

Representation of functions of two variables as sums of rectangular functions, I

by

Roy O. Davies (Lafayette, Ind.)

Abstract. It is shown that CH implies that every real $f(x, y)$ can be written $\sum g_n(x)h_n(y)$, with summation from 1 to $N(x, y) < \infty$, and that such a representation for $\exp(xy)$ implies CH and is impossible with a fixed number of non-zero terms.

In 1952, Michael P. Drazin asked me what was essentially the following question: does every real-valued function f of two real variables admit a representation in the form

$$(1) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)h_n(y)?$$

The main aim of this paper is to present the proof (which I found in 1954) that if the continuum hypothesis is assumed then the answer is affirmative, and indeed there is a representation (1) with the property that for each point $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ there are only finitely many non-zero terms in the series (Theorem 1). Moreover it will be shown that conversely this proposition implies the continuum hypothesis (Theorem 2); unfortunately, I see no way of deciding whether this converse remains valid when the finiteness assertion is omitted. Finally, it will be shown that "finitely many" in Theorem 1 cannot be replaced by any fixed integer N (Theorem 3).

It is easy to see that for non-negative f one cannot demand a representation (1) with non-negative g 's and h 's: for example, this is impossible in the case of the characteristic function of the diagonal, $1 - \operatorname{sgn}|x - y|$. It is hoped to discuss the possibility of representing a measurable f with measurable g 's and h 's in a subsequent paper.

THEOREM 1. *If X, Y are sets of cardinality \aleph_1 , then given any function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ there exist two sequences of functions*

$$g_n: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad h_n: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

such that (1) holds for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, and moreover for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ there are only finitely many non-zero terms in the series.

Proof. It will be convenient to regard a sequence of real-valued functions from a set E to \mathbf{R} as a function from $N \times E$ to \mathbf{R} , and to denote by \sum^* a sum in which there are only finitely many non-zero terms. Instead of (1) we shall thus write

$$(1') \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n, x)h(n, y).$$

We may and shall suppose that X and Y are disjoint. List the elements of $X \cup Y$ as a transfinite sequence (ζ_α) of type ω_1 , and for each ordinal $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ let

$$Z_\alpha = \{\zeta_\beta; 0 \leq \beta < \alpha\}, \quad X_\alpha = Z_\alpha \cap X, \quad Y_\alpha = Z_\alpha \cap Y.$$

Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all ordered pairs of real-valued functions (g, h) satisfying the following conditions: for some ordinal $\alpha = \alpha(g, h)$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \omega_1$, we have $\text{dom } g = N \times X_\alpha$, $\text{dom } h = N \times Y_\alpha$, and (1') holds for $(x, y) \in X_\alpha \times Y_\alpha$, and in addition

(a) given any two disjoint finite subsets K, K' of X_α and any finite subset L of Y_α , there exist infinitely many values of n for which simultaneously

$$g(n, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K), \quad g(n, \xi') = 1 \quad (\xi' \in K'), \quad h(n, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L),$$

(b) given any two disjoint finite subsets L, L' of Y_α and any finite subset K of X_α , there exist infinitely many values of n for which simultaneously

$$h(n, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L), \quad h(n, \eta') = 1 \quad (\eta' \in L'), \quad g(n, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K).$$

Partially order \mathcal{F} by the relation \leq defined as follows:

$$(g, h) \leq (g', h') \quad \text{iff} \quad g \subseteq g' \ \& \ h \subseteq h'$$

(that is: g', h' are extensions of g, h respectively). Now $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in \mathcal{F}$, and every totally ordered subset \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{F} has an upper bound, namely

$$\left(\bigcup \{g: (g, h) \in \mathcal{Q}\}, \bigcup \{h: (g, h) \in \mathcal{Q}\} \right),$$

and therefore by Zorn's Lemma \mathcal{F} contains a maximal element (g, h) . It will now be sufficient to show that $\alpha(g, h) = \omega_1$, since then $\text{dom } g = N \times X$, $\text{dom } h = N \times Y$, and (1') will hold for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Suppose if possible that $\alpha(g, h) = \alpha < \omega_1$: we shall show how to extend g or h . The element ζ_α belongs to X or Y ; suppose the former. The sets X_α, Y_α are countable. Consequently we can list the elements of Y_α in a finite or infinite sequence

$$(2) \quad Y_1, Y_2, \dots,$$

and we can form an infinite sequence

$$(3) \quad (K_1, K'_1, L_1, L'_1), (K_2, K'_2, L_2, L'_2), \dots$$

consisting of all quadruples of which the first two members are disjoint finite subsets of X_α and the last two members are disjoint finite subsets of Y_α ; each such quadruple being repeated infinitely often in the sequence (3).

Now define four infinite sequences of positive integers by induction as follows: $r_0 = 1$, and for $i = 1, 2, \dots$

$p_i = r_{i-1}$ if y_i is undefined (i.e. if Y_α has $i-1$ or fewer elements), and otherwise p_i is the least integer $p > r_{i-1}$ for which

$$(4) \quad h(p, y_1) = \dots = h(p, y_{i-1}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h(p, y_i) = 1;$$

q_i is the least integer $q > p_i$ for which

$$(5) \quad g(q, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K_i), \quad g(q, \xi') = 1 \quad (\xi' \in K'_i), \quad \text{and} \\ h(q, \eta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \in \{y_1, \dots, y_i\};$$

(6) q'_i is the least integer $q > q_i$ satisfying (5);

(7) r_i is the least integer $r > q'_i$ for which

$$h(r, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L_i), \quad h(r, \eta') = 1 \quad (\eta' \in L'_i), \quad g(r, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K_i).$$

It is easy to verify that, because the pair (g, h) satisfies conditions (a) and (b), these integers all exist.

Define a function $g^*: N \times X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by putting $g^*(n, x) = g(n, x)$ for $x \in X_\alpha$, and defining $g^*(n, \zeta_\alpha)$ by induction on n as follows:

$$(8) \quad g^*(p_i, \zeta_\alpha) = f(\zeta_\alpha, y_i) - \sum_{n=1}^{p_i-1} g^*(n, \zeta_\alpha)h(n, y_i) \quad \text{if} \quad p_i > r_{i-1},$$

$$(9) \quad g^*(q_i, \zeta_\alpha) = 1,$$

$$(10) \quad g^*(n, \zeta_\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for all other values of } n.$$

Consider the pair (g^*, h) ; we shall show that it belongs to \mathcal{F} , which contradicts the maximality of (g, h) , since g^* is a proper extension of g . Observe that

$$\text{dom } g^* = N \times (X_\alpha \cup \{\zeta_\alpha\}) = N \times X_{\alpha+1}$$

and

$$\text{dom } h = N \times Y_\alpha = N \times Y_{\alpha+1}.$$



Now we show that (1') holds (with g replaced by g^*) for $(x, y) \in X_{a+1} \times Y_{a+1}$. Since $(g, h) \in \mathcal{F}$, the only case to be considered is when $x = \zeta_a$. Let y occur as y_k (say) in the sequence (2), and consider the sum

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^*(n, \zeta_a) h(n, y_k).$$

In view of (10), we have $g^*(n, \zeta_a) = 0$ unless n is of the form p_i (with $p_i > r_{i-1}$) or q_i , while by the definitions of p_i and q_i (see (4) and (5)) we also have $h(p_i, y_k) = 0$ for $i > k$, $h(p_k, y_k) = 1$, and $h(q_i, y_k) = 0$ for $i \geq k$. Consequently the sum (11) has only finitely many non-zero terms, and reduces to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p_{k-1}} g^*(n, \zeta_a) h(n, y_k) + g^*(p_k, \zeta_a),$$

which by (8) is equal to $f(\zeta_a, y_k)$. We have thus established that (1') holds.

Finally, we must verify conditions (a) and (b), with g replaced by g^* and a by $a+1$.

Condition (a). Let K, K' be disjoint finite subsets of X_{a+1} , and let L be a finite subset of $Y_{a+1} = Y_a$.

Case 1. $\zeta_a \notin K \cup K'$. Then $K \cup K' \subseteq X_a$, and the required infinitely many values of n exist because the pair (g, h) satisfies (a).

Case 2. $\zeta_a \in K$. Then for every occurrence of $(K \setminus \{\zeta_a\}, K', L, \emptyset)$ as a term (K_i, K'_i, L_i, L'_i) in the sequence (3), it follows from the definitions of g^* and q'_i (see (6); (10)) that

$$g^*(q'_i, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K), \quad g^*(q'_i, \xi') = g(q'_i, \xi') = 1 \quad (\xi' \in K'),$$

$$h(q'_i, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L),$$

provided that i is so large that $L \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_i\}$, and there are infinitely many such occurrences.

Case 3. $\zeta_a \in K'$. Then for every occurrence of $(K, K' \setminus \{\zeta_a\}, L, \emptyset)$ as a term (K_i, K'_i, L_i, L'_i) in the sequence (3), it follows from the definitions of g^* and q_i (see (5), (9)) that

$$g^*(q_i, \xi) = g(q_i, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K), \quad g^*(q_i, \xi') = 1 \quad (\xi' \in K'),$$

$$h(q_i, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L),$$

provided that i is so large that $L \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_i\}$, and there are infinitely many such occurrences.

Condition (b). Let K be a finite subset of X_{a+1} and let L, L' be disjoint finite subsets of $Y_{a+1} = Y_a$.

Case 1. $\zeta_a \notin K$. Then $K \subseteq X_a$, and the required infinitely many values of n exist because the pair (g, h) satisfies (b).

Case 2. $\zeta_a \in K$. Then for every occurrence of $(K \setminus \{\zeta_a\}, \emptyset, L, L')$ as a term (K_i, K'_i, L_i, L'_i) in the sequence (3), it follows from the definitions of g^* and r_i (see (7), (10)) that

$$h(r_i, \eta) = 0 \quad (\eta \in L), \quad h(r_i, \eta') = 1 \quad (\eta' \in L'), \quad g^*(r_i, \xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in K),$$

and there are infinitely many such occurrences.

The proof that $(g^*, h) \in \mathcal{F}$ has now been completed, and with it the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *The existence of a representation*

$$(12) \quad e^{xy} = \sum_{n=1}^{N(x,y)} g_n(x) h_n(y),$$

where $N(x, y)$ is a positive integer for each point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, implies the continuum hypothesis.

Proof. (I owe the idea of this to P. Erdős.) Suppose if possible that there exists a representation (12) but $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$: naturally, we are assuming the axiom of choice. Let Q be a subset of \mathbb{R} of cardinality \aleph_1 . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and each positive integer N , let $Q(N, x) = \{y \in Q : N(x, y) = N\}$; then $Q = \bigcup_N Q(N, x)$, and therefore $Q(N, x)$ is infinite for some integer $N = N(x)$; select an $(N+1)$ -element subset $S(x)$ of $Q(N(x), x)$. For each non-empty finite subset S of Q , let $P(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : S(x) = S\}$; then $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_S P(S)$, and therefore $P(S)$ is infinite for some $S = S_0$, say. Let S_0 have cardinality $N+1$, and select an $(N+1)$ -element subset R_0 of $P(S_0)$. Then for every point $(x, y) \in R_0 \times S_0$ we have

$$e^{xy} = \sum_{n=1}^N g_n(x) h_n(y),$$

and it follows ([1], [2]) that

$$\det[e^{x_i y_j}] = 0, \quad \text{where } R_0 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}\}, S_0 = \{y_1, \dots, y_{N+1}\}.$$

But ([3], p. 9) such a determinant never vanishes, and we have a contradiction.

THEOREM 3. *There exists no positive integer N such that e^{xy} admits a representation*

$$(13) \quad e^{xy} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)h_n(y)$$

with the property that for each point $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ there are no more than N non-zero terms in the series.

Proof. Suppose if possible that there exists a representation (13) of this kind. Let N_0 be the least integer such that there exist sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ of cardinality 2^{N_0} , with the property that for each point $(x, y) \in A \times B$ there are no more than N_0 non-zero terms in the series in (13). Thus $1 \leq N_0 \leq N$. Take any such sets A, B , and for each N_0 -element subset E of the set of positive integers let

$$P(E) = \{(x, y) \in A \times B: g_n(x)h_n(y) \neq 0 \text{ for } n \in E\}.$$

Given any E , let $Q(E)$ be a maximal collection of points of $P(E)$ such that no two lie on the same horizontal or vertical line. Now $|Q(E)| \leq N_0$, because otherwise if we select an (N_0+1) -element subset

$$\{(x_i, y_i): i = 1, \dots, N_0+1\}$$

of $Q(E)$ then for each i, j we have $g_n(x_i)h_n(y_j) \neq 0$ for $n \in E$ and (since there are no more than N_0 non-zero terms in the series) $e^{x_i y_j} = \sum_{n \in E} g_n(x_i)h_n(y_j)$, whence $\det[e^{x_i y_j}] = 0$, which is impossible. Let $A_0(E), B_0(E)$ be the projections of $Q(E)$ on the axes. Then $P(E) \subseteq [A_0(E) \times B] \cup [A \times B_0(E)]$, and

$$|A_0(E)| \leq N_0, \quad |B_0(E)| \leq N_0.$$

It follows that

$$|\bigcup_E A_0(E)| \leq N_0, \quad |\bigcup_E B_0(E)| \leq N_0,$$

and therefore $|A'| = |B'| = 2^{N_0}$, where

$$A' = A \setminus \bigcup_E A_0(E), \quad B' = B \setminus \bigcup_E B_0(E).$$

But for each point $(x, y) \in A' \times B'$ there are no more than $N_0 - 1$ non-zero terms in the series (13), and this contradicts the definition of N_0 .

References

- [1] S. P. Kovalenko and V. L. Rvačov, *Certain properties of determinants*, *Dopovid Akad. Nauk Ukrain. RSR* 1965, pp. 1414–1418 (Ukrainian).
- [2] S. Haber, *A theorem on arbitrary functions*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 74 (1967), pp. 973–975.
- [3] S. Karlin and W. J. Studden, *Chebyshev systems: with applications in analysis and statistics*, New York 1966.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA
and
THE UNIVERSITY, LEICESTER, U.K.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 3. 1973