

- [15] Hanna Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Berlin 1967.  
 [16] S. Świerczkowski, *Topologies in free algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964), pp. 566-576.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES  
 Kensington, Australia

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25. 11. 1971

## Some mapping characterizations of unicoherence

by

R. F. Dickman, Jr. (Blacksburg, Va.)

**Abstract.** In this paper we characterize unicoherence in terms of certain real-valued mappings. The following theorems are typical of the results obtained: (1) Let  $X$  be a separable, locally connected, connected, perfectly normal space. Then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if for every pair of disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a mapping  $f$  of  $X$  onto  $I = [0, 1]$  such that  $0 \in f(A)$ ,  $1 \in f(B)$  and  $I \setminus f(A \cup B)$  contains a dense subset  $D$  of  $I$  such that for every  $d \in D$ ,  $f^{-1}(d)$  is connected. (2) Let  $X$  be a separable, locally connected, connected, compact normal space. Then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if for every pair of disjoint non-empty continua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a monotone mapping  $f$  of  $X$  onto  $I$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$ .

The concept of non-alternating mappings was introduced by G. T. Whyburn in [8] and in [9] he showed that if  $M$  is a locally connected, compact connected metric space and  $J$  is any arc in  $M$ , there exists a non-alternating retraction  $r: M \rightarrow J$  which, when  $M$  was unicoherent, was monotone. His proofs depended heavily upon cyclic element theory for compact locally connected continua. In [1], K. Borsuk characterized unicoherence for compact, locally connected metric continua in terms of mappings into the circle. More recently, K. Kuratowski proved that when  $X$  is a compact and locally connected space and  $Y$  is a metric space,  $\mathcal{N}$ , the set of all non-alternating mappings of  $X$  onto  $Y$ , is a  $G_\delta$ -set in the space of all continuous maps of  $X$  into  $Y$ .

In this paper we characterize unicoherence for separable, perfectly normal, locally connected, connected spaces in terms of non-alternating mappings onto  $[0, 1]$ .

**Notation and terminology.** Throughout this paper let  $X$  denote a connected, locally connected normal space. By a continuum we mean a closed and connected set and a region is an open connected set. By a mapping we will always mean a continuous function. We will use  $I$  to denote  $[0, 1]$  and a surjection  $f$  of  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  will be denoted by  $f: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ . A perfectly normal space is a normal space in which every closed subset is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

**DEFINITIONS.** We say that  $X$  is *unicoherent* provided whenever  $X = H \cup K$ , where  $H$  and  $K$  are continua,  $H \cap K$  is a continuum.

We say that a mapping  $f: X \Rightarrow Y$  is *non-alternating* provided that whenever  $y \in Y$  and  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y) = A_1 \cup A_2$  is a separation,  $f(A_1) \cap f(A_2) = \emptyset$ . We use  $C(f)$  to denote  $\{y \in Y: f^{-1}(y) \text{ is connected}\}$  and say that  $f: X \Rightarrow Y$  is *monotone* (respectively, *d-monotone*) provided that  $C(f) = Y$  (respectively,  $C(f) = Y$ ).

A mapping  $f: X \Rightarrow Y$  is said to be *interior* at  $y \in Y$  provided that whenever  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$  that meets  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y$  is interior to  $f(U)$ . For a mapping  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  we use  $\mathcal{I}(f)$  to denote  $\{y \in (0, 1): f \text{ is interior at } y\}$ .

LEMMA 1. A mapping  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  is non-alternating if and only if for every  $y \in (0, 1)$ ,  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  has exactly two components.

Proof of the necessity. Suppose that  $P, Q$  and  $R$  are components of  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$ . Then for some pair, say  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $f(P) \cap f(Q) \neq \emptyset$ . But then  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y) = P \cup H$ , where  $H = X \setminus (f^{-1}(y) \cup P)$ , is a separation with  $f(P) \cap f(H) \neq \emptyset$ . Of course this implies that  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  has exactly two components.

The sufficiency. For any point  $y \in (0, 1)$ , let  $A_y$  (respectively,  $B_y$ ) denote the component of  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  that maps onto  $[0, y]$  (respectively,  $[y, 1]$ ). Then  $X \setminus f^{-1}(1) = \bigcup A_y$ ,  $y \in (0, 1)$  and  $X \setminus f^{-1}(0) = \bigcup B_y$ ,  $y \in (0, 1)$  are connected. Now suppose that  $X \setminus f^{-1}(x) = H \cup K$  is a separation. By the above  $x \in (0, 1)$  and by our hypothesis  $H$  and  $K$  are connected and so  $f(H) \cap f(K)$  must be empty.

LEMMA 2. If  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  is d-monotone, it is also non-alternating.

Proof. Let  $y \in (0, 1)$  and suppose that  $P_1, P_2$  and  $P_3$  are components of  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$ . Now each of the sets  $P_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , has limit points in  $f^{-1}(y)$  and so for some pair, say  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , we have that  $\text{int}(f(P_1) \cap f(P_2)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $c \in C(f) \cap f(P_1) \cap f(P_2)$ . Now  $f^{-1}(c)$  is connected and so it must lie entirely in any component of  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  that it intersects. But  $f^{-1}(c) \cap P_1 \neq \emptyset \neq P_2 \cap f^{-1}(c)$  and this contradiction implies that  $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  has exactly two components. Then by Lemma 1,  $f$  is non-alternating.

LEMMA 3. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed sets in  $X$ , let  $C$  be a component of  $A$  and let  $D$  be a component of  $B$ . There exist disjoint regions  $H$  and  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $C \subset H$ ,  $D \subset K$ ,  $\text{Fr}H = \text{Fr}K$  and  $\text{Fr}H$  misses  $A \cup B$ .

Proof. Let  $W$  be any open set containing  $A$  such that  $\overline{W} \cap B = \emptyset$  and let  $P$  be the component of  $X \setminus \text{Fr}W$  that contains  $C$ . Let  $K$  be the component of  $X \setminus \overline{P}$  that contains  $D$  and let  $H$  be the component of  $X \setminus \overline{K}$  that contains  $C$ . Then since  $X$  is locally connected,  $\text{Fr}H = \text{Fr}K$  and since  $\text{Fr}H \subset \text{Fr}W$ ,  $\text{Fr}H$  misses  $A \cup B$ .

LEMMA 4. Suppose that  $X$  is perfectly normal and let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . Then there exists a non-alternating mapping

$f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $0 \in f(A)$ ,  $1 \in f(B)$  and  $f$  is not interior at any point of  $f(A \cup B)$ .

Proof. We will use induction to construct a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of mappings which converge uniformly to the desired map. To this end let  $U$  and  $\overline{V}$  be open sets containing  $A$  and  $B$  respectively such that  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ . Let  $A_0$  be any component of  $\overline{U}$  that meets  $A$  and let  $B_0$  be any component of  $\overline{V}$  that meets  $B$ . Finally let  $T = \overline{U} \cup \overline{V}$ . By Lemma 3 there exist disjoint regions  $H_{1,1}$  and  $K_{1,1}$  containing  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  respectively such that  $F_{1,1} = \text{Fr}H_{1,1} = \text{Fr}K_{1,1}$  and  $F_{1,1} \cap T = \emptyset$ . Let  $f_1: X \Rightarrow I$  be any mapping such:

- (1)  $f_1(A_0) = 0$  and  $f_1(B_0) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $f_1^{-1}[0, 1/2] = H_{1,1}$  and  $f_1^{-1}[1/2, 1] = K_{1,1}$ ,
- (3)  $X \setminus (H_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1}) = f_1^{-1}(1/2)$ .

Now suppose that for every positive integer  $n \leq k$  we have chosen a mapping  $f_n: X \Rightarrow I$  such that:

- (a)  $f_n(A_0) = 0$  and  $f_n(B_0) = 1$ .
- (b) For every integer  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$ , there exist disjoint regions  $H_{m,n}$  and  $K_{m,n}$  such that  $A_0 \subset H_{m,n}$  and  $B_0 \subset K_{m,n}$ ,  $F_{m,n} = \text{Fr}H_{m,n} = \text{Fr}K_{m,n}$  misses  $T$ ,  $f_n^{-1}[0, m/2^n] = H_{m,n}$  and  $f_n^{-1}[m/2^n, 1] = K_{m,n}$ , and  $X \setminus (H_{m,n} \cup K_{m,n}) = f_n^{-1}(m/2^n)$ .
- (c)  $f_n[f_{n-1}^{-1}(S_{n-1})] = f_{n-1}^{-1}$  where  $S_{n-1} = \{1/2^{n-1}, 2/2^{n-1}, \dots, (2^{n-1}-1)/2^{n-1}\}$ .
- (d) For every integer  $m$ ,  $1 < m \leq 2^{n-1}$ ,  $f_n^{-1}f_{n-1}^{-1}[(m-1)/2^{n-1}, m/2^{n-1}] = f_{n-1}^{-1}[(m-1)/2^{n-1}, m/2^{n-1}]$ .

We define  $f_{k+1}$  as follows: Let  $A_{0,k} = A_0$  and let  $B_{k,k} = B_0$ . Now for each  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m < 2^k$ ,  $H_{m,k}$  is connected and since every component of  $f_k^{-1}(m/2^k)$  meets  $H_{m,k}$ ,  $A_{m,k} = H_{m,k} \cup f_k^{-1}(m/2^k)$  is a continuum. Likewise for each  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m < 2^k$ ,  $B_{m,k} = K_{m,k} \cup f_k^{-1}(m/2^k)$  is a continuum. Furthermore for each  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m < 2^k$ ,  $T \cap H_{m,k} = T \cap \overline{H}_{m,k}$  and  $B_{m,k}$  are disjoint closed sets,  $T \subset (T \cap H_{m,k}) \cup B_{m,k}$ , and  $A_{m-1,k}$  is a component of  $T \cap H_{m,k}$ . Similarly for  $m = 2^k$ ,  $B_{k,k}$  is a component of  $T \cap K_{m-1,k}$ ,  $T \subset (T \cap K_{m-1,k}) \cup A_{m-1,k}$  and  $T \cap K_{m-1,k}$  and  $A_{m-1,k}$  are disjoint closed sets. So that finally, by Lemma 3, for each  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq 2^k$  there exist disjoint regions  $H_{2m-1,k+1}$  and  $K_{2m-1,k+1}$  containing  $A_{m-1,k}$  and  $B_{m,k}$  respectively such that  $\text{Fr}H_{2m-1,k+1} = \text{Fr}K_{2m-1,k+1}$  and  $\text{Fr}H_{2m-1,k+1}$  misses  $T$ . Choose  $f_{k+1}: X \Rightarrow I$  to be any mapping such that for each  $m$ ,  $1 < m \leq 2^k$ ,

- (i)  $f_{k+1}f_k^{-1}[(m-1)/2^k, m/2^k] = [(m-1)/2^k, m/2^k]$ ,
- (ii)  $f_{k+1}^{-1}[(m-1)/2^k] = f_k^{-1}[(m-1)/2^k]$  and
- (iii)  $f_{k+1}^{-1}[(2m-1)/2^{k+1}] = X \setminus (H_{2m-1,k+1} \cup K_{2m-1,k+1})$ .

Note such a selection is possible since  $X$  is perfectly normal. Clearly  $f_{k+1}$  so chosen satisfies (a)-(d).

Now there exists a mapping  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  since for all integers  $p, n, m$ , where  $p \geq n > 0$  and  $1 \leq m$

$< 2^n$ ,  $f_p f_n^{-1}[(m-1)/2^n, m/2^n] = [(m-1)/2^n, m/2^n]$ . In order to see that  $f$  is non-alternating let  $y \in (0, 1)$  and let  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be sequences of positive integers such that for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq p_i \leq 2^{q_i} - 1$ ,  $q_{i+1} > q_i$ ,  $p_i/2^{q_i} < y$  and  $\{p_i/2^{q_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence which converges to  $y$ . Then

$$f^{-1}[0, y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty f^{-1}[0, p_i/2^{q_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty f_{q_i}^{-1}[0, p_i/2^{q_i})$$

since

$$f_{q_i}^{-1}[0, p_i/2^{q_i}) \subset f^{-1}[0, p_{i+1}/2^{q_{i+1}}) \subset f_{q_{i+1}}^{-1}[0, p_{i+1}/2^{q_{i+1}})$$

and since each of the sets  $f_{q_i}^{-1}[0, p_i/2^{q_i}) = H_{p_i, q_i}$  is connected,  $f^{-1}[0, y)$  is connected. Similarly  $f^{-1}(y, 1]$  is connected and by Lemma 1,  $f$  is non-alternating.

Let  $x \in (A \cup B)$  and let  $Q$  be the component of  $T$  containing  $x$ . Let  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be sequence of positive integers such that  $1 \leq p_i \leq 2^{q_i} - 1$  and  $q_{i+1} > q_i$  and such that

$$f(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty (p_i/2^{q_i}, (p_i+1)/2^{q_i}).$$

Recall that if any component of  $T$  met a set of the form  $f_n^{-1}(m/2^n)$  it lie entirely within the set, so that for each  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$Q \subset f_{q_i}^{-1}(p_i/2^{q_i}, (p_i+1)/2^{q_i}) \subset f^{-1}[p_i/2^{q_i}, (p_i+1)/2^{q_i}).$$

Hence  $f(Q) = f(x)$  and since  $\text{int} Q \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f$  is not interior at  $f(x)$ .

Remark 5. When  $A$  and  $B$  are continua we need only assume that  $X$  is normal and modify our construction slightly to obtain a non-alternating map onto  $I$  separating  $A$  and  $B$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a separable and perfectly normal space. Then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if for every non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$ ,  $J(f) \subset C(f)$ .

Proof of the necessity. Let  $x \in J(f)$  and let  $H = f^{-1}[0, x)$  and  $K = f^{-1}(x, 1]$ . We assert that  $f^{-1}(x) = \bar{H} \cap \bar{K}$ . In order to see this suppose that  $z \in (f^{-1}(x) \setminus \bar{H})$ . Then there exists a region  $U$  containing  $z$  such that  $U \cap H = \emptyset$ . But then  $f(U) \cap [0, x) = \emptyset$  and this is a contradiction since  $f$  is interior at  $x$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(x) = \bar{H} \cap \bar{K}$  and since  $X$  is unicoherent and  $X = \bar{H} \cup \bar{K}$ ,  $x \in C(f)$ .

The sufficiency. Suppose  $X = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are continua and  $H \cap K = A \cup B$  is a separation. Let  $f: X \rightarrow I$  be a non-alternating map such that  $0 \in f(A)$ ,  $1 \in f(B)$  and  $J(f) \cap f(A \cup B) = \emptyset$ . By the main result of [10], there exists  $x \in J(f)$  and by our hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(x) = C$  is connected. Then  $C$  separates any  $a \in f^{-1}(0) \cap A$  from any point  $b \in f^{-1}(1) \cap B$ . But since  $x \notin f(A \cup B)$ ,  $C$  is a subset of  $H \setminus H \cap K$  or  $K \setminus H \cap K$  and as such,  $C$  cannot separate  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ . This contradiction implies that  $X$  is unicoherent.

EXAMPLES (1). Let  $X = \{(x, y) \in E^2: (x-1/2)^2 + y^2 = 1/4\}$  and let  $f(x, y) = x$ . Then  $f: X \rightarrow I$  is non-alternating, but  $C(f) = \{0, 1\}$ . However  $X$  is not unicoherent.

(2). Let  $Y = \{(x, y) \in E^2: 0 \leq x \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$  and let  $X = Y \setminus \{(0, y): 1/4 \leq y \leq 3/4\}$ . Define  $f: X \rightarrow I$  by  $f(x, y) = x$ . Then  $X$  is unicoherent and  $f$  is interior at 0, but  $0 \notin C(f)$ . Thus we can not enlarge  $J(f)$  to include 0 or 1 in Theorem 1.

(3). Let  $Y$  be as in (2), let  $Z = \{(x, y): 1/2 < x \leq 1 \text{ and } 1/2 < y \leq 1\}$  and let  $X = Y \setminus (Z \cup \{(1/2, y): 1/2 \leq y \leq 3/4\})$  and again let  $f(x, y) = x$ . Then  $X$  is unicoherent and  $1/2 \notin C(f)$  so that our construction in Lemma 4 does not in general allow us to choose  $f$  to be monotone. However we can prove the following:

COROLLARY (1.1). Let  $X$  be a separable and perfectly normal space. Then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if for every pair of disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists a  $d$ -monotone map such that  $0 \in f(A)$ ,  $1 \in f(B)$  and  $C(f) \cap (f(A \cup B))$  is dense in  $I$ .

Proof. The proof of the sufficiency is similar to that for Theorem 1.

The necessity. By Lemma 4, there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $J(f) \cap f(A \cup B) = \emptyset$  and by Whyburn's result in [10],  $J(f)$  is dense in  $I$ . It then follows from Theorem 1, that  $C(f) \cap f(A \cup B)$  is dense in  $I$ .

COROLLARY (1.2). If  $X$  is separable and unicoherent, then a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow I$  is non-alternating if and only if it is  $d$ -monotone.

This is a consequence of the fact that  $J(f)$  is dense in  $I$ .

DEFINITION. We say that a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $C$ -separated provided that there exists disjoint continua  $L$  and  $M$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subset (L \cup M)$  and  $A \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap M$ . We say that  $X$  has Property  $C$  provided that every separated closed subset of  $X$  is  $C$ -separated.

LEMMA 6. If  $X$  is normal, then  $X$  has Property  $C$  if and only if for every pair of non-empty disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A \cup B) = \{0, 1\}$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $X$  has Property  $C$  and let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $L$  and  $M$  be disjoint continua such that  $(A \cup B) \subset (L \cup M)$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq (A \cup B) \cap M$ . By Remark 5 there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(L) = 0$  and  $f(M) = 1$ . Then  $f(A \cup B) = \{0, 1\}$  as required.

The sufficiency. Let  $A$  be a separated closed subset of  $X$ , say  $A = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are disjoint closed sets. By our hypothesis there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(H \cup K) = \{0, 1\}$ . By Lemma 1, each of the sets  $f^{-1}[0, 1/4]$  and  $f^{-1}(3/4, 1]$  are connected and so  $L = f^{-1}[0, 1/4]$  and  $M = f^{-1}(3/4, 1]$  are the required continua.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is separable, normal and has Property C, then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if every pair of disjoint non-empty continua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a  $d$ -monotone map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$ .*

**Proof of the sufficiency.** Suppose that  $X = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are continua and  $H \cup K = A \cup B$  is a separation. Since  $X$  has Property C, there exist disjoint continua  $L$  and  $M$  of  $X$  such that  $(A \cup B) \subset (L \cup M)$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq (A \cup B) \cap M$ . By our hypothesis there exists a  $d$ -monotone map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(L) = 0$  and  $f(M) = 1$ . Let  $x \in (0, 1) \cap C(f)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(x)$  must separate  $L$  and  $M$ . But it must lie entirely within  $H \setminus (L \cup M)$  or  $K \setminus (L \cup M)$  and cannot separate  $L$  and  $M$  in  $X$ . Hence  $X$  is unicoherent.

**Proof of the necessity.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint subcontinua of  $X$ . By Remark 5 there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$  and it follows from Corollary (1.1), that  $f$  is  $d$ -monotone.

**COROLLARY (2.1).** *If  $X$  is separable and normal, then  $X$  is unicoherent and has Property C if and only if for every pair of non-empty disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists a  $d$ -monotone map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A \cup B) = \{0, 1\}$ .*

**Proof of the sufficiency.** By Lemmas 2 and 7,  $X$  has Property C and by Theorem 2,  $X$  is unicoherent.

The necessity follows from Corollary (1.1) and Lemma 6.

**LEMMA 7.** *If  $X$  is normal and has Property C, then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if every pair of disjoint continua can be separated by a continuum.*

**Proof.** The necessity follows immediately from Theorem (4.7) of [11].

The sufficiency. Suppose that  $X = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are continua and  $H \cap K = A \cup B$  is a separation. Since  $X$  has Property C, there exists disjoint continua  $L$  and  $M$  such that  $(A \cup B) \subset (L \cup M)$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap L \neq \emptyset \neq (A \cup B) \cap M$ . By our hypothesis there exists a continuum  $T$  such that  $T$  separates  $L$  and  $M$  in  $X$ . But then  $T$  would be a subset of  $H \setminus (A \cup B)$  or  $K \setminus (A \cup B)$  and thus could not separate  $L$  and  $M$ . This contradiction implies that  $X$  is unicoherent.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  non-empty subsets of  $X$ . We say that a finite collection of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ , is a *simple chain from  $A$  to  $B$*  provided,  $A \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap S_n$  and  $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$ .

**LEMMA 8.** *If  $X$  is compact,  $X$  has Property C.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a separated closed set in  $X$ , say  $A = H \cup K$ , where  $H$  and  $K$  are non-empty and closed. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be disjoint open sets containing  $H$  and  $K$  respectively such that  $\bar{P} \cap Q = \emptyset$  and every com-

ponent of  $P \cup Q$  meets  $A$ . Then since  $A$  is compact,  $P \cup Q$  has only finitely many components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$ . With loss of generality, we may and do assume that  $\bar{C}_i \cap \bar{C}_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $\{W_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , be an open covering of  $X \setminus A$  by connected sets such that no  $\bar{W}_\alpha$  intersects more than one  $\bar{C}_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{C_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{W_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ . Since  $X$  is connected,  $\mathcal{K}$  contains a simple chain  $\mathcal{S}$  from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$ . Let  $S_1$  be the subchain of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $S_1$  contains exactly one  $C_i$  different than  $C_1$ , say  $C_s$ . Now if  $C$  denotes the closure of the union of the elements of  $S_1$ , then  $\bigcup \{\bar{C}_i: i \neq 1 \text{ and } i \neq s\} \cup C$  is a closed set with  $\leq (n-1)$  components. Clearly the result now follows by induction.

**LEMMA 9.** *If  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  is closed and  $d$ -monotone,  $f$  is monotone.*

**Proof.** Let  $y \in I$  and suppose that  $f^{-1}(y) = H \cup K$  is a separation. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint open sets containing  $H$  and  $K$  respectively and let  $F = \text{Fr}(U \cup V)$ . Now  $R = I \setminus f(F)$  is an open set containing  $y$ . Let  $U_0 = f^{-1}(R) \cap U$  and  $V_0 = f^{-1}(R) \cap V$  and let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be components of  $U_0$  that meet  $H$ . Suppose that  $f(Q_1) \cap [0, y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f(Q_2) \cap (y, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $P$  be any component of  $V_0$  that meets  $K$ . Then  $f(P) \cap [0, y) \neq \emptyset$  or  $f(P) \cap (y, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $f(P) \cap (y, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $f(Q_2) \cap f(P) \neq \emptyset$  and in particular  $f(Q_2) \cap f(P)$  contains a point  $c \in C(f)$ . But then  $f^{-1}(c) \subset (X \setminus F)$  and  $f^{-1}(c) \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq f^{-1}(c) \cap V$ . This contradicts the connectedness of  $f^{-1}(c)$ . Thus we must have that every component of  $U_0 \setminus H$  maps into  $[0, y)$  or every component of  $U_0 \setminus H$  maps into  $(y, 1]$ . Suppose the latter case holds. Then by an argument similar to that above, we must have that every component of  $V_0 \setminus K$  maps into  $[0, y)$ . But then  $X = [f^{-1}[0, y) \cup V_0] \cup [f^{-1}(y, 1] \cup U_0]$  is a separation of  $X$  and of course this contradicts the connectedness of  $X$ . Therefore  $C(f) = X$  and  $f$  is monotone.

**COROLLARY (2.2).** *Suppose that  $X$  is compact, separable and normal. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $X$  is unicoherent.
- Every pair of disjoint continua can be separated by a continuum.
- For every pair disjoint non-empty continua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , there exists a monotone map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$ .
- For every pair of disjoint non-empty closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a monotone map  $f: X \Rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A \cup B) = \{0, 1\}$ .

**Remarks.** We do not know whether every locally connected, connected normal space  $X$  has Property C, however we have shown that every Lindelöf, locally compact, locally connected, connected Hausdorff space has Property C [4].

A. H. Stone has made the following conjecture: (a) Let  $X$  be a locally connected, connected normal space and let  $n > 2$  be an integer. If  $X$  is not unicoherent, there exist continua  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  in  $X$  such that



$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i(\bmod n) - j(\bmod n)| \leq 1$  and no three of the  $A_i$ 's have a point in common. We have been able to show that (a) (for  $n = 4$ ) is equivalent to (b): Let  $X$  be a locally connected, connected normal space. Then  $X$  is unicoherent if and only if for every pair of disjoint subcontinua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a sub-continuum  $C$  of  $X$  such that  $C$  separates  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  [4].

A. H. Stone has proved (a) for  $n = 3$  [6] and (b) is true whenever  $X$  has Property  $C$ . The equivalence of (a) for  $n = 3$  and unicoherence for compact metric continua is due to A. D. Wallace [7].

G. T. Whyburn proved that if  $X$  is a compact locally connected metric continuum and  $J$  is an arc in  $X$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , then there exists a non-alternating retraction  $f: X \rightarrow J$  of  $X$  onto  $J$  which was also monotone when  $X$  was unicoherent [9]. His proofs leaned heavily on cyclic element theory for compact metric continua.

**Related results.** In [3] we defined a set  $X$  to be *weakly-unicoherent* provided that whenever  $X = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are continua and  $K$  is compact  $H \cap K$  is a continuum. A set  $A \subset X$  is  $\gamma$ -closed provided it is closed and  $\text{Fr} A$  is compact. In [2], we defined a set  $X$  to be  $\gamma$ -*unicoherent* provided that whenever  $X = H \cup K$  where  $H$  and  $K$  are  $\gamma$ -closed and connected sets,  $H \cap K$  is connected and in [2] we showed that a locally compact Hausdorff space is  $\gamma$ -unicoherent if and only if it is weakly-unicoherent, but in general the two concepts do not coincide. Finally we say that a space  $X$  has the *Complementation Property* provided that for every compact set  $K$  in  $X$ ,  $X \setminus K$  has exactly one component with a non-compact closure. In [3] we related the Complementation Property to weak-unicoherence and characterized those spaces which enjoyed both of these properties.

The following propositions can be proved by the techniques of this paper:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable and normal space. Then  $X$  is  $\gamma$ -unicoherent if and only if for every pair of disjoint, non-empty  $\gamma$ -closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a  $d$ -monotone map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(A \cup B) = \{0, 1\}$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact, normal, separable Hausdorff space. Then*

(a)  *$X$  has the Complementation Property if and only if for all compact sets  $K$  in  $X$  there exists a non-alternating map  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(K) = 0$  and for all  $c \in [0, 1)$ ,  $f^{-1}[0, c]$  is compact.*

(b)  *$X$  is weakly-unicoherent and has the Complementation Property if and only if for every pair of disjoint compact non-empty continua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  there exists a monotone map  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(B) = 1$  and for all  $c \in [0, 1)$ ,  $f^{-1}[0, c]$  is compact.*

## References

- [1] K. Borsuk, *Quelques théorèmes sur les ensembles unicoherents*, Fund. Math. 17 (1931), pp. 171-209.
- [2] M. H. Clapp and R. F. Dickman, Jr., *Unicoherent compactifications*, Pac. J. Math. (to appear).
- [3] R. F. Dickman, Jr., *Unicoherence and related properties*, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), pp. 343-352.
- [4] — and L. R. Rubin, *C-separated sets and unicoherence*, to appear.
- [5] K. Kuratowski, *Applications of set-valued mappings to various spaces of continuous functions*, Gen. Top. Appl. 1 (1971), pp. 155-161.
- [6] A. H. Stone, *Incidence relations in unicoherent spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1949), pp. 427-447.
- [7] A. D. Wallace, *A characterization of unicoherence*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Abstract 345, 48 (1942).
- [8] G. T. Whyburn, *Non-alternating transformations*, Amer. J. Math. 56 (1934), pp. 294-302.
- [9] — *The existence of certain transformations*, Duke Math. J. 5 (1939) pp. 647-655.
- [10] — *On the interiority of real functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1942), pp. 942-945.
- [11] R. L. Wilder, *Topology of manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. (1949).

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE  
and STATE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14. 12. 1971