

A fixed-point theorem for homeomorphisms of R^{2n}

by

Warren White (Rio de Janeiro)

§ 1. Introduction. In this note we give a proof for the following:

THEOREM. *Let $h: R^{2n} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ be a stable homeomorphism which is an involution on some non-empty, invariant $(2n-3)$ -connected subset $X \subset R^{2n}$. Then $hx = x$ for some $x \in R^{2n}$.*

COROLLARY. *Let $h: R^{2n} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism (or, if $2n \neq 6$, a stable homeomorphism) which takes some differentiable $(2n-2)$ -sphere $\Sigma \subset R^{2n}$ into itself. Then $hx = x$ for some $x \in R^{2n}$.*

Remark. Let h and X or Σ be as above, and let $Y \subset R^{2n}$, with $\pi_{2n-2}(Y) = 0$, be a set containing X or Σ . The conclusions of the theorem and corollary may be strengthened to read: any cell containing $Y \cup hY$ contains a fixed point $x = hx$ of h .

The results of Kirby show that, in dimensions other than four, any orientation preserving homeomorphism is stable [3]. In the case that the set X of the theorem is acyclic mod 2, Smith has shown that $hx = x$ for some $x \in X$ [4].

§ 2. Definitions. We shall use the term *map* for continuous functions. A map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an *involution* on a subset $X \subset A$ if $f^2|_X = \text{id}_X$, and X is *invariant* (under f) if $fX \subset X$. For a given space A , let $\mathcal{H}(A)$ be the space of homeomorphisms $h: A \rightarrow A$ with the compact-open topology; a homeomorphism $h: A \rightarrow A$ is *stable* if it lies in the connected component $\text{SH}(A) \subset \mathcal{H}(A)$ of the identity $\text{id}_A: A \rightarrow A$ (cf. Theorem 2, [3]). A space X is *k-connected* if it is path-connected and $\pi_i(X) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We write R for the real line, R^{2n} for $2n$ -dimensional space, and make the identification

$$R^k = \{(t_1, \dots, t_k, 0, \dots, 0) : t_i \in R\} \subset R^{2n} \quad \text{for } k \leq 2n.$$

For $k < 2n$ we let

$$\begin{aligned} S^k &= \{x \in R^{k+1} : \|x\| = 1\}, & B^{k+1} &= \{x \in R^{k+1} : \|x\| \leq 1\}, \\ D_N^k &= \{(t_1, \dots, t_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^k : t_{k+1} \geq 0\}, \\ D_S^k &= \{(t_1, \dots, t_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^k : t_{k+1} \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$



The degree of a map $f: S^k \rightarrow S^k$ is written df . Of particular importance are the antipodal map $a: S^k \rightarrow S^k$ and the reflection $r: S^k \rightarrow S^k$, defined respectively by $x \rightarrow -x$ and

$$(t_1, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0) \rightarrow (t_1, \dots, t_k, -t_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

§ 3. Proof of Theorem. We assume in this section that h and X satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. *There is a map $f: S^{2n-2} \rightarrow X$ such that $fa = hf$.*

Proof. Suppose that, for some $k \leq 2n-2$, we have a map $f_{k-1}: S^{k-1} \rightarrow X$ such that $f_{k-1}a = hf_{k-1}$. (This is true vacuously for $k=0$.) Since X is $(k-1)$ -connected we can extend f_{k-1} to a map $F_k: D_N^k \rightarrow X$. Define $f_k: S^k \rightarrow X$ by $f_k|_{D_N^k} = F_k$, $f_k|_{D_S^k} = hF_k a$. The restriction of f_k to D_N^k or D_S^k is a well-defined map, and on $D_N^k \cap D_S^k = S^{k-1}$ we have $hf_k a = F_k a a = F_k$, so that f_k is a well-defined map. Moreover,

$$f_k a|_{D_N^k} = (f_k|_{D_S^k})(a|_{D_N^k}) = hF_k a a|_{D_N^k} = hf_k|_{D_N^k}$$

and

$$f_k a|_{D_S^k} = (f_k|_{D_N^k})(a|_{D_S^k}) = F_k a|_{D_S^k} = hf_k|_{D_S^k},$$

so that $f_k a = hf_k$.

The construction used above is basic to the proof of our theorem. For any map $T: D_N^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ such that

$$(1) \quad hT = Ta \quad \text{on } S^{2n-2},$$

an extension $T_*: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ of T may be defined by setting $T_* = hTa$ on D_S^{2n-1} . If $T_*x = T_*ax$ for some $x \in D_N^{2n-1}$ then

$$Tx = T_*x = T_*ax = (hTa)ax = hTx,$$

so that Tx is a fixed point of h . The map $T^*: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ given by $T^*x = (T_*ax - T_*x) / \|T_*ax - T_*x\|$ is thus well-defined if h leaves no point of $TD_N^{2n-1} \subset R^{2n}$ fixed.

Suppose now that $hx \neq x$ for each $x \in R^{2n}$, so that we can construct T_* and T^* as above for any T satisfying (1).

We can extend the map f of Lemma 1 to a map $F: D_N^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{2n}$. Both $F: D_N^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ and $hF: D_N^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{2n}$ then satisfy (1).

LEMMA 2. $d(hF)^* = dF^*$.

Proof. For any $g \in \mathcal{K}(R^{2n})$ we can define a map $\lambda_g: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ by

$$\lambda_g x = (gF_*ax - gF_*x) / \|gF_*ax - gF_*x\|.$$

The map λ_g depends continuously on g , so that $d\lambda_g = d\lambda_{id} = dF^*$ for all $g \in \mathcal{K}(R^{2n})$. But $\lambda_h = (hF)^*$, since $hF_* = (hF)_*$.

LEMMA 3. $d(hF)^* = -dF^*$.

Proof. Consider the homotopy $G: D_N^{2n-1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow R^{2n}$ defined by $G_t x = t(Farx) + (1-t)(hF_x)$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$ we have $G_t|_{S^{2n-2}} = fa = hf$, so that G_t satisfies (1). The maps $(hF)^* = G_0^*$ and $F_*ar = (Far)^* = G_1^*$ are thus connected by the homotopy G_t^* , so that $d(hF)^* = d(F_*ar) = -dF^*$.

LEMMA 4. $dF^* \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $F_*a = aF_*$, this follows from one of the Borsuk-Ulam theorems [1].

The assumption that h had no fixed points has led to an impasse in Lemmas 2, 3, and 4, and must therefore be false. In fact, we used only the assumption that h fixed no point of $G(D_N^{2n-1} \times [0, 1])$, whence the strengthened conclusion of the remark.

§ 4. Proof of corollary. Let h and Σ be as in the corollary, and suppose that h leaves no point of R^{2n} fixed. Since Σ is differentiable, we may identify a neighborhood $U \subset R^{2n}$ of Σ with the product $\Sigma \times B^2$ so that $x \times (0, 0) = x$ for $x \in \Sigma$. For $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, let

$$U_\varepsilon = \{(x, t) \in U : x \in \Sigma, t \in B^2, \|t\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

If $\mu: B^2 \rightarrow B^2$ is a homeomorphism which is the identity on S^1 , we define a homeomorphism $\mu^*: R^{2n} \rightarrow R^{2n}$, Σ by $\mu^*y = y$ for $y \in R^{2n} - U$ and $\mu^*(x, t) = (x, \mu t)$ for $x \in \Sigma, t \in B^2$. We may assume that $(x \times B^2) \cap h(x \times B^2) = \emptyset$ for each $x \in \Sigma$, so that $h\mu^*$ has no fixed points.

Let $\alpha: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a fixed-point-free involution. By the hypotheses of the corollary the composition $h^{-1}\alpha: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is stable, and hence isotopic to the identity on Σ (see [2], [3]). Let $\lambda: \Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an isotopy such that $\lambda(x, 0) = h^{-1}\alpha x$ and $\lambda(x, t) = x$ for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and choose $\mu: B^2 \rightarrow B^2$ as above so that $h\mu^*U_\varepsilon \subset \text{int } U_\varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. We define a homeomorphism $h': R^{2n} \rightarrow R^{2n}$, Σ by $h'y = hy$ for $y \in R^{2n} - U$ and $h'(x, t) = h\mu^*(\lambda(x, t), t)$ for $x \in \Sigma, t \in B^2$. It is clear that h' is isotopic to h and hence stable, and that $h'|_\Sigma = \alpha$. For $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we have $h'U_\varepsilon = h\mu^*U_\varepsilon \subset \text{int } U_\varepsilon$, so that h' has no fixed points in $U_{1/2}$, and h' has no fixed points outside $U_{1/2}$ because $h\mu^*$ hasn't any. The homeomorphism h' is therefore a counter-example to our theorem.

References

[1] K. Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die n-dimensionale euklidische Sphäre*. Fund. Math. 20 (1933), pp. 177-190.
 [2] M. Brown and H. Gluck, *Stable structures on Manifolds, I, II, III*, Ann. Math. 79 (1964), pp. 1-58.



- [3] R. C. Kirby, *Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture*, Ann. Math. 89 (1969), pp. 575–582.
 [4] P. A. Smith, *Fixed-point theorems for periodic transformations*, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941), pp. 1–8.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 10. 1971

Ultrafilters over measurable cardinals

by

Jussi Ketonen (Buffalo, N. Y.)

0. Definitions. The notation and terminology in this paper is that of the most recent set-theoretic literature. For less well-known items we urge the reader to consult A. Mathias (1969). We shall now define our fundamental notions. Unless otherwise mentioned, all the ultrafilters discussed are assumed to be nonprincipal, κ -complete over a fixed measurable cardinal κ .

0.1. DEFINITION. Given two ultrafilters D, U , we say $D \leq U$ if there is a function $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ so that

$$x \in D \leftrightarrow f^{-1}(x) \in U.$$

In this case we also denote

$$D = f^*(U).$$

If $D \leq U$ and $U \leq D$ we say: D is isomorphic to U : In symbols, $D \cong U$.

For more on this order, see K. Kunen [2] and J. Ketonen [1]. The above definition is due to H. J. Keisler.

0.2. DEFINITION. Given an ultrafilter D , functions $f, g: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ we say: f, g are isomorphic (mod D), in symbols $f \sim g$, if there is a one-to-one function φ so that

$$f = \varphi \circ g \pmod{D}.$$

In this case $f_*(D) \cong g_*(D)$. Another way of describing the above situation is to describe f and g in terms of the partitions $\{f^{-1}(\{a\}) \mid a \in \kappa\}$, $\{g^{-1}(\{a\}) \mid a \in \kappa\}$ they induce. Then $f \sim g$ if and only if there is a set $X \in D$ and a permutation of the labels of the g -partitioning so that the a th part of the f -partitioning intersected with $X = a$ th part of the permuted g -partitioning intersected with X for every $a < \kappa$.

The following notions are extensions of the concepts of W. Rudin [1956]:

0.3. DEFINITION. If D an ultrafilter, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, then D is an f - P -point