

(1958), pp. 5–142 (Russian), Technical Translations 5 (1961), p. 261 (Information on English translation).

[27] E. Ju. Zaharova, *Completeness criteria for systems of functions from  $P_k$* , Problemy Kibernetika 18 (1967), pp. 5–10 (Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
Las Cruces, New Mexico

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS  
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY  
Cambridge, England

Accepté par la Rédaction le 3. 11. 1975

## Exact sequences of pairs in commutative rings

by

R. Kiełpiński, D. Simson and A. Tyc (Toruń)

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We say that a pair  $(u, v)$ ,  $u, v \in R$ , is  $M$ -exact if the sequence  $M \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} M \xrightarrow{u} M$  is exact. A sequence of pairs  $(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$  is  $M$ -exact if the pair  $(u_i, v_i)$  is  $M/(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1})M$ -exact for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

In the paper we investigate the full subcategory  $E_R(u, v)$  of  $R$ -Mod consisting of all  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $(u, v)$  is  $M$ -exact and rings  $R$  such that  $R \in E_R(u, v)$  and the Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  of  $R$  is generated by elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ .

**Introduction.** Section 1 contains definitions, examples and preliminary results. A homological characterization of modules from  $E_R(u, v)$  is given provided  $R \in E_R(u, v)$ .

In Section 2 we study conditions which ensure the projectivity or the injectivity of a module from the category  $E_R(u, v)$  under the assumption that  $R \in E_R(u, v)$  and  $J(R) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . Our main result says that in this case  $\text{Inj}_R = E_R(u, v) = \text{Proj}_R$  iff  $R$  is artinian, or equivalently, iff  $R$  is noetherian and  $E_R(u, v) = \text{Fl}_R$  where  $\text{Fl}_R$ ,  $\text{Inj}_R$  and  $\text{Proj}_R$  denote the classes of all flat, injective and projective  $R$ -modules, respectively.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of local rings  $R$  whose maximal ideals are generated by elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  such that  $(u_1, u_1^{t_1}), \dots, (u_n, u_n^{t_n})$  is an  $R$ -exact sequence of pairs for some natural numbers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . It is proved that such a ring is  $R$  always artinian of the length  $(t_1 + 1)(t_2 + 1) \dots (t_n + 1)$  and that the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr}(R)$  is of the same type.

Throughout this paper  $R$  denotes a commutative ring with identity element and  $J(R)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . If  $X$  is a subset of  $R$  and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, we set  $\text{Ann}_M X = \{m \in M, Xm = 0\}$ .

### § 1. Exact sequences of pairs and the category $E_R(u, v)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1** Let  $M$  be a module over a commutative ring  $R$ . A pair  $(u, v)$  of elements of  $R$  is  $M$ -exact if  $uvM = 0$  and the left complex

$$M(u, v): \dots \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} M \xrightarrow{u} M \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic (i.e.  $H_j M(u, v) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). A sequence of pairs

$$(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)), \quad u_i, v_i \in R,$$

is  $M$ -exact (or equivalently the module  $M$  is  $(u, v)$ -exact) if  $(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1})$  is  $M_i$ -exact for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  where

$$M_0 = M \quad \text{and} \quad M_i = M/(u_1 M + \dots + u_i M) \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 1.$$

$(u, v)$  is said to be exact if it is  $R$ -exact.

EXAMPLES. 1. If  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are orthogonal idempotents of a ring  $R$  such that  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = 1$ , then  $(e_1, 1 - e_1), \dots, (e_n, 1 - e_n)$  is an exact sequence of pairs in  $R$ .

2. If  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is an  $h$ -regular sequence in the sense of [9] and if the height  $h_i$  of  $a_i$  is finite for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the sequence  $(a_1, a_1^{h_1-1}), \dots, (a_n, a_n^{h_n-1})$  is exact.

3. Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]/(X_1 Y_1, \dots, X_n Y_n)$  where  $k$  is a ring. It is not difficult to check that the sequence  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  is exact where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are the residue classes of  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , respectively.

4. Let  $T = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \{t_j\}$  be a direct sum of finite cyclic groups and let  $A$  be a commutative ring such that  $mA = A$  if  $m$  is the order of an element of  $T$ . Moreover, let  $R$  be the group ring  $A[T]$  of  $T$  with coefficients in  $A$  and let us consider elements

$$\varepsilon_j = \frac{1}{m_j} (1 + t_j + \dots + t_j^{m_j-1}), \quad \delta_j = 1 - \varepsilon_j$$

where  $m_j$  is the order of  $t_j$ ,  $j \in J$ . Then the sequence  $(\delta_{j_1}, \varepsilon_{j_1}), \dots, (\delta_{j_n}, \varepsilon_{j_n})$  is exact for any  $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$  (see [1], p. 244).

For a given sequence  $(u, v)$  of pairs in  $R$  we define  $E_R(u, v)$  as the full subcategory of  $R$ -Mod consisting of all  $(u, v)$ -exact  $R$ -modules. Obviously,  $R \in E_R(u, v)$  iff  $(u, v)$  is  $R$ -exact.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The category  $E_R(u, v)$  is closed under direct sums, direct summands, products, direct limits and localizations with respect to multiplicative subsets of  $R$ . If*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

*is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules and two of them belong to  $E_R(u, v)$ , then the third also belongs to  $E_R(u, v)$  and the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M'_i \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M''_i \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

Proof. The first part is an easy exercise. To prove the second assertion consider the exact sequence of left complexes

$$0 \rightarrow M'(u_1, v_1) \rightarrow M(u_1, v_1) \rightarrow M''(u_1, v_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the assumption two of them are acyclic. Since for any  $R$ -module  $N$   $H_n N(u_1, v_1) = H_{n+2} N(u_1, v_1)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $H_0 N(u_1, v_1) = N_1$ , the long homology sequence arguments imply that the third complex is also acyclic and that

$$0 \rightarrow M'_1 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M''_1 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. An easy induction gives the required result.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$  is an  $M$ -exact sequence of pairs, then*

- (a)  $\text{Ann}_M(v_1 v_2 \dots v_i R) = u_1 M + \dots + u_i M$ ,
- (b)  $\text{Ann}_M(u_1 R + \dots + u_i R) = v_1 v_2 \dots v_i M$

for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Proof. For  $i = 1$  the equality (a) immediately follows from the definition of an  $M$ -exact pair. Assume that (a) holds for some  $i < n$ . If  $m \in M$ , then  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{i+1} m = 0$  if and only if  $v_{i+1} m \in u_1 M + \dots + u_i M$ . Hence by the  $M_i$ -exactness of the pair  $(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1})$  (a) holds also for  $i+1$ . Equality (b) may be proved in a similar way.

Now we shall give a homological characterization of modules from the category  $E_R(u, v)$  assuming that  $R \in E_R(u, v)$ . First we prove the following technical result.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $(u, v)$  be an exact pair in  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For  $\bar{M} = M/uM$  and  $\bar{R} = R/uR$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(u, v)$  is  $M$ -exact.
- (2)  $\text{Tor}_n^R(\bar{R}, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- (3)  $\text{Tor}_n^R(N, M) = \text{Tor}_n^{\bar{R}}(N, \bar{M})$  for  $n \geq 1$  and any  $\bar{R}$ -module  $N$ .
- (4)  $\text{Ext}_R^n(\bar{R}, M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- (5)  $\text{Ext}_R^n(N, M) = \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}}^n(N, \bar{M})$  for  $n \geq 1$  and any  $\bar{R}$ -module  $N$ .

Proof. (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2) and (5)  $\rightarrow$  (4) are obvious. By the assumption  $R(u, v)$  is a projective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $\bar{R}$ . Hence

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(\bar{R}, M) = H_n(R(u, v) \otimes_R M) = H_n(M(u, v)),$$

which shows that the statements (1) and (2) are equivalent. The proof of (1)  $\leftrightarrow$  (4) is similar.

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (5). By [4, XVI, § 5] the natural ring epimorphism  $R \rightarrow \bar{R}$  induces a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = \text{Ext}_R^p(N, \text{Ext}_R^q(\bar{R}, M)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\bar{R}}^p(N, \bar{M}),$$

which gives (5) because

$$\text{Ext}_{\bar{R}}^0(\bar{R}, M) = \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R}, M) = vM = \bar{M}.$$

Implication (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) may be proved in a similar way. The lemma follows.

We are now able to prove

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Let  $(u, v)$  be an exact sequence of pairs in a ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M \in E_R(u, v)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Tor}_m^R(R_i, M) = 0$  for all  $m > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Ext}_R^m(R_i, M) = 0$  for all  $m > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Since  $(u_j, v_j)$  is  $R_{j-1}$ -exact and  $M_{j-1}$ -exact for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , by Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^m(R_i, M) = \text{Ext}_{R_i}^m(R_i, M_i) = \dots = \text{Ext}_{R_i}^m(R_i, M_i) = 0, \quad m \geq 1, i \leq n,$$

as required.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Since  $\text{Ext}_R^m(R_i, M) = 0$ , by Lemma 1.4 the pair  $(u_1, v_1)$  is  $M$ -exact. Suppose that the sequence  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_j, v_j)$  is  $M$ -exact for  $1 \leq j < n$ . Since it is  $R$ -exact by the assumption, Lemma 1.4 yields

$$\text{Ext}_R^m(R_{j+1}, M_j) = \text{Ext}_{R_{j-1}}^m(R_{j+1}, M_{j-1}) = \dots = \text{Ext}_R^m(R_{j+1}, M) = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 1.4 again the pair  $(u_{j+1}, v_{j+1})$  is  $M_j$ -exact and therefore the sequence  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_{j+1}, v_{j+1})$  is  $M$ -exact. This proves the inductive step and hence (i) follows.

The equivalence (i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (ii) may be proved in a similar way.

As an immediate consequence we have

**COROLLARY 1.6.** *If  $R \in E_R(u, v)$ , then any flat and any injective  $R$ -module belongs to  $E_R(u, v)$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism such that  $S$  is either flat or injective as an  $R$ -module. If  $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)$  is an  $R$ -exact sequence of pairs, then the sequence  $(fu_1, fv_1), \dots, (fu_n, fv_n)$  is  $S$ -exact.*

Suppose  $R \in E_R(u, v)$ . It follows from Corollary 1.6 that a morphism  $f$  in the category  $E_R(u, v)$  is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) if and only if it is injective (resp. surjective). Hence, by Proposition 1.2,  $E_R(u, v)$  is an additive category in which every monomorphism has a cokernel and every epimorphism has a kernel. In the next section we give an example which shows that in general  $E_R(u, v)$  is not closed under inverse limits and therefore is not abelian.

We end this section by a short discussion of the exactness of a sequence of pairs  $(u, v)$  with the property  $u_i v_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Observe that any  $h$ -regular sequence (Example 2) has this property.

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Suppose that  $(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$  is a sequence of pairs in  $R$  such that  $u_i v_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $(u, v)$  is exact if and only if the left complex*

$$R^{(j)} = R(u_1, v_1) \otimes_R R(u_2, v_2) \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R R(u_j, v_j)$$

*is a projective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $R_j = R/(u_1, \dots, u_j)$  for any  $j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We apply arguments from [1], p. 244. Fix  $1 < j \leq n$  and suppose that the complex  $R^{(j-1)}$  is acyclic. By [4, XV, § 6] there is a spectral sequence such that  $E_{pq}^0 = R_q^{(j-1)} \otimes_R R(u_j, v_j)_p$ . Our assumption yields

$$E_{pq}^1 = \begin{cases} R_{j-1} \otimes_R R(u_j, v_j)_p & \text{for } q = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } q \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and it is clear that  $d_{p0}^1$  is induced by the differential of  $R(u_j, v_j)$ . Then  $E_{pq}^2 = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$  and therefore

$$H_m R^{(j)} = E_{m0}^2 = H_m E_{*0}^1 = H_m(R_{j-1} \otimes_R R(u_j, v_j))$$

for any  $m \geq 0$ . Consequently, if  $R^{(j-1)}$  is acyclic, then the complex  $R^{(j)}$  is acyclic if and only if the pair  $(u_j, v_j)$  is  $R_{j-1}$ -exact. Using this fact we can prove the theorem by an easy induction on  $n$ , which we leave to the reader.

**§ 2. Injectivity, projectivity and  $(u, v)$ -exactness.** In this section we look for conditions which ensure either the injectivity or the projectivity of modules from  $E_R(u, v)$  whenever  $R \in E_R(u, v)$ .

Our main result requires the following technical fact.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Suppose that  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism of  $(u, v)$ -exact  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , and put  $\bar{K} = K/uK$  for any  $R$ -module  $K$ . Then*

(a) *if  $f$  is an essential monomorphism (resp. minimal epimorphism), then so is the induced map  $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ .*

(b)  *$f$  is an isomorphism whenever so is  $\bar{f}$  and one of the conditions below is satisfied:*

(i) *the element  $u$  is nilpotent,*

(ii)  *$u \in J(R)$ ,  $M$  is finitely generated and  $N$  is finitely presented.*

*Proof.* (a) Assume that  $f$  is an essential monomorphism. Then, by Proposition 1.2,  $\bar{f}$  is a monomorphism, and hence  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  may be regarded as inclusions. Let  $\bar{x}$  be a non-zero element of  $\bar{N}$ . Then  $x \notin uN = \text{Ann}_N v$  and hence  $vx \neq 0$ . Since  $M \subset N$  is essential, there exists an  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq rvx \in M$ . But  $u(rvx) = 0$  implies  $rvx = vm$  for a certain  $m \in M$ , or equivalently  $v(rx - m) = 0$ . Consequently,  $rx - m \in uN$  and therefore  $0 \neq r\bar{x} = \bar{m} \in \bar{M}$  since  $vr\bar{x} \neq 0$ . This shows that  $\bar{f}$  is essential.

Now if  $f$  is a minimal epimorphism, then, by Proposition 1.2,  $\bar{f}$  is an epimorphism and  $\text{Ker } \bar{f} = \text{Ker } f/u(\text{Ker } f)$ , which implies  $\bar{f}$  is minimal.

(b) Assume that (ii) is satisfied. Since  $\bar{f}$  is an isomorphism, we have  $\text{Im } f + uN = N$  and  $\text{Ker } f = u(\text{Ker } f)$ . By [3, I, § 2, Lemma 9]  $\text{Ker } f$  is finitely generated and therefore  $f$  is an isomorphism by the Nakayama Lemma. The proof in case (i) is similar.

**PROPOSITION 2.2** *Let  $(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$  be an exact sequence of pairs in  $R$  such that  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then*

(a)  *$M$  is injective if and only if  $M$  is  $(u, v)$ -exact and  $M_n$  is an injective  $R_n$ -module.*

(b)  $M$  is projective if and only if  $M$  is  $(u, v)$ -exact,  $M_n$  is  $R_n$ -projective and  $M$  has a projective cover.

Proof. (a) The general case follows from the case  $n = 1$  by an easy induction. Assume  $n = 1$ . If  $M$  is injective then by Corollary 1.6 it is  $(u_1, v_1)$ -exact and, by Lemma 1.4,  $M_1$  is  $R_1$ -injective. Conversely, assume that  $M$  is  $(u_1, v_1)$ -exact and that  $M_1$  is  $R_1$ -injective. Now if  $f: M \rightarrow Q$  is an injective envelope of the  $R_1$ -module  $M$ , then by Lemmas 1.4 and 2.1 the induced map  $f_1: M_1 \rightarrow Q_1$  is an injective envelope of the  $R_1$ -module  $M_1$ . Hence  $f_1$  is an isomorphism and it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $f$  is an isomorphism. Assertion (b) may be proved in a similar way.

We now prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with an exact sequence of pairs  $(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$  such that  $J(R) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $R$  is artinian,
- (b)  $\text{Proj}_R = E_R(u, v) = \text{Inj}_R$ ,
- (c)  $R$  is noetherian and  $\text{Fl}_R = E_R(u, v)$ ,
- (d)  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius,

where  $\text{Proj}_R, \text{Inj}_R$  and  $\text{Fl}_R$  denote the classes of all projective, injective and flat  $R$ -modules, respectively.

Proof. The implication (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b) follows from Proposition 2.2 and (b)  $\rightarrow$  (d)  $\rightarrow$  (a) is a consequence of Theorem 5.3 in [6]. Hence, in view of [2], (b) implies (c). Finally, if (c) is satisfied, then by Corollary 1.6 every injective  $R$ -module is flat and it follows from [8, Proposition 4.2] that  $R$  is self-injective. Consequently,  $R$  is a quasi-Frobenius ring and the proof is complete.

Suppose we are given an exact sequence of pairs  $(u, v)$  in a ring  $R$  such that  $E_R(u, v) = \text{Fl}_R$ . If  $R$  is coherent, then by [7, Theorem 5] the category  $E_R(u, v)$  is closed under inverse limits if and only if  $\text{w.gl.dim } R \leq 2$ .

Now let  $S = Z_2[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2)$  and consider the following exact sequence of pairs  $(u, v) = ((\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_1), \dots, (\bar{X}_n, \bar{X}_n))$  in  $S$  where  $\bar{X}_i$  is the residue class of  $X_i$ . Then  $\text{w.gl.dim } S$  is infinite and, by Theorem 2.3,  $E_S(u, v) = \text{Fl}_S$ . It follows that  $E_S(u, v)$  is not closed under inverse limits.

We now give an example of a quasi-Frobenius local ring  $A$  without any exact pair  $(u, v)$  with  $u, v \in J(A)$ .

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $A = K[X, Y, Z]/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2, X(Y-Z), Y(Z-X), Z(X-Y))$  where  $K$  is a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . It is clear that  $A$  is a local artinian ring and the elements  $1, x, y, z, xy$  form a basis of  $A$  over  $K$  where  $x, y, z$  denote the residue classes of  $X, Y, Z$ , respectively. Consider a  $K$ -linear function  $\varphi: A \rightarrow K$  defined by  $\varphi(k_1 + k_2x + k_3y + k_4z + k_5xy) = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + k_5, k_i \in K$ . It is easy to check that the kernel of  $\varphi$  contains no non-zero ideals of  $A$  and therefore, by Theorem 61.3 in [5],  $A$  is a Frobenius  $K$ -algebra. We now verify that there is no exact pair  $(u, v)$  in  $A$  with  $u, v \in J(A)$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $(u, v)$  is such an exact pair

in  $A$ . Then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow vA \rightarrow A \rightarrow uA \rightarrow 0$  is exact and consequently  $l(vA) + l(uA) = l(A) = 5$  where  $l(M)$  denotes the length of an  $A$ -module  $M$ . But this is impossible since, as can easily be shown,  $l(aA) \leq 2$  for any  $a \in J(A)$ .

### § 3. Exact local rings.

DEFINITION 3.1. A commutative local ring  $R$  is called exact if there exists an exact sequence of pairs  $(u, v) = ((u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n))$  in  $R$  such that its unique maximal ideal  $m$  is generated by elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . If  $v_1 = u_1^{h'_1}, \dots, v_n = u_n^{h'_n}$  for certain integers  $h'_i > 0$ , then  $R$  is called an  $h$ -exact ring.

Throughout this section  $R$  denotes a commutative local ring and  $m$  is its unique maximal ideal.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $R$  be an exact local ring (not necessarily noetherian) with an exact sequence of pairs  $(u, v)$  such that  $m = (u_1 \dots u_n)$ . Then  $Rv_1v_2 \dots v_n$  is a unique minimal ideal of  $R$ .

Proof. Let us denote by  $v$  the product  $v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ . By Proposition 1.3  $Rv = R/m$  and therefore  $Rv$  is a minimal ideal. Now if  $I \neq 0$  is a minimal ideal in  $R$ , then  $\text{Ann}_R I = m$  and hence  $Rv = \text{Ann}_R m \supset I$ . Then the minimality of  $Rv$  yields  $I = Rv$ , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $R$  be an  $h$ -exact local ring with an exact sequence of pairs  $(u_1, u_1^{h'_1}), \dots, (u_n, u_n^{h'_n})$  such that  $m = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . Then  $R$  is artinian and its length  $l(R)$  is equal to  $(h'_1 + 1)(h'_2 + 1) \dots (h'_n + 1)$ .

Proof. Since  $R \supset Ru_1 \supset Ru_1^2 \supset \dots \supset Ru_1^{h'_1} \supset (0)$  and there are isomorphisms  $R/Ru_1 \cong Ru_1^i/Ru_1^{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h'_1$ , we have  $l(R) = (h'_1 + 1)l(R/Ru_1)$ . Hence a simple induction gives the required result.

We now prove the following useful technical result.

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose  $R$  is a local ring,  $m = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ ,  $\bar{u}_i^{h_i} = 0$  in the ring  $R_{i-1} = R/(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1})$  and put  $h_i = h_i - 1$ . Then the sequence of pairs  $(u_1, u_1^{h'_1}), \dots, (u_n, u_n^{h'_n})$  is  $R$ -exact if and only if  $u_1^{h'_1}u_2^{h'_2} \dots u_n^{h'_n} \neq 0$ .

Proof. Assume  $u_1^{h'_1} \dots u_n^{h'_n} \neq 0$ . It is not difficult to show that any element  $x$  of  $m$  can be expressed as a sum  $\sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} u_1^{i_1} \dots u_n^{i_n}$  with  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \notin m$  and  $i_k \leq h'_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and thus also in the form

$$x = au_1^{h'_1} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} u_1^{i_1} \dots u_n^{i_n}$$

with  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \notin m, i_1 < h'_1$  and  $i_k \leq h'_k$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $xu_1 = 0$  and let us denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of all tuples  $\langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle$  such that  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \neq 0$  in the above expression of  $x$ . If  $\Gamma$  is non-empty and  $\langle i'_1, \dots, i'_n \rangle$  is its minimal element in the lexicographic order, then

$$0 = xu_1^{i'_1 - i'_1} \dots u_n^{i'_n - i'_n} = a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n} u_1^{i'_1} \dots u_n^{i'_n},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\Gamma$  is empty and therefore  $x = au_1^{h'_1}$ , which shows that  $\text{Ann}_R u_1 = (u_1^{h'_1})$ . Furthermore, a simple computation shows that  $\text{Ann}_R u_1^{h'_1} = (u_1)$ , which proves that the pair  $(u_1, u_1^{h'_1})$  is  $R$ -exact. By our assumption  $\bar{u}_2^{h'_2} \dots \bar{u}_n^{h'_n} \neq 0$  with  $\bar{u}_i = u_i + (u_1) \in R_1$ . Then the sufficiency of the lemma follows by an easy induction. The converse implication is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

We are now able to prove the main result of this section:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $R$  is an  $h$ -exact local ring, then the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr}(R)$  is also an  $h$ -exact local ring.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(u_1, u_1^{h'_1}), \dots, (u_n, u_n^{h'_n})$  is an exact sequence of pairs in  $R$  such that  $m = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . Then for  $s = h'_1 + \dots + h'_n$

$$\text{gr}(R) = R/m + m/m^2 + \dots + m^s$$

because  $m^{s+1} = 0$  by an easy computation. Let us denote by  $\bar{u}_i$  the element  $u_i + m^2 \in \text{gr}(R)$ . Then, applying Lemma 3.3, we conclude that

$$\bar{u}_1^{h'_1} \dots \bar{u}_n^{h'_n} = u_1^{h'_1} \dots u_n^{h'_n} + m^{s+1} \neq 0,$$

and therefore  $(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_1^{h'_1}), \dots, (\bar{u}_n, \bar{u}_n^{h'_n})$  is a  $\text{gr}(R)$ -exact sequence of pairs. The theorem is proved.

We now give an example of an exact local artinian ring which is not  $h$ -exact.

**EXAMPLE 6.** Let  $K$  be a field such that  $(-1)^{1/2} \notin K$  and let

$$R = K[x, y]/(xy, x^2 - y^2).$$

It is easy to see that the elements  $1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, d = \bar{x}^2$  form a  $K$ -basis of  $R$ ,  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $m = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,  $m^2 = (d)$ ,  $m^3 = (0)$  and  $l(R) = 4$ . Moreover, it is easy to verify that the sequence of pairs  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), (\bar{y}, \bar{y})$  is exact. We now prove that there is no exact pair of the form  $(u, u^{h'})$  with  $u \in m$ . Assume, on the contrary, that  $(u, u^{h'})$ ,  $u \in m$ , is an exact pair. Since  $m^3 = (0)$ ,  $h'$  is either 1 or 2. Suppose that  $h' = 1$  and let  $u = t_1 \bar{x} + t_2 \bar{y} + t_3 d$ ,  $t_i \in K$ . Then  $0 = u^2 = (t_1^2 + t_2^2)d$  implies  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$  since  $(-1)^{1/2} \notin K$ . Hence  $u = t_3 d$  and therefore  $\text{Ann}_R u = m$ , which contradicts the exactness of the pair  $(u, u)$ . Now suppose that  $h' = 2$ . Since the exactness of the pair  $(u, u^2)$  implies  $R/Ru \simeq Ru/Ru^2 \simeq Ru^2$ , we have  $4 = l(R) = 3l(R/(u))$  and we again obtain a contradiction. Consequently  $R$  is an exact but not  $h$ -exact local artinian ring.

#### References

- [1] S. Balcerzyk, *The global dimension of the group rings of abelian groups III*, Fund. Math. 67 (1970), pp. 241-250.
- [2] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), pp. 466-488.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique, Algèbre Commutative*, Paris 1961.

- [4] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton, N. J. 1956.
- [5] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, New York 1962.
- [6] C. Faith and E. Walker, *Direct-sum representations of injective modules*, J. Algebra 5 (1967), pp. 203-221.
- [7] C. U. Jensen, *On the vanishing of  $\lim^i$* , J. Algebra 15 (1970), pp. 151-166.
- [8] B. Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), pp. 323-329.
- [9] A. Tyc, *On  $h$ -regular graded algebras*, Fund. Math. 86 (1974), pp. 41-52.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Accepté par la Rédaction le 7. 11. 1975