

Set $v = f \circ r: M \rightarrow M^n/G$ and $u = \bar{r} \circ f: M-U \rightarrow (M-U)^n/G$. The sets M , $M-U$ being μ - A -spaces, by Corollary 3.9 $\Lambda(u) = \Lambda(v)$ and u, v are μ -Lefschetz maps.

Suppose that $x_0 \in F_v$, that is, $x_0 \in f \circ r x_0$. Then $y_0 = r x_0 \in F_u$ for $u y_0 = \bar{r} f y_0 = \bar{r} f r x_0$. But $x_0 \in f r x_0$, this implies

$$r x_0 = y_0 \in \bar{r} f r x_0 = \bar{r} f y_0.$$

If we suppose that $x_0 \in U$, $y_0 = r x_0 \in X$ and hence $f y_0 \in (M-U)^n/G$, since $f(X) \subseteq (M-U)^n/G$. Since $x_0 \in f r x_0 = f y_0 \in (M-U)^n/G$, $x_0 \in M-U$, a contradiction.

Hence $x_0 \in M-U$ and hence $r x_0 = x_0$. Therefore, $y_0 = r x_0 = x_0 \in F_u$, $x_0 \in f r x_0 = f x_0$. Hence $x_0 \in F_f$. Hence $F_v \subseteq F_u \cap F_f$.

In particular, $\Lambda(V) \neq 0$ implies f has a fixed point.

The author is indebted to J. W. Jaworowski for suggesting the problem and for guidance. The work was done under Associate Instructorship at Indiana University.

References

- [1] R. H. Bing, *Retractions onto ANR's*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), pp. 618-620.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, Warszawa 1967.
- [3] R. F. Brown, *A fixed point theorem for open Q -acyclic n -manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), pp. 621-622.
- [4] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton 1952.
- [5] O. Hanner, *Retraction and extension of mappings of metric and non metric spaces*, Arkiv. Mat., Svenska Vetens. Akad. 2 (1952), pp. 315-360.
- [6] S. T. Hu, *Theory of Retracts*, Detroit 1965.
- [7] D. Henderson and G. Livesay, *Another generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), pp. 176-177.
- [8] J. W. Jaworowski, and M. J. Powers, *A -spaces and fixed point theorems*, Fund. Math. 64 (1969), pp. 157-162.
- [9] — *A fixed point theorem for manifolds*, Proc. AMS 28 (1971), pp. 275-278.
- [10] S. Masih, *Fixed points of symmetric product mappings of polyhedra and metric absolute neighborhood retracts*, Ph. D. Thesis of Indiana University, September 1971, also Fund. Math. 80 (1973), pp. 149-156.
- [11] C. Maxwell, *Fixed points of symmetric product mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), pp. 808-815.
- [12] C. S. Vora, *Fixed point theorems of certain symmetric product mappings of a compact A -ANR and a manifold*, Ph. D. Thesis of Indiana University, April, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWAIN HALL EAST
Bloomington, Indiana

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 12. 1972

Weights of denumerable topological spaces

by

Stanley Burris (Waterloo, Ontario)

Abstract. Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be a denumerable topological space — for $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \aleph_\omega$ we show that the weight of (X, \mathcal{C}) equals $|\mathcal{C}|$; and $|\mathcal{C}| > \aleph_\omega$ implies the weight of (X, \mathcal{C}) is greater than or equal to \aleph_ω , unless \mathcal{C} has the power of the continuum.

In this paper we will examine the possible weights of topological spaces (X, \mathcal{C}) where X is denumerable, answering a question of P. Erdős enroute. Throughout we will use lower-case German letters as well as alephs to denote cardinal numbers and lower-case Greek letters to denote ordinals, the letter ω being reserved for the first infinite ordinal. The transfinite sequence $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \dots$ denotes the cardinals indexed by ordinals and ordered by size. For α an ordinal, ω_α denotes the least ordinal such that ω_α has \aleph_α predecessors (or members). The cardinal 2^{\aleph_0} is also denoted by c . $|A|$ denotes the cardinal of the set A .

If (X, \mathcal{C}) is a topological space we will let $w(X, \mathcal{C})$ be the *weight* of (X, \mathcal{C}) , that is, the least cardinality of a base of (X, \mathcal{C}) . (The reader is referred to Comfort's excellent survey article [2].) For $n \leq c$ define

$$\mathcal{W}_n = \{w(X, \mathcal{C}): |X| = \aleph_0, |\mathcal{C}| = n\}.$$

Clearly $1 \in \mathcal{W}_n$ implies $1 \leq n$. First we prove \mathcal{W}_n is convex for n infinite.

THEOREM 1. *If n is infinite, $1 \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, then $m \in \mathcal{W}_n$.*

Proof. From the hypothesis of Theorem 1 we see that $1 \in \mathcal{W}_n$ implies 1 is infinite. Let (X_0, \mathcal{C}_0) be a denumerable topological space such that $|\mathcal{C}_0| = n$, $w(X_0, \mathcal{C}_0) = 1$. Let X_1 be a denumerable set disjoint from X_0 . Sierpiński [3] shows that it is possible to find a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X_1 such that (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $|A \cap B| < \aleph_0$, and (ii) $|\mathcal{F}| = m$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{A \subset X_1: X_1 - A \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and let \mathcal{C}_1 denote the topology on X_1 generated by \mathcal{S} . It is not difficult to show that $T \in \mathcal{C}_1$ implies T is a finite intersection of members of \mathcal{S} intersected with a cofinite subset of X_1 . Hence $|\mathcal{C}_1| = |\mathcal{S}| = m$. From this we also see that $w(X_1, \mathcal{C}_1) = m$. Now let $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ and let the topology \mathcal{C} on X be $\{A_0 \cup A_1: A_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1\}$.

Then

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \max(|\mathcal{C}_0|, |\mathcal{C}_1|) = \max(m, n) = n,$$

and

$$w(X, \mathcal{C}) = \text{Max}(w(X_0, \mathcal{C}_0), w(X_1, \mathcal{C}_1)) = \max(l, m) = m.$$

Hence $m \in \mathcal{W}_n$, and the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY $\mathcal{W}_c = \{m: s_0 \leq m \leq c\}$.

Proof. If X is denumerable and \mathcal{C} is the discrete topology on X then $w(X, \mathcal{C}) = s_0$, $|\mathcal{C}| = c$. The corollary then follows from Theorem 1.

DEFINITION. If \mathcal{B} is a family of sets, let

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{A: A \text{ is the union of a subset of } \mathcal{B}\}.$$

LEMMA ⁽¹⁾. *If \mathcal{B} is a family of subsets of a denumerable set X and $s_0 \leq |\mathcal{B}| < \min(s_\omega, c)$, then $|\mathcal{B}^*| = |\mathcal{B}|$ or $|\mathcal{B}^*| = c$.*

Proof. Let $|\mathcal{B}| = s_n$, $n < \omega$. Then we can index members of \mathcal{B} by ω_n , that is, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_n\}$. We can conveniently express \mathcal{B}^* by

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \bigcap_{x \in X} \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_n} \bigcap_{y \in X} \{A: x \in A \rightarrow (x \in B_\alpha \ \& \ (y \in B_\alpha \rightarrow y \in A))\}.$$

For $x \in X$, $\alpha < \omega_n$ let

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,\alpha} = \bigcap_{y \in X} \{A: x \in A \rightarrow (x \in B_\alpha \ \& \ (y \in B_\alpha \rightarrow y \in A))\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_{x,\alpha}$ can be thought of, in a natural way, as a Borel subset of 2^X (see [1]), and hence $|\mathcal{F}_{x,\alpha}|$ is either countable or equals c . If $n = 0$ it also follows that \mathcal{B}^* is a Borel subset of 2^X and thus for $n = 0$ the lemma is valid. So assume $n \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^* &= \bigcap_{x \in X} \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_n} \mathcal{F}_{x,\alpha} \\ &= \bigcap_{x \in X} \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_n} \left(\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{F}_{x,\beta} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{f \in \omega_n} X \bigcap_{x \in X} \left(\bigcup_{\beta \leq f(x)} \mathcal{F}_{x,\beta} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_n} \bigcap_{x \in X} \left(\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{F}_{x,\beta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the last equality following from the fact that ω_n has uncountable cofinality. By induction on $|\alpha|$ we can easily show that

$$\left| \bigcap_{x \in X} \left(\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{F}_{x,\beta} \right) \right| \leq |\alpha| \quad \text{or equals } c.$$

⁽¹⁾ The author is indebted to P. Erdős for posing the problem of the cardinality of \mathcal{B}^* .

If the latter possibility is the case for any $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $|\mathcal{B}^*| = c$. If the latter is not the case for every $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $|\mathcal{B}^*| \leq \sum_{\alpha < \omega_n} |\alpha| = \omega_n$. But since $|\mathcal{B}^*| \geq |\mathcal{B}| = \omega_n$ we must have $|\mathcal{B}^*| = |\mathcal{B}|$.

The next theorem shows that \mathcal{W}_n is quite different from \mathcal{W}_c for $n < c$.

THEOREM 2. (i) *For $n < c$, if $s_0 \leq n \leq s_\omega$ then $\mathcal{W}_n = \{n\}$.*

(ii) *For $s_\omega < n < c$, $m \in \mathcal{W}_n$ implies $m \geq s_\omega$.*

Proof. Let n be as in (i). If $s_0 \leq w(X, \mathcal{C}) < n$, then by the lemma, $|\mathcal{C}| = w(X, \mathcal{C})$, hence $w(X, \mathcal{C}) \notin \mathcal{W}_n$. Part (ii) is also an immediate consequence of the lemma.

The results presented here are far from complete, so we state two closely related questions which seem to require other methods.

PROBLEM 1. For $s_0 \leq n \leq c$, does $|\mathcal{W}_n| \geq 2$ imply $n = c$?

PROBLEM 2. Is $\mathcal{W}_{s_{\omega+1}} = \{s_{\omega+1}\}$?

The author would like to express gratitude to D. Higgs and R. Rado for their interest in this topic.

Added in proof. R. McKenzie has just informed the author that the answer to Problem 1 is "yes".

References

- [1] S. Burris and M. Kwatinetz, *A mathematical observation and its application to the cardinality of certain classes of mathematical structures*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1972), pp. A-290.
- [2] W. W. Comfort, *A survey of cardinal invariants*, Gen. Top. and its Applications 1 (1971), pp. 163-199.
- [3] W. Sierpiński, *Sur une décomposition d'ensembles*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 35 (1928), pp. 239-243.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 1. 1973