

Total and absolute paracompactness

by

Douglas W. Curtis (Baton Rouge, La.)

A Hausdorff space X is *totally paracompact* [4] if every open base for X contains a locally finite cover. If X is a closed subspace of Y such that every open base for Y contains a locally finite (in Y) cover of X , we say that X is *totally paracompact relative to Y* [8]. Clearly, this implies that X is totally paracompact. A paracompact space X is *absolutely paracompact* [9] if for every closed imbedding $h: X \rightarrow Y$ into a paracompact space Y , $h(X)$ is totally paracompact relative to Y . Thus absolute paracompactness implies total paracompactness. The space of rationals is totally paracompact but not absolutely paracompact [5]; the space of irrationals is not totally paracompact [1], [3].

We show in § 1 that absolute paracompactness is weakly hereditary, and is characterized by a vanishing non-locally-absolutely paracompact kernel. A countable sum theorem is obtained, from which it follows that F_σ -subsets of totally paracompact spaces, and paracompact countable sums of closed absolutely paracompact spaces, are totally paracompact.

In § 2 we consider a modification of absolute paracompactness suitable for metric spaces, and with applications particularly to σ -locally separable metric spaces. It is shown in § 3 that every Hurewicz space is totally paracompact.

1. Absolute paracompactness.

1.1. THEOREM. *Every closed subspace of an absolutely paracompact space is absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Let A be a closed subspace of an absolutely paracompact space X , and $h: A \rightarrow Y$ a closed imbedding into a paracompact space Y . It is easily verified that the adjunction space $Z = X \cup_h Y$ is paracompact. We regard X and Y as closed subspaces of Z , with $X \cap Y = A$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y , and let \mathcal{V} be an open base for Z such that $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ imply $V \cap Y \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists a locally finite (in Z) subcollection \mathcal{V}_0 of \mathcal{V} covering X , and $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{V \cap Y: V \in \mathcal{V}_0, V \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$ is a locally finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} covering A .

1.2. LEMMA. *Every paracompact locally absolutely paracompact space is absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Let X be such a space, and suppose X is a closed subspace of a paracompact space Y . Let $\{G_\alpha\}$ be a locally finite open cover of Y such that $\bar{G}_\alpha \cap X$ is absolutely paracompact (or empty) for each α . We may shrink $\{G_\alpha\}$ to an open cover $\{G'_\alpha\}$ such that $\bar{G}'_\alpha \subset G^\alpha$ for each α . Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y . Then there exists for each α a locally finite subcollection \mathcal{U}_α of \mathcal{U} covering $\bar{G}'_\alpha \cap X$, with each member of \mathcal{U}_α contained in G_α . It follows that $\bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is a locally finite cover of X .

Both of the above results hold also for total paracompactness. In the case of 1.1 this is well-known and easy to see, and in the case of 1.2 was proved by Telgársky [8], whose argument we have virtually repeated.

1.3. LEMMA. *If a paracompact space X contains a closed absolutely paracompact subspace A such that $X \setminus A$ is locally absolutely paracompact, then X is absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Let $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$ be such a space, and suppose X is a closed subspace of a paracompact space Y . Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y . There exists a locally finite cover \mathcal{U}' of A ; set $V = \{\mathcal{U}'\}$. Then the closed subspace $X \setminus V$ contained in $X \setminus A$ is locally absolutely paracompact, hence absolutely paracompact, and there exists a locally finite cover \mathcal{U}'' of $X \setminus V$. Thus $\mathcal{U}' \cup \mathcal{U}''$ is a locally finite cover of X .

1.4. THEOREM. *If every nonempty closed subspace of a paracompact space X is locally absolutely paracompact at some point, then X is absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Define the derivative A^* of a closed subspace A of X as the set of all points of A which have no absolutely paracompact neighborhood in A . Clearly, A^* is a closed subspace of A , and by hypothesis $A^* \neq A$ if $A \neq \emptyset$. Set $X^{(0)} = X$, and $X^{(\beta)} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} (X^{(\alpha)})^*$ for every ordinal β . Then

$X^{(\alpha)}$ is a closed subspace of X for each ordinal α , and for $\alpha < \beta$, $X^{(\beta)}$ is a proper subspace of $X^{(\alpha)}$, unless $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$. Thus eventually $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$.

We show by transfinite induction on α that any paracompact space with this property is absolutely paracompact. Suppose that any paracompact space X for which $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ for some $\alpha < \beta$ is absolutely paracompact, and let Y be a paracompact space such that $Y^{(\beta)} = \emptyset$. If β is a limit ordinal, then $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} (Y \setminus Y^{(\alpha)})$ is locally absolutely paracompact, hence

absolutely paracompact. If $\beta = \alpha + 1$, then $Y = Y^{(\alpha)} \cup (Y \setminus Y^{(\alpha)})$ is the union of a closed absolutely paracompact subspace and a locally absolutely paracompact subspace, and by 1.3 is absolutely paracompact.

The technique used above of forming the transfinite iterates of the non-locally- P derivative of a space, with respect to a weakly hereditary

property P , is well-known and is described, for example, in [7]. The eventually constant closed subspace $X^{(\alpha)} = X^{(\alpha+1)}$ is called the *non-locally- P kernel* of X , and is the largest closed subspace which is nowhere-locally- P . It should be noted that the theorem and preceding lemma do not hold for total paracompactness. This is shown by the example of [5]: a paracompact but not totally paracompact space S containing a closed copy D of the rationals such that $S \setminus D$ is discrete.

A space is said to be *C -scattered* if it has an empty non-locally-compact kernel. It follows naturally from Theorem 1.4 that every paracompact C -scattered space is absolutely paracompact (this has been proved previously by Telgársky [9]). A completely regular space is *topologically complete* (in the sense of Čech) if it is a G_δ -subset in its Stone-Čech compactification. The following corollary is another direct consequence of Theorem 1.4.

1.5. COROLLARY. *Every topologically complete paracompact space which is the countable union of closed absolutely paracompact subspaces is absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Topological completeness is weakly hereditary, and implies the Baire property. Thus the hypothesis of 1.4 is satisfied.

1.6. THEOREM. *Every paracompact space X which is the countable union of closed subspaces totally paracompact relative to X is totally paracompact.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_1^\infty A_i$, with each A_i totally paracompact relative to X , and let \mathcal{U} be an open base for X . There exists a locally finite subcollection \mathcal{A}_1 of \mathcal{U} covering A_1 . Let \mathcal{A}_1^* be an open star-refinement of the open cover $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{X \setminus A_1\}$ of X , and let \mathcal{U}_1 be the largest subcollection of \mathcal{U} refining \mathcal{A}_1^* . Inductively, suppose a locally finite subcollection \mathcal{A}_i of \mathcal{U}_{i-1} covering A_i has been chosen. Let \mathcal{A}_i^* be an open star-refinement of the open cover $\mathcal{A}_i \cup \{X \setminus A_i\}$ of X and let \mathcal{U}_i be the largest subcollection of \mathcal{U}_{i-1} refining \mathcal{A}_i^* . There exists a locally finite subcollection \mathcal{A}_{i+1} of \mathcal{U}_i covering A_{i+1} . For each j , set $\mathcal{V}_j = \{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{A}_j: \bigcup_{i < j} \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{V}_j$ covers X , and we claim that \mathcal{V} is locally finite. Every $w \in X$ is in some A_i , and in some $W \in \mathcal{A}_i^*$. Suppose $\mathcal{V} \cap W \neq \emptyset$ for $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathcal{U}_{j-1}$ for $j > i$. Since \mathcal{U}_{j-1} refines \mathcal{A}_i^* , $\mathcal{V} \subset \text{st}(W, \mathcal{U}_{j-1}) \subset \text{st}(W, \mathcal{A}_i^*) \subset \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{A}_i$, and thus $\mathcal{V} \notin \mathcal{V}_j$.

1.7. COROLLARY. *Every paracompact space which is the countable union of closed absolutely paracompact subspaces is totally paracompact.*

A space is *σ -locally compact* if it is the countable union of closed locally compact subspaces. (Of course, every perfectly normal space which is the countable union of locally compact subspaces is σ -locally compact.)

1.8. COROLLARY (this answers Problem 3.2 in [9]). *Every σ -locally compact paracompact space is totally paracompact.*

1.9. LEMMA (cf. [8], Theorem 1). *If $X \subset Y \subset Z$, Z is totally paracompact and X is closed in Z , then X is totally paracompact relative to Y .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y . There exists an open base \mathcal{V} for Z such that for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, either $V \cap X = \emptyset$ or $V \cap Y \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}$ be a locally finite cover of Z . Then $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{V \cap Y: V \in \mathcal{V}_0 \text{ and } V \cap X \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a locally finite cover of X .

1.10. COROLLARY. *Every F_σ -subset of a totally paracompact space is totally paracompact.*

1.11. PROBLEM. *Is every topologically complete totally paracompact space absolutely paracompact? C -scattered?*

Since every nonempty nowhere-locally-compact complete metric space contains a closed copy of the irrationals, every totally paracompact complete metric space is C -scattered. It is known that every topologically complete Hurewicz space is C -scattered [10].

1.12. PROBLEM. *Is every paracompact space which is the countable union of closed totally paracompact subspaces totally paracompact?*

2. \mathcal{M} -absolute paracompactness. We say that a metric space X is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact if for every closed imbedding $h: X \rightarrow Y$ into a metric space Y , $h(X)$ is totally paracompact relative to Y . It is easily seen that the analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 for \mathcal{M} -absolute paracompactness are true. The analogue of Corollary 1.7 can be strengthened.

2.1. THEOREM. *Every metric space which is the countable union of closed \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact subspaces is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_1^\infty A_i$, with each A_i a closed \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact subspace, and suppose X is a closed subspace of a metric space Y . Then $X = \bigcap_1^\infty G_i$, with each G_i open in Y and $\bar{G}_{i+1} \subset G_i$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y . The proof of (1.6) is now repeated, with the following modification: \mathcal{A}_i^* is an open star-refinement of the open cover $\mathcal{A}_i \cup \{G_i \setminus A_i\} \cup \{Y \setminus X\}$ of Y . Then the locally finite cover $\mathcal{V} \subset \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{A}_j$ is selected as before, subject to the condition that $V \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

2.2. COROLLARY. *Every F_σ -subset of an \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact.*

2.3. COROLLARY. *Every σ -locally compact metric space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact.*

Thus the rationals, while not absolutely paracompact, are \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact. The class of \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact spaces is

quite extensive; we show that every σ -locally separable totally metacompact metric space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact. (A space is totally metacompact if every open base contains a point-finite cover.) Lelek [6] showed that every separable totally metacompact metric space is totally paracompact. In proving the following lemma we recast and extend his proof.

2.4. LEMMA. *Every separable totally metacompact metric space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. Let X be a closed separable totally metacompact subspace of a metric space Y , and let \mathcal{U} be an open base for Y . We may assume that Y has a metric whose restriction to X is totally bounded, since X has a totally bounded metric which can be extended to a metric on Y [2]. For each i , let X_i be a finite $1/i$ -net in X , and let $\mathcal{R}_i = \{(V, W): V, W \in \mathcal{U}, \text{diam } V, \text{diam } W \leq 1/i, d(V, W) \leq 1/i, V \cap X_i \neq \emptyset\}$. Here, $d(V, W) = \inf\{d(v, w): v \in V, w \in W\}$. Then for each i , $\mathcal{G}_i = \{(V \cup W) \cap X: (V, W) \in \mathcal{R}_i\}$ is an open cover of X , with mesh $\mathcal{G}_i \leq 3/i$, and $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{G}_i$ is a base for X . Thus there exists a point-finite cover $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$; since each X_i is finite, the collection $\mathcal{U}_i = \{V, W: (V, W) \in \mathcal{R}_i, (V \cup W) \cap X \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is finite. Set $\mathcal{V}_i = \{V \in \mathcal{U}_i: V \subset U \in \mathcal{U}_j \text{ only if } i \leq j\}$, and $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{V}_i$. Since mesh $\mathcal{U}_i \leq 1/i$ and $\bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{U}_i$ covers X , \mathcal{V} is a locally finite cover of X .

2.5. LEMMA. *Every σ -locally separable metric space is the countable union of closed locally separable subspaces.*

Proof. Let A be a locally separable subspace of a metric space X . Set $\bar{A} = \{x \in \bar{A}: \bar{A} \text{ is locally separable at } x\}$. Then $A \subset \bar{A}$, \bar{A} is locally separable, and \bar{A} is open in \bar{A} . Thus \bar{A} is an F_σ -set in X , and the lemma follows.

2.6. THEOREM. *Every σ -locally separable totally metacompact metric space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact.*

Proof. It follows from 2.4 and the local character of \mathcal{M} -absolute paracompactness that every locally separable totally metacompact metric space is \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact. The theorem then follows from 2.1 and 2.5.

A regular space X is said to be *Hurewicz* if, for every sequence $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ of open covers of X , there exist finite subcollections $\alpha_i \subset \gamma_i$ for each i such that $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \dots$ covers X . Lelek [6] has shown that a separable metric space is totally paracompact if and only if it is Hurewicz. This characterization, together with Theorems 2.1 and 2.6, leads to the following corollaries.

2.7. COROLLARY. *Every σ -locally separable metric space which is the countable union of totally paracompact subspaces is totally paracompact.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the separable case, which (as Lelek has noted) is a direct consequence of the Hurewicz characterization, a countable union of Hurewicz spaces being Hurewicz.

2.8. COROLLARY. *Every product of a σ -locally separable totally paracompact metric space with a σ -locally compact metric space is totally paracompact.*

Proof. We need only consider the product of a separable totally paracompact metric space with a compact metric space. A standard-type argument shows that the product of a Hurewicz space with a compact space is a Hurewicz space [10].

2.9. PROBLEM. *Is every totally paracompact metric space \mathcal{M} -absolutely paracompact? σ -locally separable?*

Not every totally paracompact metric space is σ -locally compact, since there exist Hurewicz metric spaces which are not σ -compact (see [6]).

3. Total paracompactness for Hurewicz spaces. Lelek's theorem (see above) may be generalized in one direction:

3.1. THEOREM. *Every Hurewicz space is totally paracompact.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open base for a Hurewicz space X . There exists a countable subcollection $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{G_{1i}\}$ of \mathcal{U} covering X , which by paracompactness can be shrunk to a locally finite open cover $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_{1i}\}$ such that $\bar{F}_{1i} \subset G_{1i}$ for each i . Let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : \text{for each } i, U \subset G_{1i} \text{ or } U \cap F_{1i} = \emptyset\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{U}_1 is a base. Inductively, suppose $\mathcal{G}_n = \{G_{ni}\}$ is a countable cover of X from the base \mathcal{U}_{n-1} , and $\mathcal{F}_n = \{F_{ni}\}$ a locally finite open cover such that $\bar{F}_{ni} \subset G_{ni}$ for each i . Then $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1} : \text{for each } i, U \subset G_{ni} \text{ or } U \cap F_{ni} = \emptyset\}$ is a base, and contains a countable cover \mathcal{G}_{n+1} of X . Consider the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of open covers. There exist finite subcollections $\mathcal{F}'_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_1^\infty \mathcal{F}'_n$ covers X . Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{ni} : F_{ni} \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and let $\mathcal{V} = \{G_{ni} \in \mathcal{G} : G_{ni} \subset G_{pi} \in \mathcal{G} \text{ only if } n \leq p\}$. Then $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a locally finite cover of X , since each member of \mathcal{F} meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{V} .

3.2. PROBLEM. *Is every Lindelöf totally paracompact space Hurewicz?*

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *On the metrization of topological spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 8 (1960), pp. 589-595.
 [2] R. H. Bing, *Extending a metric*, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), pp. 511-519.
 [3] H. H. Corson, T. J. McMinn, E. A. Michael, J. I. Nagata, *Bases and local finiteness*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1959), p. 814.

- [4] R. M. Ford, *Basis properties in dimension theory*, Doctoral Dissertation, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 1963.
 [5] H. Kok and R. Telgársky, *The space of the rationals is not absolutely paracompact*, Fund. Math. 73 (1971), pp. 75-78.
 [6] A. Lelek, *Some cover properties of spaces*, Fund. Math. 64 (1969), pp. 209-218.
 [7] A. H. Stone, *Kernel constructions and Borel sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963), pp. 58-70.
 [8] R. Telgársky, *Total paracompactness and paracompact dispersed spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 16 (1968), pp. 567-572.
 [9] — *C -scattered and paracompact spaces*, Fund. Math. 73 (1971), pp. 59-74.
 [10] — *Concerning products of paracompact spaces*, Fund. Math. 74 (1972), pp. 153-159.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Reçu par la Rédaction le 8. 11. 1971