

- [19] G. Sampson, H^p and L^2 weighted estimates for convolutions with singular and oscillating kernels, *ibid.* 43 (1991), 465–484.
- [20] —, Operators mapping $B_1^{0,1}$ into $B_1^{0,1}$ and operators mapping L^2 into L^2 , preprint, 1991.
- [21] P. Sjölin, Convolution with oscillating kernels, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 30 (1981), 47–56.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
AUBURN UNIVERSITY
AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849-5201
U.S.A.

Received February 6, 1992

(2904)

Ergodic properties of skew products with Lasota–Yorke type maps in the base

by

ZBIGNIEW S. KOWALSKI (Wrocław)

Abstract. We consider skew products $T(x, y) = (f(x), T_{e(x)}y)$ preserving a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the product measure. Here f is a 1-sided Markov shift with a finite set of states or a Lasota–Yorke type transformation and T_i , $i = 1, \dots, \max e$, are nonsingular transformations of some probability space. We obtain the description of the set of eigenfunctions of the Frobenius–Perron operator for T and consequently we get the conditions ensuring the ergodicity, weak mixing and exactness of T . We apply these results to random perturbations.

0. Introduction. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^s$ be a finite family of nonsingular transformations of a probability space (Y, \mathcal{B}, p) . Given a nonsingular transformation f of a probability space (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and a mapping e from X to $\{1, \dots, s\}$, we define the *skew product transformation*

$$T(x, y) = (f(x), T_{e(x)}y).$$

The purpose of this paper is the description of the ergodic properties of T . To this end we use our results on eigenfunctions of the Frobenius–Perron operator for T . The above problem was considered in [10] and [11] where the transformation f preserves the Bernoulli measure μ and the family of transformations may be infinite.

The paper consists of two parts. In the first part we assume that f is a 1-sided Markov shift preserving the measure μ with a finite set of states. In the second part we assume f to be a general Lasota–Yorke type transformation, i.e. f is piecewise C^1 and uniformly expanding.

PART I

1. Introduction. Let σ be the shift endomorphism in a space $X \subset \{1, \dots, s\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ preserving μ . The measure μ is Markov and it is determined by

a pair π, Q , where $Q = [q_{ij}]$ is a stochastic matrix and $\pi = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ a probabilistic vector with $\pi Q = \pi$. Let $B = \{(i, j) : q_{ij} > 0\}$. Let $\{T_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ be a family of measurable negative nonsingular transformations of a probability space (Y, \mathcal{B}, p) . We define the skew product transformation

$$(1) \quad T(x, y) = (\sigma(x), T_{x(1)x(2)}y).$$

The Frobenius–Perron operator for T is given by the formula

$$P_T(g \otimes f)(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{q_i}{q_j} q_{ij} g(ix) 1_{A_j}(x) (P_{T_{ij}}f)(y),$$

where the summation is taken over $(i, j) \in B$. Here $(g \otimes f)(x, y) = g(x)f(y)$, where $g \in L_1(\mu)$, $f \in L_1(p)$, $(ix) = (i, x(1), x(2), \dots)$, $A_i = \{x : x(1) = i\}$ and $P_{T_{ij}}$ denotes the Frobenius–Perron operator for T_{ij} .

In Section 2, under the above assumptions, we prove that if a function $F \in L_1(\mu \times p)$ satisfies $F \circ T = \lambda F$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then there are functions $f_i \in L_1(p)$ such that

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s 1_{A_i}(x) f_i(y) \quad \mu \times p\text{-a.e.}$$

This is a generalization of Morita's result [11] for the Bernoulli case. From this we obtain conditions ensuring the weak mixing and exactness of absolutely continuous invariant measures (a.c.i.m.).

Ergodic properties of skew products with a Bernoulli shift in the base are considered, e.g., in [1], [3], [9], [13].

In Section 3 we apply the above results to perturbations of automorphisms.

2. Ergodic properties. The following lemma provides the description of eigenfunctions for P_T .

LEMMA 1. *If $F \in L_1(\mu \times p)$ satisfies $\lambda P_T F = F$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, then there exist $f_i \in L_1(p)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, such that*

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s 1_{A_i}(x) f_i(y) \quad \mu \times p\text{-a.e.}$$

Proof. Let $A_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \{x : x(1) = i_1, \dots, x(n) = i_n\}$ and let $f \in L_1(p)$. Then

$$P_T(1_{A_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \otimes f)(x, y) = \frac{q_{i_1}}{q_{i_2}} q_{i_1 i_2} 1_{A_{i_2 \dots i_n}}(x) (P_{T_{i_1 i_2}} f)(y).$$

Therefore

$$P_T^n(1_{A_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \otimes f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s 1_{A_i}(x) g_i(y), \quad \text{where } g_i \in L_1(p), \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Next we reason as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [11]. ■

COROLLARY 1. *Any T -a.c.i.m. has the form $\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_{A_i} \times \bar{p}_i$, where $\mu_{A_i}(A) = \mu(A \cap A_i)$ and \bar{p}_i is a p -a.c.m. for $i = 1, \dots, s$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Assume that $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_{A_i} \times \bar{p}_i$ is T -a.c.i.m. If $F \in L_1(\nu)$ satisfies $F \circ T = \lambda F$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, then there exist $f_i \in L_1(\bar{p}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, such that $F(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s 1_{A_i}(x) f_i(y)$ ν -a.e. In particular, if A is a T -invariant set ($T^{-1}A = A$) then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^s A_i \times B_i$ for some sets $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 and the equality $hP_{T,\nu}G = P_T(Gh)$ for $G \in L_1(\nu)$. Here $P_{T,\nu}$ is the Frobenius–Perron operator for the measure ν and $h = d\nu/d(\mu \times p)$. ■

We apply Corollary 2 to the description of weakly mixing skew products. To this end we introduce the property (R) of the family $\{T_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ and a measure $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_{A_i} \times \bar{p}_i$:

(R) There exists a pair $(i, j) \in B$ such that $\{A : T_{sm}^{-1}T_{ms}^{-1}A = T_{sl}^{-1}T_{ls}^{-1}A$ for every l, m such that $(s, m), (m, s), (l, s), (s, l) \in B\} = \{\emptyset, Y\}$ up to \bar{p}_s -null sets for $s = i, j$.

We say that a negative nonsingular transformation is nonsingular if it maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero.

THEOREM 1. *Let the measure μ be mixing and let ν be a T -a.c.i.m. If the transformations $\{T_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ are nonsingular and have the property (R), then the endomorphism T is weakly mixing.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $T \times T$ is ergodic. By the definition of T ,

$$(T \times T)((x, y), (u, v)) = ((\sigma \times \sigma)(x, u), (T_{x(1)x(2)} \times T_{u(1)u(2)})(y, v)).$$

Therefore $T \times T$ is a skew product with Markov base $\sigma \times \sigma$. Let A be a $T \times T$ -invariant set. By Corollary 2, $A = \bigcup_{n,m=1}^s A_n \times A_n \times B_{nm}$. Let (i, j) be a pair given by the property (R). We get $(T_{il}^{-1} \times T_{jm}^{-1})B_{lm} = B_{ij}$. By nonsingularity of $\{T_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ we have $B_{lm} \supset (T_{il} \times T_{jm})B_{ij}$. Therefore $B_{ij} \supset (T_{il}T_{il} \times T_{jm}T_{jm})B_{ij}$ and $(T_{il}^{-1}T_{il}^{-1} \times T_{jm}^{-1}T_{jm}^{-1})B_{ij} = B_{ij}$. Hence

$$(2) \quad I \times T_{ij}T_{jl}T_{jm}^{-1}T_{mj}^{-1}B_{ij} \subset B_{ij}, \quad T_{il}T_{il}T_{im}^{-1}T_{mi}^{-1} \times IB_{ij} \subset B_{ij}.$$

Let $B_{ij}^y = \{v : (y, v) \in B_{ij}\}$. By (2), $T_{jm}^{-1}T_{mj}^{-1}B_{ij}^y = T_{jl}^{-1}T_{lj}^{-1}B_{ij}^y$ for \bar{p}_i -a.e. y . Hence by (R) we get $B_{ij}^y = Y$ for \bar{p}_i -a.e. y . Consequently, $B_{ij} = E \times Y$ for some set E . By applying (2) to E , we get $E = Y$ and hence $B_{ij} = Y \times Y$. Therefore $A \supset A_i \times A_j \times Y \times Y$ and the ergodicity of $\sigma \times \sigma$ implies $A = X \times X \times Y \times Y$. ■

Now, let p be a Borel measure on $[0, 1]$ which is positive on open sets. Moreover, let $\{T_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ be piecewise monotonic and continuous transformations of $[0, 1]$ into itself so that there exists a partition $\beta_0 = \{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$ of finite entropy with $I_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$, $\lim t_i = 1$, such that $T_{ij}|_{(t_l, t_{l+1})}$ is continuous and strictly monotonic for all $(i, j) \in B$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose μ is mixing and the transformations T_{ij} , $(i, j) \in B$, are piecewise monotonic and continuous. Moreover, let ν be a T -invariant equivalent measure and assume that T_{ij} , $(i, j) \in B$, are 1-1 p -a.e. Then the property (R) implies: if $\nu = \mu \times \bar{p}_1$ for some measure $\bar{p}_1 \approx p$ and T_{ij} does not preserve the measure \bar{p}_1 for some (i, j) , then T is an exact endomorphism.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1 we get the ergodicity of T . Theorem 1 of [7] and the weak mixing property of T imply the exactness of T . ■

REMARK 1. If μ is a Bernoulli measure, then we can replace the property (R) by $\{A : T_i^{-1}A = T_j^{-1}A, i, j = 1, \dots, s\} = \{\emptyset, Y\}$.

3. Application to some class of generalized skew products. Let $\{T_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (a,b)}$ be a one-parameter family of transformations of the interval $[0, 1]$ into itself such that

$$(3) \quad T_\varepsilon^{-1}(y) = (1 - \varepsilon)y + \varepsilon g(y),$$

where $g \in C^2[0, 1]$, $g(0) = 0$, $g(1) = 1$, and $a = (1 - \sup g')^{-1}$, $b = (1 - \inf g')^{-1}$. Moreover, assume that there exists exactly one point y_0 for which $g'(y_0) = 1$.

We take functions $\{T_{\varepsilon_{ij}}\}_{(i,j) \in B}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s q_i q_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Let T be an endomorphism of the Lebesgue space $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, m)$. The transformation

$$\bar{T}(x, y) = (\sigma(x), T_{\varepsilon_{x(1), x(2)}} T(y))$$

preserves the product measure $\mu \times m$.

THEOREM 3. *Let μ be a mixing measure. If T is an automorphism and there exists a pair $(i, j) \in B$ such that $\varepsilon_{im_1} \neq \varepsilon_{im_2} \neq \varepsilon_{im_3}$, $\varepsilon_{m_1i} = \varepsilon_{m_2i} = \varepsilon_{m_3i} = 0$, $\varepsilon_{jl_1} \neq \varepsilon_{jl_2} \neq \varepsilon_{jl_3}$, $\varepsilon_{l_1j} = \varepsilon_{l_2j} = \varepsilon_{l_3j} = 0$, for some m_i, l_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, then the transformation \bar{T} is weakly mixing.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, it is sufficient to check the property (R). Let $A \neq \emptyset$ and $s = i$ or j . If

$$T_{m_1s} T T_{sm_1} T A = T_{m_2s} T T_{sm_2} T A = T_{m_3s} T T_{sm_3} T A$$

then by the assumptions we get

$$T_{sm_1} T A = T_{sm_2} T A = T_{sm_3} T A.$$

For $D = TA$ we have $T_{sm_1} D = T_{sm_2} D = T_{sm_3} D$. By Lemma 2 of [6] we obtain $D = [0, 1]$ and consequently $A = [0, 1]$. ■

Let T be an infinite interval exchange transformation of $[0, 1]$ of the following type:

- (i) there exists a partition $\beta_0 = \{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$ given by $I_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$, $\lim t_i = 1$, $H(\beta_0) < \infty$,
- (ii) there exist real constants a_i so that $T(t) = t + a_i$ for $t \in I_i$,
- (iii) the only accumulation point of $\{t_{i-1} + a_i\} \cup \{t_i + a_i\}$ is 1,
- (iv) T is a 1-1 transformation.

COROLLARY 3. *If T is an infinite interval exchange transformation of $[0, 1]$, then under the assumptions of Theorem 3, \bar{T} is an exact endomorphism.*

In some particular cases we can obtain the exactness of \bar{T} without the assumption of nullity of some parameters ε_{ij} . For example, the following statement is true.

THEOREM 4. *If $T = I$, where $I(y) = y$, and if there exists $(i, j) \in B$ such that $\varepsilon_{m_1j} > \varepsilon_{jm_1}$, $0 > \varepsilon_{m_2j} > \varepsilon_{jm_2}$, $0 < \varepsilon_{m_3j} < \varepsilon_{jm_3}$ and $\varepsilon_{l_1i} > \varepsilon_{il_1}$, $0 > \varepsilon_{l_2i} > \varepsilon_{il_2}$, $0 < \varepsilon_{l_3i} < \varepsilon_{il_3}$ for some numbers m_i, l_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, then the transformation \bar{T} is exact.*

We finish this section with an application of Theorem 1 to the class of random maps of interval which are considered in [12]. Let σ be a 1-sided (r, t) -Bernoulli shift and let T_1, T_2 be transformations of $[0, 1]$ such that

- (a) T_1 is C^2 , $T_1(0) = 0$, $T_1'' \geq 0$ and $1/2 \leq T_1' < 1$, and
- (b) T_2 is a Lasota-Yorke type map with partition I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n ; that is, $T_2'(y) \geq 2$ wherever defined, $T_2(0) = 0$ and $T_2''(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in I_0$, while $T_2(y) \leq y$ for $y \in I_1, \dots, I_n$.

Let $T(x, y) = (\sigma(x), T_{x(0)y})$. Then by Theorem 2 of [12], T has an invariant measure $\mu \times p$ such that $p \ll m$.

THEOREM 5. *If the transformation $T_1^{-1}T_2$ can be extended to a Lasota-Yorke map (on $[0, 1]$) which is ergodic with respect to an invariant measure ν , $\nu \approx p$, then T is exact.*

PROOF. By Remark 1 and Theorem 1, T is weakly mixing. Then the weak compactness of the iterations of the Frobenius-Perron operator P_T implies the exactness of T . ■

EXAMPLE. If $T_1(y) = y/2$, $T_2(y) = 2y \bmod 1$ and $1/2 < t < 2/3$, then T is exact.

PART II

4. Introduction. Let f be a Lasota–Yorke type transformation of $[0, 1]$ into itself, i.e.

(a) there exists a partition $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_q = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ such that the restriction f_i of f to (a_{i-1}, a_i) is C^1 ,

(b) $1/|f'_i|$ extends to a function of bounded variation on $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$,

(c) $\inf |f'| > 1$.

Let P_f denote the Frobenius–Perron operator for f . Then for every function g of bounded variation (see [14])

$$(4) \quad \int_0^1 P_f g \leq 3\lambda^{-1} \int_0^1 g + \frac{3\lambda^{-1}}{a} \int_0^1 |g| dm.$$

Here $\lambda = \inf |f'|$ and $a = \min_i (a_i - a_{i-1})$.

Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^q$ be a family of measurable transformations of a Lebesgue space (Y, \mathcal{B}, p) . We require that if $p(A) = 0$ then $p(T_i^{-1}A) = p(T_i A) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$. Under this assumption T_i , $i = 1, \dots, q$, is nonsingular and positively measurable transformation. We define the skew product transformation

$$T(x, y) = (f(x), T_{e(x)}y).$$

Here $e : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$ is such that $e(x) = i$ for $x \in I_i$, $i = 1, \dots, q$, where $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, q-1$ and $I_q = [a_{q-1}, 1]$.

The Frobenius–Perron operator for T with respect to the measure $m \times p$ is given by the formula

$$P_T G(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^q P_i G(f_i^{-1}(x), y) |(f_i^{-1})'(x)| 1_{f_i(I_i)}(x).$$

Here $G \in L_1(m \times p)$ and P_i denotes the Frobenius–Perron operator for T_i .

For a function G from $[0, 1] \times Y$ into \mathbb{C} , let $V_y G$ denote the total variation of $G(\cdot, y)$, for every $y \in Y$. Moreover, for $G \in L_1(m \times p)$ we introduce the following definitions:

$$VG = \inf \left\{ \int_y V_y F dp : F \text{ is any version of } G \right\},$$

$$BV = \{G \in L_1(m \times p) : VG < \infty\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G \in L_1(m \times p) : G \geq 0, \|G\|_1 = 1\},$$

which are modifications of the analogous definitions from [2].

In Section 5 we prove that if for every function $G \in L_1(m \times p)$ the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$ exists in L_1 then for every bounded function F satisfying $F(T) = \alpha F$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$, and each $H \in \mathcal{D}$ such

that $P_T H = H$ we have $H \in BV$ and $FH \in BV$. In Section 6 we obtain the description of T -invariant sets. In the case of a markovian partition, i.e. when

$$m(f(I_i) \cap I_j) > 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad I_j \subset f(I_i) \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

we prove that if A is a T -invariant set and $G \in \mathcal{D}$, $P_T G = G$, then

$$A \cap \{G > 0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^q I_i \times B_i.$$

Otherwise, if $\inf |f'| > 2$ then $A \cap \{G > 0\} \supset I_i \times B$ for some i , where $p(B) > 0$.

In the same manner as in part I we apply the above results to describe the ergodic properties of T .

5. Regularity of the eigenfunctions of the Frobenius–Perron operator

LEMMA 2. If $G \in BV$ then $V P_i G \leq VG$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$.

Proof. Let F be such that $F = G$ a.e. and $\int V_y F dp < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int V_y F dp &= \int P_i V_y F dp \\ &= \int P_i \left(\sup_k \sum_k |F(x_k, y) - F(x_{k+1}, y)| \right) dp \\ &\geq \int \sup_k P_i \left(\sum_k |F(x_k, y) - F(x_{k+1}, y)| \right) dp \\ &\geq \int \sup \left(\sum_k |P_i F(x_k, y) - P_i F(x_{k+1}, y)| \right) dp \\ &= \int V_y P_i F dp. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. If $G \in BV$ then

$$V P_T G \leq 3\lambda^{-1} VG + \frac{3\lambda^{-1}}{a} \|G\|_1.$$

Proof. Let $F = G$ a.e. and $\int V_y F dp < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int V_y P_T F dp &= \int V_y \sum_{i=1}^q P_i F(f_i^{-1}(x), y) |(f_i^{-1})'(x)| 1_{f_i(I_i)}(x) dp \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \int V_y P_i F(f_i^{-1}(x), y) |(f_i^{-1})'(x)| 1_{f_i(I_i)}(x) dp \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int \sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{V}_y F(f_i^{-1}(x), y) |(f_i^{-1})'(x)| 1_{f(I_i)}(x) dp \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &\leq 3\lambda^{-1} \int \mathbf{V}_y F dp + \frac{3\lambda^{-1}}{a} \int |G| d(m \times p). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of (4). ■

PROPERTY 1 ([2]). If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F$ in L_1 norm then

$$\mathbf{V}F \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{V}F_n.$$

As a corollary we obtain

THEOREM 6. If for every $G \in BV$ the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G = Q_T G \quad \text{exists in } L_1,$$

then $\mathbf{V}Q_T G \leq c \|G\|_1$, where the constant c does not depend on G .

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3, Property 1 and the continuity of the operator Q_T in L_1 . ■

Remark 2. The assumption of Theorem 6 is related to the condition assuring weak sequential compactness in L_1 -space, and to the Kakutani-Yosida ergodic theorem. It is satisfied, for instance, if:

(i) the transformations $f, T_i, i = 1, \dots, q$, are piecewise C^2 Lasota-Yorke type maps (Theorem 1 of [2]),

(ii) there exists an equivalent T -invariant measure (Hopf's theorem [8]).

For the rest of this paper we will assume that the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. We denote by μ_G a T -a.c.i.m. such that $d\mu_G/d(m \times p) = G$.

LEMMA 4. If $F \in L_\infty(\mu_G)$ satisfies $P_T(FG) = \alpha FG$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$, then $FG \in BV$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{P}_T H = \bar{\alpha} G^{-1} P_T(HG)$. Then $\tilde{P}_T F = F$. By Lemma 3,

$$\mathbf{V}G\tilde{P}_T H \leq 3\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{V}HG + \frac{3\lambda^{-1}}{a} \|HG\|_1, \quad \text{for } HG \in BV$$

and by definition $G\tilde{P}_T^n H = \bar{\alpha}^n P_T^n(HG)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{P}_T^k H \quad \text{exists in } L_1 \text{ for every } H \in L_1(\mu_G).$$

The operator \tilde{P}_T has the following properties:

$$(i) \quad \|\tilde{P}_T H\|_\infty \leq \|H\|_\infty \text{ for } H \in L_\infty(\mu_G),$$

$$(ii) \quad \int |\tilde{P}_T H| d\mu_G \leq \int |H| d\mu_G \text{ for } H \in L_1(\mu_G).$$

Hence \tilde{P}_T is a linear L_1 - L_∞ -contraction and by the Dunford-Schwartz theorem we obtain (5). ■

COROLLARY 4. If $G \in \mathcal{D}$ is a function such that $P_T G = G$, A is T -invariant set and F is bounded function such that $F(T) = \alpha F$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$, then $\mathbf{V}_y G < \infty$, $\mathbf{V}_y 1_A G < \infty$ and $\mathbf{V}_y FG < \infty$ a.e.

Here $\mathbf{V}_y H < \infty$ a.e. means that for a.e. y there exists a set $A_y \subset [0, 1]$, $m(A_y) = 0$, such that $\mathbf{V}_{[0,1] \setminus A_y} H(\cdot, y) < \infty$.

6. Ergodic properties. Let $D_G = \{(x, y) : G(x, y) > 0\}$ for $G \in \mathcal{D}$ with $P_T G = G$. Then $T D_G = D_G$ with respect to $m \times p$. Fixing a density G we write $\mu = \mu_G$ and $D = D_G$. Moreover, let β denote the partition $\{I_1, \dots, I_q\}$.

LEMMA 5. Let A be a T -invariant set such that $\mu(A) > 0$. Then there exists a set $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $p(B) > 0$, such that

$$\bigcup_{y \in B} I_y \times \{y\} \subset A \cap D$$

for some nonempty open intervals I_y .

Proof. Let

$$B = \{y : \mathbf{V}_y G < \infty\} \cap \{y : \mathbf{V}_y 1_A G < \infty\} \cap \{y : p((A \cap D)_y) > 0\}.$$

Here $(A \cap D)_y = \{x : (x, y) \in A \cap D\}$. By Corollary 4, $p(B) > 0$. Let $y \in B$. From the definition of B it is easy to see that $(A \cap D)_y$ contains a nonempty interval. ■

LEMMA 6. Let A be a T -invariant set.

- (i) If the partition β is markovian then $A \cap D = \bigcup_{i=1}^q I_i \times B_i$.
(ii) If $\inf |f'| > 2$ then $A \cap D \supset I_i \times E$ for some i and $E \in \mathcal{B}$, $p(E) > 0$.

Proof. The property $\inf |f'| > 2$ implies that for every nonempty interval I , either $I \supset I_i$ or $m(f(I_i \cap I)) \geq (\lambda/2)m(I)$ for some i . On the other hand, by the markovian property, for every nonempty interval I such that $I \subset I_i$ for some i , there exists j such that $f(I) \supset I_j$ or $f(I) \subset I_j$ and $m(f(I)) \geq \lambda m(I)$. Hence for every interval I there exists a sequence i_1, \dots, i_k and $\tilde{I} \subset I$ such that $f_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(\tilde{I}) = I_i$ for some i . Let B be the set given by Lemma 5. Then there exist $i, B_1 \subset B$ with $p(B_1) > 0$, and a sequence i_1, \dots, i_k such that $f_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(\tilde{I}_y) = I_i$ for every $y \in B_1$ and for

some interval $\tilde{I}_y \subset I_y$. By invariance of A we get

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap D &= T^k(A \cap D) \supset \bigcup_{y \in B_1} (f_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(\tilde{I}_y) \times T_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ T_{i_1}(y)) \\ &= I_i \times T_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ T_{i_1}(B_1) = I_i \times E_1. \end{aligned}$$

The nonsingularity of $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^q$ implies (ii). Let the partition β be markovian and

$$A_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^q I_i \times B_i, \quad \text{where } B_i = \{y : p((A \cap D)_y - I_i) = 0\}.$$

Since $TA_1 \subset A_1 \subset A$, the assumption that $\mu(A - A_1) > 0$ implies the existence of a set A_3 such that $(A - A_1) \cap D = A_3 \cap D$ and $T^{-1}A_3 = A_3$. By the first part of the proof we get $I_j \times E_j \subset A_3 \cap D$ for some j and $E_j \in \mathcal{B}$, $p(E_j) > 0$, which contradicts the definition of A_1 . ■

COROLLARY 5. (i) If the partition β is markovian, then

$$D = \bigcup_{i=1}^q I_i \times E_i.$$

(ii) If $\inf |f'| > 2$, then $D \supset I_i \times E$ for some i and $E \in \mathcal{B}$, $p(E) > 0$.

From now on let ν denote an f -a.c.i.m. (for the existence see [14]) and assume that $D \subseteq \text{supp } \nu \times Y$. Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i &= \{(i_1, \dots, i_s) : I_i \subset \text{supp } \nu, f_{i_s} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1} I_i \supset I_i \text{ and} \\ &\quad m(I_i - f_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1} I_i) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k < s\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 7. Let f be ergodic. If the partition β is markovian and there exists $(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Z_i$ for some i such that

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad T_{i_s} \circ \dots \circ T_{i_1} B \subset B \Rightarrow p(B) \in \{0, 1\},$$

then T is ergodic.

Proof. Let A be a T -invariant set of positive measure. By Lemma 6 we obtain $A \cap D = \bigcup_{j=1}^q I_j \times E_j$. First we show that if $I_j \subset \text{supp } \nu$ then $p(E_j) > 0$. Let j_0 be such that $p(E_{j_0}) > 0$. Then for every $I_j \subset \text{supp } \nu$ there exists a sequence j_1, \dots, j_s and the set $\tilde{I} \subset I_{j_0}$ with $f_{j_s} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_1}(\tilde{I}) = I_j$. Therefore

$$T^s(\tilde{I} \times E_{j_0}) = I_j \times T_{j_s} \circ \dots \circ T_{j_1} E_{j_0} \subset I_j \times E_j.$$

The above implies that $p(E_j) \geq p(T_{j_s} \circ \dots \circ T_{j_1} E_{j_0}) > 0$. From the assumptions of our theorem and by $p(E_i) > 0$ we get $p(E_i) = 1$ and consequently $A \cap D \supset I_i \times Y$. The ergodicity of f implies $A \cap D \supset \bigcup_j T^j(I_i \times Y) = \bigcup_j f^j(I_i) \times Y = \text{supp } \nu \times Y$, which finishes our proof. ■

COROLLARY 6. If f is ergodic, $\inf |f'| > 2$ and for every i there exists $(i_1, \dots, i_s) \in Z_i$ such that

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad T_{i_s} \circ \dots \circ T_{i_1} B \subset B \Rightarrow p(B) \in \{0, 1\},$$

then T is ergodic.

Now we proceed to consider the problem of weak mixing of T .

LEMMA 7. If f is mixing and T is not weakly mixing, then there exists a set A which is $T \times T$ -invariant, $0 < (\mu \times \mu)(A) < 1$, and

(i) if the partition β is markovian then

$$A \cap D \times D = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^q I_i \times I_j \times B_{ij},$$

(ii) if $\inf |f'| > 2$ then $A \cap D \times D \supset I_i \times I_i \times B$ for some i , where $B \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ and $(p \times p)(B) > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 we may assume that T is ergodic. Hence, if it is not weakly mixing then there exists a measurable nonconstant function F such that $|F| = 1$ and $F(T) = \alpha F$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$. Therefore

$$F(x, y) = \cos \varphi(x, y) + i \sin \varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y) = \arg F(x, y)$ ($-\pi \leq \varphi(x, y) < \pi$).

By Corollary 4 we have $\mathbf{V}_y FG < \infty$ and $\mathbf{V}_y G < \infty$ for a.e. y , which implies that for a.e. y there exists a set A_y , $m(A_y) = 0$, such that $\varphi(\cdot, y) 1_{D \times D}(\cdot, y) | [0, 1] - A_y$ is continuous. For $\delta, \gamma \in [-\pi, \pi)$, we define

$$A_{\delta\gamma} = \{(x, y, z, v) : \gamma \leq \arg(F(x, y)\overline{F}(z, v)) < \delta\}.$$

We can find $\gamma < \delta$ such that $(\mu \times \mu)(A_{\delta\gamma}) < 1$ and $(\mu \times \mu)(A_{\delta\gamma} \cap I_i \times I_i \times E \times E) > 0$ where $I_i \times E$ is the set given by Corollary 5. The set $A_{\delta\gamma}$ is $T \times T$ -invariant. Let B be the set of pairs $(y_0, v_0) \in E \times E$ for which there exists a pair $(x_0, z_0) \in I_i \times I_i$ such that $(x_0, y_0, z_0, v_0) \in A_{\delta\gamma}$ and moreover $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, y_0) | [0, 1] - A_{y_0}$ is continuous at x_0 and $\psi(z) = \varphi(z, v_0) | [0, 1] - A_{v_0}$ is continuous at z_0 . Then $(p \times p)(B) > 0$.

Let $(y_0, v_0) \in B$. Then $\gamma < \varphi(x_0) - \psi(z_0) + 2k\pi < \delta$, for some $k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $\varphi(x) - \psi(z)$ is continuous at (x_0, z_0) , there exist intervals I_{y_0} and J_{v_0} such that $I_{y_0} \times J_{v_0} \subset I_i \times I_i$ and $\gamma < \varphi(x) - \psi(z) + 2k\pi < \delta$ for a.e. $(x, z) \in I_{y_0} \times J_{v_0}$. We get

$$\bigcup_{(y,v) \in B} I_y \times J_v \times \{(y, v)\} \subset A_{\delta\gamma} \cap D \times D.$$

The same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6 applies to the case of markovian partition ((i)).

For the second case it is sufficient to show that for every I_y, J_v there exist sequences $i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_s$ such that

$$m(f_{i_s} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(I_y) \cap f_{j_s} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_1}(J_v)) > 0.$$

Let I, J be two intervals such that $I \cup J \subseteq \text{supp } \nu$. By Theorem 1 of [4] there exists a positive integer $k(I)$ such that $f^{k(I)}(I) = \text{supp } \nu$. Let $i_1, \dots, i_{k(I)}$ be a sequence such that $m(f_{i_{k(I)}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(J)) > 0$. Then we can find another sequence $j_1, \dots, j_{k(I)}$ such that

$$m(f_{i_{k(I)}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_1}(J) \cap f_{j_{k(I)}} \circ \dots \circ f_{j_1}(I)) > 0.$$

The rest of the proof runs in the same manner as the proof of Lemma 6. ■

Let $B = \{(i, j) : f(I_i) \supset I_j\}$ and let $\mu \approx m \times p$. The property (R) of the family $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^q$ and the measure μ may be formulated in the following form:

There exists a pair $(i, j) \in B$ for which $\{A : T_s^{-1} T_m^{-1} A = T_s^{-1} T_l^{-1} A$ for every l, m such that $(s, m), (m, s), (l, s), (s, l) \in B\} = \{\emptyset, Y\}$ with respect to p , for $s = i, j$.

THEOREM 8. *If f is mixing and the partition β is markovian, then the property (R) implies weak mixing of T .*

THEOREM 9. *If f is mixing, $\inf |f'| > 2$ and if for every i there exist $(i_1, \dots, i_s), (j_1, \dots, j_s) \in Z_i$ such that*

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}, \quad (T_{i_s} \times T_{j_s}) \circ \dots \circ (T_{i_1} \times T_{j_1}) B \subset B \Rightarrow (p \times p)(B) \in \{0, 1\},$$

then T is weakly mixing.

Remark 3. If T satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8 or 9, and the transformations T_i are piecewise monotonic, continuous and 1-1 p -a.e., $\mu = \nu \times \bar{p}_1$ for some measure $\bar{p}_1 \approx p$ and T_i does not preserve the measure \bar{p}_1 for some i , then T is exact.

Remark 4. If T satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8 or 9 and the transformations f, T_i are piecewise C^2 Lasota-Yorke type maps, then T is exact.

In our considerations we may admit the situation when the family $\{T_i\}$ is infinite and f is a Lasota-Yorke map with countably many intervals of monotonicity. More precisely, we assume that $\{e^{-1}(i)\}_{i < \infty} = \{I_i\}_{i < \infty}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\sup 1/|f'_i| + \mathbf{V} 1/|f'_i|) < \infty.$$

It is not difficult to see that the results of this paper remain true in the above case.

References

- [1] J. C. Alexander and W. Parry, *Discerning fat baker's transformations*, in: Dynamical Systems, Proc. Special Year, College Park, Lecture Notes in Math. 1342, Springer, 1988, 1-6.
- [2] M. Jabłoński, *On invariant measures for piecewise C^2 -transformations of the n -dimensional cube*, Ann. Polon. Math. 43 (1983), 185-195.
- [3] S. A. Kalikow, *T, T^{-1} transformation is not loosely Bernoulli*, Ann. of Math. 115 (1982), 393-409.
- [4] Z. S. Kowalski, *Bernoulli properties of piecewise monotonic transformations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 59-61.
- [5] —, *A generalized skew product*, Studia Math. 87 (1987), 215-222.
- [6] —, *Stationary perturbations based on Bernoulli processes*, ibid. 97 (1990), 53-57.
- [7] —, *The exactness of generalized skew products*, Osaka J. Math. 30 (1993), 57-61.
- [8] U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, de Gruyter Stud. Math. 6, de Gruyter, 1985.
- [9] I. Meilijson, *Mixing properties of a class of skew products*, Israel J. Math. 19 (1974), 266-270.
- [10] T. Morita, *Random iteration of one-dimensional transformations*, Osaka J. Math. 22 (1985), 489-518.
- [11] —, *Deterministic version lemmas in ergodic theory of random dynamical systems*, Hiroshima Math. J. 18 (1988), 15-29.
- [12] S. Pelikan, *Invariant densities for random maps of the interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 281 (1984), 813-823.
- [13] J. P. Thouvenot, *Quelques propriétés des systèmes dynamiques qui se décomposent en un produit de deux systèmes dont l'un est un schéma de Bernoulli*, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 177-207.
- [14] S. Wong, *Some metric properties of piecewise monotonic mappings of the unit interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 246 (1978), 493-500.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
WROCLAW TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

Received May 13, 1992

Revised version January 12 and May 5, 1993

(2942)