

- [1] P. J. Boland and S. Dineen, *Holomorphic functions on fully nuclear spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 106 (1978), 311–336.
- [2] P. A. Chalov and V. P. Zakharyuta, *A quasiequivalence criterion for absolute bases in an arbitrary (F)-space*, Izv. Severo-Kavkaz. Nauchn. Tsentra Vyssh. Shkoly Estestv. Nauki 1983 (2), 22–24 (in Russian).
- [3] P. B. Djakov, *A short proof of the theorem of Crone and Robinson on quasi-equivalence of regular bases*, Studia Math. 53 (1975), 269–271.
- [4] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Teubner, 1981.
- [5] R. Meise and D. Vogt, *Structure of spaces of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional polydiscs*, Studia Math. 75 (1983), 235–252.
- [6] —, —, *Analytic isomorphisms of infinite dimensional polydiscs and an application*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 111 (1983), 3–20.
- [7] —, —, *Holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on nuclear Fréchet spaces*, Studia Math. 83 (1986), 147–166.
- [8] —, —, *Holomorphic Functions on Nuclear Sequence Spaces*, Departamento de Teoría de Funciones, Universidad Complutense, Madrid 1986.
- [9] L. Mirsky, *Transversal Theory*, Academic Press, 1971.
- [10] B. S. Mityagin, *The equivalence of bases in Hilbert scales*, Studia Math. 37 (1971), 111–137 (in Russian).
- [11] A. Pietsch, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 66, Springer, 1972.
- [12] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer, 1971.
- [13] M. Scheve, *Räume holomorpher Funktionen auf unendlich-dimensionalen Polyzylindern*, Dissertation, Düsseldorf 1988.
- [14] D. Vogt, *Frécheträume, zwischen denen jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 345 (1983), 182–200.
- [15] M. J. Wagner, *Unterräume und Quotienten von Potenzreihenräumen*, Dissertation, Wuppertal 1977.
- [16] V. P. Zakharyuta, *Isomorphism and quasiequivalence of bases for Köthe power series spaces*, in: Mathematical Programming and Related Problems (Proc. 7th Winter School, Drogobych 1974), Theory of Operators in Linear Spaces, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Tsentr. Ekon.-Mat. Inst., Moscow 1976, 101–126 (in Russian); see also Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 221 (1975), 772–774 (in Russian).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
UNIVERSITÄT DÜSSELDORF
C/O PROF. R. MEISE
UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 1
D-4000 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY

Received January 17, 1990

(2769)

**Weighted weak type inequalities for
certain maximal functions**

by

HUGO AIMAR and LILIANA FORZANI (Santa Fe)

Abstract. We give an A_p type characterization for the pairs of weights (w, v) for which the maximal operator $Mf(y) = \sup_{\frac{1}{b-a}} \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$, where the supremum is taken over all intervals $[a, b]$ such that $0 \leq a \leq y \leq b/\psi(b-a)$, is of weak type (p, p) with weights (w, v) . Here ψ is a nonincreasing function such that $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\psi(\infty) = 0$.

The Poisson integral for the Hermite expansion of a function f is given by

$$(1) \quad P_r f(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} P(r, y, z) f(z) e^{-z^2} dz$$

where

$$P(r, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1-r^2)}} e^{-(r^2 y^2 - 2ryz + r^2 z^2)/(1-r^2)}.$$

C. Calderón [C] and B. Muckenhoupt [M1] proved that the maximal operator

$$P^* f(y) = \sup_{r \in (0,1)} |P_r f(y)|$$

is bounded in $L^p(e^{-x^2} dx)$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$) and of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the Gaussian measure $e^{-x^2} dx$. We can write (1) in the form

$$P_r f(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} K(r, y, z) f(z) dz$$

where

$$K(r, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1-r^2)}} e^{-((ry-z)/\sqrt{1-r^2})^2}.$$

If we take $\varepsilon = \sqrt{1-r^2}$ and $\chi_{(-1,1)}$ instead of e^{-t^2} , we are led to the

maximal operator

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\psi(\varepsilon)y-\varepsilon}^{\psi(\varepsilon)y+\varepsilon} |f(x)| dx,$$

with $\psi(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}$.

In this note we consider weighted weak type inequalities for a maximal operator which is both larger than (2) and than the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator.

Let $\psi : \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a nonincreasing function such that $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$. Given a locally integrable function f on \mathbf{R}^+ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^+$, define

$$(3) \quad Mf(y) = \sup \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(x)| dx,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $[a, b]$ such that $0 \leq a \leq y \leq b/\psi(b-a)$; and

$$(4) \quad \widetilde{M}f(y) = \sup \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^{b/\psi(b-a)} |f(x)| dx$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $[a, b]$ such that $0 \leq a \leq y \leq b$.

DEFINITION. We shall say that the pair (w, v) of nonnegative locally integrable functions on \mathbf{R}^+ satisfies *condition* A'_p , $1 < p < \infty$, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^{b/\psi(b-a)} w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b v(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

We shall say that (w, v) satisfies *condition* A'_1 if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\widetilde{M}w(y) \leq Cv(y).$$

THEOREM.

(6) $(w, v) \in A'_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if and only if

$$w\{Mf(y) > \lambda\} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx.$$

(7) There are no (nontrivial) weights w for which $(w, w) \in A'_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Remark. If $1 \leq p < q$, then $A'_p \subset A'_q$. If, for example, ψ has a finite right derivative at 0 and $w \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^+)$, we have $\widetilde{M}w \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^+)$, so that $(w, \widetilde{M}w)$ belongs to A'_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

The next extension of Riesz' Lemma is the main tool in the proof of (6).

LEMMA. Let f be a nonnegative function with compact support, λ a positive real number and $\Omega = \{Mf(y) > \lambda\}$. Then there exists a sequence of disjoint intervals $\{(a_k, b_k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that

$$(8) \quad \Omega \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} (a_k, B_k), \quad \text{where } B_k = \frac{b_k}{\psi(b_k - a_k)},$$

$$(9) \quad \frac{\lambda}{2} \leq \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx \leq 2\lambda.$$

Proof. Let

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt - \lambda x,$$

$$O_1 = \{x : F(z) > F(x) \text{ for some } z > x\},$$

$$O_2 = \{x : F(z) < F(x) \text{ for some } z < x\},$$

$$O_3 = O_1 \cup O_2.$$

The sets O_1, O_2 and O_3 are open and bounded, so that, for $j = 1, 2, 3$, we have $O_j = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} (\alpha_k^j, \beta_k^j)$, with $(\alpha_k^j, \beta_k^j) \cap (\alpha_h^j, \beta_h^j) = \emptyset$ for $h \neq k$. Observe that, for $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{\beta_k^j - \alpha_k^j} \int_{\alpha_k^j}^{\beta_k^j} f(t) dt = \lambda, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We now take $a_k = \alpha_k^3, b_k = \beta_k^3$. Notice that if $z \in (a_k, b_k)$ and $x > z$ is such that $F(x) > F(z)$, then $x \in (a_k, b_k)$. Given a point $y \in \Omega$, there exist a and b such that $a < y < b/\psi(b-a)$ and $F(b) > F(a)$. Consequently, there is a $k \in \mathbf{N}$ for which a and b belong to (a_k, b_k) . Therefore

$$a_k < a < y < \frac{b}{\psi(b-a)} < \frac{b_k}{\psi(b_k - a_k)},$$

since $b - a < b_k - a_k$ and ψ is nonincreasing. This proves (8).

Let us now prove (9). For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist two sets of integers $I_k^j, j = 1, 2$, such that

$$(11) \quad (a_k, b_k) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k^1} (\alpha_i^1, \beta_i^1) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_k^2} (\alpha_i^2, \beta_i^2).$$

In fact, the sets

$$I_k^j = \{i \in \mathbf{N} : (\alpha_i^j, \beta_i^j) \cap (a_k, b_k) \neq \emptyset\}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

satisfy (11), since $(\alpha_i^j, \beta_i^j) \cap (a_k, b_k) \neq \emptyset$ implies $(\alpha_i^j, \beta_i^j) \subset (a_k, b_k)$. Inequalities (9) now follow from (10) and (11):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{2}(b_k - a_k) &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i \in I_k^j} (\beta_i^j - \alpha_i^j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i \in I_k^j} \int_{\beta_i^j}^{\alpha_i^j} f(t) dt \leq \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(t) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i \in I_k^j} \int_{\beta_i^j}^{\alpha_i^j} f(t) dt = \lambda \sum_{i \in I_k^j} (\beta_i^j - \alpha_i^j) \leq 2\lambda(b_k - a_k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Theorem. Let us show first that A'_1 suffices for the weak type (1, 1) of M . Let f, λ and ω be as in the Lemma. From (8), (9) and condition A'_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(\Omega) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_k}^{B_k} w(x) dx \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{B_k} w(x) dx \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(y) \widetilde{M}w(y) dy \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(y) \widetilde{M}w(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(y)v(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the weak type (p, p) when the pair (w, v) belongs to A'_p , first observe that from (9), Hölder's inequalities and A'_p we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{2} &\leq \frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x) dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)^p v(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{b_k - a_k} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} v(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{w(a_k, B_k)} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)^p v(x) dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$w\left(a_k, \frac{b_k}{\psi(b_k - a_k)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)^p v(x) dx.$$

From (8) we finally get

$$w(\Omega) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} w\left(a_k, \frac{b_k}{\psi(b_k - a_k)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x)^p v(x) dx.$$

Let us now show that A'_1 is a necessary condition for the weak type (1, 1) of M . If M is of weak type (1, 1) for the pair of weights (w, v) , then the same is true for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, hence (w, v) satisfies the usual A_1 [M2]. Consequently, it is enough to prove that

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{b-a} \int_b^{b/\psi(b-a)} w(t) dt \leq C v(y)$$

for every a, b and y such that $y \in (a, b)$. Take $f = \chi_{(y, y+h)}$, $y > 0, h > 0$, in the weak type inequality in (6) with $p = 1$. Since the set $\{Mf(y) > \lambda\}$ contains the interval (y, z) with $z = (h/\lambda + y)/\psi(h/\lambda)$, it follows that

$$\int_y^z w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_y^{y+h} v(x) dx,$$

for every $\lambda > 0$. Let (a, b) be a given interval, $y \in (a, b)$ and $h > 0$. Taking $\lambda = h/(b-a)$ in the preceding inequality we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_b^{b/\psi(b-a)} w(x) dx \leq \int_y^z w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_y^{y+h} v(x) dx,$$

from which (12) follows by differentiation.

In order to prove that the weak type inequality implies A'_p for $1 < p < \infty$, observe that for $f = \chi_{(a,b)} v^{-1/(p-1)}$ and $\lambda = [2(b-a)]^{-1} \int f(x) dx$ we have

$$(a, b/\psi(b-a)) \subset \{Mf > \lambda\}.$$

Thus, the weighted weak type (p, p) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^{b/\psi(b-a)} w(x) dx \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2(b-a)} \int_a^b v(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{-p} \left(\int_a^b v(x)^{-p/(p-1)} v(x) dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to A'_p .

Let us finally prove (7). Since $A'_1 \subset A'_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$), it is enough to

prove (7) for $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(w, w) \in A'_p$, $w \not\equiv 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^x w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} &\leq Cx^p \left(\int_0^{x/\psi(x)} w(t) dt \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq Cx^p \left(\int_0^x w(t) dt \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since w satisfies Muckenhoupt's A_p condition [M2], it also satisfies a reverse Hölder inequality, i.e., there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a constant B such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x w(t)^{1+\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{B}{x} \int_0^x w(t) dt.$$

Thus, for $x \geq 1$ we have

$$(13) \quad \left(\int_0^x w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{CB}{\left(\int_0^1 w(t)^{1+\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} x^{p-1+1/(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Now, from A'_p , Hölder's inequality and (13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^x w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} &\leq Cx^p \left(\int_0^{x/\psi(x)} w(t) dt \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq C\psi(x)^p \left(\int_0^{x/\psi(x)} w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C\psi(x)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{CB}{\left(\int_0^1 w(t)^{1+\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}} x^{p-1+1/(1+\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

so by iteration we get

$$\left(\int_0^x w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt \right)^{p-1} \leq A \left(C\psi(x)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \right)^n x^{p-1+1/(1+\varepsilon)},$$

for some positive constant A , $x \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$, we see that $\int_0^x w^{-1/(p-1)} dt = 0$ for x large enough. This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

References

- [C] C. P. Calderón, *Some remarks on the multiple Weierstrass transform and Abel summability of multiple Fourier-Hermite Series*, *Studia Math.* 32 (1969), 119-148.
 [M1] B. Muckenhoupt, *Poisson integrals for Hermite and Laguerre expansions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139 (1969), 231-242.

- [M2] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, *ibid.* 165 (1972), 207-226.

PROGRAMA ESPECIAL
 DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA
 INTEC-CONICET
 C.C. NRO 91
 3000 SANTA FE, ARGENTINA

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
 FACULTAD DE INGENIERIA QUÍMICA-UNL
 SGO. DEL ESTERO 2829
 3000 SANTA FE, ARGENTINA

Received February 7, 1991

(2776)