

## On the actions of $SO(3)$ on lens spaces

by

Soon-Kyu Kim and Jingyal Pak (Detroit, Mich.)

**Abstract.** In this paper, an effective  $SO(3)$ -action on a 5-dimensional lens space is studied via the lifting action on the universal covering space of a lens space. The results are the following:

Suppose  $SO(3)$  acts effectively on a 5-dimensional lens space. If there is a 3-dimensional orbit, then there is a non-trivial finite cyclic isotropy subgroup, and if the highest dimension of any orbit is two, then there is an isotropy subgroup  $S_p$ . Furthermore, if the fixed point set of the action is non-empty, then it is a circle.

The effective use of the universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  over  $X$  on the study of transformation groups  $(G, X)$  has been demonstrated in [1], [2], [3], [4], and others. The purpose of this short note is to add one more example to the above list.

The effective action of a 3-dimensional rotation group  $SO(3)$  on a 5-dimensional lens space  $L_5(m; q_1, q_2)$ ,  $m$  odd and  $(m, q_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , is studied via  $S^5$ , the universal covering space of  $L_5(m; q_1, q_2)$ . We will use  $L_5(m)$  to denote  $L_5(m; q_1, q_2)$ .

All known results indicate that there is no finite cyclic isotropy subgroups of  $(SO(3), S^n)$ . It is shown here that if  $SO(3)$  acts effectively on  $L_5(m)$  then there exists a point  $x \in L_5(m)$  such that the isotropy group  $SO(3)_x = \{g \in SO(3) \mid gx = x\}$  is a non-trivial finite cyclic subgroup of  $SO(3)$  or  $S_p$  subgroup of  $SO(3)$ . Furthermore, if the fixed point set  $F(SO(3), L_5(m)) \neq \emptyset$ , then it must be a circle.

The group  $SO(3)$  has the following conjugacy classes of (closed) subgroups: the group  $S_p$  of all rotations about an axis determined by a point  $p \in S^2$ ; the group  $N_p$  of all rotations which take the plane perpendicular to the axis determined by  $p \in S^2$  into itself; the cyclic groups  $Z_n$  of order  $n$ ; the dihedral group  $D_n$  of order  $2n$ ; the group  $H_T$ ,  $H_C$ , and  $H_I$  of all rotational symmetries of the tetrahedron, the cube, and the icosahedron, respectively.

Let  $S^{2n+1}$  be the  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional unit sphere in Euclidean  $(2n+2)$ -space defined in terms of  $(n+1)$ -complex coordinates  $(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)$  satisfying  $Z_0 \bar{Z}_0 + \dots + Z_n \bar{Z}_n = 1$ . Let  $m \geq 2$  be a fixed integer, and  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  be  $n$  integers relatively prime to  $m$ . We define an action  $\theta$  on  $S^{2n+1}$  onto itself by

$$\theta(t, (Z_0, \dots, Z_n)) = (e^{2\pi i t/m} Z_0, e^{2\pi i q_1 t/m} Z_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i q_n t/m} Z_n).$$

Then  $t$  generates a fixed point free cyclic group  $Z_m(t)$  of rotations of  $S^{2n+1}$  of order  $m$ . The orbit space  $S^{2n+1}/Z_m(t) = L_{2n+1}(m; q_1, \dots, q_n)$  is an orientable  $(2n+1)$ -dimen-

sional manifold called a lens space. If  $P: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow L_{2n+1}(m; q_1, \dots, q_n)$  is the projection map and  $g \in T_m(t)$ , then  $Pg(x) = P(x)$  for  $x \in S^{2n+1}$ .  $Z_m(t)$  is the group of covering transformations.

Let us examine the known results of actions  $(SO(3), S^m)$  from [5] and [6]. First assume  $SO(3)$  acts on  $S^5$  with 3-dimensional orbits. Denote by  $X$  the set of points on 3-dimensional orbits and  $Y = S^5 - X$ . It is easy to see that the orbit space  $S^5/SO(3) \simeq D^2$ , a 2-dimensional disk,  $Y/SO(3) \simeq \partial D^2 = S^1$ , and  $X/SO(3) \simeq D^2 - \partial D^2 = \text{interior of } D^2$ . If there is an  $S^2$ -orbit then all orbits of  $Y$  are  $S^2$ -orbits and  $G_x = e$  for  $x \in X$ . If there is an orbit homeomorphic to the real projective space  $P^2$  then  $G_x$  must be a dihedral group  $D_n$ . There will be precisely two stationary points on  $Y$  and all other orbits on  $Y$  correspond to  $P^2$ -orbits. If all orbits of  $Y$  are stationary points, then for  $x \in X$ ,  $G_x$  must be an icosahedral group  $H_I$ .

If an action of  $SO(3)$  on  $S^5$  is such that the highest dimension of any orbit is two, then the orbit space is a closed 3-disk  $D^3$ . The interior points correspond to 2-sphere orbits and the boundary points correspond to stationary points. In other words,  $G_x = S_p$  for all  $x \in X$ .

On the other hand, it has been shown [5] that if  $SO(3)$  acts on any sphere  $S^n$  such that the principal isotropy group is a finite cyclic group, then it must be the trivial group. In the same paper, if  $SO(3)$  acts differentiably on  $S^n$  with three dimensional principal orbits and if  $\dim B < n - 2$  ( $B$  is the set of points on orbits of dimension less than the highest dimension of any orbit), then the principal isotropy group is the identity.

Let  $(G, X)$  be an action. Define a map  $f: (G, e) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  by  $f(g) = gx_0$ . This map induces a homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_1(G, e) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$ .

LEMMA 1. Any effective action  $(SO(3), L_5(m))$ ,  $m$  odd, can be lifted to an effective action of  $(SO(3), S^5)$ .

Proof. The induced homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_1(SO(3)) \rightarrow \pi_1(L_5(m))$  is a trivial homomorphism and the lemma follows from [2; 4.3].

THEOREM 2. If  $SO(3)$  acts effectively on  $L_5(m)$ ,  $m$  odd, with a 3-dimensional orbit, then there exists a point  $x \in L_5(m)$  such that  $SO(3)_x$  is a non-trivial finite cyclic isotropy subgroup of  $SO(3)$ .

If  $SO(3)$  acts effectively on  $L_5(m)$ ,  $m$  odd, with a 2-dimensional principal orbit, then there exists a point  $x \in L_5(m)$  such that  $SO(3)_x = S_p$ .

Proof. We combine two proofs in one. By Lemma 1 we can lift  $SO(3)$  action to  $S^5$  such that  $g(by) = (gb)\gamma$  for  $b \in S^5$  and  $\gamma \in \pi_1(L_5(m)) = Z_m$ . Now we can see easily that there is an induced action of  $Z_m = \pi_1(L_5(m))$  on  $S^5/SO(3) = D^j$ , where  $j = 2$  or  $3$ . The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^5 & \xrightarrow{P_1} & D^j \\ P \downarrow & & \downarrow P' \\ L_5(m) & \xrightarrow{P'_1} & D^j \end{array}$$

Now a  $Z_m$  action on  $D^j$  has a fixed point which lies in the interior. To see this let us examine the case  $j = 3$  (the  $D^2$  case follows more easily). Since  $m$  is odd we can write  $Z_m = Z_{q_1} \times Z_{q_2}$  where  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ . By the Smith theorem we may assume  $F(Z_{q_1}^n; D^3)$  is a line segment  $I \subset D^3$  such that only two end points  $\partial I$  lie on  $\partial D^3 = S^2$ . Now we claim  $F(Z_m; D^3) = I$ . Otherwise a generator  $\beta$  of  $Z_{q_2}^n$  will permute  $I$  in  $D^3$  with period  $q_2$ . We can see that if  $x \in \beta(I)$ , then the isotropy subgroup of  $Z_m$  at  $x$  is  $Z_{q_1}$ . That is,  $x \in F(Z_{q_1}^n; D^3)$  and this is a contradiction to the Smith theorem. Therefore  $I$  is invariant under the  $Z_{q_2}$  action. Since  $q_2$  is odd  $Z_{q_2}$  acts trivially on  $I$  and  $F(Z_m; D^3) = I$ . Thus we have a point  $x \in F(Z_m; D^3)$  which lies in the interior of  $D^3$ .

At each  $b \in S^5$  we define a map  $\eta_b: SO(3)_{P(b)} \rightarrow \pi_1(L_5(m))$  by defining  $\eta_b(g)$  to be the unique element in  $\pi_1(L_5(m))$  such that  $gb = b\eta_b(g)$ . It is not too hard to see that  $\eta_b$  is a homomorphism for each  $b \in S^5$ . We have the following exact sequence from [2; 4.16]:

$$e \rightarrow SO(3)_b \rightarrow SO(3)_{P(b)} \xrightarrow{\eta_b} \pi_1(L_5(m))_{P_1(b)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Take  $P_1(b)$  to be the point in  $F(Z_m; D^j)$ ,  $j = 2, 3$ , which lies in the interior of  $D^j$ . It follows that  $SO(3)_b$  in  $S^5$  is a principal orbit and we know that  $SO(3)_b$  is either  $e$ , a dihedral group  $D_n$ , icosahedral group  $H_I$ , or  $S_p$ . Now take all possible closed subgroups of  $SO(3)$  for  $SO(3)_{P(b)}$ . No combination allows  $SO(3)_{P(b)}/SO(3)_b \simeq Z_m$ ,  $m$  odd, except  $G_b = e$ , the identity element, or  $SO(3)_b = S_p$ . In the first case we get  $SO(3)_{P(b)} \simeq Z_m$  and in the second case we get  $SO(3)_{P(b)} \simeq S_p$ . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. If  $F(SO(3); L_5(m)) \neq \emptyset$ , then it must be a circle  $S^1$ .

Proof. It is known that  $F(SO(3); S^5)$  must be  $S^0$ ,  $S^1$ , or  $S^2$ . Since  $g(by) = (gb)\gamma$  for  $b \in S^5$ ,  $\gamma \in \pi_1(L_5(m))$ ,  $S^0$ ,  $S^1$  and  $S^2$  are invariant under the free  $Z_m$  action. Since  $m$  odd  $Z_m \neq Z_2$  and  $Z_m$  cannot act freely on  $S^0$  and  $S^2$ , so these two cases are eliminated. Now  $S^1$  is invariant under  $Z_m$  and we have  $F(SO(3); L_5(m)) \simeq S^1/Z_m \simeq S^1$ . This completes the proof.

Finally we give the following theorem which follows by a lifting action and an application of [6].

THEOREM 4. If  $S^3$ , the double covering group of  $SO(3)$ , acts effectively on  $L_5(m)$ , then the action is unique. Furthermore, there exists a point at which the isotropy subgroup contains  $Z_m$ ,  $m$  odd.

Note. The results on general lens spaces will appear shortly.

References

[1] P. E. Conner and D. Montgomery, *Transformation groups on a  $K(\pi, 1)$* , I., Michigan Math. J. 6 (1957), pp. 405-412.  
 [2] — and F. Raymond, *Actions of compact Lie groups on aspherical manifolds*, Topology of manifolds, edited by Cantrell and Edwards, (1969), pp. 227-264.

- [3] J. Pak, *The fixed point theorems of circle and toroid groups on lens spaces*, Fund. Math. 66 (1970), pp. 195–197.
- [4] J. C. Su, *Transformation groups on cohomology projective spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), pp. 305–318.
- [5] D. Montgomery and H. Samelson, *On the action of SO(3) on  $S^n$* , Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), pp. 649–659.
- [6] R. W. Richardson, Jr., *Actions of the rotation group on the 5-sphere*, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), pp. 414–423.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, Storrs, Connecticut  
WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, Detroit, Michigan

Accepté par la Rédaction le 1. 7. 1974

## On group nil rings

by

E. R. Puczyłowski (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** The main result of this paper (Theorem A) is a generalization of a theorem of D. S. Passman [9] saying that under some assumptions the group ring  $R[G]$  for commutative  $R$  contains no non-zero nil ideals. Our result is then applied in § 2 to find some new statements equivalent to the still open Koethe problem: if a ring  $R$  contains a one-sided nil ideal  $A$ , is  $A$  contained in a two-sided nil ideal of  $R$ ? Finally, § 3 is devoted to an investigation, by means of Theorem A, of the  $\mathcal{N}$ -radical of certain group rings, where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the absolutely nil property defined by S. A. Amitsur [2], [6].

### Contents

§ 1. Semisimplicity of group rings . . . . .	17
§ 2. Remarks on the Koethe problem . . . . .	19
§ 3. Absolutely nil rings . . . . .	21

**§ 1. Semisimplicity of group rings.** Let  $R$  be a ring with unity and  $M$  its multiplicatively closed subset contained in the centre of  $R$ . Assume that  $M$  contains no zero-divisors of  $R$  and consider the complete ring of right quotients  $Q$  for  $R$ . Then ([8], Lemma 5, p. 160)

$$RM^{-1} = \{q \in Q \mid \exists_{m \in M} qm \in R\}$$

is a subring of the ring  $Q$ . If  $M$  is an empty set, put  $RM^{-1} = R$ . Every element of  $RM^{-1}$  can be written in the form  $rm^{-1}$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ . Since  $(rm^{-1})^n = r^n m^{-n}$  for any integer  $n$ ,  $R$  contains no nil ideals if and only if  $RM^{-1}$  contains no nil ideals. Moreover, for any group  $G$ , rings  $(RM^{-1})[G]$  and  $(R[G])M^{-1}$  are isomorphic to each other.

For a group  $G$  we denote by  $G_n$  the set of elements of  $G$  of order  $n$ . We shall say that the groups  $G$  and  $H$  are *torsion disjoint* if for any integer  $n \geq 2$  at least one of the sets  $G_n$ ,  $H_n$  is empty. It is not hard to check that groups  $G$  and  $H$  are torsion disjoint if and only if for any prime  $p$  one of the sets  $G_p$ ,  $H_p$  is empty.

A nil ring  $R$  will be shortly denoted as a  $\mathcal{K}$ -ring. It is well known that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a radical property [4]. The  $\mathcal{K}$ -radical of a ring  $R$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(R)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *If the additive group  $R^+$  of a ring  $R$  is torsion disjoint with a group  $G$ , then the additive group  $\bar{R}^+$  of  $\bar{R}$  is also torsion disjoint with  $G$ , where  $\bar{R} = R/\mathcal{K}(R)$ .*