

Write  $F = \kappa^{-1}(I)$ ,  $A' = A \cap F$  and let us consider the metric spaces  $(A', \varrho)$ . We shall show that this is an absolutely Borel space <sup>(2)</sup>. We adopt the notation of the proof of Lemma 3. Let  $F_m = \bigcup \{G_{sm} : s \in S\}$ . Since  $G_{sm}$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set and  $\varrho(G_{sm}, G_{tm}) \geq 1/m$  for distinct  $s, t$ , we infer that  $F = X \setminus \bigcup F_m$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \varrho)$ . Thus  $(F, \varrho)$  is an absolutely Borel space and so is  $(A', \varrho)$ , as  $A'$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(F, \varrho)$ . By Lemma 1 the space  $(A', \varrho)$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete and thus by a Theorem of A. H. Stone ([6], Theorem 1) it must contain a Cantor set. This gives the contradiction, because separable subspaces of  $(A', \varrho)$  are countable (compare with [6], Sec. 5).

Remark 4. Let  $E$  be the space considered in the Example (Sec. 1). One can prove (see R. Pol, Comment. Math. 22 (1977)) that the product  $E^{\aleph_0}$  is perfectly normal, while  $E$  is not paracompact.

<sup>(2)</sup> A metrizable space is absolutely Borel if it can be embedded as a Borel subspace in a completely metrizable space.

#### References

- [1] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [2] G. Fodor, *Eine Bemerkung zur Theorie der regressiven Funktionen*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 17 (1956), pp. 139–142.
- [3] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, Math. Centre Tracts 34, Amsterdam 1971.
- [4] E. Pol and R. Pol, *A hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional space with a subspace of positive dimension and an  $N$ -compact space of positive dimension*, Fund. Math. 97 (1977), pp. 43–50.
- [5] R. Pol, *Note on decompositions of metrizable spaces I*, Fund. Math. 95 (1977), pp. 95–103.
- [6] A. H. Stone, *On  $\sigma$ -discreteness and Borel isomorphism*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), pp. 655–666.
- [7] — *Non-separable Borel sets II*, Gen. Top. and Appl. 2 (1972), pp. 249–270.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY  
WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI I MECHANIKI UNIwersYTETU WARSZAWSKIEGO

Accepté par la Rédaction le 18. 8. 1975

## A hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional space with a subspace of positive dimension and an $N$ -compact space of positive dimension

by

Elżbieta Pol and Roman Pol (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** In this paper we give a solution of an old Čech's problem on dimension by constructing a hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional space containing a subspace of positive dimension. We give also an example of an  $N$ -compact space of positive dimension.

The aim of this paper is to construct spaces with the properties mentioned in the title.

The problem of existence of a hereditarily normal space  $X$  containing a subspace with the covering dimension greater than the covering dimension of  $X$  is an old problem of Čech (see [2]; compare also [7] Appendix, [3], [11] Problem 11-14, [1] VII, Introduction). Recently, V. V. Filippov [6] showed that the existence of a Souslin Tree yields a space of this kind. Further examples, with many additional properties, were constructed by V. V. Fedorčuk [5]; he used, however, some additional set theoretic assumptions, too. The example we shall construct needs only the usual axioms for the set theory. It solves at the same time a problem on the local dimension raised by C. H. Dowker in [3].

The problem of existence of a closed subspace with the positive covering dimension in a product of countable discrete spaces appears in the natural way in the theory of  $N$ -compactness (see [12]). It was solved recently by S. Mrówka [10] (see also [13]). We give another example of this kind (it seems to us that it is simpler than the Mrówka's one).

**1. Notation and terminology.** Our terminology will follow [4]. We shall use the following notation:  $I$  denotes the closed real unit interval,  $Q$  stands for rationals of  $I$ ,  $P$  — for irrationals of  $I$  and  $N$  — for natural numbers. For an ordinal  $\alpha$  we shall denote by  $D(\alpha)$  the set of all ordinals less than  $\alpha$  with the discrete topology and by  $W(\alpha)$  the same set with the order topology. The word "dimension" will denote the covering dimension  $\dim$  (see [4], § 7.1); a space  $X$  with  $\dim X = 0$  is called *strongly zero-dimensional*. We say that the *local dimension* of a space  $X$  is at most  $n$  (abbreviated  $\text{locdim } X \leq n$ ) if each point  $x \in X$  has an open neighbour-

hood  $U$  with  $\dim \bar{U} \leq n$  (see [3] and [11] Definition 11-6). All spaces under discussion are assumed completely regular.

**2. Auxiliary construction.** The construction of the Broom due to Knaster and Kuratowski (see [8] and [4] P. 6.3.23) is a source of the following observation which play the key role in the sequel.

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A$  a subspace of  $X$  and let  $Q_0 \supset \{0, 1\}$  be a subset of  $Q$  such that the set  $Q_1 = Q \setminus Q_0$  is dense in  $Q$ . Let

$$B(X, A) = (X \times Q_0) \cup (A \times P) \cup [(X \setminus A) \times Q_1]$$

be the subspace of the Cartesian product  $X \times I$ . For  $Y \subset X$  put

$$C(Y) = (Y \times I) \cap B(X, A) = B(Y, A \cap Y).$$

We have the following

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $A$  is not an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ , then for arbitrary  $q, q' \in Q_0$  the sets  $X \times \{q\}$  and  $X \times \{q'\}$  cannot be separated in  $B(X, A)$  by the empty set. In particular,  $\dim B(X, A) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B(X, A)$  is the union of two disjoint open-and-closed subsets  $U$  and  $U'$  such that  $U \supset X \times \{q\}$  and  $U' \supset X \times \{q'\}$ . The set  $F = \bar{U} \cap \bar{U}'$ , where bar denotes the closure in  $X \times I$ , separates the sets  $X \times \{q\}$  and  $X \times \{q'\}$  in  $X \times I$  and  $F \cap B(X, A) = \emptyset$ . For each  $s \in Q_1$  the set  $F(s) = \{x \in X: (x, s) \in F\}$  is closed in  $X$ . We shall show that  $A = \bigcup_{s \in Q_1} F(s)$ , i.e. that  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $X$ . Indeed, if  $x \in F(s)$  for some  $s \in Q_1$  then  $(x, s) \in F = F \setminus B(X, A)$ , hence  $x \in A$ ; for every  $x \in X$  there exists a  $t \in I$  such that  $(x, t) \in F = F \setminus B(X, A)$  and if  $x \in A$ , then  $t \in Q_1$  so that  $x \in F(t)$ .

**3. A hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional space with a subspace of positive dimension.** C. H. Dowker [3] showed that the existence of such a space is equivalent to the existence of a hereditarily normal space  $L$  with  $\text{locdim} L = 0 < \dim L$  (see [11] Remark 11-18); for the construction of  $L$  we shall need the following

**LEMMA 2.** *There exists a perfectly normal and locally second-countable space  $K$  with  $\text{locdim} K = 0$  which contains a locally countable subset  $A$  which is not an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $K$ .*

We take the space  $X$  defined in Example of [14] as the space  $K$ ; we recall the construction below. Let  $B(\aleph_1) = D(\omega_1)^N$  be the Baire space of weight  $\aleph_1$  (see [4] Example 4.2.12). For each  $x \in B(\aleph_1)$  let  $\kappa(x) = \min\{\alpha: x(i) < \alpha \text{ for } i \in N\}$  and let  $K$  be the graph  $\{(x, \kappa(x)): x \in B(\aleph_1)\} \subset B(\aleph_1) \times W(\omega_1)$  of the function  $\kappa$ . The space  $K$  is perfectly normal (see [14] Proposition 1) and, since  $K \cap (B(\aleph_1) \times W(\xi)) = K \cap (D(\xi)^N \times W(\xi))$  for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ ,  $K$  is locally second-countable and  $\text{locdim} K$

$= 0$ . Finally, if we choose for each  $\xi < \omega_1$  a point  $x_\xi \in \kappa^{-1}(\xi)$  then the set  $A = \{(x_\xi, \xi): \xi < \omega_1\}$  has the required property, by [14] Remark 3, Proposition 2'.

**EXAMPLE 1.** *There exists a perfectly normal locally second-countable space  $L$  such that  $\text{locdim} L = 0 < \dim L$ .*

Let us put  $Q_0 = \{0, 1\}$  and let  $L = B(K, A)$ , where  $K$  and  $A$  are as in Lemma 2. By Morita's theorem  $L$  is perfectly normal (see for example [4] P. 4.5.16) and it is locally second-countable. By Lemma 1 we have  $\dim L > 0$ . It remains to show that  $\text{locdim} L = 0$ . Take an arbitrary point  $(x, t) \in L$ , where  $x \in K$ ,  $t \in I$ . There exists an open-and-closed neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\dim U = 0$  and  $|U \cap A| \leq \aleph_0$ . The set

$$C(U) = (U \times Q_0) \cup [(U \cap A) \times (P \cup Q_0)] \cup [(U \setminus A) \times Q_1]$$

is the countable union of its closed strongly zero-dimensional subsets  $U \times \{t\}$  for  $t \in Q_0$ ,  $(U \setminus A) \times \{t\}$  for  $t \in Q_1$  and  $\{y\} \times (P \cup Q_0)$  for  $y \in U \cap A$ . Hence by the Sum Theorem  $\dim C(U) = 0$ . It follows that the point  $(x, t)$  has an open-and-closed strongly zero-dimensional neighbourhood.

We shall use Dowker's construction (see [11] Theorem 11-17) to obtain the following

**EXAMPLE 2.** *There exists a hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional Lindelöf space containing a subspace of positive dimension.*

Let  $L^* = L \cup \{p\}$  where  $L$  is the space from Example 1 and  $p$  is a point which does not belong to  $L$ . The topology of  $L^*$  consists of all open subsets of  $L$  and the sets  $V$  such that  $p \in V$  and  $L \setminus V$  is a second-countable closed subspace of  $L$ . It is easy to see that  $L^*$  is Lindelöf. By the construction it follows that each separable subset of  $K$  is contained in an open-and-closed second-countable and strongly zero-dimensional subspace of  $K$ ; the same holds in  $L$ . Thus the space  $L^*$  is hereditarily normal, because for any separated sets  $A, B$  either  $A \cup B \subset L$  or one of the sets is second-countable. Finally, it is not hard to verify that  $\dim L^* = 0 < \dim L$ .

**Remark 1.** The space  $L$  we have constructed is collectionwise normal. Indeed, the space  $K$  is perfect and collectionwise normal (see [14] Remark 2) and thus the same is true for the product  $K \times I$  which contains  $L$  (cf. [4], P. 4.5.16, P. 5.5.19 and P. 5.5.1). Let us notice that  $L$  cannot be paracompact because in the class of paracompact spaces  $\text{locdim} = \dim$  (see [11], Corollary 11-8).

**Remark 2.** As proved by C. H. Dowker [3], for the function  $\text{locdim}$  the Finite Sum Theorem holds in the class of normal spaces. It is easy to show that the space  $Z = (N \times L) \cup \{a\}$ , where the sets of the form  $\{a\} \cup \bigcup_{k \geq n} \{k\} \times L$  form a base of neighbourhoods at the point  $a$ , is the union of countably many closed subsets with  $\text{locdim} = 0$ , whereas  $\text{locdim} Z > 0$ . Thus the Countable Sum Theorem fails for  $\text{locdim}$  in the class of perfectly normal spaces.

**4. An  $N$ -compact space of positive dimension.** A space  $X$  is  $N$ -compact if it can be embedded as a closed subspace in a product of copies of  $N$  (see [16]).

Let  $S$  be a set of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ . For every  $T \subset S$  by  $p_T: N^S \rightarrow N^T$  we shall denote the projection; if  $|T| \leq \aleph_0$  then the set  $p_T^{-1}(x)$ , where  $x \in N^T$ , will be called an  $\aleph_0$ -cube.

The following lemma was proved by the authors in [15] (Example 2).

LEMMA 3. *There exists a subset  $E$  of  $N^S$  which has the following properties:*

- (i)  $E$  is locally an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $N^S$  <sup>(1)</sup>,
- (ii)  $E$  is not an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $N^S$ ,
- (iii)  $E$  is the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes.

Notice that  $N^S \setminus E$  is also the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes, because by (i) it is the union of  $G_\delta$ -sets and every  $G_\delta$ -set in  $N^S$  is the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes.

EXAMPLE 3 (cf. [10]). *An  $N$ -compact space  $M$  which is not strongly zero-dimensional.*

Let  $Q_0$  be a dense subset of  $Q$  such that  $Q_1 = Q \setminus Q_0$  is also dense in  $Q$ . Define  $M = \mathbf{B}(N^S, E)$ , where  $E$  is as in Lemma 3. By Lemma 1 and (ii) it follows that  $\dim M > 0$ . It remains to show that  $M$  is  $N$ -compact.

First we shall prove that

- (1)  $M$  is realcompact.

Indeed, the space  $N^S \times I$  is realcompact and the complement  $(N^S \times I) \setminus M = (E \times Q_1) \cup [(N^S \setminus E) \times P]$  is the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes and thus, as each  $\aleph_0$ -cube is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $N^S \times I$ , (1) follows by Mrówka's theorem (see [4] P. 3.12.25).

Let  $U$  be an open-and-closed subset of  $N^S$ . Then

- (2)  $\dim C(U) = 0$  if and only if  $U \cap E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $U$ .

If  $U \cap E$  is not an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $U$  then by Lemma 1  $\dim C(U) > 0$ . Conversely, let  $U \cap E$  be an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $N^S$ . Since  $U$  is open-and-closed it depends on countably many coordinates, i.e.  $U = p_{T_1}(U) \times N^{S \setminus T_1}$  for some countable set  $T_1 \subset S$  (see [4], P. 2.7.12). Because  $U \cap E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set which is the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes,

$$U \cap E = p_{T_2}(U \cap E) \times N^{S \setminus T_2}, \quad \text{where } T_2 \subset S \text{ is countable,}$$

by Theorem 2 of [15]. By Remark 2 of [15] there exists  $T \subset S$ ,  $T \supset T_1 \cup T_2$ ,  $|T| \leq \aleph_0$  such that  $p_T(U \cap E)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $N^T$ . Thus we have

$$U = U' \times N^{S \setminus T}, \quad \text{where } U' \text{ is open in } N^T,$$

and

$$U \cap E = E' \times N^{S \setminus T}, \quad \text{where } E' = p_T(U \cap E) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i,$$

<sup>(1)</sup> This means that for each  $x \in N^S$  there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V \cap E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $V$ .

where  $F_i$  are closed in  $N^T$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C(U) &= (U \times Q_0) \cup [(U \cap E) \times P] \cup [(U \setminus E) \times Q_1] \\ &= (U' \times N^{S \setminus T} \times Q_0) \cup (E' \times N^{S \setminus T} \times P) \cup [(U' \setminus E') \times N^{S \setminus T} \times Q_1] \\ &\stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \{(U' \times Q_0) \cup (E' \times P) \cup [(U' \setminus E') \times Q_1]\} \times N^{S \setminus T}. \end{aligned}$$

The space  $Z = (U' \times Q_0) \cup (E' \times P) \cup [(U' \setminus E') \times Q_1] \subset N^T \times I$  is a metrizable separable space and it is the union of its closed zero-dimensional subsets  $U' \times \{t\}$ , for  $t \in Q_0$ ,  $(U' \setminus E') \times \{t\}$ , for  $t \in Q_1$  and  $F_i \times (P \cup Q_0)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $\dim Z = 0$  by the Sum Theorem. Thus  $C(U)$  is the product of zero-dimensional second-countable spaces and hence  $\dim C(U) = 0$  by Morita's theorem ([9], Theorem 3). The proof of (2) is completed.

Let  $U$  be an open-and-closed subset of  $M$ . For  $q \in Q_0$  put

$$U(q) = \{x \in N^S : (x, q) \in U\};$$

clearly  $U(q)$  is open-and-closed in  $N^S$ .

We shall verify that

- (3)  $(U(q) \setminus U(q')) \cap E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $U(q) \setminus U(q')$  for every  $q, q' \in Q_0$ .

Indeed, the set  $V = U \cap C(U(q) \setminus U(q'))$  is open-and-closed in  $C(U(q) \setminus U(q'))$  and  $(U(q) \setminus U(q')) \times \{q\} \subset V \subset C(U(q) \setminus U(q')) \setminus (U(q) \setminus U(q')) \times \{q'\}$ . Hence from Lemma 1 it follows that  $(U(q) \setminus U(q')) \cap E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $U(q) \setminus U(q')$ .

For an open-and-closed set  $U \subset M$  we define

$$J_U = \bigcap_{q \in Q_0} U(q) \subset N^S.$$

The sets  $J_U$  satisfy

- (4)  $C(J_U) \subset U$

and

- (5)  $U \setminus C(J_U)$  is the countable union of strongly zero-dimensional open-and-closed subsets of  $M$ .

Consider an  $(x, t) \in C(J_U)$ . Then  $x \in \bigcap_{q \in Q_0} U(q)$  and because  $Q_0$  is dense in  $Q$  and  $U$  is closed, we have  $U \supset C(\{x\}) \ni (x, t)$ . Thus (4) holds. To establish (5) let us assume that  $(x, t) \in U \setminus C(J_U)$ . Then  $(x, q') \notin U$  for some  $q' \in Q_0$ . Since  $U$  is open and  $Q_0$  is dense in  $Q$  there exists  $q \in Q_0$  such that  $(x, q) \in U$ . Thus  $x \in U(q) \setminus U(q')$  and  $(x, t) \in C(U(q) \setminus U(q'))$ . We have obtained the equality

$$U \setminus C(J_U) = \bigcup_{q, q' \in Q_0} C(U(q) \setminus U(q')) \cap U$$

which proves (5) by (3) and (2).

We shall prove now that  $M$  is  $N$ -compact. Since by (i) and (2) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \text{locdim } M = 0,$$

it suffices only to verify that every open-and-closed ultrafilter in  $M$  with the countable intersection property has nonempty intersection (see [16], p. 478). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be such an ultrafilter. We shall show that

$$(7) \quad \text{there exists } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } \dim U = 0.$$

Suppose on the contrary that  $\dim U > 0$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $q_0 \in Q_0$  and let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{U(q_0) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

We shall prove that  $\mathcal{V}$  is an open-and-closed ultrafilter in  $N^S$  and has the countable intersection property.  $\mathcal{V}$  is a filter because for  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  the intersection  $U_1(q_0) \cap U_2(q_0) = (U_1 \cap U_2)(q_0)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}$  and if an open-and-closed set  $A \subset N^S$  contains  $U_1(q_0)$  then  $A = (C(A) \cup U_1)(q_0)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{V}$  (because  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter). Now let  $U$  be an open-and-closed subset of  $N^S$ . Then either  $C(U) \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $M \setminus C(U) = C(N^S \setminus U) \in \mathcal{U}$ , hence either  $U \in \mathcal{V}$  or  $N^S \setminus U \in \mathcal{V}$ ; thus  $\mathcal{V}$  is an ultrafilter. Let  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , we shall show that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(q_0) \neq \emptyset$ . As shown in (5), we have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \setminus C(J_{V_i})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j$ , where the sets  $V_j$  are strongly zero-dimensional and open-and-closed in  $M$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter it follows from the negation of (7) that  $M \setminus V_j \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the countable intersection property of  $\mathcal{U}$  there exists a point

$$(x_0, t_0) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (M \setminus V_j) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_i \setminus C(J_{V_i})) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C(J_{V_i}).$$

We obtain

$$x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} J_{V_i} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(q_0).$$

Now  $\mathcal{V}$ , being an open-and-closed ultrafilter in  $N^S$  with the countable intersection property, has the nonempty intersection, and thus there exists an  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ . By (6) there exists an open-and-closed strongly zero-dimensional neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ . We have  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  contrary to our assumption that  $\mathcal{U}$  does not contain strongly zero-dimensional sets. This completes the proof of (7).

Let us take an open-and-closed set  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\dim U_0 = 0$ . The set  $U_0$  is realcompact by (1) and thus it is  $N$ -compact (see [16], p. 478). The family  $\mathcal{W}$

$= \{U_0 \cap U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open-and-closed ultrafilter in  $U_0$  with the countable intersection property and hence  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap \mathcal{W} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ . This completes the proof that  $M$  is  $N$ -compact.

Remark 3. If we take in the above construction  $K$  instead of  $N^{S_1}$  and  $A$  instead of  $E$ , where  $K$  and  $A$  are as in Lemma 2, then we obtain a space  $M'$  which is perfectly normal, locally second-countable,  $N$ -compact, and satisfies  $\dim M' > \text{locdim } M' = 0$  (the space  $M'$  is a slight modification of Example 1). The proof of  $N$ -compactness of  $M'$  is analogous to the proof in Example 3 and reduces to the proof of  $N$ -compactness of  $K^{(2)}$  (which follows by Mrówka's result [10] from the fact that  $K$  can be mapped continuously in a one-to-one way into the metrizable strongly zero-dimensional space  $B(\aleph_1)$ ) and of realcompactness of  $M'$  (which follows from the fact that  $M'$  can be mapped in a one-to-one way into the space  $B(\aleph_1) \times I$  (see [4] Exercise 3.11.B)). The remaining properties of  $M'$  can be proved in the same way as the properties of the space  $L$  in Example 1.

We are grateful to Professor R. Engelking for valuable discussions about the subject of this paper.

Added in proof.

(a) In the paper *A hereditarily normal strongly zero-dimensional space containing subspaces of arbitrarily large dimensional*, Fund. Math. (to appear) the authors have developed essentially the idea described in Section 3.

(b) E. Pol, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 24 (1976), pp. 749–752 gave under CH an example of a locally compact perfectly normal space  $X_n$  with  $\text{locdim } X_n = 0$  and  $\dim X_n > n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ; some very strong examples of this kind, also under CH, were constructed recently by V. V. Fedorčuk, *On the dimension of hereditarily normal spaces* (to appear).

## References

- [1] P. S. Alexandroff and B. A. Pasynkov, *Introduction to Dimension Theory* (in Russian), Moskva 1973.
- [2] E. Čech, *Problem 53*, Colloq. Math. 1 (1948), p. 332.
- [3] C. H. Dowker, *Local dimension of normal spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 6 (1955), pp. 101–120.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [5] V. V. Fedorčuk, *Compatibility of some theorems of the general topology with axioms of the theory of sets* (in Russian), DAN SSSR 220 (1975), pp. 786–788.
- [6] V. V. Filippov, *On the dimension of normal spaces* (in Russian) DAN SSSR 209 (1973), pp. 805–807.
- [7] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton 1941.
- [8] B. Knaster et K. Kuratowski, *Sur les ensembles connexes*, Fund. Math. 2 (1921), pp. 206–255.
- [9] K. Morita, *On the dimension of the product of Tychonoff spaces*, Gen. Top. and its Appl. 3 (1973), pp. 125–133.

(\*) In fact, one can prove that  $K$  is strongly zero-dimensional.

- [10] S. Mrówka, *Recent results on E-compact spaces*, TOPO 72, Proc. of Second Pittsburgh International Conference, Lecture Notes 378, Springer-Verlag 1974.
- [11] K. Nagami, *Dimension Theory*, New York 1970.
- [12] P. Nyikos, *Strongly zero-dimensional spaces*, Proc. of Third Prague Top. Symp. 1971, Prague 1972, pp. 341-344.
- [13] — *Prabir Roy's space  $\Delta$  is not N-compact*, Gen. Top. and its Appl. 3 (1973), pp. 197-210.
- [14] R. Pol, *A perfectly normal locally metrizable not paracompact space*, Fund. Math. 97 (1977), pp. 37-42.
- [15] — and E. Pol, *Remarks on Cartesian products*, Fund. Math. 93 (1976), pp. 57-69.
- [16] J. van der Slot, *A survey of realcompactness*, Theory of Sets and Topology (in honour of Felix Hausdorff), Berlin 1972, pp. 473-494.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY  
WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI I MECHANIKI UNIwersYTETU WARSZAWSKIEGO

Accepté par la Rédaction le 18. 8. 1975

**Addition and correction to the paper  
"On stability and products"  
Fund. Math. 93 (1976), pp. 81-95**

by

**J. Wierzejewski (Wrocław and Nijmegen)**

In the paper quoted in the title the second part of Corollary 5.5 was formulated wrongly. Namely it should have the following form:

*The class of all  $\omega$ -stable theories for which  $a_T$  is finite is closed under finite products.*

Now we shall show that " $\omega$ -stable" cannot be omitted. The notation and terminology are taken from [1].

Namely, let  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle Q \cup (Q \times Q \times Q), W, C, D, R, \sim_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ , where

$Q$  is the set of rational numbers,

$W$  is a unary relation and  $W(a)$  iff  $a \in Q$ ,

$C$  is a unary relation and  $C(a)$  iff  $a \notin Q$ ,

$D$  is a ternary relation and  $D(a, b, c)$  iff  $W(a)$ ,  $W(b)$  and  $\exists q \in Q$ ,  $c = \langle a, b, q \rangle$ ,

$R$  is a ternary relation and  $R(a, b, c)$  iff  $D(a, b, c)$  and

$$\begin{cases} a < b \rightarrow \exists q \in Q c = \langle a, b, q \rangle \text{ and } q \text{ is a natural number,} \\ a \geq b \rightarrow \exists q \in Q c = \langle a, b, q \rangle \text{ and } q \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$\sim_n$  are equivalence relations on  $Q$  with infinitely many classes and  $\sim_{n+1}$  divides every equivalence class of  $\sim_n$  into infinitely many equivalence classes of  $\sim_{n+1}$ . Moreover every equivalence class of  $\sim_n$  is a dense linear ordering without endpoints (with the ordering taken from  $Q$ ).

Note that we can define a formula which linearly orders  $W$  into type  $\eta$ .

Fact 1. For every  $\mathfrak{A} \models \text{Th}(\mathfrak{B})$  and every  $p \in \text{SA}$  either  $\text{rank}(p) = 0$ , either  $\text{rank}(p) = 1$ , or  $\text{rank}(p) = \infty$ .

Indeed, every type contains one of the following sets of formulas:

- 1)  $\{x_0 = a\}$  for some  $a \in A$ ,