

On a problem of Tamano

by

Henry Potoczny (Dayton, Ohio)

Introduction. In [1], Tamano asked whether or not a space which is the closure-preserving union of compact sets has to be paracompact. We give a partial answer to this question with the following theorem. Let X be a space, and let $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(a) \mid a \in \Gamma\}$ be a closure-preserving family of compact closed sets whose union is X . Suppose that for each $x \in X$, there is a countable subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(x)$ of \mathfrak{F} such that $x \in \text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(x)\}$. Then X is the disjoint union of open and closed σ -compact subsets.

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a space, and $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(a) \mid a \in \Gamma\}$ a closure-preserving family of compact closed sets whose union is X . Suppose that, for each $x \in X$, there is a countable subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(x)$ of \mathfrak{F} such that $x \in \text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(x)\}$. Then for each compact set K there is a countable subfamily, $\mathfrak{F}(K)$, of \mathfrak{F} such that $K \subset \text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(K)\}$.*

Proof. The family $\{\text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(x)\} \mid x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K , and hence has a finite subcover, say, $\{\text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(x_i)\} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, for some points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K$.

Then $\mathfrak{F}(K) = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{F}(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a countable subfamily of \mathfrak{F} , and $K \subset \text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(K)\}$.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a space, and $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(a) \mid a \in \Gamma\}$ a closure-preserving family of compact closed sets whose union is X . If for each $x \in X$ there is a countable subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(x)$ of \mathfrak{F} such that $x \in \text{int} \bigcup \{F \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(x)\}$, then X is the disjoint union of open and closed σ -compact subsets.*

Proof. For each $a \in \Gamma$, $F(a)$ is compact, whence, by Lemma 1, there is a countable subfamily $\Gamma(a)$ of Γ such that $F(a) \subset \text{int} \bigcup \{F(\beta) \mid \beta \in \Gamma(a)\}$.

Let $\Gamma(0) = \{a\}$.

Let $\Gamma(1) = \{\beta \in \Gamma \mid \beta \in \Gamma(\gamma), \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma(0)\} = \Gamma(a)$.

Let $\Gamma(2) = \{\beta \in \Gamma \mid \beta \in \Gamma(\gamma), \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma(1)\}$.

Inductively, let $\Gamma(i+1) = \{\beta \in \Gamma \mid \beta \in \Gamma(\gamma), \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma(i)\}$.

Let $\hat{\Gamma}(a) = \bigcup \{\Gamma(i) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}(a) = \bigcup \{F(\beta) \mid \beta \in \hat{\Gamma}(a)\}$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}(a)$ is closed and σ -compact. Also, $\mathcal{G}(a)$ is open. To see this, we let $x \in \mathcal{G}(a)$, and find an open set about x that lies

inside $G(\alpha)$. Now $x \in G(\alpha) = \bigcup \{F(\beta) \mid \beta \in \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha)\}$, means that there is a $\beta(x) \in \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ such that $x \in F(\beta(x))$. Since $\beta(x) \in \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \bigcup \{\Gamma(i) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots\}$, there is a natural number $i(\beta(x))$ such that $\beta(x) \in \Gamma(i(\beta(x)))$.

Now recall that for an index γ to appear in a set $\Gamma(i+1)$, it is necessary and sufficient that γ belong to $\Gamma(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma(i)$. Since $\beta(x) \in \Gamma(i(\beta(x)))$, every index $\gamma \in \Gamma(\beta(x))$ qualifies for membership in $\Gamma(i(\beta(x))+1)$. Thus $\bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(\beta(x))\} \subset \bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(i(\beta(x))+1)\}$ and this latter set is in turn a subset of $\bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha)\}$. But we also know that $x \in F(\beta(x))$, which is a subset of $\text{int} \bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(\beta(x))\}$.

Thus $x \in \text{int} \bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma(\beta(x))\} \subset \bigcup \{F(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \hat{\Gamma}(\alpha)\} = G(\alpha)$, and $G(\alpha)$ is seen to be open.

Note further that the family $\{G(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is closure-preserving. This is a straightforward result following from the fact that each set $G(\alpha)$ is the union of members of a closure-preserving family of compact closed sets.

Now suppose the index set Γ to be well-ordered. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let $V(\alpha) = G(\alpha) - \bigcup \{G(\beta) \mid \beta < \alpha\}$. Then the following facts about the family $\{V(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ are easily verified: each set $V(\alpha)$ is open, closed and σ -compact; the members of $\{V(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

COROLLARY 1. *If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1, X is required to be T_3 , then X is paracompact.*

Proof. A T_3 , σ -compact space is paracompact, whence X is the disjoint union of open paracompact subspaces, whence is itself paracompact.

Note that if X is not required to be T_3 , X may fail to be paracompact. To see this, let X be any countable connected T_2 space; $X = \{x(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. For each positive integer j , let $X(j) = \{x(i) \mid i \leq j\}$. Then the family $\{X(j)\}$ is a countable closure-preserving family of compact sets whose union is X , but X is not paracompact, nor even normal or regular.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a space, and $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ a closure-preserving family of compact closed sets whose union is X . If the family \mathfrak{F} is either point-countable or star-countable, then X is the disjoint union of open and closed σ -compact subsets.*

Proof. Both cases are special cases of Theorem 1. In the event that the family \mathfrak{F} is star-countable, the result can be obtained without well-ordering the index set.

Various other modification of Theorem 1 are also possible. If in Theorem 1 the members of \mathfrak{F} are required only to be closed and σ -compact, the same result follows. If they are required to be closed and Lindelöf, then X is the pairwise disjoint union of open and closed Lindelöf subspaces.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $X = \bigcup \{F(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where each $F(\alpha)$ is open, each $\overline{F(\alpha)}$ is compact or σ -compact, and the family $\{F(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is closure-preserving. Then X is the disjoint union of open and closed σ -compact subspaces.*

Proof. The family $\{\overline{F(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 4. *Let X be locally compact, T_2 . If every open cover of X has an open closure-preserving refinement, then X is the disjoint union of open and closed σ -compact subspaces.*

Proof. Cover X with open sets whose closures are compact. Let \mathfrak{B} be a closure-preserving open refinement which covers X . Then the family $\{\overline{W} \mid W \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3, and the conclusion follows.

Note that any space X as described in Corollary 3 is locally compact, or locally σ -compact, but not every locally compact space will admit such an open cover. An easy example is the space of countable ordinals with the usual topology.

Reference

- [1] H. Tamano, *A characterization of paracompactness*, *Fund. Math.* 72 (1971), pp. 189-201.

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
Dayton, Ohio

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 12. 1970