

A note on E -compact spaces ⁽¹⁾

by

Francisco L. Marin (Apartado, Venezuela)

1. Introduction. This paper is divided into two parts. In part I we give a semi-internal characterization of E -compact spaces whereas in part 2 we study one-point E -completely regular extensions, with the purpose of establishing necessary and sufficient conditions for an E -completely regular space X to have a one-point E -compactification. Let us recall that $X \in C(E)$ is E -compact (written $X \in K(E)$) if $X_{cl} \subset E^m$ ⁽²⁾. S. Mrówka [9] has proved that X is E -compact iff, for every E -completely regular proper extension ${}_e X$ of X , the class $C(X, E)$ is non-extendable over ${}_e X$.

2. E -compactness. In this section we study E -compactness and some related concepts. We show that for $X \in C(E)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

THEOREM 2.1 ⁽³⁾.

- 1) X is E -compact.
- 2) For every net x_α in X , x_α converges if $f(x_\alpha)$ converges for every $f \in C(X, E)$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Let us index the family $C(X, E)$ by a set \mathcal{E} and let $m = \text{card } \mathcal{E}$. Since $X \in K(E)$, the parametric mapping h (induced by $C(X, E)$) where $h: X \rightarrow E^m$, is a closed homeomorphism. So let x_α be a net in X such that $f_\xi(x_\alpha) \rightarrow x_\xi$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$. Since $\pi_\xi(h(x)) = f_\xi(x)$, we obtain $h(x_\alpha) \rightarrow x \in E^m$, where $\pi_\xi(x) = x_\xi$. Recall that $h\{X\}$ is closed in E^m and hence $x \in h\{X\}$. Therefore, since h is a homeomorphism, x_α converges in X .

2) \Rightarrow 1) Let $h: X \rightarrow E^m$ be the evaluation mapping induced by $C(X, E)$. Choose $x \in h\{X\}^{E^m}$ and let x_α be a net in $h\{X\}$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. Select

⁽¹⁾ This paper is a part of a doctoral dissertation prepared at the Pennsylvania State University under the supervision of professor Stanisław Mrówka.

⁽²⁾ $X_{cl} \subset E^m$ means that X is embeddable as a closed subset of E^m .

⁽³⁾ In the remainder of this paper E is assumed to be Hausdorff unless otherwise specified.

a net x_a in X with $h(x_a) = x_a$. Since $\pi_\xi(h(x_a)) = f_\xi(x_a)$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $h(x_a) \rightarrow x$, we obtain $f_\xi(x_a) \rightarrow \pi_\xi(x)$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore by condition 2) $x_a \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in X$, and since E^m is Hausdorff, we infer that $h(x) = x$ and thus that $h\{X\}$ is closed in E^m .

We discuss some applications of the above theorem to the E -transformation of a Hausdorff space X .

Let X be a Hausdorff space and (X^*, φ) its E -transformation⁽¹⁾. We exhibit some of the influences of $C(X, E)$ on the E -transformation X^* of X .

COROLLARY 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) $X^* \in K(X)$.
- 2) For every net x_a in X and $f \in C(X, E)$, $f(x_a)$ converges iff $\varphi(x_a)$ converges.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Let (X^*, φ) be the E -transformation of X , and x_a a net in X . Given any $f \in C(X, E)$, we can select an $h \in C(X^*, E)$ such that $f = h \circ \varphi$.

Therefore by Theorem 2.1 $h(\varphi(x_a))$ converges iff $\varphi(x_a)$ converges and the latter happens iff $f(x_a)$ converges.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Let x_a^* be a net in X^* such that $h(x_a^*)$ converges for every $h \in C(X^*, E)$. Let x_a be a net in X such that $\varphi(x_a) = x_a^*$ for every a . Since (X^*, φ) is the E -transformation of X and $h(x_a^*)$ converges for every $h \in C(X^*, E)$, we infer that $f(x_a)$ converges for every $f \in C(X, E)$ and hence that $\varphi(x_a)$ converges. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $X^* \in K(E)$.

We remark that the above corollary is not sharp enough since it does not depend only upon $C(X, E)$. However, the following corollary does depend only upon $C(X, E)$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) X has an E -compact modification X^* .
- 2) For a net x_a in X , $f(x_a)$ converges for every $f \in C(X, E)$ iff there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_a) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ for every $f \in C(X, E)$.

Proof. Let (X^*, φ) be the E -transformation of X . Then the result is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.1. It remains only to choose $x_0 \in X$ such that $\varphi(x_a) \rightarrow \varphi(x_0)$.

We next consider the following situation. Let X be a Hausdorff space such that its E -modification X^* is E -compact, and select any Hausdorff proper extension εX of X . We proceed to give conditions in terms of (X^*, φ) for the space X to be E -embedded in εX .

⁽¹⁾ Let X be a topological space; the pair (X^*, φ) is the E -transformation of X if (X^*, φ) satisfies the following conditions: (i) X^* is E -completely regular ($X^* \in C(E)$). (ii) $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^*$ is continuous and onto. (iii) For every $f \in C(X, E)$ there exists an $h \in C(X^*, E)$ such that $f = h \circ \varphi$.

COROLLARY 2.3. *X is E -embedded in εX iff φ is continuously extendable over εX .*

Proof. \Rightarrow Assume that $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^*$ can be continuously extended to a function $\varphi^*: \varepsilon X \rightarrow X^*$. Let $f \in C(X, E)$, and for $x \in \varepsilon X/X$ define $f^*(x) = h(\varphi^*(x))$, where $h \in C(X^*, E)$ is such that $f = h \circ \varphi$. Then f^* is continuous and $f \subset f^*$.

\Leftarrow Let X be E -embedded in εX . We seek a function $\varphi^*: \varepsilon X \rightarrow X^*$ such that φ^* is continuous and $\varphi \subset \varphi^*$. To this end let $x \in \varepsilon X/X$ and let x_a be a net in X such that $x_a \rightarrow x$. For every $f \in C(X, E)$ let f^* be its unique extension to εX . Observe that $f^*(x_a) \rightarrow f^*(x)$ and since $f(x_a) = f^*(x_a)$, $f(x_a)$ converges for every $f \in C(X, E)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_a) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ for every $f \in C(X, E)$, and thus $f^*(x) = f(x_0)$. Let $\varphi^*(x) = \varphi(x_0)$. Since (X^*, φ) is the E -transformation of X , φ^* is well defined; hence it remains only to show that φ^* is continuous. Let $h \in C(X^*, E)$ be defined by $f = h \circ \varphi$. It is clear from our definition of φ^* that $h \circ \varphi^* = f^*$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 of [6], φ^* is continuous.

We consider next the case where the E -modification X^* of X is not assumed to be E -compact. In this case we have the following

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let (X^*, φ) be the E -transformation of X and εX a proper Hausdorff extension of X . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) The mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^*$ has a continuous extension⁽¹⁾ $\varphi^*: \varepsilon X \rightarrow \beta_E X^*$.
- 2) X is E -embedded in εX .

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) Let $f: X \rightarrow E$ and choose $h \in C(X^*, E)$ such that $f = h \circ \varphi$. Since h admits a continuous extension $h^*: \beta_E X^* \rightarrow E$, define $f^* = h^* \circ \varphi^*$.

2) \Rightarrow 1) Let $x \in \varepsilon X/X$ and choose a net x_a in X such that $x_a \rightarrow x$. For every $f \in C(X, E)$ and $h \in C(X^*, E)$ let f^* and h^* be the continuous extensions to εX and to $\beta_E X^*$ respectively. Notice that $f^*(x_a) \rightarrow f^*(x)$ for every $f^* \in C(\varepsilon X, E)$ and thus that $h(\varphi(x_a)) = h^*(\varphi(x_a))$ converges for every $h^* \in C(\beta_E X^*, E)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, there exists an $\hat{x} \in \beta_E X^*$ such that $\varphi(x_a) \rightarrow \hat{x}$. We show next that φ^* is well defined for every $x \in \varepsilon X/X$. To see this, choose two nets x_a and x'_a in X such that x_a and x'_a both converge to x . Thus we obtain \hat{x} and \hat{x}' in $\beta_E X^*$ such that $\varphi(x_a) \rightarrow \hat{x}$ and $\varphi(x'_a) \rightarrow \hat{x}'$. If $\hat{x} \neq \hat{x}'$, choose $h^* \in C(\beta_E X^*, E)$ such that $h^*(\hat{x}) \neq h^*(\hat{x}')$, and this implies that $f = f^*(\varphi(x_a))$ converges both to $h^*(\hat{x})$ and to $h^*(\hat{x}')$. This contradicts the fact that E is Hausdorff and

⁽¹⁾ $\beta_E X^*$ is an E -compact extension of X^* satisfying i) Every continuous function $f: X^* \rightarrow E$ admits a continuous extension $f^*: \beta_E X^* \rightarrow E$. For more details on $\beta_E X^*$ see S. Mrówka [10].

thus φ^* is a function. To prove the continuity of φ^* , where $\varphi^*: \varepsilon X \rightarrow \beta_E X^*$, observe that every $f \in C(X, E)$ is of the form $h \circ \varphi$ and that $f^* = h^* \circ \varphi^*$ and thus, by Theorem 1.2 of [6], φ^* is continuous.

We conclude this section with a condition for E -compactness which resembles the characterization of compactness in terms of nets.

COROLLARY 2.5. *The following are equivalent:*

- 1) $X \in K(E)$.
- 2) For a net x_α in X , x_α has a cluster point iff $f(x_\alpha)$ has a cluster point for every $f \in C(X, E)$.

The proof of this corollary can be obtained by a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.1 and with the aid of universal nets.

3. One-point E -completely regular extensions. In this part we study one-point E -completely regular extensions. We recall that, for every E -completely regular space X , there exists an E -compact extension $\beta_E X$ which is characterized by the following conditions: ⁽¹⁾

- a) $\beta_E X$ is E -compact.
- b) Every continuous mapping $g: X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is E -compact can be extended to $\beta_E X$.
- c) $\beta_E X$ is uniquely determined by the above properties, i.e., if εX is an arbitrary extension of X that satisfies a) and b), then $\varepsilon X \stackrel{\text{ext}}{=} \beta_E X$ ⁽²⁾.

Let us choose an E -determining family F of $C(X, E)$. If we parallel the construction of $\beta_E X$, we obtain an extension of X , which we will denote by $\varepsilon_F X$, characterized by the following conditions:

THEOREM 3.1. *There is a unique (up to homeomorphism) extension $\varepsilon_F X$ of X characterized by the following conditions:*

- a) $\varepsilon_F X$ is E -compact.
- b) Every continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow E$, for $f \in F$ can be continuously extended to $\varepsilon_F X$.
- c) Every Hausdorff extension εX satisfying condition b) can be continuously mapped into $\varepsilon_F X$, leaving the points of X fixed.

Proof. Let $h: X \rightarrow E$ be the parametric map determined by the family F . Let $\varepsilon X = \overline{h(X)}^{E^F}$. Then $\varepsilon_F X$ satisfies conditions a) and b) by definition.

To prove part c) let X be any Hausdorff extension of X which satisfies condition b). Then, by Corollary 1.1 of [6] and a slight modification

⁽¹⁾ For more information on $\beta_E X$ see S. Mrówka [10].

⁽²⁾ $\varepsilon_1 X \stackrel{\text{ext}}{=} \varepsilon_2 X$ means that $\varepsilon_1 X$ is homeomorphic to $\varepsilon_2 X$ by a homeomorphism which leaves the points of X fixed.

of Corollary 2.4, we obtain a continuous function $f: \varepsilon X \rightarrow \varepsilon X$ such that $f(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$.

To establish the uniqueness of the extension is now a simple matter. Let εX be a Hausdorff extension of X satisfying conditions a), b) and c). From part c) above we obtain a continuous function $h: \varepsilon X \rightarrow \varepsilon X$ leaving X invariant, and from our assumptions there exists a continuous function $g: \varepsilon_F X \rightarrow \varepsilon X$, which is the identity on X . From this it follows that $\varepsilon X \stackrel{\text{ext}}{=} \varepsilon_F X$.

We consider next the following situation. Let εX be an E -completely regular extension of X , and let F be the family of all restrictions of $C(\varepsilon X, E)$ to X . Then it is clear that εX can be obtained in a natural way in terms of the family F . If $\varepsilon X \in K(E)$, then εX is the smallest extension (in the sense of property c) of Lemma 2.1) determined by the family F . We point out that this is just a duplication of the Tihonov method used in this solution to the problem of finding all the compactifications of a completely regular space X .

In the remainder of this section we will be concerned with one-point E -completely regular extensions.

We start by introducing some definitions:

Let $X \in K(E)$ and let ξ be a class of subsets of X . Following P. Alexandroff [1], ξ is a *centred system* if ξ is closed under finite intersections and the empty set \emptyset is not a member of ξ . The centred system ξ is said to be *Hausdorff* if, for every $x \in X$ such that $x \notin A$ for some $A \in \xi$, there exists a $B \in \xi$ with $x \notin \overline{B}$ (\overline{B} is the closure of B in X).

Let $X \in C(E)$ and let ξ be a Hausdorff centred system of open sets of X with empty intersection. We define a topology for $X \cup \{\xi\}$ in the following manner:

X is an open subset of $X \cup \{\xi\}$, and the neighbourhood system for $\{\xi\}$ consists of all $A \cup \{\xi\}$ for $A \in \xi$. It is easy to see that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is Hausdorff and that it contains X densely embedded. We should add that, whenever we consider $X \cup \{\xi\}$ as a topological space, we mean that it has the topology defined above.

Consider the following conditions on an E -completely regular space X .

- (i) X is locally E -compact but not E -compact ⁽¹⁾.
- (ii) There exists an E -determining family $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X, E)$ such that \mathcal{F} , restricted to any closed E -compact neighbourhood U of x , produces a closed embedding of U .

Before we state our last condition, we consider the following preliminaries.

⁽¹⁾ A space $X \in C(E)$ is locally E -compact iff every $x \in X$ has a base system of closed neighbourhoods which are E -compact.

Let Δ be the class of all E -compact closed subsets A of X , such that the family \mathcal{F} when restricted to A produces a closed embedding of A . We have the following observations on Δ .

1) Δ is closed under finite unions (this is a direct application of Lemma 2.5).

2) The class $\xi = \{X/A : A \in \Delta\}$ is a Hausdorff centred system of open sets with vacuous intersection.

Proof of 2). From 1) and the fact that X is not E -compact we infer that ξ is a centred system. Furthermore, ξ is Hausdorff and has vacuous intersection because of conditions (i) and (ii) above.

Our last condition on X now reads:

(iii) The filter base $f\{\xi\}$ converges for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Combining our three conditions on X , we obtain the following

LEMMA 3.1. For every $X \in C(E)$, if conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, then $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is a one-point E -compactification of X .

Proof. We show that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -completely regular. We need only worry about $\{\xi\}$. So let x_α be a net in $X \cup \{\xi\}$ such that $f^*(x_\alpha) \rightarrow f^*(\xi)$ for every $f^* \in \mathcal{F}^*$ (f^* and \mathcal{F}^* as defined above). Suppose that $x_\alpha \rightarrow \{\xi\}$: then there exists a subnet x_β of x_α and an E -compact closed subset $H \subset X$ such that the net x_β is in H and $\mathcal{F}^* H$ produces a closed embedding of H . This shows that $f^*(x_\beta) \rightarrow f^*(\xi)$ for all $f^* \in \mathcal{F}^*$, which is a contradiction. This demonstrates that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -completely regular, and therefore that the family \mathcal{F}^* is an E -determining family for $X \cup \{\xi\}$. We show that the family \mathcal{F}^* produces a closed embedding. Let x_α be a net in $X \cup \{\xi\}$ such that $f^*(x_\alpha)$ converges for every $f^* \in \mathcal{F}^*$: we will prove that this implies that x_α has a cluster point in $X \cup \{\xi\}$ and hence, by Lemma 2.5, $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -compact. Let us suppose that $\{\xi\}$ is not a cluster point of x_α . Then the net x_α is eventually in some $A \in \Delta$ (Δ as defined above) and thus, by condition ii) and Theorem 2.1, x_α converges in A and hence in $X \cup \{\xi\}$. If, on the other hand, $\{\xi\}$ is already a cluster point, we have nothing to prove.

THEOREM 3.2. Let X be an E -completely regular space which is not E -compact. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) X admits a one-point E -compactification.

2) X is locally E -compact and there exist an E -determining family $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X, E)$ and a centred system ξ satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1.

Proof. 2) \Rightarrow 1) This is Lemma 3.1.

1) \Rightarrow 2) Let $X \cup \{p\}$ be a one-point E -compactification of X . Define the family $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X, E)$ as the restriction of $C(X \cup \{p\}, E)$ to X , and

let ξ be any neighbourhood system of open sets of the point p in $X \cup \{p\}$. It is easy to see that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1 hold.

We now wish to give an application of Theorem 2.2 to a special kind of topological space E . The space E is Hausdorff and has the following property:

PROPERTY PCR. There exists a fixed pair of distinct points e_0 and e_1 in E such that for every closed set A and point x in E^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), with $x \notin A$, there exists a continuous function $g: E^n \rightarrow E$ such that $g\{A\} = e_0$ and $g(x) = e_1$.

Let E have property (PCR) and let X be an E -completely regular space which is locally compact but not compact. We want to show that the one-point compactification $X \cup \{\infty\}$ of X is E -completely regular and thus E -compact.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let E have property (PCR) and let $X \in C(E)$ be locally compact but not compact. Then the one-point compactification of X is E -compact.

Furthermore, this can be obtained in terms of all the functions $f: X \rightarrow E$ which are constant outside compact subsets of X .

Proof. Let F be the family of all those continuous functions from X into E which have the constant value e_1 outside compact subsets of X . We claim that the family F so defined is an E -determining family for X . Namely let A and x be a closed set and a point of X such that $x \notin A$. Since X is locally compact, there exists a compact neighbourhood U of x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Let f be a continuous function defined as follows:

$$f: X \rightarrow E, \quad f[X/\text{int } U] = e_0^1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = e_1.$$

The existence of such an f is guaranteed because E has property (PCR) and, furthermore, $f \in F$.

Let ξ be the class of complements of all compact subsets of X . It is easy to see that ξ is a Hausdorff centred system of open sets with vacuous intersection. It remains to show that the filter base $f[\xi]$ converges for every $f \in F$. Let $G \in \xi$ and let f be an arbitrary function in F . Let H be a compact subset of X such that $f[X/H] = e_0$. Then $G' = G \cap (X/H) \in \xi$ and $f[G'] = e_0$, showing that the filter base $f[\xi]$ converges to e_0 . Therefore by Lemma 3.1 $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -completely regular.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} being the reals with the usual topology) and let X be locally compact but not compact. Then the one-point compactification of X can be obtained in terms of all the real-valued continuous functions which vanish outside compact subsets of X .

(¹) $\text{int } U$ denotes the interior of U in X .

We next present certain special cases of one-point E -completely regular extensions. Let E be a regular space and $X \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ which has the following property.

(EN) For every closed subset $H \subset X$, H is E -embedded. Let P be a topological property ⁽¹⁾ of E -completely regular spaces which satisfy condition (EN). We assume further that property P satisfies the following conditions:

1) If A is a closed subset of X and A has property P , then any closed subset $H \subset A$ also has property P .

2) If A_1 and A_2 are closed subsets of X and A_1, A_2 have property P , then $A_1 \cup A_2$ has property P .

We say that a space X has property P locally iff every point $x \in X$ has a system of closed neighbourhoods possessing property P . Let us denote by (W_P) the following statement about E -completely regular spaces ⁽²⁾:

(W_P) For every E -completely regular space X , if $x_0 \in X$ is such that for every closed subset $H \subset X$, with $x_0 \notin H$, H has property P , then X has property P .

THEOREM 3.3. Let X be an E -completely regular space satisfying condition (EN). Furthermore, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) X is locally E -compact but not E -compact and has property P locally but not globally.

(ii) Property P satisfies conditions 1) and 2).

(iii) Property P has feature (W_P) .

Then there exists a one point E -compactification of X which has property P .

Proof. Let us consider the following objects:

$$\Delta = \{H: \exists H' \subset X \text{ such that } H \text{ and } H' \text{ are closed } E\text{-compact subsets satisfying property } P \text{ and } H \subset \text{int} H'\}.$$

Let the family F be defined as follows:

$$F = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E): f[X \setminus H] = e_0, H \in \Delta \text{ and } e_0 \text{ being a fixed point of } E\}.$$

We show that F is an E -determining family and that F restricted to any $H \in \Delta$ produces a close embedding.

F is E -determining, namely let A and x be a closed subset and a point of X , respectively, such that $x \notin A$. Choose E -compact closed neighbour-

hoods U_1, U_2 of x which have property P and are such that $U_1 \subset \text{int} U_2$ and $U_2 \cap A = \emptyset$. Let the continuous function f be defined as follows: $F: X \rightarrow E$, and $f[X \setminus \text{int} U_1] = e_0$ and $f(x) = e_1$ where $e_1 \neq e_0$. Observe that $U_1 \in \Delta$ and thus $f \in F$.

Next let $H \in \Delta$: then there exists an H' , which is a closed E -compact subset of X satisfying property P and such that $H \subset \text{int} H'$. Since X is normal and every closed set is E -embedded we can find $H_1 \in \Delta$ such that $H \subset \text{int} H_1 \subset H_1 \subset \text{int} H'$. Now let $g: H \rightarrow E$ and let the continuous function be defined as follows: $f: X \rightarrow E$, and $f[X \setminus \text{int} H_1] = e_0$. That such a function exists is guaranteed since X satisfies conditions (EN). Observe that $H_1 \in \Delta$ and thus that $f \in F$. This shows that the family F restricted to any $H \in \Delta$ produces a closed embedding.

Let $\xi = \{X \setminus H: H \in \Delta\}$. It remains to observe that ξ is a Hausdorff centred system with vacuous intersection and that $f(\xi)$ converges for every $f \in F$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -compact. Furthermore, since property P has feature (W_P) , we find that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ has property P .

We next examine a few specific topological properties.

PROPERTY P_1 . $[m, n]$ compactness ⁽¹⁾.

This property P_1 is easily seen to satisfy conditions 1) and 2). Furthermore it is clear that property P_1 has feature (W_{P_1}) . Hence we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ satisfy condition (EN). Then if X has properties P_1 and E -compactness locally but neither property globally, there always exists a one-point E -compact extension of X which has property P_1 .

PROPERTY P_2 . For our next case we consider the space R of real numbers as the space E and the property P_2 is that of R -compactness. Then our situation is as follows. Let X be a normal space which is locally R -compact but not R -compact. We want to show that there exists a one-point extension of X which is normal and R -compact. We start by proving that R -compactness for normal spaces satisfies conditions 1) and 2).

Condition 1). Let H be a closed R -compact subset of X : then it is clear that if $H_1 \subset H$ and H_1 is close, then H_1 is R -compact.

Condition 2). Let H_1 and H_2 be closed R -compact subsets of X : then $H_1 \cup H_2$ is R -embedded in X and thus by Corollary 2.5, $H_1 \cup H_2$ is R -compact.

Before we establish our assertion concerning property P_2 , we want to consider the following preliminaries.

⁽¹⁾ Property P will only be considered for E -completely regular spaces and will be assumed to be invariant under homeomorphisms.

⁽²⁾ Our approach parallels that of S. Mrówka [8].

⁽¹⁾ A space X is said to be $[m, n]$ -compact (m, n being infinite cardinals) if every open covering U of X with $n \leq \bar{U} \leq m$ admits a subcovering V_1 with $V_1 \leq n$.

Let X be an E -completely regular space such that there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ with the property that every closed subset $H \subset X$, with $x_0 \notin H$, implies that H is a normal subset of X . It was shown by S. Mrówka [8] that this implies that X is normal.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let X be a normal space which is locally R -compact but not R -compact. Then X admits a one-point extension which is normal and R -compact.*

Proof. By an argument similar to that of the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can show that there exists a completely regular extension $X \cup \{\xi\}$ of X such that if H is closed in $X \cup \{\xi\}$ and $H \cap \{\xi\} = \emptyset$, then H is normal. Therefore by our preliminary remarks we find that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is normal.

PROPERTY P_3 . Let E be a Hausdorff space satisfying condition (PCR). Let P_3 be an arbitrary topological property of E -compact spaces (E as described above) having feature (W_{P_3}) .

COROLLARY 3.5. *If $X \in C(E)$ has the properties (EN) and P_3 locally but neither globally, then there exists a one-point E -completely regular extension of X which has property P_3 .*

Proof. Let Δ be the class of all the closed subsets H of X such that $H \in \Delta$ iff there exists a closed subset H' of X , with $H \subset \text{int} H'$ where H' has properties (EN) and P_3 .

We have the following observations on Δ :

1) Δ is non-empty.

This follows because X has properties (EN) and P_3 locally.

2) Δ is closed under finite unions.

To see this take $H_1, H_2 \in \Delta$. Then H_1 and H_2 are both E -embedded in $H_1 \cup H_2$. Thus $H_1 \cup H_2$ has property (EN) and hence is E -compact. Furthermore, since property P_3 is finitely additive on closed sets, we find that $H_1 \cup H_2$ has property P_3 . Finally, it is easy to see that $H_1 \cup H_2 \in \Delta$.

3) If $H \in \Delta$ and H' is a closed subset of H , then $H' \in \Delta$.

This follows directly from the definition of Δ . Let us now consider the following objects:

$$F = \{f: f \in C(X, E), f[X \setminus H] = e_0, H \in \Delta \text{ and } e_0 \text{ is a fixed point of } E\},$$

and

$$\xi = \{X \setminus H: H \in \Delta\}.$$

By an argument similar to the one given in the proof of Corollary 3.2, we can show that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is E -compact; furthermore, since property P_3 has feature (W_{P_3}) , we find that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ has property P_3 .

We conclude with the following special case.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If X is completely regular, and X is locally normal and locally R -compact but neither globally, then X admits a one-point extension which is normal and R -compact.*

The proof of this corollary follows from a direct application of Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5.

We want to point out that the same conclusions hold for N -compactness ⁽¹⁾ under similar conditions. Furthermore, we have a stronger result for $E = N$. Let $X \in C(N)$ be locally N -compact, but not N -compact. Then there exists a one-point N -compactification of X .

To see this let

$$\Delta = \{H: H \text{ is an open closed } N\text{-compact subset of } X\}$$

and

$$F = \{f: f \in C(X, N) \text{ and } f[X \setminus H] = 0 \text{ for } H \in \Delta\}.$$

We observe that F is E -determining for X and, furthermore, that F , when restricted to any $H \in \Delta$, produces a closed embedding. Therefore, if we consider the Hausdorff centred system $\xi = \{X \setminus H: H \in \Delta\}$, we can show by an argument similar to that of Theorem 3.2 that $X \cup \{\xi\}$ is a one-point N -compactification of X .

We wish to add that the development of part 2) of this chapter has been motivated by the following question. Is every locally R -compact space an open subset of an R -compact space? The farthest that we have gone in this direction is the result of Corollary 3.6. On the other hand, for N -compact space the answer, as shown above, is affirmative.

References

- [1] P. S. Alexandrov, *Bikompakte Erweiterungen topologischer Raume*, Rec. Math. S. (1939), pp. 403-423.
- [2] R. Blefko, *Doctoral dissertation*, University Park, Pennsylvania 1965.
- [3] R. Engelking, and S. Mrówka, *On E -compact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 6 (1958).
- [4] L. Gillman, and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, New York 1960.
- [5] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York 1955.
- [6] F. L. Marin, *Doctoral dissertation*, University Park, Pennsylvania 1968.
- [7] S. Mrówka, *On universal spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III, 6 (1956), pp. 479-481.
- [8] — *On local topological properties*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III, 10 (1957), pp. 951-956.

⁽¹⁾ A space X is N -compact if $X \subset N^m$, where N denotes the natural numbers and m denotes a cardinal number.

- [9] — *Doctoral dissertation*, Warszawa 1959.
 [10] — *Further Results on E-compact Spaces* (to appear).
 [11] A. Tihonov, *Über die topologische Erweiterung von Räumen*, Math. Annalen 102 (1929), pp. 544–561.

UNIVERSIDAD DE ORIENTE, Cumaná
 and
 UNIVERSIDAD SIMÓN BOLÍVAR
 Sartenejas, Baruta-Venezuela

Reçu par la Rédaction le 29. 3. 1971

On the existence of maps having graphs connected and dense

by

W. Kulpa (Katowice)

The paper contains a proof of the existence of maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with connected and dense graphs in $X \times Y$, where X and Y are connected spaces satisfying some additional conditions. We also state Theorems 2 and 3, which are generalizations of Theorems 3 and 4 proved by D. Phillips in [2]. The proofs of these theorems are reproduced from [2].

Let us fix some notation and symbols; $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ means the projection, Fr_A and Int_A means boundary and interior operations in the space A , and $w(A)$, $\text{card } A$ means respectively the weight of A and the cardinality of A .

LEMMA 1. Let X, Y be connected spaces. If $\emptyset \neq G \subset X \times Y$ is open, then

- (a) $\text{Int}_X \pi(\text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G) \neq \emptyset$, or
 (b) there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset \text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G$, or
 (c) G is dense in $X \times Y$.

Proof. (I) Let us assume that there exists a point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $(x, y) \notin \bar{G}$ and $x \in \pi(G)$. Then there exists an open set $U_x \subset \pi(G)$ such that $x \in U_x$ and (a) $U_x \subset \pi(\text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G)$. Indeed, there are open sets $U_x \subset \pi(G)$ and $U_y \subset Y$ such that $(x, y) \in U_x \times U_y \subset (X \times Y) - \bar{G}$. We show that $U_x \subset \pi(\text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G)$. Suppose that there exists an $x' \in U_x - \pi(\text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G)$. A subspace $\{x'\} \times Y \subset X \times Y$ is homeomorphic with Y . We have

$$\emptyset \neq G \cap (\{x'\} \times Y) \neq \{x'\} \times Y$$

and

$$\text{Fr}_{\{x'\} \times Y} (G \cap (\{x'\} \times Y)) \subset (\text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G) \cap (\{x'\} \times Y) = \emptyset,$$

and this contradicts the fact that $\{x'\} \times Y$ is connected.

(II) Let us assume that the condition (I) is not satisfied. We have $\text{Fr}_X \pi(G) \neq \emptyset$ or $\text{Fr}_X \pi(G) = \emptyset$.

(b) If $x \in \text{Fr}_X \pi(G)$ then $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset \text{Fr}_{X \times Y} G$. Indeed, suppose that there exist an $y \in Y$ and such an open neighbourhood $U_x \times U_y$ of point