

- [14] V. S. Šulman, *Operator algebras with strongly cyclic vectors*, Mat. Zametki 16 (1974), 253–257 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
JADRANSKA 19  
LJUBLJANA 61000, SLOVENIA

Received May 5, 1992

Revised version January 21, 1993

(2947)

## On the joint spectral radii of commuting Banach algebra elements

by

ANDRZEJ SOŁTYSIAK (Poznań)

**Abstract.** Some inequalities are proved between the geometric joint spectral radius (cf. [3]) and the joint spectral radius as defined in [7] of finite commuting families of Banach algebra elements.

Let  $A$  be a complex Banach algebra with the unit denoted by  $1$ . Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of pairwise commuting elements of  $A$ . The symbol  $\sigma(a)$  will stand for the *Harte spectrum* of  $a$ , i.e.  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \sigma(a)$  if there exist elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n u_j(a_j - \lambda_j) = 1$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - \lambda_j)v_j = 1$  (here we write for simplicity  $a_j - \lambda_j$  instead of  $a_j - \lambda_j 1$ ). We shall also need the *left approximate point spectrum* of  $a$ , i.e. the set

$$\tau_l(a) = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \inf_{\|b\|=1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|(a_j - \lambda_j)b\| = 0 \right\}.$$

The *geometric (joint) spectral radius* of  $a$  is defined (cf. [3]) to be the number

$$r(a) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\}$$

where

$$|\lambda| = |(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

As was shown in [3] (cf. also [8])  $r(a)$  does not depend upon the choice of a joint spectrum of  $a$ . In particular, the Harte spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  can be replaced by the left approximate point spectrum of  $a$  in the above formula without changing the value of  $r(a)$ .

In the case when  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra the following formula was proved in [6]:

$$r(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha \right\|^{1/(2s)}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$  is the set of all multiindices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha_j \geq 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ), and, as usual,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ ,  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$ ,  $a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}$ , and  $a^* = (a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*)$ . In fact, the proof of this result in [6] was done for a commuting  $n$ -tuple of Hilbert space operators but it goes exactly in the same way for arbitrary commuting  $C^*$ -algebra elements.

Another possible definition of a joint spectral radius of a commuting  $n$ -tuple of normed algebra elements is given in [7]. Namely, let the *joint spectral radius* of  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the number

$$\widehat{r}(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \|a^\alpha\|^{1/s}.$$

It is an immediate consequence of this definition that equivalent algebra norms give the same joint spectral radius. Notice also that for a single element  $a$  of a Banach algebra  $A$  we have

$$r(a) = \widehat{r}(a) = \text{the spectral radius of } a.$$

In this paper we show how the above mentioned notions of joint spectral radii are related to each other. More precisely, we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a mutually commuting  $n$ -tuple of elements of a complex unital Banach algebra. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} r(a) \leq \widehat{r}(a) \leq r(a).$$

Before proceeding to the proof let us define one more notion. Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of mutually commuting elements of a normed algebra. Set

$$r_*(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} r(a^\alpha)^{1/s},$$

where  $r(a^\alpha) = r(a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n})$  is the usual spectral radius of  $a^\alpha$ , i.e.  $r(a^\alpha) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a^{\alpha k}\|^{1/k}$ . Notice that the above limit exists since the elements  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) are commuting.

The following lemma seems to be of independent interest.

**LEMMA.** *For every  $n$ -tuple  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  of pairwise commuting elements of a normed algebra  $A$ ,  $\widehat{r}(a) = r_*(a)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $r(a^\alpha) \leq \|a^\alpha\|$  we obviously have  $r_*(a) \leq \widehat{r}(a)$ . To prove the opposite inequality it is enough to show that  $r_*(a) < 1$  implies  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq 1$ .

Indeed, take  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $b_j = a_j / (r_*(a) + \varepsilon)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $r_*(b) = r_*(b_1, \dots, b_n) < 1$  and thus  $\widehat{r}(b) \leq 1$ . This implies  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq r_*(a) + \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon$  and finally  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq r_*(a)$  as claimed.

Now assume that  $r_*(a) < 1$ . So there exists an integer  $s_0$  such that for all  $s \geq s_0$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  with  $|\alpha| = s$  we have  $r(a^\alpha) < 1$ . This implies  $\|a^{\alpha k}\|^{1/k} < 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  with  $|\alpha| = s_0$  and  $k \geq k_0$  ( $k_0$  is the same for all  $\alpha$ 's with  $|\alpha| = s_0$ ). In particular,

$$\|a_j^{s_0 k}\| < 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } k \geq k_0.$$

Let

$$K_j = \max\{1, \|a_j\|, \|a_j^2\|, \dots, \|a_j^{s_0 k_0 - 1}\|\} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} K_j.$$

Now take  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ . Then  $\alpha_j = m_j(s_0 k_0) + l_j$ , where  $m_j \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq l_j < s_0 k_0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^\alpha\| &= \|a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}\| = \|a_1^{m_1 s_0 k_0 + l_1} \dots a_n^{m_n s_0 k_0 + l_n}\| \\ &\leq \|a_1^{s_0 m_1 k_0}\| \dots \|a_n^{s_0 m_n k_0}\| \|a_1^{l_1}\| \dots \|a_n^{l_n}\| \leq K^n \end{aligned}$$

(here it is assumed that  $\|a_j^0\| = 1$  if the algebra  $A$  has no unit) and so the set  $\{a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n} : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$  is bounded. By the lemma of Rota and Strang ([7], cf. also [2], p. 18, Thm. 1) there exists an equivalent algebra norm  $N$  on  $A$  such that  $N(a^\alpha) \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ . Since  $\widehat{r}(a)$  does not depend upon the choice of a particular algebra norm on  $A$  equivalent to the given one we obtain  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq 1$  and the proof is complete.

**Remark.** Berger and Wang ([1], Thm. IV) showed that the assertion of the lemma is true for every bounded family of  $n \times n$  matrices. However, it is not true in the general case of an arbitrary Banach algebra even for a family of mutually commuting elements. This was observed by the referee who supplied the following example:

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra generated by countably many elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  satisfying  $x_i^2 = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). The elements of  $A$  are of the form  $y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}$  with the norm  $\|y\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} |\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_n}|$ . Obviously, the set  $M = \{x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is bounded with  $\widehat{r}(M) = 1$  and  $r_*(M) = 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** First we prove that  $(1/\sqrt{n}) r(a) \leq \widehat{r}(a)$ . Take  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \tau_l(a)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(b_k)$  in  $A$  such that  $\|b_k\| = 1$  for all  $k$  and  $\|(a_j - \lambda_j) b_k\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ,  $|\alpha| = s$ , we obtain

$$\|a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}\| \geq \|(a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}) b_k\| \rightarrow |\lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \lambda_n^{\alpha_n}|$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies  $\|a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}\| \geq |\lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \lambda_n^{\alpha_n}|$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} |\lambda_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha_n} \\ \geq \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} |\lambda_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\lambda_n|^{2\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{n^s} |\lambda|^{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \|a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}\| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^s}} |\lambda|^s.$$

As  $\lambda \in \pi_1(a)$  was arbitrary the above implies

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \|a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}\|^{1/s} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} r(a),$$

which finally gives  $\widehat{r}(a) \geq (1/\sqrt{n}) r(a)$  as claimed.

Now we prove  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq r(a)$ . In view of the lemma it is enough to show that  $r_*(a) \leq r(a)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ,  $|\alpha| = s$ . By the spectral mapping property of the Harte spectrum  $\sigma$  ([4], Thm. 4.3) we have

$$\sigma(a^\alpha) = p\sigma(a),$$

where  $p(z) = z^\alpha$ , i.e.  $p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ . So suppose  $\lambda \in \sigma(a^\alpha)$ . Then there exists  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $\lambda = \mu_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \mu_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Hence

$$|\lambda|^2 = |\mu_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\mu_n|^{2\alpha_n} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} |\mu_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\mu_n|^{2\alpha_n} = |\mu|^{2s}$$

and therefore  $|\lambda| \leq |\mu|^s \leq r(a)^s$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(a^\alpha)$ . Thus  $r(a^\alpha) \leq r(a)^s$  and consequently

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} r(a^\alpha) \leq r(a)^s.$$

This finally gives  $r_*(a) \leq r(a)$  and concludes the proof.

**Remarks 1.** In the case when  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra it is possible to prove  $\widehat{r}(a) \leq r(a)$  directly without using the lemma.

Namely, by Theorem 1 of [6] we have

$$r(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha \right\|^{1/(2s)}.$$

Elements  $a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are positive and so is the sum within the norm signs. Therefore (see [5], p. 269, Thm. 7.77(VII))

$$\|a^\alpha\|^2 = \|a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha\| \leq \frac{s!}{\alpha!} \|a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha \right\|.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \|a^\alpha\|^{1/s} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=s} \frac{s!}{\alpha!} a^{*\alpha} a^\alpha \right\|^{1/(2s)},$$

which was to be proved.

2. The following example shows that both inequalities in Theorem 1 may be strict.

Let  $A$  be the algebra of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices with complex entries. Let  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $ab = ba$  and moreover  $\sigma(a, b) = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ . Hence  $r(a, b) = \sqrt{5}$ . It is easy to see that  $r(a^\alpha b^\beta) = \max\{2^\alpha, 2^\beta\}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ . Therefore, in view of the lemma (cf. also [1], Thm. IV),

$$\widehat{r}(a, b) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\alpha+\beta=s} (r(a^\alpha b^\beta))^{1/s} = 2.$$

Finally, we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} < 2 = \widehat{r}(a, b) < r(a, b) = \sqrt{5}.$$

3. It is easy to give examples showing that both constants in the inequalities of Theorem 1 are the best possible.

Namely, let as before  $A$  be the algebra of all complex  $2 \times 2$  matrices. If we take  $a = 1$  (= the identity matrix) and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $b^2 = 1$ , and  $\sigma(a, b) = \{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}$ . Therefore  $r(a, b) = \sqrt{2}$ . On the other hand,  $\max_{\alpha+\beta=s} \|a^\alpha b^\beta\| = \|b\|$  for all  $s \geq 1$ , which gives

$$\widehat{r}(a, b) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\alpha+\beta=s} \|a^\alpha b^\beta\|^{1/s} = 1.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r(a, b) = 1 = \widehat{r}(a, b) < \sqrt{2} = r(a, b).$$

To see that the other constant is the best possible take  $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $c^2 = 0$ ,  $cd = dc = c$ , and  $\sigma(c, d) = \{(0, 1)\}$ . Thus  $r(c, d) = 1$  and  $\max_{\alpha+\beta=s} r(c^\alpha d^\beta) = 1$ , which by the lemma gives  $\widehat{r}(c, d) = 1$ . Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} r(c, d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 1 = \widehat{r}(c, d) = r(c, d).$$

4. One can take any other (than Euclidean) norm on each  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and define the geometric spectral radius with respect to this norm. Chō and Żelazko showed in fact ([3], Cor. 10) that for many joint spectra (spectroids of class  $\Sigma_0$  in the terminology of [3]) the geometric spectral radius defined in that way does not depend upon the particular spectrum.

Now observe that if we define the geometric spectral radius with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm by the formula

$$r_p(a) = \max\{|\lambda|_p : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\}$$

where

$$|\lambda|_p = |(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)|_p = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty, \text{ and}$$

$$|\lambda|_\infty = |(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_j|,$$

then reasoning analogously to the proof of Theorem 1 we get

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a pairwise commuting  $n$ -tuple of elements of a complex unital Banach algebra. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} r_p(a) \leq \widehat{r}(a) \leq r_p(a)$$

for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and

$$r_\infty(a) = \widehat{r}(a).$$

Finally, notice that the last equality is the multivariable variant of the Beurling–Gelfand spectral radius formula.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Alan Pryde for warm hospitality extended to him during his visit to Monash University in the second half of 1992 where this paper was written. Thanks are also due to the referee for the example which solved in the negative the author's conjecture and to Shmuel Friedland for drawing the author's attention to the paper of Berger and Wang [1].

#### References

- [1] M. A. Berger and Y. Wang, *Bounded semigroups of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 166 (1992), 21–27.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [3] M. Chō and W. Żelazko, *On geometric spectral radius of commuting  $n$ -tuples of operators*, Hokkaido Math. J. 21 (1992), 251–258.
- [4] R. E. Harte, *Spectral mapping theorems*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 72 (1972), 89–107.
- [5] A. Ya. Khelemskiĭ, *Banach and Polynormed Algebras: General Theory, Representations, Homology*, Nauka, Moscow 1989 (in Russian).

- [6] V. Müller and A. Sołtysiak, *Spectral radius formula for commuting Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math. 103 (1992), 329–333.
- [7] G.-C. Rota and W. G. Strang, *A note on the joint spectral radius*, Indag. Math. 22 (1960), 379–381.
- [8] A. Sołtysiak, *On a certain class of subspectra*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32 (1991), 715–721.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY  
MATEJKI 48/49  
60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

Received August 31, 1992  
Revised version October 23, 1992, and February 3, 1993

(2992)