

$f_n: E_1 \rightarrow E_1$ such that $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges pointwise to u . Put $u_0 = f_0$, $u_n = f_n - (f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_{n-1})$ for $1 \leq n < \omega$ and $u_\xi = 0$ for $\omega \leq \xi < \Omega$.

Then

$$\sum_{\xi < \Omega} u_\xi = u, \quad u_\xi \in U(E_1, E_1) \text{ and } u \notin U(E_1, E_1).$$

References

- [1] P. Kostyrko and T. Šalát, *O funkcijach grafy ktorых являются замкнутыми множествами*, Čas. pěst. mat. 89 (1964), pp. 426–432.
- [2] M. Kulbacka, *Sur les ensembles stationnaires et déterminants pour certain classes de dérivées symétriques*, Coll. Math. 19 (1968), pp. 255–259.
- [3] M. M. Lavrentieff, *Sur la représentation des fonctions mesurables par les séries transfinies de polynomes*, Fund. Math. 5 (1924), pp. 123–129.
- [4] H. Malchair, *Sur les suites et séries transfinies*, Bull. Soc. Royale des Sci. de Liège 1 (1932), pp. 47–49.
- [5] — *Sur les suites et séries transfinies de fonctions non décroissantes*, Bull. Soc. Royale des Sci. de Liège 1 (1932), pp. 75–77.
- [6] — *Un théorème sur les suites transfinies de fonctions*, Bull. Soc. Royale des Sci. de Liège 1 (1932), pp. 137–139.
- [7] — *Quelques nouvelles considérations aux suites et séries transfinies*, Bull. Soc. Royale des Sci. de Liège 3 (1934), pp. 133–140.
- [8] S. Marcus, *Sur les ensembles déterminants des dérivées approximatives*, Compt. Rendus 255 (1962), pp. 1685–1687.
- [9] C. J. Neugebauer, *A class of functions determined by dense sets*, Arch. Math. 12 (1961), pp. 206–209.
- [10] W. Sierpiński, *Sur les suites transfinies convergentes de fonctions de Baire*, Fund. Math. 1 (1920), pp. 132–141.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 1. 1972

Property Z and Property Y sets in F -manifolds

by

William H. Cutler (*) (Baton Rouge, La.)

Abstract. Let M be a manifold modelled on a Fréchet space F such that $F \cong F^\omega$. K , a closed subset of M , will have *Property Y* if given an open neighborhood U of K and an open cover of M , there exists a set N which is a closed neighborhood of K contained in U and a homeomorphism $h: N \rightarrow \text{Bd}(N) \times [0, 1)$ such that for $x \in \text{Bd}(N)$, $h(x) = (x, 0)$ and $h^{-1}(\{x\} \times [0, 1))$ is contained in some element of the cover. It is shown that (1) *Property Y* implies infinite deficiency, and (2) *Property Z* implies *Property Y* for separable M . The combination gives an alternative proof to the proof of Anderson's that *Property Z* implies infinite deficiency.

Key words and phrases. Infinite-dimensional manifold, F -manifold, deficiency, *Property Z*, negligibility, variable product.

1. Introduction. An F -manifold is a manifold modelled on a Fréchet space F such that $F \cong F^\omega$. A closed subset K of an F -manifold M has *Property Z* if for every open, non-empty, homotopically trivial set U in M , then $U - K$ is non-empty and homotopically trivial. K has F -deficiency if there is a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M \times F$ such that for $x \in K$, $h(x) = (x, 0)$.

Anderson was the first to show that *Property Z* implies F -deficiency for separable F -manifolds [1]. More recent results due to Chapman [2] have established this for non-separable F -manifolds. This paper gives a new approach to the problem, one which avoids use of the Hilbert cube, the useful compactification of l_2 (separable Hilbert space), which has no good generalization for other Fréchet spaces. We will also define a new type of deficient subset, which will be used as a stepping stone in the proof that *Property Z* implies F -deficiency.

Let K be a closed subset of a space X . Then K has *Property Y* if given an open neighborhood U of K and an open cover of X , there exists

(*) This paper is part of the author's dissertation written under David W. Henderson.

a set N which is a closed neighborhood of K contained in U , and such that there exists a homeomorphism $h: N \rightarrow \text{Bd}(N) \times [0, 1)$ such that for $x \in \text{Bd}(N)$, $h(x) = (x, 0)$ and $h^{-1}(\{x\} \times [0, 1))$ is contained in some element of the cover.

The following theorems will be proved:

THEOREM 1. *Let M be an F -manifold and let K be a subset of M having Property Y. Then K is F -deficient.*

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a separable F -manifold and let K be a closed subset of M having Property Z. Then K has Property Y.*

Combining the theorems, we get an alternative proof to Anderson's that Property Z implies F -deficiency for separable F -manifolds.

2. Further definitions. For a metric space X , define $C(X)$ to be the open metric cone of X , that is, the space $[0, \infty) \times X$ with $\{0\} \times X$ identified, with the following topology: At the vertex, a basis for the open sets will be the sets $\{(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times X \mid t < s\}$ for each $s \in (0, \infty)$, and at other points the product topology will be used. By Lemma 2 of [5], $F \cong C(E)$ for some metric space E . Let $h: F \rightarrow C(E)$ be such a homeomorphism. For $y \in F$, define $\|y\| = \pi_1 h(y)$ where π_1 is projection onto the first factor.

Let X be a topological space, F as above and $r: X \rightarrow I$ a continuous function. Then the *variable product of X by F with respect to r* is defined to be

$$X \times F = \{(x, y) \in X \times F \mid y = 0 \text{ or } \|y\| < r(x)/(1-r(x))\}.$$

We say that this variable product is *zero over $r^{-1}(0)$* . For U a subset of X , the *fiber over U* is the set $(U \times F) \cap (X \times F)$, and may also be called the *variable product restricted to U* .

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let G_1, G_2, \dots be a sequence of open covers of M whose mesh approach 0. Let N_1 be a closed neighborhood of K and $h_1: N_1 \rightarrow \text{Bd}(N_1) \times [0, 1)$ be a homeomorphism satisfying the conditions in the definition of Property Y with G_1 as the cover. In addition, by reparametrization of h_1 , we may assume that $h_1^{-1}(\text{Bd}(N_1) \times [0, \frac{1}{2})) \cap K = \emptyset$.

For $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ inductively define N_i and h_i as above with the additional conditions that $N_i \subset N_{i-1} - h_{i-1}^{-1}(\text{Bd}(N_{i-1}) \times [0, \frac{1}{2}))$ and $d(K, X - N_i) < 1/2^i$. Let $p_i: [1/i, 1/(i-1)) \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ be a homeomorphism such that $p_i(1/i) = \frac{1}{2}$. (For the case $i = 1$, use the extended reals.)

Define L_i inductively as follows:

$$L_0 = M \times F,$$

$$L_i = L_{i-1} - \{(x, y) \in N_i \times F \mid 1/i \leq \|y\| \leq 1/(i-1) \text{ and } \pi_2 h_i(x) \geq p_i(\|y\|)\}.$$

Let $r_i: [0, 1) \times [2/(2i+1), 1/(i-1)) \rightarrow [0, 1) \times [2/(2i+1), 1/(i-1))$ be an embedding such that:

- (a) $r_i = \text{id}$ on $([0, 1) \times [2/(2i+1), 1/(i-1))) \cup (\{0\} \times [2/(2i+1), 1/(i-1)))$,
- (b) r_i is onto $\{(s, t) \in [0, 1) \times [2/(2i+1), 1/(i-1)) \mid t < 1/i \text{ or } s < p_i(t)\}$,
- (c) if $t \geq 2/(2i-1)$, then $\pi_2 r_i((s, t)) \geq 2/(2i-1)$.

Now define $f_i: L_{i-1} \rightarrow L_i$ by

$$f_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} (h_i^{-1}(\pi_1 h_i(x), \pi_1 r_i(\pi_2 h_i(x), \|y\|)), \pi_2 r_i(\pi_2 h_i(x), \|y\|)y/\|y\|) & \text{for } x \in N_i \text{ and } 2/(2i+1) < \|y\| < 1/(i-1) \\ = \text{id} & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

f_i is a homeomorphism which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $f_i = \text{id}$ outside $N_i \times \{y \in F \mid 2/(2i+1) < \|y\| < 1/(i-1)\}$,
- (2) if $\|y\| \geq 2/(2i-1)$, then $\|\pi_2 f_i((x, y))\| \geq 2/(2i-1)$,
- (3) for $(x, y) \in L_{i-1}$, $\pi_1((x, y))$ and $\pi_1 f_i((x, y))$ are contained in some element of G_i .

Let $f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{f_i \circ f_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ f_2 \circ f_1\}$. By conditions (1) and (2), no point of $M \times F$ is moved more than twice. By condition (3), f approaches the identity on $M \times \{0\}$. Hence, we see that f is a homeomorphism onto a variable product of M by F which is zero on K and such that the homeomorphism is the identity on $M \times \{0\}$. Applying the techniques of [4], we can "squash down" the rest of the variable product by the use of Schori's stability theorem (Corollary 2.3 of [7]).

4. Proof of Theorem 2. The method of proof is due to Henderson and Burghlelea and makes use of the following Lemma:

LEMMA. *Let U be an open subset of l_2 and let G be a cover of l_2 . Then there exists a countable locally finite simplicial complex K and a homeomorphism $h: |K| \times l_2 \rightarrow U$ such that for any simplex $\sigma \in K$, $h(|\sigma| \times l_2)$ is contained in some element of the cover.*

The proof is contained in [3].

Let U be an open neighborhood of K and let G be an open cover of M . Let G' be a refinement of G such that there do not exist sets $g, g' \in G'$ (possibly the same) such that $g \cap K \neq \emptyset$, $g \cap g' \neq \emptyset$ and $g' \cap (M - U) \neq \emptyset$.

Since all separable F -manifolds are open subsets of l_2 (see [6]), we may apply the Lemma to get a countably locally finite simplicial complex L and a homeomorphism $f: |L| \times l_2 \rightarrow U$ such that for each $\sigma \in L$, $f(|\sigma| \times l_2)$ is contained in some element of G' . Let $K' = f^{-1}(K)$.

Let L' be the full subcomplex of L generated by simplexes $\{\sigma \in L \mid (|\sigma| \times l_2) \cap K' \neq \emptyset\}$. Let A be the set of simplexes σ such that

$|\sigma| \cap |L'| \neq \emptyset$ but σ is not in L' . Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the vertices of σ which are in L' , and u_1, \dots, u_m be those which are not. Let

$$N_\sigma = \left\{ x \in |\sigma| \mid \text{if } x = \sum_1^n a_i v_i + \sum_1^m b_i u_i \text{ then } \sum_1^n a_i \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

and let $N' = |L'| \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}} N_\sigma$. Let $\partial N'$ be the topological boundary of N' in $|L|$. It can easily be seen that L can be subdivided to L^* so that N' and $\partial N'$ are realizations of subcomplexes of L^* .

Define $c: \partial N' \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N'$ as follows: If $x \in N_\sigma \subset N'$ and $x = \sum_1^n a_i v_i + \sum_1^m b_i u_i$ with the v_i and u_i as above, then

$$c(x, s) = \sum_1^n (1+s) a_i v_i + \sum_1^m (1-s) b_i u_i.$$

c is an embedding onto a collar of $\partial N'$ in N' . Let $N^* = N' \times I_2$ and then $\text{Bd}(N^*) = \partial N' \times I_2$.

By a simple argument using the definition of Property Z and general position, it can be shown that a set with Property Z can be moved off a finite-dimensional cell by a small motion. For details see Lemma 6.1 of [1].

Using the above and induction, there is a homeomorphism $g: |L^*| \times I_2 \rightarrow |L^*| \times I_2$ such that

- (1) $g = \text{id}$ outside N^* ,
- (2) $g(K') \cap (|L^*| \times \{0\}) = \emptyset$,
- (3) for $\sigma \in L$, $fg^{-1}(|\sigma| \times I_2)$ is contained in some element of \mathcal{G}' .

Let $r: |L'| \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that for $x \in N'$, $\{x\} \times \{y \in I^1 \mid \|y\| \leq r(x)\} \cap g(K') = \emptyset$. Define

$$N = fg^{-1}(N^* - \{(x, y) \in N' \times I_2 \mid \|y\| < r(x)\}).$$

We will define $h: \text{Bd}(N) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ in the following way: Let $z \in \text{Bd}(N)$. Suppose $(x, y) \in N' \times I_2$ and $z = fg^{-1}((x, y))$. If x is not in $\text{im}(c)$ (the collar of $\partial N'$), then define

$$h(z, s) = fg^{-1}(x, y/(1-s)).$$

If x is in $\text{im}(c)$, then let $t = \pi_2 c^{-1}(x)$, $v = \pi_1 c^{-1}(x)$ and $x' = c(v, t + s(1-t))$. Now we define

$$h(z, s) = fg^{-1}(x', y \cdot (r(x')/r(x)(1-s))).$$

Then h satisfies the requirements in the definition of Property Y .

References

- [1] R. D. Anderson, *On topological infinite deficiency*, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), pp. 365-383.
- [2] T. A. Chapman, *Deficiency infinite-dimensional manifolds*, General Topology and its Applications 1 (1971), pp. 263-272.

- [3] W. H. Cutler, *A triangulation theorem for F -manifolds*, General Topology and its Applications 2 (1972), pp. 45-48.
- [4] — *Deficiency in F -manifolds*, Proceedings AMS 34 (1972), pp. 260-266.
- [5] D. W. Henderson, *Corrections and extensions of two papers about infinite-dimensional manifolds*, to appear in Topology.
- [6] — *Infinite-dimensional manifolds are open subsets of Hilbert space*, Bull. AMS 75 (1969), pp. 759-762.
- [7] R. Schori, *Topological stability for infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Compositio Math. 23 (1971), pp. 87-100.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Baton Rouge, La.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. I. 1972