

The box product of countably many metrizable spaces need not be normal

by

Eric K. van Douwen (Delft)

Abstract. The box product of the family $\{\text{irrationals}\} \cup \{T_n \mid T_n \text{ is a convergent sequence}\}$ is not normal. If $\mathfrak{X} = \{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of metrizable spaces, the subspace $\mathcal{E}_p = \{x_\alpha \mid x_\alpha \neq p_\alpha \text{ for at most finitely many } \alpha\}$ of the box product of this family is stratifiable, $p \in \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ arbitrary. If the family \mathfrak{X} is countable and all finite subproducts are paracompact, \mathcal{E}_p is paracompact.

1. Introduction. If $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of spaces, we denote the usual product space by $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ and the box product (see [5, p. 107]) by $B_\alpha X_\alpha$. Stone asked whether $B_\alpha X_\alpha$ is normal if each X_α is metrizable, [6]. A partial answer has been given by Rudin, who showed that the continuum hypothesis implies that $B_n X_n$ is paracompact provided each X_n is a locally compact metrizable space, [10]. (Actually this was stated under the additional hypothesis that the X_n are σ -compact. However, a locally compact metrizable space X_n is the union of a disjoint open family $\{X_{n\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A_n\}$ consisting of locally compact σ -compact subspaces, cf. [11], so $B_n X_n$, being the union of the disjoint open family

$$\{B_n X_{n\alpha(n)} \mid \alpha(n) \in A_n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

is paracompact.)

We show that the product of countably many separable metrizable spaces need not be normal, even if all factors but one are compact (the noncompact factor is the space of irrationals). Quite surprisingly the proof that our space is not normal, resembles Michael's proof that the product of the irrationals and a certain space is not normal, [7]. This negative solution of Stone's question also solves a question of Borges, whether a box product of metrizable spaces is stratifiable, [2], in the negative and kills a conjecture of Vaughan, that a product of linearly stratifiable spaces is paracompact [12]. As a byproduct we show that a box product of metrizable spaces cannot be hereditarily normal if infinitely many factors are nondiscrete.

Certain subspaces of box products are behaving better. For $p \in B_a X_a$ let \mathcal{E}_p be the subspace $\{x \in B_a X_a \mid x_a \neq p_a \text{ for at most finitely many } a\}$. (The component of p is contained in \mathcal{E}_p , provided the X_a 's are regular T_1 , [6, p. 51]. If each X_a is a group, with identity p_a , \mathcal{E}_p is the so-called direct sum.) Then \mathcal{E}_p is stratifiable if the X_a 's are metrizable. If $\{X_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable family of spaces, \mathcal{E}_p is paracompact if each finite subproduct is paracompact.

It is shown in [6] that $B_a X_a$ is T_i iff each factor is T_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}$. N is the set of positive integers.

2. Non-normal products. For $n \in N$ let T_n be the space $T = \{x \mid x = 0 \text{ or } 1/x \in N\}$, let $B = B_n T_n$ and let P be the discrete open subspace $\{x \in B \mid x_n \neq 0 \text{ for } n \in N\}$.

ASSERTION. P is not an F_σ -subset of B .

Proof. Let $F_n \subset P$ be a closed subset of B . Define a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots by induction as follows: $x_1 = 1$. Assume that $x_i \in T \setminus \{0\}$ is known for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and that a strictly positive function ε_i on N is known if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $F_i \cap U_i = \emptyset$, where

$$U_i = \prod_{j=1}^i \{x_j\} \times \prod_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \{t \in T_j \mid t < \varepsilon_i(j)\}.$$

The point $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ does not belong to P , hence there is a strictly positive function ε_n on N such that $U_n \cap F_n = \emptyset$. Pick an $x_{n+1} \in T \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n(n+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This completes the definition. Let x be the point $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$. Then $x \in P$ and $x \notin F_n$ for $n \in N$, hence $P \neq \bigcup \{F_n \mid n \in N\}$.

COROLLARY. A box product with infinitely many nondiscrete metrizable spaces is not hereditarily normal.

Proof. Such a product contains a (closed) subspace homeomorphic to B . B and $T \times B$ are homeomorphic. By a theorem of Katětov a product $X \times Y$ is hereditarily normal only if every countable subset of X is closed or Y is perfectly normal [4]. Hence $T \times B$ is not hereditarily normal (\dagger).

EXAMPLE. A box product of countably many metrizable spaces which is not normal.

Let B^* be the space $\prod_n T_n$ and let P^* be the subspace $\{x \in B^* \mid x_n \neq 0 \text{ for } n \in N\}$, let d be a metric for B^* . Then B and B^* have the same underlying set, and so do P and P^* . Observe that P^* is homeomorphic to the irrationals. Let $T_0 = P^*$, then $P^* \times B$ and $B\{T_n \mid n = 0 \text{ or } n \in N\}$ are the same space. We claim that $P^* \times B$ is not normal.

(\dagger) K. Kunen has independently found a different proof that B is not hereditarily normal. Some comments on box products, Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10, Kesztheley, Hungary, 1973.

$F = \{(x, x) \mid x \in P\}$ and $G = P^* \times (B \setminus P)$ are disjoint closed subsets of $P^* \times B$. Let U and V be neighborhoods of F and G respectively. For $x \in P^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ define $S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in P^* \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. Define

$$P_n = \{x \in P \mid S(x, 1/n) \times \{x\} \subset U\}.$$

Then $P = \bigcup_n P_n$, hence by the assertion there is a $q \in B \setminus P$ and an $n \in N$ such that $q \in P_n^-$ in the space B , hence also in B^* . Pick a $p \in P^*$ such that $d(p, q) < 1/2n$. There are an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood W of q in B such that $S(p, \varepsilon) \times W \subset V$.

Choose an $r \in P_n$ such that $r \in W$ and $d(q, r) < 1/2n$. Then $S(r, 1/n) \times \{r\} \subset U$, hence $(p, r) \in U$ since $d(p, r) < d(p, q) + d(q, r) < 1/n$. But also $(p, r) \in V$ since $(p, r) \in S(p, \varepsilon) \times W$. Consequently $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, so $P^* \times B$ is not normal.

The space T can be embedded as a closed subspace in the irrationals. It follows that $B\{X_n \mid X_n = \{\text{irrationals}\}\}$ is not normal.

PROBLEM. For what kind of metrizable spaces X is the product $B\{X_n \mid X_n = X\}$ normal or paracompact? Are these problems the same, cf. [9]?

In [10] conditions are given under which a box product is normal.

3. Stratifiable direct sums. A T_1 -space X is said to be *stratifiable* if there is a function $G: \{\text{closed subsets}\} \times N \rightarrow \{\text{open subsets}\}$ such that (a) $F = \bigcap_{n \in N} G(F, n) = \bigcap_{n \in N} G(F, n)^-$ and (b) $G(E, n) \subset G(F, n)$ whenever $E \subset F$, see [1], where a dual formulation is used. The following useful characterization and proof are due to Heath [3].

LEMMA. A T_1 -space X is stratifiable iff there is a function $g: X \times N \rightarrow \{\text{open subsets}\}$ such that (a) $x \in g(x, n)$ and (b) given any closed subset M of X and any point $q \in X \setminus M$, there is an n such that $q \notin (\bigcup \{g(x, n) \mid x \in M\})^-$.

Proof. Given G , define $g(x, n) = G(\{x\}, n)$, and given g , define $G(F, n) = \bigcup \{g(x, n) \mid x \in F\}$.

THEOREM. If $\{X_a \mid a \in A\}$ is a family of metrizable spaces, \mathcal{E}_p is stratifiable for each $p \in B_a X_a$.

Proof. Let d be a metric on each X_a (this will cause no confusion) and let E be the set of all strictly positive real-valued functions on A . Basic neighborhoods of $x \in \mathcal{E}_p$ are $S(x, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \in E$, where

$$S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in \mathcal{E}_p \mid d(x_a, y_a) < \varepsilon(a) \text{ for } a \in A\}.$$

For $x \in \mathcal{E}_p$ and $\varepsilon \in E$ define

$$A(x) = \{a \in A \mid x_a \neq p_a\},$$

$$A(x) = \min\{d(x_a, p_a) \mid a \in A(x)\} \cup \{1\},$$

$$A(x; \varepsilon) = \min\{\varepsilon(a) \mid a \in A(x)\} \cup \{1\}.$$

Define $\mu \in E$ by $\mu(a) = 1$ for $a \in A$. Then the function $g: \mathcal{E}_p \times N \rightarrow \{\text{open subsets}\}$ of the lemma can be defined by

$$g(x, n) = S[x, (\Delta(x)/n) \cdot \mu].$$

Let M be a closed subset of \mathcal{E}_p and let q be a point of $\mathcal{E}_p \setminus M$. There is an $\varepsilon \in E$ such that $S(q, \varepsilon) \cap M = \emptyset$. Define $\delta \in E$ by

$$\delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(a).$$

Fix $n \in N$ so that $1/n \leq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(q, \varepsilon)$ (then $n \geq 2$). We claim that $S(q, \delta) \cap g(x, n) = \emptyset$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}_p \setminus S(q, \varepsilon)$. This shows that g satisfies (b).

Let x be any point of $\mathcal{E}_p \setminus S(q, \varepsilon)$. Then $d(x_a, q_a) \geq \varepsilon(a)$ for some a .

Case 1. $a \in A(q)$. If $z \in S(q, \delta)$ then

$$d(q_a, z_a) < \delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(a)$$

and if $z \in g(x, n)$ then

$$d(z_a, x_a) < 1/n \leq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(q, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(a).$$

Therefore $S(q, \delta) \cap g(x, n) = \emptyset$.

Case 2. $a \in A \setminus A(q)$. Then $a \in A(x)$. So if $z \in S(q, \delta)$ then

$$d(q_a, z_a) < \delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(a) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x_a, q_a)$$

and if $z \in g(x, n)$ then

$$d(z_a, x_a) < (1/n)\Delta(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x_a, p_a) = \frac{1}{2}d(x_a, q_a).$$

Therefore $S(q, \delta) \cap g(x, n) = \emptyset$.

This can be used for an easy to describe nonmetrizable countable stratifiable space without isolated points. If $p_n = 0$ for $n \in N$, the subspace \mathcal{E}_p of $B_n \{Q_n \mid Q_n = \{\text{rationals}\} \text{ for } n \in N\}$ has all properties required. Of course \mathcal{E}_p is a topological group under coordinatewise addition.

QUESTION. Is the theorem valid if one merely assumes that the X_α 's are stratifiable?

4. Countable direct sums. If $\{X_n \mid n \in N\}$ is a family of spaces, $p \in B_n X_n$, then let R_n be the subspace $\{x \in \mathcal{E}_p \mid x_k = p_k \text{ for } k > n\}$ of \mathcal{E}_p . Then R_n is a retract of \mathcal{E}_p , which is closed if the X_n are T_1 (a retraction $r_n: \mathcal{E}_p \rightarrow R_n$ can be naturally defined by $(r_n(x))_k = x_k$ if $k \leq n$, $(r_n(x))_k = p_k$ if $k > n$). Obviously $\{R_n \mid n \in N\}$ is a countable cover of \mathcal{E}_p . Therefore \mathcal{E}_p often has

a property if each finite subproduct $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ has, e.g. the properties Lindelöf, hereditarily Lindelöf and the property of being perfect (i.e. open sets are F_σ) and T_1 . It is also easy to see that \mathcal{E}_p is cosmic/a σ -space if each factor is (see [8] for definitions and references). The following theorem is a bit less trivial.

THEOREM. \mathcal{E}_p is a paracompact Hausdorff iff $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is paracompact Hausdorff for $n \in N$ (we only consider countable direct sums).

Proof. Only the sufficiency requires proof. Each X_n is regular T_1 , hence so is \mathcal{E}_p . Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of \mathcal{E}_p . For each $n \in N$ there is a locally finite open cover \mathcal{U}_n of the subspace R_n which refines $\{U \cap R_n \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. For $n \in N$ the family $\{r_n^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ is locally finite in \mathcal{E}_p : For $x \in \mathcal{E}_p$ there is a neighborhood W of $r_n(x)$ in R_n which intersects only finitely many members of \mathcal{U}_n . Then $r_n^{-1}(W)$ is a neighborhood of x in \mathcal{E}_p which intersects only finitely many members of $\{r_n^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$. For $V \in \mathcal{U}_n$ choose a $c_n(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \subset c_n(V)$. Then

$$\bigcup \{ \{r_n^{-1}(V) \cap c_n(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \mid n \in N \}$$

is a σ -locally finite refinement of \mathcal{U} . Consequently \mathcal{E}_p is paracompact.

Remark. There are many topologies on the set $\prod_n X_n$ between the usual topology and the box topology: If \mathcal{F} is a collection of subsets of N such that $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = N$ and $F \cup G \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\{\prod_n U_n \mid U_n \text{ open in } X_n, \{n \in N \mid U_n \neq X_n\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a base for a topology $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ on $\prod_n X_n$. This topology is T_i iff each factor is T_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}$. The subspace \mathcal{E}_p is paracompact Hausdorff if each finite subproduct is, for all these topologies $\tau(\mathcal{F})$.

QUESTIONS. Is \mathcal{E}_p (hereditarily) normal if all finite subproducts are (hereditarily) normal? Is \mathcal{E}_p paracompact if $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is an uncountable family of spaces such that all finite subproducts (i.e. $\prod \{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in F\}$ for finite subsets F of A) are paracompact Hausdorff?

References

- [1] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), pp. 1-16.
- [2] — *A survey of M_1 -spaces: open questions and partial results*, Gen. Top. 1 (1971), pp. 79-84.
- [3] R. W. Heath, *An easier proof that a certain countable space is not stratifiable*, Proc. Washington State University General Topology Conference, 1970, Washington State University, Washington.
- [4] M. Katětov, *Complete normality of cartesian products*, Fund. Math. 35 (1948), pp. 271-274.
- [5] L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York 1955.
- [6] C. J. Knight, *Box topologies*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 15 (1964), pp. 41-54.
- [7] E. Michael, *The product of a normal space and a metric space need not be normal*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), pp. 375-376.
- [8] A. Okuyama, *A survey of the theory of σ -spaces*, Gen. Top. 1 (1971), pp. 57-63.
- [9] T. Przymusiński, *A Lindelöf space X such that X^2 is normal but not paracompact*, Fund. Math. 78 (1973), pp. 291-296.

- [10] M. E. Rudin, *The box product of countably many compact metric spaces*, Gen. Top. 2 (1972), pp. 293-298.
- [11] W. Sierpiński, *Sur les espaces métriques localement séparables*, Fund. Math. 21 (1933), pp. 107-113.
- [12] J. E. Vaughan, *Linearly stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 43 (1972), pp. 253-266

DELFT INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Delft, Netherlands
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
Pittsburgh, Pa.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 2. 1. 1974

On the approximate Peano derivatives

by

S. N. Mukhopadhyay (Burdwan, West Bengal)

Abstract. It is known that a k th approximate Peano derivative belongs to Baire class 1. In the present paper it is shown that the other properties of the ordinary k th Peano derivative are also possessed by the k th approximate Peano derivative.

Introduction. Let a function f be defined in some neighbourhood of the point x_0 . If there exist numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$, depending on x_0 but not on h such that

$$(*) \quad \limap_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{r!}{h^r} \left\{ f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{h^k}{k!} \alpha_k \right\} = 0,$$

where \limap denotes the approximate limit [13, p. 218], then α_r is called the *approximate Peano derivative* of f at x_0 of order r and is denoted by $f_{r,a}(x_0)$ (see [4]). The definition is such that if $f_{r,a}(x_0)$ exists then all the previous derivatives $f_{k,a}(x_0)$ also exist and $\alpha_k = f_{k,a}(x_0)$, $1 \leq k < r$. It is convenient to write $\alpha_0 = f_{0,a}(x_0) = f(x_0)$.

Let us now suppose that for a fixed r , $f_{r,a}(x_0)$ exists. Writing

$$\frac{h^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \Phi_{r+1}(f; x_0, h) = f(x_0 + h) - \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{h^k}{k!} f_{k,a}(x_0),$$

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r+1}(f; x_0, h) = \bar{f}_{r+1}(x_0), \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r+1}(f; x_0, h) = \underline{f}_{r+1}(x_0),$$

$$\limsupap_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r+1}(f; x_0, h) = \bar{f}_{r+1,a}(x_0), \quad \liminfap_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{r+1}(f; x_0, h) = \underline{f}_{r+1,a}(x_0)$$

where \limsupap denotes the approximate upper limit [13; p. 218], $\bar{f}_{r+1}(x_0)$ and $\underline{f}_{r+1}(x_0)$ will be called the *upper* and the *lower Peano derivatives* of f at x_0 , while $\bar{f}_{r+1,a}(x_0)$ and $\underline{f}_{r+1,a}(x_0)$ will be called the *upper* and the *lower approximate Peano derivatives* of f at x_0 of order $r+1$. (The upper and the lower Peano derivatives as defined in [14, 1, 2, 3] are different from those defined here. For, in the former cases the existence of the Peano derivatives $f_r(x_0)$ was required. However, the upper and the lower Peano derivatives in the former sense are also the upper and the lower Peano