



- [3] R. C. Kirby, *Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture*, Ann. Math. 89 (1969), pp. 575–582.
- [4] P. A. Smith, *Fixed-point theorems for periodic transformations*, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941), pp. 1–8.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 10. 1971

Ultrafilters over measurable cardinals

by

Jussi Ketonen (Buffalo, N. Y.)

0. Definitions. The notation and terminology in this paper is that of the most recent set-theoretic literature. For less well-known items we urge the reader to consult A. Mathias (1969). We shall now define our fundamental notions. Unless otherwise mentioned, all the ultrafilters discussed are assumed to be nonprincipal, κ -complete over a fixed measurable cardinal κ .

0.1. DEFINITION. Given two ultrafilters D, U , we say $D \leq U$ if there is a function $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that

$$x \in D \leftrightarrow f^{-1}(x) \in U.$$

In this case we also denote

$$D = f^*(U).$$

If $D \leq U$ and $U \leq D$ we say: D is isomorphic to U : In symbols, $D \cong U$.

For more on this order, see K. Kunen [2] and J. Ketonen [1]. The above definition is due to H. J. Keisler.

0.2. DEFINITION. Given an ultrafilter D , functions $f, g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ we say: f, g are isomorphic (mod D), in symbols $f \sim g$, if there is a one-to-one function φ so that

$$f = \varphi \circ g \pmod{D}.$$

In this case $f_*(D) \cong g_*(D)$. Another way of describing the above situation is to describe f and g in terms of the partitions $\{f^{-1}(\{a\}) \mid a \in \kappa\}$, $\{g^{-1}(\{a\}) \mid a \in \kappa\}$ they induce. Then $f \sim g$ if and only if there is a set $X \in D$ and a permutation of the labels of the g -partitioning so that the a th part of the f -partitioning intersected with $X = a$ th part of the permuted g -partitioning intersected with X for every $a < \kappa$.

The following notions are extensions of the concepts of W. Rudin [1956]:

0.3. DEFINITION. If D an ultrafilter, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, then D is an f - P -point

if for every partitioning $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ of κ into pieces $\notin D$ there is a set $X \in D$ so that for every $a < \kappa$

$$|f''(X \cap X_\alpha)| < \kappa.$$

D is a P -point iff D is an id- P -point where id denotes the identity function on κ .

0.4. DEFINITION. An ultrafilter D is a Q -point if for every partitioning $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ of κ into pieces of cardinality $< \kappa$ there is a $X \in D$ so that

$$|X \cap X_\alpha| \leq 1$$

for every $a < \kappa$.

Let us say that a function $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ is almost one-to-one if the inverse image of each point has cardinality $< \kappa$. Thus, D is a Q -point if and only if every almost one-to-one function is one-to-one on a set $\in D$.

0.5. DEFINITION. An ultrafilter D is selective if every non-constant function (mod D) is one-to-one on a set $\in D$.

Thus, D is selective iff D is isomorphic to a normal ultrafilter.

0.6. PROPOSITION. (1) D is selective iff D is \leq -minimal.

(2) If D is a Q -point and a P -point, D is selective.

(3) If f is a function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ not constant (mod D), the ultrafilter $U = f_*(D)$ is a P -point if D is a f - P -point.

Proof of (3). Let $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a partitioning of κ into pieces not $\in U$ then, for every $a < \kappa$,

$$Y_\alpha = f^{-1}(X_\alpha) \notin D.$$

Hence, there is a $Z \in D$ so that

$$|f''(Z \cap Y_\alpha)| < \kappa$$

for every $a < \kappa$. Thus, for every $a < \kappa$

$$|f''(Z) \cap X_\alpha| < \kappa.$$

1. **Q -points.** Given an ultrafilter D , let i_D denote the elementary embedding of V into the transitive submodel isomorphic to the ultrapower V^D .

1.1. PROPOSITION. If D, U ultrafilters, $D \leq U$, then

$$i_D(\kappa) \leq i_U(\kappa) < (2^\kappa)^+.$$

Proof. See J. Ketonen [1]: If $\psi: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that $D = \psi_*(U)$, then the map $f \rightarrow f \circ \psi$ yields an elementary embedding $V^D \rightarrow V^U$.

1.2. PROPOSITION. If D, U ultrafilters, $D \leq U$ and $i_D(\kappa) = i_U(\kappa)$, then for any projection ψ from U to D (i.e. any function ψ s.t. $D = \psi_*(U)$) there is a $Z \in U$ so that for every $a < \kappa$:

$$|Z \cap \psi^{-1}(\{a\})| < \kappa.$$



Proof. Given any such ψ , the map $f \rightarrow f \circ \psi$ yields an order-preserving map $i_D(\kappa) \rightarrow i_U(\kappa) = i_D(\kappa)$. Thus, there is a $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ s.t.

$$[f \circ \psi]_U \geq [\text{id}]_U.$$

As a corollary, we obtain:

1.3. PROPOSITION. If $D < U$ and U is a Q -point, $i_D(\kappa) < i_U(\kappa)$. The Keisler order on Q -points is well-founded.

1.4. THEOREM. An ultrafilter D is a Q -point if and only if there is a $E \simeq D$ s.t. E extends the closed unbounded filter on κ .

Proof. Assume that D is a Q -point. Let φ be the D -least one-to-one function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$. Define E by:

$$X \in E \iff \varphi^{-1}(X) \in D.$$

Then, if C is closed unbounded, $C \in E$: For otherwise we would have the function

$$f(a) = \sup(C \cap a),$$

defined on $X = -C$ being 1-1 and $< \text{id}$ on a set $Y \in E$, violating the minimality of φ . Hence, E extends the closed unbounded filter.

Conversely, assume that D is an extension of the closed unbounded filter. Let $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that $f < \text{id}$ on a set $X \in D$. Then X is Mahlo. Hence, there is a set $Y \subseteq X$ of cardinality κ so that f is constant on Y . Thus, f cannot be almost 1-1. Now, assume that $f > \text{id}$. Let

$$C = \{\delta \mid \gamma < \delta \rightarrow f(\gamma) \leq \delta\}.$$

Then C is closed unbounded on κ , hence $C \in D$. The function f is obviously 1-1 on C .

Let $S(\kappa)$ denote the following statement: Every κ -complete filter over κ can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter.

1.5. PROPOSITION. If $S(\kappa)$, there are 2^{2^κ} Q -points. For every D - κ -complete over κ , there is a Q -point $U \geq D$.

Proof. Let $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a partitioning of κ into Mahlo sets. Given an ultrafilter D over κ , let

$$F = C \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \mid A \in D \right\},$$

where ζ is the closed unbounded filter on κ . If U is an extension of F , U is a Q -point $\geq D$.

The rest follows from K. Kunen (1970): Every ultrafilter over κ has at most 2^κ ultrafilters below it in the Keisler order.

1.6. DEFINITION. If R, M are ultrafilters over κ , we define their product $R \times M$ to be the set of all subsets X of $\kappa \times \kappa$ s.t.

$$\{a \mid X|_a \in M\} \in R, \quad \text{where} \quad X|_a = \{\beta \mid (a, \beta) \in X\}.$$

Trivially, $R \times M$ is a κ -complete ultrafilter over $\kappa \times \kappa$. For more on products, see K. Kunen [2], [3] and J. Ketonen [1].

1.7. PROPOSITION. *If D is a product, D is neither a P -point nor a Q -point.*

Proof. For the projection π_1 to the first coordinate on $\kappa \times \kappa$ would yield a function which cannot be refined to an almost 1-1 function, and the projection π_2 to the 2nd coordinate yields a function which is almost one-to-one but not one-to-one. This follows from the fact that

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa\} \in R \times M.$$

Thus, in particular, as Kenneth Kunen independently noted, Kunen's classification of ultrafilters in universes constructed from one normal ultrafilter (see Kunen [2]) combined with 1.4 and 1.7 yield Jech's result on extensions of the closed unbounded filter: In this universe there is only one extension of the closed unbounded filter.

Given a non- Q -point D , there is a canonical Q -point below it:

1.8. PROPOSITION. *If D an ultrafilter, and f is the least almost 1-1 function $(\text{mod } D)$, $f_*(D)$ is an extension of the closed unbounded filter and hence is a Q -point.*

Proof. For if $f_*(D)$ does not extend the closed unbounded filter, there is an almost 1-1 function $\psi < \text{id}(\text{mod } f_*(D))$. Thus, $\psi \circ f < f$ and $\psi \circ f$ is almost 1-1; violating the minimality of f .

This Q -point is in fact the only one given by an almost 1-1 function $(\text{mod } D)$:

1.9. PROPOSITION. *Let D be an ultrafilter, g an almost 1-1 function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ s.t. $g_*(D)$ extends the closed unbounded filter. Then, if $f < g \pmod{D}$, f is not almost 1-1.*

Proof. Suppose that for every $\alpha < \kappa$, $f(\alpha) < g(\alpha)$ and f defined a.e. For $\alpha < \kappa$, let

$$S_\alpha = \{\gamma \mid f^{-1}(\{\gamma\}) \cap g^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Hence, $S_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ and

$$f^{-1}(\kappa) \cap g^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \subseteq f^{-1}(S_\alpha).$$

Then:

$$T = \{\alpha \mid S_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} \in g_*(D),$$

hence T is Mahlo. Define a pressing down function p on T by:

$$p(\alpha) = \mu\beta [\beta \in S_\alpha].$$

Then there is a $T' \subseteq T$ of cardinality κ s.t. $p = \text{constant } \gamma$ on T' . Hence, for $\alpha \in T'$:

$$f^{-1}(\{\gamma\}) \cap g^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \neq \emptyset;$$

i.e.

$$|f^{-1}(\{\gamma\})| = \kappa.$$

1.10. PROPOSITION. *If D an ultrafilter, g its least almost 1-1 function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, then for any other function $h: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$: h is almost 1-1 iff $h \geq g$ iff there is a function φ , φ almost 1-1, so that*

$$\varphi \circ h = g \pmod{D}.$$

Proof. Firstly, if $h \geq g$, h is almost 1-1: For every $\alpha < \kappa$:

$$h^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \subseteq \bigcup \{g^{-1}(\{\gamma\}) \mid \gamma \leq \alpha\}.$$

Assume that h is almost 1-1. Let φ be the least almost 1-1 function of $h_*(D)$. Then $(\varphi \circ h)_*(D)$ extends the closed unbounded filter. Hence, by 1.9 $\varphi \circ h = g \pmod{D}$.

1.11. PROPOSITION. *No two distinct κ -complete extensions of the closed unbounded filter κ ultrafilters can be isomorphic.*

2. Products of ultrafilters. Given two ultrafilters U, V over κ we defined their product $U \times V$ to be the ultrafilter

$$\{X \subseteq \kappa \times \kappa \mid \{a \mid X|_a \in V\} \in U\}.$$

In the proof of Proposition 1.7 we found that if $\pi_i =$ projection onto the i th coordinate ($i = 1$ or 2), $\pi_{1*}(U \times V) = U$, and $\pi_{2*}(U \times V) = V$ and there is a $Z \in U \times V$ so that for every $\alpha < \kappa$:

$$|\pi_2^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \cap Z| \leq |\alpha|$$

and therefore $\pi_1 < \pi_2 \pmod{U \times V}$.

We shall in the following establish some converses to the above facts.

2.1. PROPOSITION. *Let D be an ultrafilter $f, g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ and $h: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa \times \kappa$ be defined by $h(\alpha) = (f(\alpha), g(\alpha))$. Then, if for every $Z \in D$*

$$\{ \alpha \mid \{ \beta \mid Z \cap g^{-1}(\{\beta\}) \cap f^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \neq \emptyset \} \in U \} \in V,$$

U, V being ultrafilters over κ , then $h_*(D) = U \times V$.

Proof. If $X \in V \times U$,

$$\{ \alpha \mid X|_\alpha = \{ \beta \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in X \} \in U \} \in V.$$

Thus, if

$$Z = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} f^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\beta \in X|_\alpha} g^{-1}(\{\beta\}) \right),$$

then $Z \in D$ since for every $Y \in D$, $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. But

$$Z = \{ \gamma \mid (f(\gamma), g(\gamma)) \in X \}.$$

2.2. PROPOSITION. *Suppose D an ultrafilter, $f, g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that both f, g non-constant, $f < g$ $g_*(D)$ is normal and g is not 1-1 on a set $\epsilon \in D$. Then*

$$D \geq f_*(D) \times g_*(D) = h_*(D)$$

where $h(a) = (f(a), g(a))$ for every $a < \kappa$.

Proof. Let $U = g_*(D)$. If the conditions of Proposition 2.1 were not satisfied, we can find a $Z \in D$ so that for no $a < \kappa$

$$X_a = \{\beta \mid Z \cap g^{-1}(\{\beta\}) \cap f^{-1}(\{a\}) \neq \emptyset\} \in U$$

we know that $X_a \subseteq (a, \kappa)$, since

$$g^{-1}(\{a\}) \subseteq \bigcup \{f^{-1}(\{\gamma\}) \mid \gamma < a\}.$$

Thus, there exists a set $X \in U$ so that the sets $X_a \cap X$ are disjoint singletons. We can without loss of generality assume that there is a 1-1 function t so that $X_a \subseteq \{t(a)\}$; i.e.

$$t \circ f = g \pmod{D}.$$

Hence, there is a 1-1 function I so that $f = I \circ g$. But $f < g$; hence I must be $be < id \pmod{U}$. But this contradicts the normality of U .

As a corollary, we obtain

2.3. PROPOSITION. Suppose D is an ultrafilter, $g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ almost 1-1 so that $g_*(D)$ is normal. Then: Either D is a P -point or there is a $U \leq D$ so that

$$U \times g_*(D) \leq D.$$

2.4. PROPOSITION. Suppose U, D ultrafilters, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that $U = f_*(D)$ is normal $< D$. Then there is a $V \leq D$ so that $D \cong V \times U$ if and only if $\exists X \in D$ so that for $a < \kappa$

$$|f^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap X| \leq |a|.$$

Proof. For assume that for every $a < \kappa$

$$|f^{-1}(\{a\})| \leq |a|.$$

Then there is a partitioning $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ of κ into pieces $\notin D$ so that

- (1) For every $a < \kappa$ $f \upharpoonright X_a$ is 1-1
- (2) For every $a < \kappa$,

$$f^{-1}(\{a\}) \subseteq \bigcup \{X_\gamma \mid \gamma < a\}.$$

Thus, if we define $g = \gamma$ on X_γ , the function $t(a) = (h(a), f(a))$ is 1-1. It remains to show that $g < f \pmod{D}$. This follows from the fact that g is not almost 1-1: If $Y \in D$ define

$$h(a) = \mu\gamma [f^{-1}(\{a\}) \cap X_\gamma \cap Y \neq \emptyset].$$

Then h is a pressing down function, hence a constant $\gamma \pmod{U}$. This implies that

$$|g^{-1}(\{\gamma\}) \cap Y| = \kappa.$$

We shall in the following briefly sketch the theory of the Keisler-order on products.

2.5. PROPOSITION. If D, E, U ultrafilters, then $U \leq D \times E$ iff either $U \leq D$ or there are ultrafilters $E_\alpha \leq E$ s.t.

$$X \in U \leftrightarrow \{\beta \mid X \in E_\beta\} \in D.$$

Proof. Let $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ partition $\kappa \times \kappa$ so that

$$S \in U \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha \in D \times E.$$

Let $Y_\alpha^\beta = X_\alpha \upharpoonright \beta$.

Case 1. For a.e. $\alpha \pmod{D}$ there is one, and hence exactly one $f(\alpha)$ so that $Y_\alpha^{f(\alpha)} \in E$. Obviously, in this case $U = f_*(D)$.

Case 2. Not Case 1. Without a loss of generality assume that for every α, β : $Y_\alpha^\beta \notin E$. Define E_β by:

$$X \in E_\beta \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in X} Y_\alpha^\beta \in E.$$

Obviously, our claim is then satisfied.

Using the reasoning of Proposition 2.5 it is then easy to prove:

2.6. PROPOSITION. If both U, V are normal, then $U \times V$ has exactly 3 isomorphism classes of non-constant functions: Those isomorphic to π_1 , those isomorphic to π_2 and the 1-1 functions. More generally, if U_1, \dots, U_n ($1 \leq n < \omega$) normal, then the only normal ultrafilters $\leq U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ are U_1, \dots, U_n and $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ has exactly $2^n - 1$ isomorphism classes of functions.

2.7. PROPOSITION. Let U, E_α ($\alpha < \kappa$), D be ultrafilters, U normal s.t.

$$X \in U \leftrightarrow \{\beta \mid X \in E_\beta\} \in D.$$

Then $D \times U$ is the D -sum of E_α 's: i.e.

$$D \times U = \sum_D E_\alpha = \{X \subseteq \kappa \times \kappa \mid \{\alpha \mid X \upharpoonright \alpha \in E_\alpha\} \in D\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$T_\gamma = \{(\gamma, \beta) \mid \gamma < \beta\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_\gamma = \{(\beta, \gamma) \mid \beta < \gamma\}.$$

Then

$$X \in U \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\gamma \in X} X_\gamma \in \sum_D E_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad X \in D \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{\gamma \in X} T_\gamma \in \sum_D E_\alpha.$$

Now use the reasoning of 2.3.

As a corollary, we obtain:

2.8. PROPOSITION. *If D, E ultrafilters, $U \leq D \times E$ is normal, then either $U \leq D$ or there are $E_\alpha \leq E$ so that*

$$D \times U = \sum_D E_\alpha.$$

In particular, if E is normal, $U \leq D$ or $U = E$.

3. P-points. Let D be an ultrafilter. Using Proposition 1.9 we obtain:

3.1. THEOREM. *Let f be the least non-constant function $(\text{mod } D) \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$. Then is a P -point if and only if f is almost 1-1 $(\text{mod } D)$ if and only if for every non-constant function h there is a almost 1-1 φ so that $f = \varphi \circ h (\text{mod } D)$ i.e. there is a set $X \in D$ so that for $\alpha, \beta \in X$:*

$$f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta) \rightarrow h(\alpha) \neq h(\beta).$$

By Proposition 0.6 we also have the following observation:

3.2. PROPOSITION. *If D is a P -point, $U \leq D$, U is a P -point. There is at most one normal ultrafilter $\leq D$.*

3.3. PROPOSITION. *Let D be a P -point. Then there is a P -point $\bar{D} \leq D$ so that if φ is the least non-constant function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa (\text{mod } \bar{D})$,*

$$g < \text{id} \rightarrow \exists f: f \circ \varphi = g$$

and there is a disjointed sequence $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ of intervals $\subseteq \kappa$ whose end points form a strictly increasing sequence so that $\varphi = a$ on \bar{I}_α for $\alpha < \kappa$.

Proof. Let φ be the D -least non-constant function not expressible in the form $f \circ \bar{\varphi}$, where $\bar{\varphi}$ is the least non-constant function of D . Let $\bar{D} = \varphi_*(D)$. Then the first condition is automatically satisfied. Let $U = \varphi_*(\bar{D})$. Then U is normal. Let $S_\alpha = \varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \subseteq (\alpha, \kappa)$. Define a map $F: [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by

$$F(\{\alpha, \beta\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sup S_\alpha \geq \min S_\beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise } (\alpha < \beta). \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that the S_α 's have cardinality $< \kappa$ we find a $X \in U$ so that $F''[X]^2 = \{0\}$. The claim follows.

3.4. DEFINITION. An ultrafilter D is *atomic* if D has exactly two isomorphism classes of non-constant functions; those which are 1-1 and those which are isomorphic to the least non-constant function $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

3.5. PROPOSITION. *If D is a non-normal P -point, either there is a $U \leq D$ so that U is atomic or the Keisler-order on ultrafilters $\leq D$ is not well-founded.*

Proof. For assume that there are no atomic P -points below D . Let φ be the least non-1-1 function s.t. φ is not isomorphic to the least function of D . Then, if $D_1 = \varphi_*(D)$, $V < D_1 < D$, and we can apply the same process to D_1 etc.

In the following, we shall construct an atomic P -point. Note that any atomic ultrafilter is either a P -point or a Q -point, depending on whether the least function is almost 1-1 or not. In the next chapter, we shall construct an atomic Q -point using the same method.

3.6. THEOREM. *If there is a normal ultrafilter containing the set*

$$M = \{\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \ \& \ \alpha \text{ is measurable}\}$$

then there is an atomic, non-normal P -point.

Note that this happens in particular, if κ is supercompact or if $S_\kappa(2^*)$ carries a k -complete normal ultrafilter.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $U \ni M$ be normal. For $\alpha \in M$, let α^* = the next measurable cardinal and let D_α be normal over α^* . Define D by:

$$X \in D \leftrightarrow \{\alpha \mid X \cap \alpha^* \in D_\alpha\} \in U.$$

Firstly,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (a, \alpha^*) \in D.$$

This is a non-Mahlo set. Hence, D is non-normal. Define $\varphi = a$ on (a, α^*) . Then φ is the first function of D : For let $t < \varphi$. Then for one $\alpha (\text{mod } D)$

$$\{\gamma < \alpha^* \mid t(\gamma) < \varphi(\gamma)\} \cap \alpha^* \in D_\alpha.$$

Since D_α is α^* -complete we find $p(\alpha) < \alpha$ so that $t(\gamma) = p(\alpha)$ for a.e. $\gamma (\text{mod } D_\alpha)$. But p is constant $(\text{mod } U)$.

Thus, the first function of D is almost 1-1 and therefore D is a P -point. Now, given any non-constant non 1-1 $(\text{mod } D)$ function $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, by Proposition 3.1 we can without a loss of generality assume that

$$\alpha < \beta \rightarrow f''(\varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha\})) \cap f''(\varphi^{-1}(\{\beta\})) = \emptyset.$$

Since f is not 1-1,

$$\{\alpha \mid f|(a, \alpha^*) \text{ not 1-1 } (\text{mod } D_\alpha)\} \in U.$$

Thus,

$$\{\alpha \mid f|(a, \alpha^*) \text{ is constant } (\text{mod } D_\alpha)\} \in U$$

by the normality of D_α . Hence, there is a g so that $f = g \circ \varphi$.

Selecting instead of D_α 's non-normal ultrafilters we can construct P -points having lots of ultrafilters below them. Note that for the above ultrafilter D :

$$\begin{aligned} i_D(k) &= \text{o.t.} \left(\prod_U \prod_{D_\alpha} \langle \kappa, < \rangle \right) \\ &= \text{o.t.} \left(\prod_U \langle \kappa, < \rangle \right) = i_U(\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

4. More on Q-points. In the following, let ζ denote the closed unbounded filter on κ .

4.1. PROPOSITION. *Let D be an ultrafilter. Then the set*

$$\Gamma(D) = \{ |g|_D \mid g_*(D) \supseteq \zeta \}$$

represents a set of ordinals which is λ -closed for every $\lambda < \kappa$; i.e. if $\{ \alpha_i \mid i < \lambda \}$ is an increasing sequence in $\Gamma(D)$,

$$\sup \{ \alpha_i \mid i < \lambda \} \in \Gamma(D).$$

Proof. Let $\{ g_i \mid i < \lambda \}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of functions in $\Gamma(D)$, and let $g = \sup \{ g_i \}$. Given any $c \in \zeta$, for every $i < \lambda$ $g_i(\alpha) \in C$ a.e. (mod D). Hence

$$g(\alpha) = \sup_{i < \lambda} g_i(\alpha) \in c \text{ a.e. (mod } D).$$

Note that if D, U are Q-points and $D = \varphi_*(U)$, then the map $f \rightarrow f \circ \varphi$ maps $\Gamma(D)$ into $\Gamma(U)$ and if $D < U$,

$$\text{ordertype } (\Gamma(D)) < \text{ordertype } (\Gamma(U)).$$

As a corollary to Proposition 4.1 we obtain

4.2. PROPOSITION. *If the filter $\zeta \cup \{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \omega \}$ cannot be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter, then every κ -complete ultrafilter has at most finitely many normal ultrafilters (and other Q-points) below it in the Keisler-order.*

Proof. For if D is such that $|\Gamma(D)| \geq \omega$, we can find an increasing sequence $\{ g_i \mid i < \omega \}$ in $\Gamma(D)$. Then $g = \sup g_i \in \Gamma(D)$ and every $\alpha: cf(g(\alpha)) = \omega$; hence

$$\{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \omega \} \in g_*(D).$$

More generally, for any regular cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$:

4.3. PROPOSITION: *If the filter $\zeta \cup \{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \lambda \}$ cannot be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter, then any κ -complete ultrafilter over κ has $< \lambda$ normal ultrafilters below it.*

4.4. PROPOSITION. *If $q \in \Gamma(D)$ and $p < q$, then for any 1-1 function $\varphi: \varphi \circ p < q \pmod{D}$.*

Proof. If not, suppose $\varphi \circ p \geq q \pmod{D}$. Now,

$$q^{-1}(\{ \alpha \}) \subseteq \bigcup \{ p^{-1}(\{ \gamma \}) \mid \gamma < \alpha \}$$

for every α , and each $p^{-1}(\{ \gamma \})$ intersects at most $\varphi(\gamma) q^{-1}(\{ \alpha \})$'s. Let

$$S_\gamma = \{ \alpha \mid q^{-1}(\{ \alpha \}) \cap p^{-1}(\{ \gamma \}) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

Thus $|S_\gamma| < \kappa$ and $S_\gamma \subseteq (\gamma, \kappa)$. But then

$$X = \bigcup_{\gamma < \kappa} S_\gamma \in q_*(D).$$

Thus, we can define a function f by: $t(\alpha) = \mu\gamma [\alpha \in S_\gamma]$ a.e. on X . But $t(\alpha) < \alpha$; hence there is a Y of cardinality κ so that t is a constant γ on Y . But then $|S_\gamma| = \kappa$: a contradiction.

4.5. PROPOSITION. *Let $f < \text{id}$ s.t. there is a almost 1-1 function ψ s.t. $\psi \circ f = p \in \Gamma(D)$ (such a ψ always exists: Let ψ be the least almost 1-1 function of $f_*(D)$). Then there is a 1-1 function φ so that*

$$f < \varphi \circ p \pmod{D}.$$

Proof. Define φ to be an 1-1 function satisfying

$$\varphi(\alpha) \geq \sup \psi^{-1}(\{ \alpha \}) \quad (\alpha < \kappa).$$

Then

$$\varphi \circ p = \varphi \circ \psi \circ f \geq f.$$

These two propositions suggest a method for finding some elements of $\Gamma(D)$:

If $p \in \Gamma(D)$, and p is not almost one-to-one,

$$p^* = \sup_D \{ [\varphi \circ p] \mid \varphi \text{ 1-1} \}$$

is the successor of p in $\Gamma(D)$. Using Proposition 4.1 at limit stages and the above at successorstages, starting from the least function of $\Gamma(D)$ we get the first κ elements of $\Gamma(D)$.

Now, let us assume that $\zeta \cup \{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \lambda \}$ (λ a regular cardinal $< \kappa$) can be extended to an ultrafilter. By the well-foundedness of the Keisler-order on Q-points, we can pick a \leq -minimal extension D of $\zeta \cup \{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \lambda \}$.

4.6. PROPOSITION. *The ordertype of $\bar{\Gamma}(D)$ is $\leq \lambda$. In particular $|\bar{\Gamma}(D)| \leq \lambda$. Here.*

$$\bar{\Gamma}(D) = \{ g \in \Gamma(D) \mid g < \text{id} \}.$$

Proof. For otherwise, there exists an increasing sequence $\{ g_i \mid i < \lambda \}$ $\subseteq \bar{\Gamma}(D)$ so that $g = \sup_{i < \lambda} g_i < \text{id}$. But $g_*(D)$ would extend $\zeta \cup \{ \alpha \mid cf(\alpha) = \lambda \}$, violating minimality.

4.7. PROPOSITION. *The ordertype of $\Gamma(D)$ is exactly λ ; $|\bar{\Gamma}(D)| = \lambda$.*

Proof. If not, pick $\{ f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \}$ cofinal in $\text{id} \pmod{D}$. Then there is a fixed $p \in \bar{\Gamma}(D)$, $p < \text{id}$, so that for every $\alpha < \lambda$ there is a 1-1 ψ_α so that

$$f_\alpha \leq \psi_\alpha \circ p \quad (\alpha < \lambda).$$

Let $\psi = \sup_{\alpha < \lambda} \psi_\alpha \pmod{p_*(D)}$. Then $\psi \circ p \geq \text{id} \pmod{D}$, hence $\psi \circ p$ is 1-1 on a set $\in D$; p is 1-1; a contradiction.

4.8. COROLLARY. If $\xi \cup \{a\} \text{ cf}(a) = \lambda$ can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter, then for every $\mu < \lambda$ $\xi \cup \{a\} \text{ cf}(a) = \mu$ can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter.

4.9. PROPOSITION. If $U < D$, then there are only $< \lambda$ functions $p \in \bar{\Gamma}(D)$ so that if $U = f_*(D)$, there is a φ so that $p = \varphi \circ f(\text{mod } D)$. In particular, $|\bar{\Gamma}(U)| < \lambda$.

Proof. For if not, there is an increasing sequence $\{p_i \mid i < \lambda\}$, $X \in D$ so that for $i < \lambda$, $a, \beta \in X$

$$p_i(a) \neq p_i(\beta) \rightarrow f(a) \neq f(\beta).$$

We can without a loss of generality assume that

$$\sup_{i < \lambda} p_i(a) = a \quad (a \in X),$$

and

$$p_1(a) < p_2(a) < \dots \quad (a \in X).$$

Thus:

$$a \neq \beta \rightarrow \exists i_0: i \geq i_0 \rightarrow p_i(a) \neq p_i(\beta) \rightarrow f(a) \neq f(\beta),$$

i.e. f is 1-1.

The above observations also yield:

4.10. PROPOSITION. D is a \leq -minimal extension of $\xi \cup \{a\} \text{ cf}(a) = \lambda$ iff $\Gamma(D)$ has ordertype λ .

Let $\gamma(D) = \text{ordertype of } \Gamma(D) = \text{ordertype of } \Gamma(D)$. Can $\gamma(D)$ of a Q -point be a successor? The answer is yes, under certain conditions:

4.11. PROPOSITION. Suppose that there are κ distinct normal ultrafilters over κ . Then, for any Q -point D , there is a Q -point $D^+ > D$ so that $\gamma(D) + 1$, the greatest function of $\Gamma(D^+)$ yielding D .

Proof. Let $\{D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a sequence of distinct normal ultrafilters $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ a partitioning of κ into Mahlo sets A_α so that

$$A_\alpha \in D_\alpha \quad (\alpha < \kappa).$$

Define D^+ by:

$$X \in D^+ \leftrightarrow \{a \mid X \cap A_\alpha \in D_\alpha\} \in D.$$

If we define $f = a$ on A_α , we find that $f_*(D^+) = \{f\} \cup \{\varphi \circ f \mid \varphi \in \Gamma(D)\}$. Actually, the map $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \circ f$ yields an onto map from $\{[\varphi]_D \mid \varphi: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa\}$ to $\{[\psi]_D \mid \psi: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa \text{ and } \psi < \text{id}\}$.

In particular, if D is normal, $U = D^+$ is an atomic Q -point, so that

$$\prod_{U \alpha < \kappa} (a, <) \cong \prod_D (\kappa, <).$$

Note that D^+ is a D -sum of D_α 's. This situation should be compared with 2.7 and 1.7.

4.12. DEFINITION. D is a hereditary Q -point if for each function $f < \text{id}$, $f_*(D)$ is a Q -point.

Thus, if D is a hereditary Q -point, for every $f < \text{id}$ there is a $p \in \Gamma(D)$ so that $p \sim f$. In particular, D has exactly $|\Gamma(D)|$ isomorphism classes of functions.

If U is normal, then U, U^+, U^{++}, \dots are hereditary Q -points, having exactly $1, 2, \dots$ isomorphism classes of functions.

References

- [1] J. Ketonen, *Everything you wanted to know about ultrafilters — but were afraid to ask*, Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison 1971.
- [2] K. Kunen, *Some applications of iterated ultrapowers in set theory*, Annals of Mathematical Logic 1970.
- [3] — *Ultrafilters and independent sets* (to appear).
- [4] A. Mathias, *Surrealist Landscape with figures: A survey of recent results in set theory* (to appear).
- [5] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1956).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 10. 1971