

## Some functors related to polynomial theory

by

A. Prószyński (Toruń)

**Abstract.** This paper is concerned with the study of functors  $\tilde{I}^m, \hat{I}^m$  which represent such forms  $f$  of degree  $m$  (in the sense of N. Roby) that  $f$  vanishes as a polynomial mapping (resp. that the associated  $m$ -linear form  $Pf$  is zero). The main results (Theorems 5.3 and 6.4) characterize such modules  $X$  that  $\hat{I}^m(X) = 0$  (resp.  $\tilde{I}^m(X) = 0$ ). Sections 7 and 8 yield some structure theorems for  $\tilde{I}^m(X)$

**0. Conventions and notation.** In this paper all rings and algebras are commutative and have the unit element 1; all modules are unitary. We use the following notation:

$R\text{-Mod}$  = the category of all  $R$ -modules,

$R\text{-Alg}$  = the category of all (commutative)  $R$ -algebras,

$\text{Max}(R)$  = the set of all maximal ideals in the ring  $R$ ,

$|X|$  = the cardinality of the set  $X$ .

We abbreviate  $\otimes = \otimes_R$ ,  $\text{Hom} = \text{Hom}_R$  if there is no danger of confusion.

**1. Polynomials and polynomial mappings.** We recall some definitions contained in [2].

For any  $R$ -module  $X$  consider the functor  $X \otimes \_ : R\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ . Any natural transformation  $f = (f_A) : X \otimes \_ \rightarrow Y \otimes \_$  is called a *polynomial* on  $(X, Y)$  (a "loi polynome sur le couple  $(X, Y)$ " in [2]). In a natural way we form the functor  $\mathcal{P} : R\text{-Mod}^0 \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$  where  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  consists of all polynomials on  $(X, Y)$ . We also have the natural transformation  $v : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Map}$  defined by  $v(f) = f_R$ . The mapping  $f_R$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ , is called the *polynomial mapping induced by the polynomial  $f$* . If  $X = R^n$  and  $Y = R$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y) = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and  $v$  is the standard homomorphism which carries  $F \in R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  to  $\bar{F} : R^n \rightarrow R$  where  $\bar{F}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = F(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ .

A polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  is called a *form of degree  $m$*  iff  $f_A(za) = f_A(z)a^m$  for all  $A \in \text{Ob } R\text{-Alg}$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $z \in X \otimes A$ . Restricting our considerations to forms of degree  $m$ , we obtain the functor  $\mathcal{P}^m : R\text{-Mod}^0 \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$  and the natural transformation  $v^m : \mathcal{P}^m \rightarrow \text{Map}$ . Write  $\mathcal{K}^m = \text{Ker } v^m$ ,  $\text{Hom}^m = \text{Im } v^m$ . It is known from [2] that for all  $R$ -modules  $X, Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^0(X, Y) &= \text{Hom}^0(X, Y) = Y, \\ \mathcal{P}^1(X, Y) &= \text{Hom}^1(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X, Y), \\ \mathcal{P}^2(X, Y) &= \text{Hom}^2(X, Y) = \text{Quad}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\mathcal{P}^0 = \mathcal{P}^1 = \mathcal{P}^2 = 0$ . In the general case  $\mathcal{P}^m \neq 0$  for  $m \geq 3$ . The purpose of this paper is the study of these functors.

**2. Representability and the alternate definition of  $\mathcal{P}^m$ .** For any  $R$ -module  $X$  consider the graded divided power  $R$ -algebra  $\Gamma(X) = \bigoplus \Gamma^m(X)$  (see [2] p. 248). Write

$$\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1^{[m_1]} \dots x_k^{[m_k]} \in \Gamma(X).$$

It is easy to prove that  $\Gamma^m(X)$  is the  $R$ -module which is given by the generators

$$\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad k \geq 1, \Sigma m_i = m, x_i \in X$$

and the relations

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{m_s(1) \dots m_s(k)}(x_{s(1)}, \dots, x_{s(k)}) & \text{if } s \text{ is a permutation,} \\ r^{m_1} \gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x, x_2, \dots, x_k) & \text{if } x_1 = rx, \\ \gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(0, x_2, \dots, x_k) & \text{if } m_1 = 0, \\ (m_1, m_2) \gamma_{m_1+m_2, m_3, \dots, m_k}(x, x_3, \dots, x_k) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \\ \sum_{i+j=m_1} \gamma_{ij, m_2, \dots, m_k}(x, y, x_2, \dots, x_k) & \text{if } x_1 = x+y, \end{cases}$$

where  $(i, j) = \binom{i+j}{i} = \binom{i+j}{j}$ . Recall the following

**THEOREM 2.1** ([2], p. 266). *The functor  $\mathcal{P}^m(X, -)$  is represented by  $\Gamma^m(X)$ . More precisely, any  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma^m(X), Y)$  corresponds to  $f \in \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y)$  defined by*

$$f_A(x_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + x_k \otimes a_k) = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_k = m} \varphi(\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \otimes a_1^{m_1} \dots a_k^{m_k}.$$

Let us define the functor  $\mathcal{F}^m: R\text{-Mod}^0 \times R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$  as follows:

- (a) Any  $F \in \mathcal{F}^m(X, Y)$  is a system  $F = (F_{m_1 \dots m_k}, k \geq 1, m_i \geq 0, \Sigma m_i = m)$  of mappings  $F_{m_1 \dots m_k}: X^k \rightarrow Y$  satisfying relations analogous to (2.1)
- (b)  $(rF + sG)_{m_1 \dots m_k} = rF_{m_1 \dots m_k} + sG_{m_1 \dots m_k}, r, s \in R,$
- (c)  $(\mathcal{F}^m(f, g)F)_{m_1 \dots m_k} = g \circ F_{m_1 \dots m_k} \circ f^k.$

In particular,  $\gamma = (\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}) \in \mathcal{F}^m(X, \Gamma^m(X))$ . Theorem 2.1 shows that  $\mathcal{P}^m \approx \mathcal{F}^m$ . More precisely,  $F \in \mathcal{F}^m(X, Y)$  corresponds to such an  $f \in \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y)$  that

$$f_A(x_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + x_k \otimes a_k) = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_k = m} F_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \otimes a_1^{m_1} \dots a_k^{m_k}.$$

In this correspondence  $f_R = F_m$  and hence  $\gamma^m: \mathcal{F}^m \approx \mathcal{P}^m \rightarrow \text{Hom}^m$  carries  $F$  to  $F_m$ .

**3. Defects and the associated multilinear form.** Consider the  $R$ -homomorphisms  $\Delta^k: \text{Map}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(X^k, Y), k \geq 0$ , defined by the formula

$$(\Delta^k f)(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{H \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-|H|} f\left(\sum_{i \in H} x_i\right).$$

An easy verification shows that

$$(3.1) \quad f(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} (\Delta^k f)(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k}).$$

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $F \in \mathcal{F}^m(X, Y)$ , then for any  $k > 0$*

$$\Delta^k F_m = \sum_{i > 0} F_{m_1 \dots m_k}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to verify the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta^{k+1} f)(x_0, \dots, x_k) &= (\Delta^k f)(x_0 + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) - (\Delta^k f)(x_1, \dots, x_k) - (\Delta^k f)(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Relations (2.1) show that

$$F_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_0 + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i+j=m_1} F_{ij, m_2, \dots, m_k}(x_0, \dots, x_k)$$

and

$$F_{0m_1 \dots m_k}(x_0, \dots, x_k) = F_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Induction on  $k$  completes the proof.

In particular,  $\Delta^k F_m = 0$  for  $k > m$  and  $\Delta^m F_m = F_{1 \dots 1}$  for  $m > 0$ . Relations (2.1) show that  $F_{1 \dots 1} \in \text{Sym}^m(X, Y)$ , i.e.,  $F_{1 \dots 1}$  is  $m$ -linear and symmetric. This mapping is called the  $m$ -linear form associated with  $F$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y)$ . Then we have the associated form  $\Delta^m f_R$  which is denoted by  $Pf$  (cf. [2], p. 234).

Put  $\mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) \mid Pf = 0\}$ . Then we have the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^m} & \text{Hom}^m(X, Y) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & \downarrow \Delta^m \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{P} & \text{Sym}^m(X, Y) & \end{array}$$

in which all homomorphisms are natural.

**4. Representability of  $\mathcal{P}^m, \mathcal{P}^m, \text{Hom}^m$ .** The natural transformations of representable functors

$$\gamma^m: \mathcal{P}^m(X, -) \rightarrow \text{Map}(X, -), \quad P: \mathcal{P}^m(X, -) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(X, -)$$

induce the  $R$ -homomorphisms

$$F(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^m(X), \quad x \mapsto \gamma_m(x),$$

$$S^m(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^m(X), \quad x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_m + \gamma_{1 \dots 1}(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

Since Hom is left exact, it follows that the cokernels of these homomorphisms represent the functors  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^m(X, -)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^m(X, -)$ . More precisely:

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *The functor  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^m(X, -)$  is represented by*

$$\tilde{F}^m(X) = \frac{\Gamma^m(X)}{R\{\gamma_m(x) \mid x \in X\}}$$

The functor  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^m(X, -)$  is represented by

$$\hat{F}^m(X) = \frac{\Gamma^m(X)}{R\{\gamma_{1\dots 1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in X\}}$$

Let us write  $\bar{\Gamma}^m(X) = R\{\gamma_m(x) \mid x \in X\} \subset \Gamma^m(X)$ . The connection of  $\bar{F}^m$  and  $\text{Hom}^m$  is described in

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Hom}^m(X, -)$  is representable,
- (ii)  $\text{Hom}^m(X, -)$  is represented by  $\bar{F}^m(X)$ ,
- (iii) the exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^m(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^m(X) \rightarrow \tilde{F}^m(X) \rightarrow 0$$

splits.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $\text{Hom}^m(X, -)$  be represented by the  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{F}^m(X), -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma^m(X), -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, -) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the commutative diagram with an exact row

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M & \rightarrow & \Gamma^m(X) & \rightarrow & \tilde{F}^m(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & M & & & & \end{array}$$

where  $\Gamma^m(X) \rightarrow M$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma^m(X), M)$  which corresponds to the identity in  $\text{Hom}(M, M)$ . This completes the proof.

Using standard methods of homological algebra, we obtain another connection:

**COROLLARY 4.3.** (4.1) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^m(X, -) \xrightarrow{a} \text{Hom}(\bar{\Gamma}^m(X), -) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Ext}^1(\tilde{F}^m(X), -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\Gamma^m(X), -) \rightarrow \dots$$

If  $X$  is projective, then  $\Gamma^m(X)$  is also projective and hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^m(X, -) \xrightarrow{a} \text{Hom}(\bar{\Gamma}^m(X), -) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Ext}^1(\tilde{F}^m(X), -) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**EXAMPLE 4.4.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $X = R^2 = Re_1 \oplus Re_1$ . Then the elements

$$\gamma_{3,0} = \gamma_3(e_1), \quad \gamma_{2,1} = \gamma_{2,1}(e_1, e_2), \quad \gamma_{1,2} = \gamma_{1,2}(e_1, e_2), \quad \gamma_{0,3} = \gamma_3(e_2)$$

form a basis of  $\Gamma^3(X)$ . (For the proof see [2], p. 272.)  $\bar{\Gamma}^3(X)$  is generated by

$$\gamma_3(r_1 e_1 + r_2 e_2) = r_1^3 \gamma_{3,0} + r_1^2 r_2 \gamma_{2,1} + r_1 r_2^2 \gamma_{1,2} + r_2^3 \gamma_{0,3}, \quad r_1, r_2 \in R.$$

Putting  $(r_1, r_2) = (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1)$ , we get

$$\gamma_{3,0}, \gamma_{0,3}, \gamma_{1,2} + \gamma_{2,1}, \gamma_{1,2} - \gamma_{2,1} \in \bar{\Gamma}^3(X).$$

It is easy to see that these elements are generators of  $\bar{\Gamma}^3(X)$ . Hence  $\bar{\Gamma}^3(X) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2$  and, by Corollary 4.2,  $\text{Hom}^3(X, -)$  is not representable. Corollary 4.3 gives the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^3(X, -) \xrightarrow{a} \text{Hom}(\bar{\Gamma}^3(X), -) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes - \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us exchange  $\text{Hom}^m(X, Y)$  for  $\text{Hom}(\bar{\Gamma}^m(X), Y)$  in (3.2). Then we obtain the induced commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^m(X) & \rightarrow & \Gamma^m(X) & \rightarrow & \hat{F}^m(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^m(X) & \rightarrow & \Gamma^m(X) & \rightarrow & \tilde{F}^m(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which all homomorphisms are natural. It is known that  $\Gamma^m, S^m$  commute with direct limits (for  $\Gamma^m$  see [2], p. 277). Using standard methods, we can prove

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *All functors in (4.2) commute with direct limits.*

**5. The functor  $\hat{F}^m$ .** Let us denote by  $\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  the class of  $\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  in  $\hat{F}^m(X)$ .

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Any ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A$  induces the natural  $A$ -isomorphism*

$$\hat{F}_R^m(X) \otimes A \approx \hat{F}_A^m(X \otimes A).$$

**Proof.** Consider the diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow K_1 & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_R^m(X) \otimes A & \rightarrow & \hat{F}_R^m(X) \otimes A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & & & \\ 0 \rightarrow K_2 & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_A^m(X \otimes A) & \rightarrow & \hat{F}_A^m(X \otimes A) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $f$  denotes the standard isomorphism (see [2], p. 262) which carries  $\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \otimes 1$  to  $\gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k}(x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_k \otimes 1)$ . Since

$$K_1 = A\{\gamma_{1\dots 1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \otimes 1\},$$

$$K_2 = A\{\gamma_{1\dots 1}(x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_m \otimes 1)\},$$

it follows that  $K_1 \approx K_2$  by  $f$ . Now it suffices to complete the above diagram.



LEMMA 5.2. Let  $X$  be a free  $R$ -module and let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$  be a basis of  $X$ . Then

$$\tilde{F}^m(X) = \bigoplus R\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_N}^0(e_1, \dots, e_N)$$

and

$$R\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_N}^0(e_1, \dots, e_N) \approx \frac{R}{m_1! \dots m_N! R}$$

Proof. From [2], p. 272, it follows that  $\{\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_N}(e_1, \dots, e_N) \mid m_1 + \dots + m_N = m\}$  is a basis of  $\Gamma^m(X)$ . The image of  $S^m(X)$  in  $\Gamma^m(X)$  is generated by

$$\gamma_{1 \dots 1}(\underbrace{e_1, \dots, e_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{e_N, \dots, e_N}_{m_N}) = m_1! \dots m_N! \gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_N}(e_1, \dots, e_N).$$

This completes the proof.

From the above lemmas we get the following

THEOREM 5.3. Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tilde{F}_R^m(X) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{F}_{R/I}^m(X/IX) = 0$  for any  $I \in \text{Max}(R)$ ,
- (iii) for any  $I \in \text{Max}(R)$  either  $X = IX$  or  $m! \notin I$ .

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from the Nakayama Lemma and Lemma 5.1. For the proof of (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) observe that, in the case of a linear space over a field,  $\tilde{F}^m(X) = 0$  iff  $X = 0$  or  $m! \neq 0$  by Lemma 5.2. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 5.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tilde{F}_R^m = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{F}_R^m(R) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $m!$  is invertible in  $R$ ,
- (iv)  $S^m \rightarrow \Gamma^m$  is bijective.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from Theorem 5.3. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from [2], p. 256.

In the next section we prove similar results for  $\tilde{F}^m$ .

**6. The functor  $\tilde{F}^m$ .** Let us denote by  $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  the class of  $\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  in  $\tilde{F}^m(X)$ . We first prove

THEOREM 6.1. Any ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A$  induces the natural  $A$ -epimorphism

$$g: \tilde{F}_R^m(X) \otimes A \rightarrow \tilde{F}_A^m(X \otimes A)$$

which carries  $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \otimes 1$  to  $\tilde{\gamma}_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_k \otimes 1)$ . Moreover, if any element of  $X \otimes A$  has a form  $x \otimes a$  where  $x \in X$  and  $a \in A$ , then  $g$  is bijective.

Proof. Observe that the standard isomorphism  $f$  (see the proof to Lemma 5.1) carries the submodule

$$K = A\{\gamma_m(x) \otimes 1 \mid x \in X\} \subset \Gamma_R^m(X) \otimes A$$

into  $\tilde{\Gamma}_A^m(X \otimes A)$ . Hence we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow K \subset \Gamma_R^m(X) \otimes A & \rightarrow & \tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X) \otimes A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \approx \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ 0 \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_A^m(X \otimes A) & \subset & \Gamma_A^m(X \otimes A) & \rightarrow & \tilde{\Gamma}_A^m(X \otimes A) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The snake lemma (see [1], Proposition 2.10) shows that  $g$  is surjective and

$$\text{Ker } g \approx \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_A^m(X \otimes A)}{A\{\gamma_m(x \otimes 1) \mid x \in X\}}$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 6.2.  $\tilde{F}_{R_S}^m(X_S) \approx \tilde{F}_R^m(X)_S$  for any multiplicative  $S \subset R$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_{R/I}^m(X/IX) \approx \tilde{F}_R^m(X)/I\tilde{F}_R^m(X) \quad \text{for any ideal } I \subset R$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_{R \times R}^m(X \times X') \approx \tilde{F}_R^m(X) \times \tilde{F}_R^m(X')$$

Now we study the conditions under which  $\tilde{F}^m$  vanishes. We first prove

LEMMA 6.3. If  $K$  is a field then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tilde{F}_K^m(K^N) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P}_K^m(K^N, K) = 0$ ,
- (iii) every form of degree  $m$  in  $K[T_1, \dots, T_N]$  which vanishes as a mapping is zero,
- (iv)  $N \leq 1$  or  $m \leq |K|$ .

Proof. Equivalences (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) are evident. If  $N > 1$  and  $m > |K|$  then the non-zero form  $T_1^{m-|K|-1}(T_1^{|K|}T_2 - T_1T_2^{|K|})$  vanishes as the mapping  $K^N \rightarrow K$ .

Conversely, we prove (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). The case  $N \leq 1$  is evident. Let  $m \leq |K|$  and let  $F \in K[T_1, \dots, T_N]$  be a form of degree  $m$ . The condition  $F(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) = 0$  implies that all degrees of  $T_i$  in  $F$  are  $< |K|$ . If such a polynomial vanishes as a mapping, then it is zero (for the proof apply induction on  $N$ ). This completes the proof.

In the general case we have

THEOREM 6.4. If  $X$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tilde{F}_R^m(X) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{F}_{R/I}^m(X/IX) = 0$  for any  $I \in \text{Max}(R)$ ,
- (iii) for any  $I \in \text{Max}(R)$  either  $\dim_{R/I} X/IX \leq 1$  or  $m \leq |R/I|$ .

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) by the Nakayama Lemma and Corollary 6.2.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) by Lemma 6.3.

The above theorem and Corollary 4.5 immediately imply

COROLLARY 6.5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(R^2) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $m \leq d(R)$ ,

where  $d(R) = \inf\{|R/I|; I \in \text{Max}(R)\}$ . In particular  $\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m = 0$  for all  $m$  iff  $d(R)$  is infinite.

EXAMPLE 6.6. Let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $Z$ -module. Let  $N$  (resp.  $N_p$  for any prime  $p$ ) denote the number of those summands in the canonical decomposition of  $X$  which are isomorphic to  $Z$  (resp. to  $Z_{p^n}$  for some  $n$ ). Then Theorem 6.4 shows that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_Z^m(X) = 0$  iff  $N + N_p \leq 1$  for any prime  $p < m$ .

7. Modules  $\tilde{\Gamma}^m(X)$  over integral domains. In this section,  $R$  denotes an infinite integral domain.

Observe that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)_{(0)} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{R_{(0)}}^m(X_{(0)}) = 0$  by Corollary 6.2 and 6.5. Hence we get

COROLLARY 7.1. *All  $\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)$  are torsion  $R$ -modules. In particular, if  $X$  is finitely generated, then  $\text{Ann}(\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)) \neq 0$ .*

Note also two consequences of the above fact:

COROLLARY 7.2.  $v^m: \mathcal{P}_R^m(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R^m(-, Y)$  is bijective for any natural  $m$  and any torsion-free  $R$ -module  $Y$ .

(Compare also [2], Proposition I.8.)

COROLLARY 7.3. *If  $X$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_R^m(X, -)$  is representable,
- (ii)  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_R^m(X, -) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{rank } X \leq 1$  or  $m \leq d(R)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) follows from Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2 since  $\Gamma^m(X)$  is projective and  $\tilde{\Gamma}^m(X)$  is a torsion  $R$ -module.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follows from Theorem 6.4.

If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then we can prove some structural theorems.

THEOREM 7.4. *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and let  $X$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If*

$$\text{Ann}(\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)) = \prod_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} P^{n_P}$$

and  $k_P \geq n_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Max}(R)$ , then there exists a natural  $R$ -isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X) \approx \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} \tilde{\Gamma}_{R/P^{k_P}}^m(X/P^{k_P}X)$$

induced by  $X \rightarrow X/P^{k_P}X$ .

Proof. Let  $n_P = 0$  for  $P \neq P_1, \dots, P_s$  and  $k_i \geq n_{P_i}$ . Then

$$I = P_1^{k_1} \dots P_s^{k_s} \subset \text{Ann}(\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)).$$

Since  $P_i^{k_i} + P_j^{k_j} = R$  for all  $i \neq j$ , it follows that

$$R/I \approx \prod_{i=1}^s R/P_i^{k_i}$$

(see [1], Proposition 1.10). Hence by Corollary 6.2

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)}{I\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)} \approx \tilde{\Gamma}_{R/I}^m\left(\frac{X}{IX}\right) \approx \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \tilde{\Gamma}_{R/P_i^{k_i}}^m\left(\frac{X}{P_i^{k_i}X}\right).$$

If  $P \neq P_1, \dots, P_s$ , then  $I + P^k = R$  for any natural  $k$ . Hence

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{R/P^k}^m\left(\frac{X}{P^kX}\right) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)}{P^k\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X)} = 0.$$

COROLLARY 7.5. *If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then there exists a natural  $R$ -isomorphism*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_R^m(X) \approx \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} \tilde{\Gamma}_{R_P}^m(X_P)$$

induced by  $X \rightarrow X_P$ .

Proof. If  $X$  is finitely generated, then we apply the above theorem to  $X$  and  $X_P$  for  $P \in \text{Max}(R)$ . Next we apply Corollary 4.5.

Analogously we can prove

COROLLARY 7.6.

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_Z^m(X) \approx \bigoplus_P \tilde{\Gamma}_{Z_p}^m(X \otimes_Z Z_p)$$

where  $Z_p$  denotes the ring of  $p$ -adic integers.

8. The structure of  $\tilde{\Gamma}^m(R^N)$ . For any natural  $m, k$  write

$$\Gamma^{mk} = R\{\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(e_1, \dots, e_k) \mid m_j > 0, \sum m_j = m\} \subset \Gamma^m(R^k),$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{mk} = R\{(A^k \gamma_m)(r_1 e_1, \dots, r_k e_k) \mid r_j \in R\} \subset \bar{\Gamma}^m(R^k),$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  form the standard basis of  $R^k$ . Lemma 3.1 shows that  $\bar{\Gamma}^{mk} \subset \Gamma^{mk}$ . Hence we can define  $\tilde{\Gamma}^{mk} = \Gamma^{mk}/\bar{\Gamma}^{mk}$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{\Gamma}^{mk} = 0$  for  $k = 1$  and  $k \geq m$ .

THEOREM 8.1. *If  $e_i, i \in I$ , form a basis of  $X$  and  $I$  is ordered by  $<$ , then for any natural  $m$*

$$\Gamma^m(X) = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} R\{\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \mid m_j > 0, \sum m_j = m\},$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}^m(X) = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} R\{(A^k \gamma_m)(r_1 e_{i_1}, \dots, r_k e_{i_k}) \mid r_j \in R\},$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^m(X) = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} R\{\tilde{\gamma}_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \mid m_j > 0, \sum m_j = m\}.$$

Moreover, the above summands are isomorphic with  $\Gamma^{mk}, \bar{\Gamma}^{mk}, \tilde{\Gamma}^{mk}$ , respectively.

Proof. The first decomposition is evident since  $\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k})$  form a basis of  $\Gamma^m(X)$  (see [2], p. 272). The second follows from formula (3.1) applied to  $\gamma_m(\sum r_i e_i)$ . The third we obtain by division. The evident isomorphism

$$R\{\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) \mid m_j > 0, \sum m_j = m\} \approx \Gamma^{mk}$$

induces the next two isomorphisms.

COROLLARY 8.2.

$$\bar{\Gamma}^m(R^N) \approx \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \binom{N}{k} \bar{\Gamma}^{mk}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}^m(R^N) \approx \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \binom{N}{k} \tilde{\Gamma}^{mk} = \bigoplus_{k=2}^{\min(N, m-1)} \binom{N}{k} \tilde{\Gamma}^{mk}.$$

EXAMPLE 8.3. Example 4.4 shows that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_Z^{3,2} = \tilde{\Gamma}_Z^3(Z^2) = Z_2$ . Hence

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_Z^3(Z^N) = Z_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_2 \quad \left( \binom{N}{2} \text{-times} \right).$$

We get another application in the case where  $R = K$  is a finite field. Write

$$M_{mk} = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \mid m_i > 0, \sum m_i = m\},$$

$$N_{mk} = \{(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}) \mid m_i \geq 0, \sum m_i \leq m - k\},$$

$$A_{mk}^q = \{(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}) \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq q-2, \sum m_i \leq m - k\}.$$

THEOREM 8.4. If  $|K| = q$  then  $\dim \bar{\Gamma}^{mk} = |A_{mk}^q|$ .

Proof. We can assume that  $1 < k < m$ . Write

$$E(x, (m)) = x_1^{m_1} \dots x_k^{m_k} \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in K^k, (m) = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in N^k.$$

Then  $\gamma_{(m)}(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ ,  $(m) \in M_{mk}$  form a basis of  $\Gamma^{mk}$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}^{mk}$  is generated by  $\sum E(x, (m)) \gamma_{(m)}(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ ,  $x \in K^k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \bar{\Gamma}^{mk} &= \text{rank}(E(x, (m))), \quad x \in K^k, \quad (m) \in M_{mk} \\ &= \text{rank}(E(x, (m))), \quad x \in (K^*)^k, \quad (m) \in M_{mk} \\ &= \text{rank}(E(x, (m))), \quad x \in (K^*)^{k-1}, \quad (m) \in N_{mk} \end{aligned}$$

where  $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $r^i = r^j$  for any  $r \in K^*$  and  $i \equiv j \pmod{q-1}$ , we can assume that  $0 \leq m_i \leq q-2$ . This means that

$$\dim \bar{\Gamma}^{mk} = \text{rank}(E(x, (m))), \quad x \in (K^*)^{k-1}, \quad (m) \in A_{mk}^q.$$

It suffices to prove that the columns of the above matrix are linearly independent. Observe that these columns are contained in any analogous matrix constructed for  $m' > m$ . Hence we can assume that  $m \geq k + (k-1)(q-2)$ . In this case, we have the quadratic matrix since  $A_{mk}^q = \{(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}) \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq q-2\}$ . We must prove that its determinant is non-zero. This condition is equivalent to the following:

(\*) If  $F = \sum_{m_i \leq q-2} r_{m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}} T_1^{m_1} \dots T_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}} \in K[T_1, \dots, T_{k-1}]$  vanishes on  $(K^*)^{k-1}$  then  $F = 0$ .

The above property can easily be proved by induction on  $k$ .

The above theorem and Corollary 8.2 show that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \bar{\Gamma}^m(K^N) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \binom{N}{k+1} |A_{m, k+1}^q| = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \binom{s}{k} |A_{m, k+1}^q| \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} |\{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \mid 1 \leq m_i \leq q-1, \sum m_i \leq m-1\}| \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} |\{(m_1, \dots, m_s) \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq q-1, \sum m_i \leq m-1\}| = |B_{mN}^q|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\binom{p}{q} = 0$  for  $p < q$ , and

$$B_{mN}^q = \{(m_1, \dots, m_s) \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq q-1, \sum m_i \leq m-1, s = 0, 1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

COROLLARY 8.5. If  $|K| = q$  then  $\dim \bar{\Gamma}^m(K^N) = |B_{mN}^q|$ . In particular:

(1) If  $m-1 \geq (N-1)(q-1)$  then

$$\dim \bar{\Gamma}^m(K^N) = 1 + q + \dots + q^{N-1} = \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1},$$

$$\dim \tilde{\Gamma}^m(K^N) = \binom{m+N-1}{N-1} - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1}.$$

(2)

$$\dim \tilde{\Gamma}^m(K^2) = \max(0, m - q).$$

#### References

- [1] M. Atiyah and I. Mac Donald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley 1969.
- [2] N. Roby, *Lois polynômes et lois formelles en théorie des modules*, Ann. Éc. Norm. Sup. 80 (1963), pp. 213-348.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Accepté par la Rédaction le 22. 9. 1975