

**The Muckenhoupt class  $A_1(\mathbf{R})$**

by

B. BOJARSKI (Warszawa), C. SBORDONE (Napoli),  
and I. WIK (Umeå)

**Abstract.** It is shown that the Muckenhoupt structure constants for  $f$  and  $f^*$  on the real line are the same.

**Introduction.** In a previous paper, [4], one of the authors has shown that if a function  $f$  lies in  $A_p(Q)$ ,  $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , with constant  $c$ , then the nonincreasing rearrangement of  $f$ ,  $f^*$ , lies in  $A_p((0, |Q|))$  with another constant,  $c_1$ , depending on  $n, p$  and  $c$ . In Theorem 1 we prove that in the special case  $n = 1$ , the constant  $c_1$  can be taken as  $c$ , which of course is optimal. To do this we will need a covering lemma. We also show, by means of an example, that this result is not true in dimensions higher than one. As a consequence of the theorem we obtain Lemma 2 with Corollary 1, a refinement of a lemma by Muckenhoupt [2]. This is also proved in a more direct way. Theorem 2 describes another property of the weights in the class  $A_1(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Notations.** We let  $\int_I f(x) dx$  stand for the mean value of  $f$  over  $I$ . For an interval  $\mathcal{J}$  we will use the notation  $A_1(\mathcal{J}; c)$  for the class of locally integrable functions  $f$  such that for every subinterval  $I$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  we have

$$(1) \quad \int_I f(x) dx \leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_I f(x).$$

For any subinterval  $I$  of  $(0, 1)$  let  $f_I$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $I$  and  $f_I^*$  the corresponding nonincreasing (left-continuous) rearrangement.

**Theorems and proofs**

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a function in  $A_1((0, 1); c)$  and  $I$  a subin-*

terval of  $(0, 1)$ . Then for each  $I \subset I$

$$(2) \quad \int_I f_I^*(u) du \leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_I f_I^*(x).$$

Conversely, if (2) holds for all local rearrangements  $f_I^*$  of  $f$ , then  $f \in A_1((0, 1); c)$ .

The implication of (1) is thus a set of inequalities for the functions  $f_I$ ,  $I \subset (0, 1)$ , with the common constant  $c$ . These inequalities completely characterize  $A_1((0, 1); c)$ .

We stress the fact that, contrary to most of the recent literature (see e.g. [1], [3]), we require the nonincreasing rearrangement  $f^*$  to be continuous on the left. By this condition  $f^*(t)$  is uniquely determined for each  $t > 0$  and (2) implies

$$(2') \quad \int_0^t f^*(u) du \leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{(0,t)} f^*(x) = cf^*(t).$$

We will first give a short proof under the extra assumption that  $f$ , and therefore also  $f^*$ , are continuous.

Fix an  $I \subset (0, 1)$ . Let  $E_\lambda$  be the open set  $\{x \in I; f(x) > \lambda\}$ . If  $\lambda \geq \int_I f$  it can be written as the union of disjoint open intervals,  $E_\lambda = \bigcup \omega_\nu$ , where  $f(x) = \lambda$  at the endpoints of the intervals except possibly at the endpoints of  $I$ . Therefore

$$\int_{E_\lambda} f_I(x) dx = \sum_\nu \int_{\omega_\nu} f_I(x) dx \leq \sum_\nu c\lambda|\omega_\nu| = c\lambda|E_\lambda|.$$

Dividing this inequality by  $|E_\lambda|$  and using the fact that  $f^*$  is continuous we find that

$$\int_0^{|E_\lambda|} f_I^*(t) dt = \frac{1}{|E_\lambda|} \int_{E_\lambda} f_I(x) dx \leq c\lambda \leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in (0, |E_\lambda|)} f_I^*(t).$$

Thus we have proved statement (2') for  $f^* = f_I^*$  in case  $t = |E_\lambda|$  for some  $\lambda$ .

Now take an arbitrary  $t \in I$ . Put  $f_I^*(t) = \lambda_1$ ,  $t_1 = \min\{t; f_I^*(t) = \lambda_1\}$ . Then  $|E_{\lambda_1}| = t_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f_I^*(t) dt &= \frac{t_1}{t} \int_0^{t_1} f_I^*(t) dt + \frac{t-t_1}{t} \lambda_1 \leq \frac{t_1}{t} c\lambda_1 + \frac{t-t_1}{t} \lambda_1 \\ &\leq cf_I^*(t) = c \min_{(0,t)} f_I^*(u). \end{aligned}$$

This means that (2') holds with  $f^* = f_I^*$ . Since  $f_I^*$  is nonincreasing, this

implies (2). In fact, for an arbitrary interval  $(a, b) \subset I$  we have

$$\int_a^b f_I^*(t) dt \leq \int_0^b f_I^*(t) dt \leq cf_I^*(b) = c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{(a,b)} f_I^*(t),$$

which proves (2).

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is immediate. We choose  $I = I$  in (2). Then the stars may be removed and we conclude that  $f \in A_1((0, 1); c)$ .

When  $f$  is not continuous, we will use the following covering lemma as a substitute for continuity:

LEMMA 1. Let  $E$  be a measurable bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist a sequence  $\{\omega_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  of intervals with disjoint interiors and a subset  $E_1$  of  $E$  with the properties that  $|E_1| = |E|$  and

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad &E_1 \subset \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty \omega_\nu, \\ (ii) \quad &(1-\varepsilon)|\omega_\nu| \leq |\omega_\nu \cap E| < |\omega_\nu|, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First we choose as  $\omega_1$  a closed interval of maximal length satisfying (ii). Suppose then that the intervals  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  are chosen. Take as  $\omega_{n+1}$  a closed interval of maximal length with interior disjoint from  $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^n \omega_\nu$  and satisfying (ii). Put

$$E_1 = \left( \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty \omega_\nu \right) \cap E.$$

We have to prove that  $|E_1| = |E|$ . If this were not true, there would exist a density point  $x$  of the set  $E \setminus E_1 = E_2$ . Put  $\omega(x, \delta) = (x - \delta, x + \delta)$  for  $\delta > 0$  and suppose that there exists a  $\delta$  such that  $|\omega(x, \delta) \cap E_2| = |\omega(x, \delta)|$ . Then  $\omega_\nu$  cannot be a subset of  $\omega(x, \delta)$  for any  $\nu$ . Therefore, if  $\omega(x, \delta)$  intersects  $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty \omega_\nu$ , it has to intersect at most two intervals  $\omega_{\nu_1} \ni (x - \delta)$  and  $\omega_{\nu_2} \ni (x + \delta)$ . Then  $\omega_{\nu_1} \cup \omega(x, \delta) \cup \omega_{\nu_2}$  is a candidate for an interval that should have been chosen in the process. This contradiction shows that

$$|\omega(x, \delta) \cap E_2| < |\omega(x, \delta)| \quad \text{for every } \delta > 0.$$

Since  $x$  is a density point of  $E_2$ , there exists a  $\delta_0$  such that

$$|\omega(x, \delta) \cap E_2| \geq (1-\varepsilon)|\omega(x, \delta)| \quad \text{for } \delta < \delta_0.$$

All of these intervals  $\omega(x, \delta)$  have to intersect intervals of  $\{\omega_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  (otherwise they could be adjoined). However, each one of them can intersect at most two bigger intervals from  $\{\omega_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ , say  $\omega_{\nu_1} \ni (x - \delta)$  and  $\omega_{\nu_2} \ni (x + \delta)$  with  $|\omega_{\nu_k}| \geq |\omega(x, \delta)|$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Notice that  $x$  lies in neither of these closed intervals and therefore it is possible to enlarge at least one of them by adjoining the smallest  $\omega(x, \delta)$  that has a common endpoint with  $\omega_{\nu_1}$  or

$\omega_{\nu_2}$ . This contradicts the construction process. Thus we have proved that  $|E_2| = 0$ , i.e.  $|E_1| = |E|$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.**  $f_I^*$  is the nonincreasing rearrangement of  $f_I$  and is uniquely determined when we require that  $f_I^*$  is continuous on the left.

We take an arbitrary  $t \in (0, |I|)$  and let  $E_t$  be a subset of  $I$  with measure  $t$  such that  $f_I(x) \leq f_I^*(t)$  for  $x \notin E_t$ . Then we use the covering lemma above to cover almost every point of  $E_t$ , a set we denote by  $E_{t,1}$ , by a union of intervals with disjoint interiors:

$$E_{t,1} \subset \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\nu},$$

such that for every positive integer  $\nu$

$$(4) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)|\omega_{\nu}| \leq |\omega_{\nu} \cap E_t| < |\omega_{\nu}|.$$

Since the second inequality is strict,  $\omega_{\nu}$  contains a set of positive measure in the complement of  $E_t$  and we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\omega_{\nu}} f_I(x) \leq f_I^*(t)$$

and therefore, using (1) and (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f_I^*(u) \, du &= \int_{E_t} f_I(x) \, dx \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_{\nu}} f_I(x) \, dx \\ &\leq c \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\omega_{\nu}| f_I^*(t) \leq \frac{c}{1 - \varepsilon} t f_I^*(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^t f_I^*(u) \, du \leq \frac{c}{1 - \varepsilon} t f_I^*(t).$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we may let  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 to obtain (2') with  $f^* = f_I^*$ . The proof is now completed in the same way as we did when  $f$  was continuous.

The conclusion (2), implying that we have the same constant  $c$  for  $f^*$  and  $f$ , is in general not possible to achieve in higher dimensions as we will see in the following counterexample in two dimensions.

**COUNTEREXAMPLE.** *There exists a function  $f$  which belongs to  $A_1(Q)$  with constant  $c$ , but  $f^*$  does not belong to  $A_1((0, |Q|); c)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $1/2 < l \leq 1 - s$  and define  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l \leq x_1 \leq 1, \\ c^2 & \text{in } (0, s) \times (0, s) \cup (0, s) \times (1 - s, 1), \\ c & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have, for every square  $Q$ ,

$$\int_Q f(x) \, dx / \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q f(x) \leq c(1 + s^2(c - 1)/l^2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sup_a \int_0^a f^*(t) \, dt / \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{(0,a)} f^*(t) = \int_0^l f^*(t) \, dt = c(1 + 2s^2(c - 1)/l) = c_1.$$

Since  $l > 1/2$ , it follows that  $c_1 > c$ . In fact, with this construction, we can have  $c_1$  arbitrarily close to  $2c$  (for  $c$  large enough and  $l$  close to 1).

It is obvious that the same type of construction will work also in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 2$ .

From the theorem above we will now draw a conclusion about higher integrability of  $f$ . We will use the following lemma:

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $c \geq 1$  be a constant and let  $g$  be a nonnegative, nonincreasing function on  $(0, 1]$  satisfying*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^s g(t) \, dt \leq cg(s) \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 1].$$

*Then  $g$  lies in  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $p < c/(c - 1)$  and*

$$(6) \quad \int_0^s g^p(t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{c^{p-1}(c + p - cp)} \left( \int_0^s g(t) \, dt \right)^p \quad \text{for } s \in (0, 1].$$

**Remark.** The constant on the right in (6), as well as the upper bound of  $p$ , cannot be improved. In fact, the function  $g(t) = (1/c)t^{1/c-1}$  is an extremal function, which gives equality in (6) and lies in  $L^p$  if and only if  $p < c/(c - 1)$ . For  $c = 1$ ,  $g$  has to be constant in  $(0, 1)$  and equality in (6) prevails trivially.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $g$  is continuous on the left. We start by proving that  $g$  satisfies the inequality

$$(7) \quad \int_0^s g^p(t) \, dt \leq \frac{g^{p-1}(s)}{c + p - cp} \int_0^s g(t) \, dt.$$

Put  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(x)dx$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be a small positive number. We integrate by parts and use the facts that  $G(t) \leq ctg(t)$  and  $g'(t) \leq 0$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^p(t) dt &= \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^{p-1}(t) dG(t) \\
 &= [g^{p-1}(t)G(t)]_{\varepsilon}^s - (p-1) \int_{\varepsilon}^s G(t)g^{p-2}(t) dg(t) \\
 &\leq g^{p-1}(s)G(s) - g^{p-1}(\varepsilon)G(\varepsilon) - c(p-1) \int_{\varepsilon}^s tg^{p-1}(t) dg(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

More integration by parts yields

$$(9) \quad \int_{\varepsilon}^s tg^{p-1}(t) dg(t) = \frac{1}{p} (sg^p(s) - \varepsilon g^p(\varepsilon) - \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^p(t) dt).$$

Now, combining (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(1 - \frac{c(p-1)}{p}\right) \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^p(t) dt \\
 &\leq g^{p-1}(s)G(s) - g^{p-1}(\varepsilon)G(\varepsilon) - \frac{c(p-1)}{p} (sg^p(s) - \varepsilon g^p(\varepsilon)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is nonincreasing, we have  $G(\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon g(\varepsilon)$ . We also use the fact that  $p < c/(c-1)$  implies  $c(p-1)/p < 1$ . Therefore

$$\frac{c(p-1)}{p} \varepsilon g^p(\varepsilon) < \frac{c(p-1)}{p} G(\varepsilon)g^{p-1}(\varepsilon) < G(\varepsilon)g^{p-1}(\varepsilon),$$

which gives

$$\left(1 - \frac{c(p-1)}{p}\right) \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^p(t) dt \leq g^{p-1}(s)G(s) - \frac{c(p-1)}{p} sg^p(s).$$

By (5),  $csg(s) \geq G(s)$  and thus

$$(c+p-cp) \int_{\varepsilon}^s g^p(t) dt \leq g^{p-1}(s)G(s).$$

By letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero we obtain (7).

Now we will use (7) to prove (6). Since  $g$  is nonincreasing, we have  $g(s) \leq \int_0^s g(t) dt$ . This together with (7) implies (6) but without the factor  $c^{1-p}$ . However, with some extra effort, we can achieve the optimal constant. For simplicity we may choose  $s = 1$  and also assume  $G(1) = \int_0^1 g(t) dt = 1$ . We want to show that  $g(1)$  in (7) can be replaced by  $c^{-1}$ . From (5) it is

evident that  $g(1) \geq c^{-1}$ . If  $g(1) = c^{-1}$ , then the inequalities (6) and (7) are the same. Assume therefore that

$$g(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} g(t) = a > c^{-1}.$$

For  $\delta$  satisfying  $0 < \delta < (ac-1)/ac$  we construct auxiliary functions  $g_{\delta}$ , with the following properties: (i)  $g_{\delta}(t) \leq g(t)$ , (ii)  $g_{\delta}(t)$  converges to  $g(t)$  in  $(0, 1)$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , (iii)  $g_{\delta}(1) = c^{-1}$  and (iv)  $g_{\delta}$  satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2. To be more specific, we define

$$g_{\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} g(t), & 0 < t \leq 1 - \delta, \\ \frac{1}{c} + \frac{a-c^{-1}}{\delta}(1-t), & 1 - \delta < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g_{\delta}$  is nonincreasing and trivially satisfies (i)–(iii). To verify (iv) it remains to show that  $g_{\delta}$  satisfies (5). This property is inherited from  $g$  for  $0 < s \leq 1 - \delta$ . It is easy to check that  $g_{\delta}(s) \geq (cs)^{-1}$  in  $(1 - \delta, 1]$  and for  $s$  in this interval we have

$$(10) \quad \int_0^s g_{\delta}(t) dt \leq \int_0^s g(t) dt \leq 1 \leq csg_{\delta}(s).$$

It is therefore justified to use inequality (7) with  $g = g_{\delta}$ . We get

$$\int_0^1 g_{\delta}^p(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{c^{p-1}(c+p-cp)} \left( \int_0^1 g_{\delta}(t) dt \right)^p.$$

Now we let  $\delta$  tend to 0 and by dominated convergence we obtain (6).

We can now give an improved version of Muckenhoupt's lemma [2, p. 213], where we do not have to require  $f$  to be decreasing and also obtain the best constant.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a function in  $A_1(\mathbb{R})$  with constant  $c \geq 1$ . Then for every  $p < c/(c-1)$  and every interval  $I$*

$$(11) \quad \int_I f^p(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{c^{p-1}(c+p-cp)} \left( \int_I f(x) dx \right)^p.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $|I| = 1$  and  $\int_I f(x) dx = 1$ . Then, by Theorem 1,

$$\int_0^t f^*(u) du \leq cf^*(t),$$

where  $f^*$  is the nonincreasing rearrangement of the restriction of  $f$  to  $I$ . We apply Lemma 2 to obtain (11) with  $f = f^*$ . The stars may be deleted and the corollary is proved.

The next theorem describes a very nice and sharp property of  $A_1$ -weights, which is also easy to remember.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f \in A_1((0, 1); c)$ , then, for every  $p < c/(c - 1)$ ,*

$$f^p \in A_1((0, 1); c_p) \quad \text{with } c_p = \frac{c}{c + p - cp}.$$

**PROOF.** Substitute the defining inequality for  $A_1((0, 1); c)$  into (11) and use the fact that  $\text{ess inf}_I f^p(x) = (\text{ess inf}_I f(x))^p$ .

Another way to proceed from the assumption  $f \in A_1$  would be to try to make the function  $f$  continuous. A convolution method will not work. However, there is another type of mean value that has the advantage that it preserves the constant  $c$  even in several dimensions. We use it in the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose  $f$  is in  $A_1(Q_0)$  with constant  $c$ , i.e.*

$$(12) \quad \int_Q f(x) dx \leq c \text{ess inf}_Q f(x) \quad \text{for every } Q \subset Q_0.$$

Put

$$f_t(x) = \int_{Q_0} f(x(1-t) + yt) dy, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Then  $f_t(x)$  is continuous in  $Q_0$  and  $f_t(x)$  satisfies (12) with the same constant  $c$ .

**PROOF.** A change of the order of integration gives

$$(13) \quad \int_Q f_t(x) dx = \int_{Q_0} dy \int_Q f(x(1-t) + yt) dx \\ = \int_{Q_0} dy \int_{Q_{t,y}} f(z) dz \leq c \int_{Q_0} \text{ess inf}_{x \in Q_{t,y}} f(x) dy,$$

where  $Q_{t,y} \subset Q_0$  is the cube  $\{z = x(1-t) + yt; x \in Q\}$ . By the integral representation of  $f_t$  it follows that  $f_t$  is continuous. We find that for some  $x_0$

$$(14) \quad \inf_{x \in Q} f_t(x) = f_t(x_0) = \int_{Q_0} f(x_0(1-t) + yt) dy \geq \int_{Q_0} \text{ess inf}_{x \in Q_{t,y}} f(x) dy,$$

since  $x_0(1-t) + yt \in Q_{t,y}$ . A combination of (13) and (14) now gives the desired result.

**Second proof of Corollary 1.** We may assume that  $I = Q_0 = (0, 1)$ . We conclude from Lemma 3 that  $f_t$  is continuous. By our first short proof of the theorem it follows that  $f_t^*$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.

Proceeding as in the previous proof of Corollary 1 we find that

$$(15) \quad \int_0^1 f_t^p(u) du \leq \frac{1}{c^{p-1}(c+p-cp)} \left( \int_0^1 f_t(u) du \right)^p.$$

We have

$$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{Q_t(x)} f(z) dz, \quad \text{where } Q_t(x) = \{z = x(1-t) + yt; y \in Q\},$$

which is a cube containing  $x$  and with measure  $t^n$ . Therefore, we have  $f_t(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  a.e. as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and Fatou's lemma gives

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 f_t^p(u) du \geq \int_0^1 \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} f_t^p(u) du = \int_0^1 f^p(u) du.$$

On the other hand, by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_0^1 f_t(u) du = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 f(x(1-t) + yt) dy = \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 f(x(1-t) + yt) dx \\ = \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{1-t} \int_{ty}^{ty+1-t} f(z) dz \rightarrow \int_0^1 f(z) dz \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0}$  in (15) therefore completes this proof of Corollary 1.

**References**

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, 1988.
- [2] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207-226.
- [3] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [4] I. Wik, *On Muckenhoupt's classes of weight functions*, Studia Math. 94 (1989), 245-255.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
ŚNIADECKICH 8  
00-950 WARSZAWA, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF UMEÅ  
S-901 87 UMEÅ, SWEDEN

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI  
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO  
VIA MEZZOCANNONE, 8  
I-80134 NAPOLI, ITALY