.* 39 (1990), 249–277.
- [7] —, *Factorization of Linear Operators and Geometry of Banach Spaces*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.
- [8] P. Richard, *Harmonizability, V -boundedness, and stationary dilation of Banach-valued processes*, in: Probability in Banach Spaces, 8, Proc. Eighth Internat. Conf., R. Dudley, M. Hahn and J. Kuelbs (eds.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992, 189–205.
- [9] M. Rosenberg, *Quasi-isometric dilations of operator-valued measures and Grothendieck's inequality*, *Pacific J. Math.* 103 (1982), 135–161.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
AND PROBABILITY
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824
U.S.A.

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE
AND MATHEMATICS
GMI ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE
FLINT, MICHIGAN 48504
U.S.A.

Received April 16, 1991
Revised version April 20, 1993

(2797)