

I am grateful to doc. R. Engelking for suggesting the problems and for some valuable remarks.

Added in proof. A generalization of Theorem 2.8 is contained in H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr., *Open continuous mappings of spaces having bases of countable order*, Duke Math. Journ. 34 (1967), pp. 255-272. One can prove that the theorem remains valid if Y is complete.

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *A class of spaces which contains all metric and all locally compact spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 67 (1965), pp. 55-88 (Russian).
- [2] — *On closed mappings, bicomact sets and a certain problem of P. S. Alexandrov*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 69 (1966), pp. 13-34 (Russian).
- [3] — *A theorem on the metricability of the inverse image of a metric space under an open-closed finite-to-one mapping: Example and unsolved problems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 170 (1966), pp. 759-762 (Russian).
- [4] N. Dykes, *Mappings and realcompact spaces*, Pacific Journ. of Math. 31 (1969), pp. 347-358.
- [5] R. Engelking, *Outline of General Topology*, Amsterdam 1968.
- [6] — *O zagadnieniach topologii ogólnej związanych z badaniem przeksztalceń*, Wiadom. Mat., 12 (1971), pp. 257-284.
- [7] V. V. Filippov, *Feathery paracompacta*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 178 (1968), pp. 555-558.
- [8] Z. Frolík, *Generalisations of the G_s -property of complete metric spaces*, Czech. Math. Journ. 10 (1960), pp. 359-379.
- [9] — *On approximation and uniform approximation of spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), pp. 530-532.
- [10] — *Applications of complete families of continuous functions to the theory of Q -spaces*, Czech. Math. Journ. 11 (1961), pp. 115-133.
- [11] S. Hanai, *Inverse images of closed mappings I*, Proc. Japan Acad. 37 (1961), pp. 298-301.
- [12] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, *Some properties of compactifications*, Duke Math. Journ. 25 (1958), pp. 83-106.
- [13] T. Isiwata, *Mappings and spaces*, Pac. Journ. of Math. 20 (1967), pp. 455-480.
- [14] E. Michael, *A note on closed maps and compact sets*, Israel Journ. of Math. 2 (1964), pp. 173-176.
- [15] B. Pasynkov, *Open mappings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 175 (1966), pp. 292-295 (Russian).
- [16] V. Ponomarev, *Proof of the invariance of the star finite property under open perfect mappings*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. sér. math. 10 (1962), pp. 425-428 (Russian).
- [17] F. G. Slaughter, *Some new results on inverse images of closed mappings*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1970), p. 842.

WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI I MECHANIKI UNIWERSYTETU WARSZAWSKIEGO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 11. 1970

Tate resolutions for commutative graded algebras over a local ring

by

Tadeusz Józefiak (Bydgoszcz)

Introduction. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with a unit element.

Tate has constructed in [11] for cyclic R -modules free resolutions with additional algebra structure and used them for the study of the functor Tor^R . Only recently (see [4], [8], [10]) it has turned out that for a local ring R and residue class field k the Tate resolution has an important property: it is minimal. A minimal resolution F determines completely the algebra $\text{Tor}^R(k, k)$: we have $\text{Tor}^R(k, k) \simeq F \otimes k$. These two properties: the algebra structure and minimality facilitate the investigation of the structure of the homology of the ring R .

The main purpose of the present paper is to build the theory of Tate resolutions for graded commutative algebras over a local ring R (called R -algebras in this paper, cf. (1.1)).

From the existence of the Tate resolution of an R -algebra A we obtain the following formula for the Poincaré series of A :

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \frac{(1+t)^{n_1}(1+t^2)^{n_2}(1+t^3)^{n_3} \dots}{(1-t)^{m_1}(1-t^2)^{m_2}(1-t^3)^{m_3} \dots}$$

The organization of the paper is as follows:

In § 1 we recall the definition of an R -algebra and some basic properties of the category of graded modules over such an R -algebra.

§ 2 contains the definition and properties of a normal sequence in an R -algebra. The main result of this section is a characterization of those R -algebras whose unique maximal homogeneous ideal is generated by a normal sequence.

In § 3 we define bigraded Γ -algebras and differential Γ -algebras. Furthermore we present the basic construction of the differential Γ -algebra $A \langle M; \varphi \rangle$ obtained from the differential Γ -algebra A by the adjunction of the R -module M by means of the map $\varphi: M \rightarrow Z(A)$.

In § 4 we construct the Tate resolution X of an R -algebra A as the sum of an ascending chain of differential Γ -algebras $F_q X$, $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The basic properties of X , minimality and invariance, are also proved.

In § 5 we give the full characterization of those R -algebras for which $X = F_1 X$. These are exactly algebras isomorphic with the tensor product of exterior and symmetric algebras of free modules.

In § 6 we apply the theory of the Tate resolutions to the computation of the Poincaré series. The last theorem of this section contains the relationship between the Poincaré series for A and \bar{A} , where \bar{A} is the residue algebra of A by a non-zero divisor of A .

Some results of the present paper were announced in [6].

§ 1. R -algebras. Let R be a commutative local ring with a unit element.

(1.1) DEFINITION. An associative, graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ over R will be called an R -algebra in this paper if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) A_i is a finitely generated R -module for $i \geq 0$,
- (ii) A has a unit element $1 \in A_0$ such that $A_0 = R \cdot 1 \simeq R$,
- (iii) $a \cdot b = (-1)^{ij} b \cdot a$, for $a \in A_i$, $b \in A_j$,
- (iv) $a^2 = 0$, for $a \in A_i$, i odd.

An element $a \in A_i$ is called a *homogeneous element of degree i* . We shall write $i = \partial(a)$.

(1.2) DEFINITION. By a *graded A -module* $M = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} M_q$ we shall mean in this paper a locally finite graded A -module, i.e. each M_q is a finitely generated R -module.

We denote by $A\text{-Mod}$ the category of graded A -modules and their homogeneous homomorphisms of degree 0.

For the convenience of the reader and for references we recall below some basic properties of the category $A\text{-Mod}$. For the details see [2] and [3].

Let \mathfrak{m} be the unique maximal ideal in R and let $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. We write $I = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$. The ideal I is the unique maximal homogeneous ideal in A and $A/I \simeq k$.

(1.3) NAKAYAMA LEMMA. If $M \in \text{ob } A\text{-Mod}$, then $IM = M$ implies $M = 0$.

(1.4) DEFINITION. An epimorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, $f \in \text{morph } A\text{-Mod}$, is called a *minimal epimorphism* if the following condition is satisfied:

for arbitrary $Y \in \text{ob } A\text{-Mod}$, $g \in \text{morph } A\text{-Mod}$, $g: Y \rightarrow M$, if the morphism $Y \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{f} N$ is an epimorphism, then $Y \xrightarrow{g} M$ is also an epimorphism.

If M, N are objects in $A\text{-Mod}$ and $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in $A\text{-Mod}$, then we write $\bar{M} = M/IM$, and denote by $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ the mapping induced by f .

(1.5) Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism in $A\text{-Mod}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) f is a minimal epimorphism,
- (ii) \bar{f} is an isomorphism,
- (iii) f is an epimorphism and $\text{Ker } f \subset IM$.

(1.6) DEFINITION. A set V of homogeneous generators of the graded A -module M is said to be a *minimal set of generators* of M if any proper subset of V does not generate M .

(1.7) Any graded A -module possesses a minimal set of generators. The set $V = \{v_i\}$ of homogeneous elements of the A -module M is a minimal set of generators of M if and only if the set $\{\bar{v}_i\}$ of residue classes modulo IM forms a base of a k -vector space \bar{M} .

(1.8) In the category $A\text{-Mod}$ there are enough minimal epimorphisms, i.e. for arbitrary $M \in \text{ob } A\text{-Mod}$ there exist a free module $F \in \text{ob } A\text{-Mod}$ and a minimal epimorphism $f: F \rightarrow M$.

(1.9) Any projective object of the category $A\text{-Mod}$ is a free module.

(1.10) DEFINITION. A free resolution (F, d) of the graded A -module M is called a *minimal resolution* provided $dF \subset IF$.

(1.11) An arbitrary object of the category $A\text{-Mod}$ possesses a free minimal resolution.

(1.12) Any two minimal free resolutions of a graded A -module M are isomorphic.

§ 2. Normal sequences. Let A be an R -algebra. We write $I' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$.

Recall that $I = \mathfrak{m} \oplus I'$, where \mathfrak{m} denotes the unique maximal ideal in R .

(2.1) DEFINITION. A homogeneous element ξ of I is called a *non-zero divisor* in A if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} (0):(\xi) &= 0 & \text{if } \partial(\xi) \text{ is even,} \\ (0):(\xi) &= (\xi) & \text{if } \partial(\xi) \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

(2.2) DEFINITION. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be a sequence of homogeneous elements of the ideal I . The sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is called a *normal sequence* in A if for an arbitrary i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the image of ξ_i in $A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1})A$ is a non-zero divisor in $A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1})A$.

(2.3) THEOREM. If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is a normal sequence in A and σ is an arbitrary permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the sequence $\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \xi_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma(n)}$ is also normal.

Proof. Since each permutation is a product of transpositions, it suffices to prove the theorem for the transposition changing 1 and 2.

Consider four cases: 1) $\partial(\xi_1)$ even, $\partial(\xi_2)$ even, 2) $\partial(\xi_1)$ even, $\partial(\xi_2)$ odd, 3) $\partial(\xi_1)$ odd, $\partial(\xi_2)$ even, 4) $\partial(\xi_1)$ odd, $\partial(\xi_2)$ odd.

ad 1). We must prove the equalities $(0):(\xi_2) = 0$, $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_2)$. Write $M = (0):(\xi_2)$. If $a \in M$ then $a\xi_2 = 0$. Since the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is normal, it follows that $a \in (\xi_1)$, $a = b\xi_1$; it implies $b\xi_1\xi_2 = 0$. But ξ_1 is a non-zero divisor, and so we have $b\xi_2 = 0$ and $b \in M$; consequently, $a \in IM$. We have proved that $M = IM$ and from Nakayama Lemma it follows that $M = 0$.

Since the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is normal, we have a chain of implications:

$$\begin{aligned} c \in (\xi_2):(\xi_1) &\Rightarrow c\xi_1 \in (\xi_2) \Rightarrow c\xi_1 = b\xi_2 \Rightarrow b \in (\xi_1) \\ &\Rightarrow b = c_1\xi_1 \Rightarrow c\xi_1 = c_1\xi_1\xi_2 \Rightarrow c - c_1\xi_2 = 0 \Rightarrow c = c_1\xi_2 \in (\xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\xi_1):(\xi_2) = (\xi_2)$.

ad 2). We shall prove that $(0):(\xi_2) = (\xi_2)$ and $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_2)$. Let $M = (0):(\xi_2)$, $N = (\xi_2)$. From $a \in M$ and from the normality of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n we obtain $a = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2$. Multiplying this by ξ_2 , we have $b_1\xi_1\xi_2 = a\xi_2 = 0$ so $b_1\xi_2 = 0$ but this means that $b_1 \in M$. Consequently, $M = IM + N$ and by Nakayama Lemma $M = N$. The proof of the formula $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_2)$ is similar to the proof of the appropriate formula of 1).

ad 3). We must prove $(0):(\xi_2) = 0$, $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$. If $a\xi_2 = 0$ then $a = b\xi_1$ and so $b\xi_1\xi_2 = 0$. Since ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is normal, we obtain successively $b\xi_2 \in (\xi_1)$, $b \in (\xi_1)$. Therefore $a = 0$ and the equality $(0):(\xi_2) = 0$ has been proved. Now let $a \in (\xi_2):(\xi_1)$; this means that $a\xi_1 = b\xi_2$ and, further, from the normality of the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n we get $b = c\xi_1$, $a\xi_1 = c\xi_1\xi_2$, $(a - c\xi_2)\xi_1 = 0$, $a = c\xi_1 + c\xi_2 \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

ad 4). We shall prove that $(0):(\xi_2) = (\xi_2)$, $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$. Let $a\xi_2 = 0$; since ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is normal, we have $a = b\xi_1 + c\xi_2$. Multiplying it by ξ_2 , we obtain $b\xi_1\xi_2 = 0$ and using once more the normality of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , we get $b \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and finally $a \in (\xi_2)$. The proof of the equality $(\xi_2):(\xi_1) = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is similar to the proof of the appropriate formula in 3).

Observe that Theorem (2.3) follows simply from Proposition (5.1). By Theorem (2.3) we may speak of a normal set.

The following proposition gives a characterization of algebras generated by a normal set.

(2.4) PROPOSITION. Let A be a finitely generated R -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A \simeq AM \otimes SN$, where M and N are free R -modules and AM , SN denotes exterior and symmetric algebras, respectively,
- (ii) any minimal set of generators of I' is a normal set in A ,
- (iii) there exists a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal I' .

For the proof of Proposition (2.4) we shall need

(2.5) LEMMA. Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , $\xi_i \in I'$, be a normal sequence in A and let $\partial(\xi_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be odd numbers, and $\partial(\xi_i)$, $i = r+1, \dots, n$, be even numbers. Denote by E the algebra $A(Rx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rx_r) \otimes R[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$ and define grading in E by $\partial(x_i) = \partial(\xi_i)$. The homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi(x_i) = \xi_i$ is injective.

Proof. It is evident that for $n = 1$ the homomorphism φ is an injection. The proof will be by induction on n , so it will be supposed that the lemma is true for an arbitrary R -algebra and for all normal sequences of length $< n$, $n > 1$. Consider two cases:

1) $r > 0$, i.e. $\partial(\xi_1)$ is odd.

Each element x of the algebra E can be written uniquely in the form $x = x_1a + b$, where a and b are polynomials in x_2, \dots, x_n with coefficients in R . The homomorphism φ induces the mapping $\bar{\varphi}: E/x_1E \rightarrow A/\xi_1A$. From $x \in \text{Ker}\varphi$ it follows that $\bar{\varphi}(b + x_1E) = 0$. Applying the induction hypothesis to the algebra A/ξ_1A and to the sequence $\xi_2 + \xi_1A, \dots, \xi_n + \xi_1A$ we find that $\bar{\varphi}$ is an injection, i.e. $b + x_1E = 0$ and consequently $b = 0$. Hence $x = x_1a$; thus we have $\xi_1\varphi(a) = 0$. Since ξ_1 is a non-zero divisor in A , we have $\varphi(a) \in \xi_1A$. Hence $\bar{\varphi}(a + x_1E) = 0$ and finally $a = 0$. This proves $\text{Ker}\varphi = 0$.

2) $r = 0$, i.e. $\partial(\xi_1)$ is even.

Let $\bar{\varphi}$ denote the mapping induced by φ , $\bar{\varphi}: E/x_1E \rightarrow A/\xi_1A$. If $x = a_0 + a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_1^k$, $a_i \in R[x_2, \dots, x_n]$, and $x \in \text{Ker}\varphi$, then $\bar{\varphi}(a_0 + x_1E) = 0$. From the induction hypothesis it follows that $\bar{\varphi}$ is injective, i.e. $a_0 + x_1E = 0$ and so $a_0 = 0$. This implies $x = x_1(a_1 + a_2x_1 + \dots)$. But ξ_1 is a non-zero divisor in A , and so we have $x' = a_1 + a_2x_1 + \dots + a_kx_1^{k-1} \in \text{Ker}\varphi$. Applying similar arguments to the element x' as above, we prove $a_1 = 0$ and further $a_2 = \dots = a_k = 0$. Hence $x = 0$ and $\text{Ker}\varphi = 0$.

Proof of Proposition (2.4). In view of (1.7) the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal I' . By Theorem (2.3) we may assume that $\partial(\xi_i)$ are odd for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and even for $i = r+1, \dots, n$. From Lemma (2.5) we get an injective map $\varphi: E \rightarrow A$. Since the elements ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n generate I' , it follows that φ is surjective. Hence $E \simeq A$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $A = A(Rx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rx_n) \otimes R[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n]$, then the sequence x_1, \dots, x_n is normal in A . Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m be an arbitrary minimal set of generators of the ideal I' . From (1.7) it follows that $m = n$, and it may be assumed that $\partial(x_i) = \partial(\xi_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We define the homomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow A$ by putting $\psi(x_i) = \xi_i$. It is surjective of course. On the other hand, each homogeneous component of A is a free R -module of finite rank and therefore the surjectivity of ψ implies injectivity. Hence ψ is an isomorphism and the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m is normal in A , being the image of the normal sequence x_1, \dots, x_n by the isomorphism ψ .

(2.6) THEOREM. Let A be a finitely generated R -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the ring R is a regular local ring and $A \simeq AM \otimes SN$, where M and N are free R -modules,
- (ii) every minimal set of generators of the ideal I is normal in A ,
- (iii) there exists a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal I .

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be a normal sequence which is a minimal set of generators of the ideal I . It may be assumed that $\partial(\xi_i) \leq \partial(\xi_j)$ for $i < j$. Hence there exists a natural number $s, s \leq n$, such that $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $\xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_n \in I'$. The sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s is a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal \mathfrak{m} in R and therefore R is regular. Denote by P the ideal in A generated by the elements ξ_{s+1}, \dots, ξ_n . Each element from I' can be written as a sum $\alpha + \beta$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \xi_i$, $\alpha_i \in I'$, $\beta = \sum_{i=s+1}^n \beta_i \xi_i$, $\beta_i \in A$. Since $\alpha \in II'$, $\beta \in P$, we have $II' + P = I'$ and from Nakayama Lemma it follows that $P = I'$. Hence the sequence ξ_{s+1}, \dots, ξ_n is a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal I' , and applying Proposition (2.4) we finish the proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is a minimal set of generators of I and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \in \mathfrak{m}$, $\xi_{s+1}, \dots, \xi_n \in I'$, then the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s is a minimal set of generators of \mathfrak{m} in R and by the regularity of R it is a normal set in R . From Proposition (2.4) it follows that the sequence ξ_{s+1}, \dots, ξ_n is normal in A . From the definition of normality we immediately infer that the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is also normal in A .

The notion of a normal set can be generalized to sets of arbitrary cardinality.

(2.7) DEFINITION. A set \mathcal{E} of homogeneous elements of an R -algebra A is called a *normal set* if every finite subset of \mathcal{E} forms a normal sequence (in the sense of Definition (2.2)).

(2.8) Using this definition of a normal set, we can easily show by simple direct limit arguments that Theorem (2.6) is still valid for R -algebras which are not finitely generated.

§ 3. Bigraded Γ -algebras. Let A be an R -algebra.

(3.1) DEFINITION. An A -module M is called a *bigraded A -module* if $M = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} M_{*,q}$ where $M_{*,q}$ is a graded A -module, $q \geq 0$.

If $M_{*,q} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} M_{p,q}$ is a grading in $M_{*,q}$, then an element $x \in M_{p,q}$ is called *homogeneous of degree (p, q)* . We shall write $p = \partial(x)$, $q = \omega(x)$.

(3.2) DEFINITION. A bigraded A -module A is called a *bigraded commutative A -algebra* if

(i) for each pair (p, q) of natural numbers the biadditive, associative mapping of graded A -modules of degree 0 is given,

$$A_{*,p} \times A_{*,q} \rightarrow A_{*,p+q}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y,$$

which is bilinear in the following sense: we have

$$(ax) \cdot y = (-1)^{\partial(a)\partial(x)} x \cdot (ay) = a(x \cdot y),$$

for homogeneous $a \in A$, $x \in A_{*,p}$, $y \in A_{*,q}$,

(ii) for homogeneous $x, y \in A$

$$x \cdot y = (-1)^{\partial(x)\partial(y) + \omega(x)\omega(y)} y \cdot x,$$

(iii) $x^2 = 0$ if $\partial(x) + \omega(x)$ is odd,

(iv) A has a unit element $1 \in A_{*,0}$.

(3.3) DEFINITION. A bigraded commutative A -algebra A is called a *bigraded Γ -algebra over A* if it is endowed with the structure of an A -algebra with divided powers, i.e. there are defined mappings $\gamma_k: A_{p,q} \rightarrow A_{p,k,qk}$, $p \geq 0$, $q > 0$, $p + q$ even, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) \gamma_0(x) = 1, \gamma_1(x) = x,$$

$$(ii) \gamma_k(x) \cdot \gamma_h(x) = (k, h) \cdot \gamma_{k+h}(x), \text{ where } (k, h) = (k+h)!/k! \cdot h!,$$

$$(iii) \gamma_k(x+y) = \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \gamma_{k_1}(x) \cdot \gamma_{k_2}(y),$$

$$(iv) \gamma_k(\lambda x) = \lambda^k \gamma_k(x), \text{ for } \lambda \in A_r, r \text{ even,}$$

$$(v) \gamma_k(x \cdot y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \partial(x) + \omega(x) \text{ is odd, } k > 1, \\ k! \gamma_k(x) \gamma_k(y) & \text{if } \partial(x) + \omega(x) \text{ is even and } \omega(x) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that if $A_i = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $A_{p,q} = 0$ for $p > 0$, then the algebra A may be identified with a graded Γ -algebra over R (see [9]).

Let A and B be bigraded Γ -algebras over A and let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of A -algebras of degree $(0, 0)$. It is called a *homo-*

morphism of bigraded Γ -algebras if $f(\gamma_k(x)) = \gamma_k(f(x))$ for $x \in A_{p,q}$, $p+q$ even, $q > 0$.

Let Γ_A -Bialg denote the category whose objects are bigraded Γ -algebras over A and whose morphisms are homomorphisms of bigraded Γ -algebras.

At first we will be interested in bigraded Γ -algebras over a commutative local ring R .

(3.4) In the category Γ_R -Bialg there exist arbitrary coproducts (see [1]).

If A, B are bigraded Γ -algebras over R , then the algebra $A \otimes B$ is their coproduct with multiplication given by

$$(a \otimes b)(a_1 \otimes b_1) = (-1)^{\partial(b)\partial(a_1) + \omega(b)\omega(a_1)} aa_1 \otimes bb_1.$$

Divided powers are defined as follows: if $x = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i \otimes b_i \in A_{i,j} \otimes B_{r,s}$, then

$$\gamma_k(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq p} (a_{i_1} \otimes b_{i_1}) \dots (a_{i_k} \otimes b_{i_k}) & \text{if } i+j, r+s \text{ are odd,} \\ \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = k} (a_1^{k_1} \otimes 1) \dots (a_p^{k_p} \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes \gamma_{k_1}(b_1)) \dots (1 \otimes \gamma_{k_p}(b_p)) & \text{if } i+j, r+s \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

(3.5) From (3.4) it follows that if $A \in \text{ob } \Gamma_A$ -Bialg, $B \in \text{ob } \Gamma_R$ -Bialg, then $A \otimes B \in \text{ob } \Gamma_A$ -Bialg.

(3.6) Let M be a bigraded R -module. Write $M^+ = \bigoplus_{p+q \text{ even}} M_{p,q}$, $M^- = \bigoplus_{p+q \text{ odd}} M_{p,q}$. We have $M = M^+ \oplus M^-$. If $M = M^-$, we put $AM = \bigotimes_{p,q > 0} AM_{p,q}$ and define the grading of $x = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$, $x \neq 0$, $x_i \in M_{p_i,q_i}$ by $\partial(x) = np$, $\omega(x) = nq$. The algebra AM with such grading becomes a commutative bigraded R -algebra.

(3.7) The algebra AM can be endowed with a unique Γ -algebra structure such that for $x = \sum_{i=1}^p x^{(i)}$, $x^{(i)} = x_1^{(i)} \wedge \dots \wedge x_n^{(i)}$, $x_k^{(i)} \in M_{p_i,q_i}$, n even, we have

$$\gamma_k(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq p} x^{(i_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge x^{(i_k)}.$$

Denote by A -Bimod the category of bigraded A -modules M such that $M_{*,0} = 0$ and their homogeneous homomorphisms of degree $(0,0)$.

We define the functor $I: \Gamma_R$ -Bialg \rightarrow R -Bimod, $I(A) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0, q \geq 1} A_{p,q}$ for $A \in \text{ob } \Gamma_R$ -Bialg and $I(f) = f|I(A)$ for $f: A \rightarrow B$.

(3.8) There exists a functor $I: R$ -Bimod \rightarrow Γ_R -Bialg which is a left adjoint to the functor I , i.e.

$$\Gamma_R$$
-Bialg $(\Gamma\langle M \rangle, A) \simeq R$ -Bimod $(M, I(A))$.

An outline of the construction of the functor I (see also [7]). For $M = M^+ \oplus M^-$ we define $\Gamma\langle M \rangle = \Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle \otimes \Gamma\langle M^- \rangle$ and $\Gamma\langle M^- \rangle = AM^-$, $\Gamma\langle f \rangle = Af$; from (3.7) it follows that it is in fact a bigraded Γ -algebra. To define $\Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle$ we begin with the assignment to each pair (x, k) an indeterminate $X_{(x,k)}$, where x is a homogeneous element of M^+ , $\partial(x) + \omega(x)$ is even, and k is natural. Then we form the algebra $\Omega = R[X_{(x,k)}]$ of polynomials in $X_{(x,k)}$ over R and bigrade it by setting $\partial(X_{(x,k)}) = k \cdot \partial(x)$, $\omega(X_{(x,k)}) = k \cdot \omega(x)$. The ideal α generated in Ω by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{(x,0)} - 1, X_{(2x,k)} - \lambda^k X_{(x,k)}, \\ & X_{(x,k)} \cdot X_{(x,h)} - (k,h) X_{(x,k+h)}, \\ & X_{(x+y,k)} - \sum_{i+j=k} X_{(x,i)} \cdot X_{(y,j)}, \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda \in R$, is homogeneous and we put $\Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle = \Omega/\alpha$. We have the mapping $\gamma: M^+ \rightarrow \Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle$, $\gamma(x) = X_{(x,1)} \text{ mod } \alpha$, and it can be proved that the algebra $\Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle$ can be supplied with a unique Γ -algebra structure such that $\gamma_k(\gamma(x)) = X_{(x,k)} \text{ mod } \alpha$. The natural map $\gamma: M \rightarrow \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ is injective if M is R -free. We shall identify x with $\gamma(x)$ by means of the map γ .

(3.9) From (3.8) it follows that the functor I preserves coproducts, i.e. $\Gamma\langle M \oplus N \rangle \simeq \Gamma\langle M \rangle \otimes \Gamma\langle N \rangle$.

(3.10) Let M be a free bigraded R -module on one generator x of degree (p, q) . If $p+q$ is odd, then $\Gamma\langle M \rangle$ is a free R -module of rank 2, $\Gamma\langle M \rangle = R \oplus Rx$, $x^2 = 0$. If $p+q$ is even, then $\Gamma\langle M \rangle$ is a free R -module with a countable basis $1, \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x), \dots, \gamma_k(x), \dots$, multiplication being determined by

$$\gamma_k(x) \cdot \gamma_h(x) = (k, h) \gamma_{k+h}(x).$$

If M is a free bigraded R -module with basis $\{x_i\}_{i \in A}$, then we shall often write $\Gamma\langle M \rangle = \Gamma\langle \{x_i\}_{i \in A} \rangle$.

(3.11) DEFINITION. A bigraded Γ -algebra A over A is called a differential Γ -algebra if an A -homomorphism $d: A \rightarrow A$ of degree $(0, -1)$ is defined such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $d^2 = 0$,
- (ii) $d(xy) = dx \cdot y + (-1)^{\omega(x)} x \cdot dy$, for homogeneous $x, y \in A$,
- (iii) $d \cdot \gamma_k(x) = \gamma_{k-1}(x) dx$, for homogeneous $x \in A$, $\partial(x) + \omega(x)$ even, $\omega(x) > 0$.

The map d will be called a *differential on A* . We will sometimes denote it by d_A .

Let $Z(A)$ be the kernel of d and let $B(A)$ be the image of d . Then $Z(A)$ is a bigraded Γ -algebra and $B(A)$ is a homogeneous ideal in $Z(A)$. The residue class algebra $H(A) = Z(A)/B(A)$ is called the *homology algebra of A* and has the structure of a commutative bigraded A -algebra.

(3.12) Let A be a differential Γ -algebra over A and let M be an object of the category R -Bimod. By (3.5) the algebra $A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ is a bigraded Γ -algebra over A . Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow Z(A)$ be a homomorphism of bigraded R -modules of degree $(0, -1)$.

We shall prove the following

(3.13) THEOREM. *The algebra $A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ can be endowed with a unique differential d such that*

- (i) $A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ is differential Γ -algebra,
- (ii) $d(1 \otimes x) = \varphi(x) \otimes 1$ for $x \in M$,
- (iii) $dA = d_A$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma\langle M \rangle = \Gamma\langle M^- \rangle \otimes \Gamma\langle M^+ \rangle$ it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $M = M^+$ and for $M = M^-$, separately.

1) $M = M^+$. From the definition of the algebra $\Gamma\langle M \rangle$ it follows that there exists a unique R -linear mapping $\bar{d}: \Gamma\langle M \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ such that

- (1) $\bar{d}(\gamma_k(x)) = \varphi(x) \otimes \gamma_{k-1}(x)$ for homogeneous $x \in M$,
- (2) $\bar{d}(yz) = \bar{d}y(1 \otimes z) + (-1)^{\omega(y)}(1 \otimes y)\bar{d}z$ for $y, z \in \Gamma\langle M \rangle$.

2) $M = M^-$. In this case we define $\bar{d}: \Gamma\langle M \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ as follows $\bar{d}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} \varphi(x_i) \otimes x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge x_r$, $x_i \in M$. Standard computation shows that formulas (1), (2) are fulfilled.

In both cases we define $d: A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \Gamma\langle M \rangle$ by putting

$$d(a \otimes x) = da \otimes x + (-1)^{\omega(a)}(a \otimes 1)\bar{d}x$$

for homogeneous elements $a \in A$, $x \in \Gamma\langle M \rangle$. We shall verify that d has properties (i)–(iii) of Theorem (3.13). It is evident that d is an A -homomorphism. Now let a, b, x, y be homogeneous elements, $a, b \in A$, $x, y \in \Gamma\langle M \rangle$. Write $\vartheta(x)\vartheta(b) + \omega(x)\omega(b) = \alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d((a \otimes x)(b \otimes y)) &= d((-1)^\alpha ab \otimes xy) \\ &= (-1)^\alpha da \cdot b \otimes xy + (-1)^{\alpha + \omega(a)} a \cdot db \otimes xy + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\alpha + \omega(a) + \omega(b)} (ab \otimes 1)\bar{d}x(1 \otimes y) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\alpha + \omega(a) + \omega(b) + \omega(x)} (ab \otimes 1)(1 \otimes x)\bar{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(a \otimes x)(b \otimes y) + (-1)^{\omega(a \otimes x)}(a \otimes x)d(b \otimes y) \\ &= (da \otimes x + (-1)^{\omega(a)}(a \otimes 1)\bar{d}x)(b \otimes y) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\omega(a) + \omega(x)}(a \otimes x)(db \otimes y + (-1)^{\omega(b)}(b \otimes 1)\bar{d}y) \\ &= (-1)^\alpha da \cdot b \otimes xy + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\omega(a) + \vartheta(b)\vartheta(dx) + \omega(b)\omega(dx)}(ab \otimes 1)\bar{d}x(1 \otimes y) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\omega(a) + \omega(x) + \vartheta(x)\vartheta(ab) + \omega(x)\omega(ab)} a \cdot db \otimes xy + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\omega(a) + \omega(x) + \omega(b) + \alpha}(ab \otimes 1)(1 \otimes x)\bar{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

From this computation we have obtained the formula (ii) of (3.11). The validity of the formula (iii) of (3.11) follows from (1) and from the appropriate property of the algebra A . The properties (ii) and (iii) of the theorem follow immediately from the definition of d .

We shall denote the differential Γ -algebra described in Theorem (3.13) by $A \langle M; \varphi \rangle$ and we shall call $A \langle M; \varphi \rangle$ the differential Γ -algebra obtained from A by the adjunction of the module M by means of the map $\varphi: M \rightarrow Z(A)$. If M is a free R -module with base $\{S_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$, we shall often write $A \langle \{S_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}; \varphi \rangle$ for $A \langle M; \varphi \rangle$.

From (3.9) and from Theorem (3.13) we obtain

(3.14) COROLLARY. *If $\varphi: M \rightarrow Z(A)$, $\eta: N \rightarrow Z(A)$ are homomorphisms of bigraded R -modules of degree $(0, -1)$ then we have an isomorphism of differential Γ -algebras*

$$A \langle M; \varphi \rangle \langle N; \eta \rangle \simeq A \langle M \oplus N; \varphi \oplus \eta \rangle.$$

The above corollary will be used freely in the next sections.

(3.15) LEMMA. *Let A, A' be differential Γ -algebras over A and let $\alpha: A \rightarrow A'$ be an isomorphism of differential Γ -algebras. Further, suppose that M, M' are free R -modules in R -Bimod and $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ is an isomorphism. If $\varphi: M \rightarrow Z(A)$, $\varphi': M' \rightarrow Z(A')$ are R -homomorphisms of degree $(0, -1)$ making the diagram*

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z(A) \rightarrow H(A) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow H(\alpha) \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & Z(A') \rightarrow H(A') \end{array}$$

commutative, then there exists an isomorphism of differential Γ -algebras $\omega: A \langle M; \varphi \rangle \rightarrow A' \langle M'; \varphi' \rangle$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \hookrightarrow & A \langle M; \varphi \rangle \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ A' & \hookrightarrow & A' \langle M'; \varphi' \rangle \end{array}$$

Proof. From the commutativity of the diagram (3) it follows that for each $m \in \mathbf{M}$ the element

$$(4) \quad T'_m = \alpha\varphi(m) - \varphi'\psi(m)$$

is a boundary in \mathbf{A}' . Let a set $\{x_i\}$ be a homogeneous base of the R -module \mathbf{M} . We choose arbitrarily homogeneous elements $t'_{x_i} \in \mathbf{A}'$ such that $T'_{x_i} = d't'_{x_i}$. Then for an element $m = \sum \lambda_i x_i$ we define $t'_m = \sum \lambda_i t'_{x_i}$. By (4) we have for each $m \in \mathbf{M}$

$$(5) \quad \alpha\varphi(m) - \varphi'\psi(m) = d(t'_m)$$

and the mapping $m \mapsto t'_m$ is R -linear. We define $\bar{\omega}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'\langle \mathbf{M}'; \varphi' \rangle$ by putting $\bar{\omega}(m) = t'_m \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi(m)$. From (3.8) it follows that $\bar{\omega}$ has a unique extension (also denoted by $\bar{\omega}$) to the homomorphism $\bar{\omega}: \Gamma\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'\langle \mathbf{M}'; \varphi' \rangle$. We define ω by $\omega(a \otimes x) = \alpha(a)\bar{\omega}(x)$ for $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $x \in \Gamma\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle$. It can easily be proved by using the formula (5) that ω is a homomorphism of differential Γ -algebras.

Now write $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$, $\eta = \varphi^{-1}$ and define for each $m' \in \mathbf{M}'$ $t_{m'} = -\beta(t'_{\eta(m')})$. By applying the differential d to the last equality we obtain

$$(6) \quad \beta\varphi'(m') - \varphi\eta(m') = d(t_{m'})$$

Similarly as in the case of ω we define a homomorphism $\bar{\vartheta}: \Gamma\langle \mathbf{M}' \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{A}\langle \mathbf{M}; \varphi \rangle$ such that $\bar{\vartheta}(m') = t_{m'} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \eta(m')$ for $m' \in \mathbf{M}'$. Further, let $\vartheta: \mathbf{A}'\langle \mathbf{M}'; \varphi' \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{A}\langle \mathbf{M}; \varphi \rangle$ be a map such that $\vartheta(a' \otimes x') = \beta(a') \cdot \bar{\vartheta}(x')$. ϑ is in fact the homomorphism of differential Γ -algebras and we shall prove that ω and ϑ are inverse to each other. By the universal property of the functor Γ it is sufficient to prove $\vartheta\omega(a \otimes m) = a \otimes m$ for $m \in \mathbf{M}$. Immediately from the definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta\omega(a \otimes m) &= \vartheta\left((\alpha(a) \otimes 1)(t'_m \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi(m))\right) \\ &= \vartheta(\alpha(a)t'_m \otimes 1 + \alpha(a) \otimes \varphi(m)) \\ &= \beta(\alpha(a)t'_m) \otimes 1 + (\beta\alpha(a) \otimes 1)(t_{\varphi(m)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \eta\varphi(m)) \\ &= \alpha\beta(t'_m) \otimes 1 + \alpha t_{\varphi(m)} \otimes 1 + \alpha \otimes m \\ &= \alpha(\beta(t'_m) + t_{\varphi(m)}) \otimes 1 + \alpha \otimes m = a \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that $\omega\vartheta = \text{Id}$.

We recall that if A is an R -algebra, then $I' = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$.

(3.16) THEOREM. Let A, A' be differential Γ -algebras over A and let $\alpha: A \rightarrow A'$ be an isomorphism of differential Γ -algebras. Suppose that $H_n(A)$ (and so $H_n(A')$) is annihilated by I' as an A -module. Let \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' be free R -modules from R -Bimod. If $\varphi: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow Z_n(A)$, $\varphi': \mathbf{M}' \rightarrow Z_n(A')$ are such

R -homomorphisms of degree $(0, -1)$ that the composed maps $\tilde{\varphi}: A \otimes \mathbf{M} \rightarrow Z_n(A) \rightarrow H_n(A)$, $\tilde{\varphi}': A \otimes \mathbf{M}' \rightarrow Z_n(A') \rightarrow H_n(A')$ are minimal epimorphisms in the category A -Mod, then there exists an isomorphism $\omega: A\langle \mathbf{M}; \varphi \rangle \rightarrow A'\langle \mathbf{M}'; \varphi' \rangle$ of differential Γ -algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \hookrightarrow A\langle \mathbf{M}; \varphi \rangle & & \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ A' \hookrightarrow A'\langle \mathbf{M}'; \varphi' \rangle & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Since $A \otimes \mathbf{M}, A \otimes \mathbf{M}'$ are free graded A -modules and $\tilde{\varphi}: A \otimes \mathbf{M} \rightarrow H_n(A)$, $\tilde{\varphi}': A \otimes \mathbf{M}' \rightarrow H_n(A')$ are minimal epimorphisms, then it follows from (1.12) that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: A \otimes \mathbf{M} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbf{M}'$ of graded A -modules such that the diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes \mathbf{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & H_n(A) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow H_n(\alpha) \\ A \otimes \mathbf{M}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}'} & H_n(A') \end{array}$$

is commutative. Now consider a functor $T: A$ -Bimod $\rightarrow R$ -Bimod defined by $T(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{Y}/I'\mathbf{Y}$. Since $T(A \otimes \mathbf{M}) \simeq \mathbf{M}$, $T(A \otimes \mathbf{M}') \simeq \mathbf{M}'$ and $H_n(A)$, $H_n(A')$ are annihilated by I' , then by applying the functor T to the diagram (7) we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & H_n(A) \\ T(\varphi) \downarrow & & \downarrow H_n(\alpha) \\ \mathbf{M}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}'} & H_n(A') \end{array}$$

Lemma (3.15) implies the existence of an isomorphism with the required properties.

§ 4. Tate resolutions. Let A be an R -algebra. We recall that $I = \mathfrak{m} \oplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$ and $k = A/I$. Notice that a differential Γ -algebra A over A furnishes us with a left differential complex in the category A -Mod

$$\dots \rightarrow A_{*,n+1} \xrightarrow{d_A} A_{*,n} \xrightarrow{d_A} \dots \rightarrow A_{*,0} \rightarrow 0.$$

(4.1) THEOREM. There exists a differential Γ -algebra X over A which is a free resolution of the graded A -module k .

Proof. We shall obtain X as the union of an ascending chain of differential Γ -algebras $F_0 X \subset F_1 X \subset \dots$. We define $F_0 X$ to be the trivial Γ -algebra A , i.e. $(F_0 X)_{*,0} = A$, $(F_0 X)_{*,p} = 0$ for $p > 0$, $d = 0$. To define $F_1 X$ we first choose a free bigraded R -module $\mathbf{M}_{*,1}$ and a homomorphism $\varphi_1: \mathbf{M}_{*,1} \rightarrow I$ of bigraded R -modules of degree $(0, -1)$ such

that the homomorphism $A \otimes M_{*,1} \rightarrow I$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a\varphi_1(m)$, is a minimal epimorphism in the category $A\text{-Mod}$. For any homogeneous element $x \in M_{*,1}$ we have $\omega(x) = 1$ of course. We define $F_1X = F_0X \langle M_{*,1}; \varphi_1 \rangle$ (see (3.12), (3.13)). Clearly, $H_0(F_1X) \simeq k$. Now let $M_{*,2}$ be a free bigraded R -module and let $\varphi_2: M_{*,2} \rightarrow Z_1(F_1X)$ be such a mapping of bigraded R -modules of degree $(0, -1)$ that the composed A -homomorphism $A \otimes M_{*,2} \rightarrow Z_1(F_1X) \rightarrow H_1(F_1X)$ is a minimal epimorphism in $A\text{-Mod}$. We define $F_2X = F_1X \langle M_{*,2}; \varphi_2 \rangle$. Clearly, $(F_2X)_{*,0} = (F_1X)_{*,0}$, $(F_2X)_{*,1} = (F_1X)_{*,1}$ and consequently $H_0(F_2X) \simeq k$, $H_1(F_2X) = 0$. Proceeding in this way, we define inductively for $q > 0$

$$F_{q+1}X = F_qX \langle M_{*,q+1}; \varphi_{q+1} \rangle,$$

where $M_{*,q+1}$ is a free bigraded R -module, $\omega(x) = q+1$ for homogeneous $x \in M_{*,q+1}$, and $\varphi_{q+1}: M_{*,q+1} \rightarrow Z_q(F_qX)$ is such an R -homomorphism of bigraded R -modules of degree $(0, -1)$ that the A -homomorphism $A \otimes M_{*,q+1} \rightarrow Z_q(F_qX) \rightarrow H_q(F_qX)$ is a minimal epimorphism in $A\text{-Mod}$. Observe that it is always possible to choose a map φ_{q+1} with the above-mentioned properties. If the elements of the set $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in A}$, $\xi_i \in Z_q(F_qX)$, are representatives of a minimal set of generators of the A -module $H_q(F_qX)$, then we define $M_{*,q+1}$ to be the free R -module with the homogeneous base $\{x_i\}_{i \in A}$, $\vartheta(x_i) = \vartheta(\xi_i)$, $\omega(x_i) = q+1$, and we put $\varphi_{q+1}(x_i) = \xi_i$.

We define $X = \bigcup_{q \geq 0} F_qX$. It follows immediately from the construction that

$$H_0(F_qX) \simeq k, \quad H_i(F_qX) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < i < q, q \geq 1,$$

and

$$X_{*,q} = (F_qX)_{*,q} = (F_{q+1}X)_{*,q} = \dots$$

This proves that X is acyclic and is therefore a free resolution of the graded A -module k .

(4.2) DEFINITION. The differential Γ -algebra X constructed as in the proof of Theorem (4.1) is called a *Tate resolution of the R -algebra A* .

(4.3) Remark. By the same method as in the proof of Theorem (4.1) a free resolution of an arbitrary cyclic A -module can be built. More generally this construction leads to the notion of an acyclic closure of the bigraded Γ -algebra over A (see [5]).

(4.4) Remark. If $A = R$ is a local ring with trivial grading, then a Tate resolution of the ring R was first constructed by Tate in [11].

(4.5) Remark. Suppose that R is a field. Under this assumption the class of algebras for which Tate resolutions exist may be enlarged. If in the definition of an R -algebra we do not assume that homogeneous components are finitely generated, then all the results of § 1 will still be valid. This allows us to build Tate resolutions for such generalized

R -algebras. The appropriate results of the present paper suitably modified are valid in this more general situation.

A priori a Tate resolution depends on the choice of the modules $M_{*,n}$ and the mappings φ_n . But we shall prove the following

(4.6) THEOREM. *Any two Tate resolutions of the R -algebra A are isomorphic as differential Γ -algebras.*

Proof. Suppose that we have two Tate resolutions X, X' , determined by the modules $M_{*,n}, M'_{*,n}$ and the homomorphisms φ_n, φ'_n , respectively. We shall construct isomorphisms $\omega_n: F_nX \rightarrow F_nX'$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_nX & \xrightarrow{c} & F_{n+1}X \\ \omega_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega_{n+1} \\ F_nX' & \xrightarrow{c} & F_{n+1}X' \end{array}$$

are commutative. By passing to the direct limit we shall obtain the required isomorphism $\omega: X \rightarrow X'$.

Since $F_0X = F_0X' = A$, we put $\omega_0 = \text{Id}$. Next we construct the isomorphism ω_1 . Since the mappings $A \otimes M_{*,1} \rightarrow I$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot \varphi_1(m)$, $A \otimes M'_{*,1} \rightarrow I$, $a \otimes m' \mapsto a\varphi'_1(m')$, are minimal epimorphisms, there exists an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: A \otimes M_{*,1} \rightarrow A \otimes M'_{*,1}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes M_{*,1} & \rightarrow & I \\ \bar{\varphi} \downarrow & & \parallel \text{Id} \\ A \otimes M'_{*,1} & \rightarrow & I \end{array}$$

commutative. We define the homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma \langle M_{*,1} \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \Gamma \langle M'_{*,1} \rangle$ by putting $\psi(m) = \bar{\varphi}(m)$ for $m \in M_{*,1}$ and extending it to the whole algebra $\Gamma \langle M_{*,1} \rangle$ in a unique manner by the universal property of the functor Γ (see (3.8)). Finally we define $\omega_1: A \otimes \Gamma \langle M_{*,1} \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \Gamma \langle M'_{*,1} \rangle$, $\omega_1(a \otimes x) = (a \otimes 1)\psi(x)$, $a \in A$, $x \in \Gamma \langle M_{*,1} \rangle$. It is easy to verify that ω_1 is an isomorphism of differential Γ -algebras, the inverse being a map defined similarly by means of the homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}^{-1}$.

Now let $n \geq 1$. Observe that $H_n(F_nX)$ is annihilated by the ideal I . Indeed, any element from I is a boundary in F_nX for $n \geq 1$. Since all the assumptions of Theorem (3.16) are satisfied for the algebras F_nX, F_nX' and the isomorphism ω_n , we obtain the required isomorphism ω_{n+1} .

By Theorem (4.6) we may speak of the Tate resolution. In (1.10) we defined the notion of a minimal free resolution in the category $A\text{-Mod}$. Minimal resolutions are important because they determine completely the homology of an appropriate object, i.e. if F is a minimal free resolution of $M \in \text{ob } A\text{-Mod}$, then we have $\text{Tor}^A(M, k) = F \otimes k$. Fortunately the Tate resolution possesses this important property. We have

(4.7) THEOREM. The Tate resolution of the R -algebra A is a minimal free resolution of the residue class field k , i.e. the associated differential complex

$$\dots \rightarrow X_{*,p} \xrightarrow{d_x} X_{*,p-1} \xrightarrow{d_x} \dots \xrightarrow{d_x} X_{*,0} \rightarrow 0$$

is minimal in the category $A\text{-Mod}$.

In the case of a local ring (i.e. $A = R$) several proofs of Theorem (4.7) are known (see [4], [8], [10]). The theorem in its full generality can be proved by using Gulliksen's idea of applying a special class of mappings, so called derivations. But the notion of derivation should be adapted to the bigraded case in the following way:

(4.8) DEFINITION. Let A be a differential Γ -algebra over A . A homogeneous mapping $J: A \rightarrow A$ is called a *derivation of the algebra A* if

- (i) J is an A -homomorphism,
- (ii) $d_A J = J d_A$,
- (iii) $J(xy) = (-1)^{\partial(J)\partial(y) + \omega(J)\omega(y)} J(x) \cdot y + x \cdot J(y)$

for homogeneous $x, y \in A$. The pair $(\partial(J), \omega(J))$ denotes the degree of J .

The proof of Theorem (4.7) can be based on Gulliksen's beautiful paper [4] by using this notion of derivation.

§ 5. $X = F_1 X$. The aim of this section is to give the full characterization of those R -algebras for which the Tate resolution is attained at the first step of the construction presented in § 4.

Let A be an R -algebra and let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ be the set of homogeneous elements contained in the ideal I . Denote by M the free bigraded R -module with base S_1, \dots, S_n , $\partial(S_i) = \partial(\xi_i)$, $\omega(S_i) = 1$. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow I$ be such a map of R -modules that $\varphi(S_i) = \xi_i$ and denote by Y the differential Γ -algebra obtained from A by adjoining the module M by means of the map φ , i.e. $Y = A \langle M; \varphi \rangle = A \langle S_1, \dots, S_n; \varphi(S_i) = \xi_i \rangle$. We shall prove at first

(5.1) PROPOSITION. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the set $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a normal set in A ,
- (ii) Y is a free resolution of the graded A -module $A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$,
- (iii) $H_1(Y) = 0$.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious. We shall prove the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) by induction on the number of elements in the set $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$.

Let $n = 1$; if $\partial(\xi_1)$ is even and $x\xi_1 = 0$, $x \in A$, then we have $d(x \otimes S_1) = x\xi_1 \otimes 1 = 0$ and by assumption $x \otimes S_1$ is a boundary in Y . But $B_1(Y) = 0$, so that $x \otimes S_1 = 0$ and consequently $x = 0$. Now let $\partial(\xi_1)$ be odd and let $x\xi_1 = 0$, $x \in A$. Similarly as above $x \otimes S_1$ is a one-dimensional cycle in Y . From $H_1(Y) = 0$ it follows that $x \otimes S_1$ is a boundary, i.e. there

exists $y \in A$ such that $x \otimes S_1 = d(y \otimes \gamma_2(S_1))$. This implies $x = y\xi_1$, and ξ_1 is a normal sequence in A .

Now let $n > 1$ and assume that the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is true for $p < n$. By (3.14) we may write $Y = Y' \langle S_n; \varphi(S_n) = \xi_n \rangle$ where $Y' = A \langle S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}; \varphi(S_i) = \xi_i \rangle$. Consider two cases:

1) $\partial(\xi_n)$ is odd.

We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y' \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma(y') = y' \otimes 1$, $\tau(y' \otimes \gamma_d(S_n)) = y' \otimes \gamma_{d-1}(S_n)$, $y' \in Y'$, which induces the long homology sequence

$$(1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_p(Y') \rightarrow H_p(Y) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(Y) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow H_1(Y) \xrightarrow{d} H_1(Y') \rightarrow H_1(Y) \rightarrow H_0(Y) \xrightarrow{d} H_0(Y') \rightarrow H_0(Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

From the exactness of (1) and from the assumption of $H_1(Y) = 0$ it follows that $H_1(Y') = 0$, and so, by the induction hypothesis, the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_{n-1} is normal. Since $H_0(Y) = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $H_0(Y') = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ we obtain from the homology sequence the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \rightarrow A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

the map Δ being multiplication by ξ_n . The injectivity of Δ means simply that ξ_n is a non-zero divisor mod $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ and consequently ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n form a normal sequence in A .

2) $\partial(\xi_n)$ is even.

In this case we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y' \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma(y') = y' \otimes 1$, $\tau(y' \otimes 1) = 0$, $\tau(y' \otimes S_n) = y'$, $y' \in Y'$. This short exact sequence induces the long exact homology sequence

$$(2) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_p(Y') \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} H_p(Y) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} H_{p-1}(Y') \xrightarrow{d} H_{p-1}(Y) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow H_1(Y') \xrightarrow{d} H_1(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} H_1(Y) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} H_0(Y') \xrightarrow{d} H_0(Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to compute that Δ is just multiplication by $\pm \xi_n$. So by the exactness of the above sequence and from the assumption of $H_1(Y) = 0$ it follows that $H_1(Y') = \xi_n H_1(Y')$ and from Nakayama Lemma we get $H_1(Y') = 0$. From the induction hypothesis we infer that $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$ is a normal set in A . The normality of the whole sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n follows from the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(Y') = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\xi_n} H_0(Y') = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) will also be proved by induction on n . Let $n = 1$. If $\partial(\xi_1)$ is even, then immediately from definition we get $H_i(Y) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Now let $\partial(\xi_1)$ be odd. If an element $y = x \otimes \gamma_i(S_1)$ is an i -di-

mensional cycle, then $x\xi_1 = 0$ and from the normality of ξ_1 it follows that there exists an element $x' \in A$ with $x = x'\xi_1$. This implies that $y = x \otimes \gamma_i(S_1) = d(x' \otimes \gamma_{i+1}(S_i))$ is a boundary, i.e. $H_i(Y) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

Now suppose that the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is true for $p < n$. We write as above $Y = Y' \langle S_n; \varphi(S_n) = \xi_n \rangle$, $Y' = A \langle S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}; \varphi(S_i) = \xi_i \rangle$. If $\partial(\xi_n)$ is even then from the induction hypothesis it follows that $H_p(Y') = 0$ for $p \geq 1$, and from the sequence (2) we obtain $H_p(Y) = 0$ for $p > 1$. Since $H_0(Y') = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ and because the sequence ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is normal, the short sequence $0 \rightarrow H_0(Y') \xrightarrow{\xi_n} H_0(Y') \rightarrow H_0(Y) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. But this means that $H_1(Y) = 0$ and $H_0(Y) = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. Now assume that $\partial(\xi_n)$ is odd. From the induction hypothesis and from the exactness of the long homology sequence (1) we obtain $H_i(Y) \simeq H_{i-1}(Y')$ for $i > 1$. To finish the proof we have to show that $H_1(Y) = 0$. Consider again the exact sequence (1). Since $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a normal set, we know that the connecting homomorphism $\Delta: H_0(Y) = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \rightarrow H_0(Y') = A/(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ is injective (because Δ is just multiplication by ξ_n). So the exactness of (1) gives us $H_1(Y) = 0$.

From (2.8), Theorem (2.6), Proposition (5.1) and by easy direct limit arguments we obtain

(5.2) THEOREM. *Let A be an R -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the ring R is a regular local ring and A is isomorphic with the tensor product of an exterior algebra ΛM and a symmetric algebra SN , $A \simeq \Lambda M \otimes SN$, where M and N are free R -modules,*
- (ii) *any minimal set of generators of the ideal I is a normal set in A ,*
- (iii) *there exists a minimal normal set of generators of the ideal I ,*
- (iv) *if X is the Tate resolution of A , then $X = F_1 X$,*
- (v) *if X is the Tate resolution of A , then $H_1(F_1 X) = 0$.*

§ 6. Application to the Poincaré series. Let A be an R -algebra which is finitely generated as an algebra over R . From this assumption it follows that each homogeneous component F_i of a minimal free resolution F of a graded A -module k is a free A -module of finite rank. Since any two minimal resolutions are isomorphic (see (1.12)), the numbers $b_i = \text{rank}_A F_i$ are independent of the choice of a particular minimal resolution. The number b_i will be called the i -th Betti number of the R -algebra A and

the formal power series $\mathcal{P}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i$ will be called the Poincaré series of A . In this section we shall apply the theory of the Tate resolutions to the computation of the Poincaré series.

Let X be the Tate resolution of the R -algebra A . We recall that there exists an increasing filtration $F_0 X \subset F_1 X \subset \dots$ in X and $F_{q+1} X$

$= F_q X \langle M_{*,q+1}; \varphi_{q+1} \rangle$, $q \geq 0$. For the bigraded R -module M write as in (3.6) $M^+ = \bigoplus_{p+q \text{ even}} M_{p,q}$, $M^- = \bigoplus_{p+q \text{ odd}} M_{p,q}$. Further, write $n_p = \text{rank}_R M_{*,p}^-$, $m_p = \text{rank}_R M_{*,p}^+$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$. From the minimality of the Tate resolution (see (4.7)) it follows

(6.1) COROLLARY. *The Poincaré series of a finitely generated R -algebra A has the form*

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \frac{(1+t)^{n_1}(1+t^2)^{n_2}(1+t^3)^{n_3} \dots}{(1-t)^{m_1}(1-t^2)^{m_2}(1-t^3)^{m_3} \dots}$$

If every homogeneous element of the algebra A has an even degree, then $M_{*,p}^+ = 0$ for p odd and $M_{*,p}^- = 0$ for p even. Thus we have

(6.2) COROLLARY. *The Poincaré series of a finitely generated R -algebra A which has only homogeneous elements of even degrees has the form*

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \prod_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+t^{2p+1})^{n_{2p+1}}}{(1-t^{2p+2})^{m_{2p+2}}}$$

In particular, if $A = R$ then the Poincaré series of a local ring R has the above form (see [4], [10]).

(6.3) COROLLARY. *If the algebra A is not isomorphic with a polynomial algebra over a regular local ring, then the sequence of Betti numbers of A is non-decreasing, $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots$*

Proof. If the algebra A contains a homogeneous element of odd degree, then $M_{*,1}^+ \neq 0$ and $m_1 > 0$. Thus $\sum b_i \cdot t^i = \mathcal{P}(A) = (1/(1-t)) \sum c_i t^i = (\sum t^i) (\sum c_i t^i)$, $c_i \geq 0$, and the corollary is proved. Suppose now that all homogeneous elements in A have even degrees. If A is not a polynomial algebra over a regular local ring, then by Theorem (5.2) we have $H_1(F_1 X) \neq 0$, where X is the Tate resolution of A . But by (6.2) this means that $m_2 > 0$ and similarly as above $\sum b_i t^i = \mathcal{P}(A) = (1/(1-t^2)) \sum c_i t^i$.

From Theorem (5.2) it follows

(6.4) COROLLARY. *The Poincaré series of an R -algebra A has the form*

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \frac{(1+t)^{n_1}}{(1-t)^{m_1}}$$

if and only if R is a regular local ring and A is isomorphic with the tensor product of an exterior algebra ΛM and a symmetric algebra SN , $A \simeq \Lambda M \otimes SN$, where M and N are free R -modules.

Now as an application of the Tate resolutions we shall prove the following change of rings theorem

(6.5) THEOREM. Let A be a finitely generated R -algebra and let x be a non-zero divisor in A . Write $\bar{A} = A/xA$. For $x \notin I^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(A) &= (1+t)\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) & \text{if } \partial(x) \text{ is even,} \\ \mathfrak{F}(A) &= \frac{1}{1-t}\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) & \text{if } \partial(x) \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in I^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(A) &= (1-t^2)\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) & \text{if } \partial(x) \text{ is even,} \\ \mathfrak{F}(A) &= \frac{1}{1+t^2}\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) & \text{if } \partial(x) \text{ is odd and char } k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of the theorem we shall need two lemmas.

(6.6) LEMMA. Let A be an R -algebra and let x be a non-zero divisor in A . Assume that A is a differential Γ -algebra over A and $x \in B_0(A)$. Therefore there exists an element $S \in A_{*+1}$ such that $dS = x$. Write $\bar{A} = A/xA$, $\bar{S} = S + xA \in Z_1(\bar{A})$, $\sigma = \bar{S} + B(\bar{A}) \in H_1(\bar{A})$. If $f: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is a natural mapping and $f_*: H(A) \rightarrow H(\bar{A})$ a mapping induced by f , then $H(\bar{A})$ is isomorphic with $\langle f_*H(A) \rangle \langle R\omega \rangle$ where $R\omega$ is a free R -module on one generator ω . The isomorphism is given by the correspondence $\alpha \leftrightarrow \omega$.

Proof. If $\partial(x)$ is even, then the arguments given in [11], Theorem 3, for local rings work without changes in this more general situation.

Now assume that $\partial(x)$ is odd. Since x is a non-zero divisor, we have an exact sequence of complexes $0 \rightarrow \bar{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \bar{A} \rightarrow 0$, $\varphi(y + xA) = xy$, $\psi(y) = y + xA$, $y \in A$. This exact sequence induces an exact homology triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & H(A) \\ & \Delta & \swarrow \psi_* \\ & & H(\bar{A}) \end{array}$$

where Δ is a connecting homomorphism of degree -1 . First we shall prove

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\gamma_i(\sigma)) &= \gamma_{i-1}(\sigma), \\ \Delta(\tau \cdot \tau') &= \Delta(\tau) \cdot \tau' + (-1)^{\partial(\tau)+\omega(\tau)} \tau \cdot \Delta(\tau'). \end{aligned}$$

Write $\bar{y} = y + xA$. From the definition of Δ it follows immediately that the homology class of the cycle \bar{y} is sent by Δ to the homology class of \bar{z} where $d\bar{y} = xz$. Since $d\gamma_i(S) = x\gamma_{i-1}(S)$, we have the first formula in (i). The second equality in (i) follows from the product formula for the differential d .

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that the arbitrary homogeneous element $\tau \in H_n(\bar{A})$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$(ii) \quad \tau = \psi_*(a_0) + \sigma\psi_*(a_1) + \dots + \gamma_n(\sigma)\psi_*(a_n), \quad \alpha_i \in H_{n-1}(A).$$

This will be proved by induction on n . If $\tau \in H_0(\bar{A})$, then (ii) is obvious. Now if $\tau \in H_n(\bar{A})$, then $\Delta(\tau) \in H_{n-1}(\bar{A})$ and by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\Delta(\tau) = \psi_*(a_1) + \sigma\psi_*(a_2) + \dots + \gamma_{n-1}(\sigma)\psi_*(a_n).$$

Consider the element

$$\tau' = \tau - \sigma\psi_*(a_1) - \dots - \gamma_n(\sigma)\psi_*(a_n).$$

Since $\Delta\psi_* = 0$, we obtain from (i) $\Delta(\gamma_p(\sigma)\psi_*(a)) = \gamma_{p-1}(\sigma)\psi_*(a)$. Thus we have $\Delta(\tau') = \Delta(\tau) - \psi_*(a_1) - \sigma\psi_*(a_2) - \dots - \gamma_{n-1}(\sigma)\psi_*(a_n) = 0$. The exactness of the homology triangle implies that $\tau' = \psi_*(a_0)$, and consequently we have the formula (ii). To prove the uniqueness observe that for τ satisfying (ii) we have $\Delta^n(\tau) = \psi_*(a_n)$. So if $\tau = 0$, we obtain successively $\psi_*(a_n) = 0$, $\psi_*(a_{n-1}) = 0$, ..., $\psi_*(a_0) = 0$.

Now we state the following lemma, proved in [11] for local rings:

(6.7) LEMMA. Let A be a differential Γ -algebra over A . Assume that s is a cycle in A and let $B = A \langle S; dS = s \rangle$. If the homology class σ of s is a non-zero divisor in $H(A)$, then the inclusion map $A \hookrightarrow B$ induces in the homology a surjection with kernel $\sigma H(A)$, i.e. $H(B) \simeq H(A)/\sigma H(A)$.

Proof of Theorem (6.5). Consider first the case $x \notin I^2$. This assumption implies that x is a member of some minimal set of generators of the ideal I (see (1.7)). But then in the construction of the Tate resolution X we can choose the base $\{S_i\}$ of the module M_{*+1} such that for $S = S_1$ we have $dS = x$. If X is the Tate resolution of A , then write $\bar{X} = X/xX$. By Lemma (6.6) we have $H(\bar{X}) = k \langle \sigma \rangle$, where σ is the homology class of the cycle \bar{S} .

Now suppose that $\partial(x)$ is odd. We define a differential complex V by the exactness of the following sequence of complexes

$$(iii) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} V \rightarrow 0,$$

where α is determined by the condition $\alpha(\bar{y}\gamma_i(\bar{S})) = \bar{y}\gamma_{i+1}(\bar{S})$, $\bar{y} \in \bar{X}$. We shall prove that V furnishes us with a free minimal resolution of a graded \bar{A} -module k . From (ii) we get the exact homology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(V) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H_n(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_{n+1}(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_n(V) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H_{n-1}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \dots$$

From $H(\bar{X}) = k \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\alpha_*(\gamma_i(\sigma)) = \gamma_{i+1}(\sigma)$ we infer that α_* is an isomorphism. But then from the exactness of the above sequence we get $H_i(V) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $H_0(V) = H_0(\bar{X}) \simeq k$. The minimality of V follows from the minimality of the Tate resolution X . From (iii) we obtain the exact sequence of graded \bar{A} -modules $0 \rightarrow \bar{X}_{*,p} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{*,p+1} \rightarrow V_{*,p+1} \rightarrow 0$ for arbitrary $p \geq 0$. Since $\text{rank}_{\bar{A}} \bar{X}_{*,p} = \text{rank}_{\bar{A}} X_{*,p}$ and $\bar{b}_p = \text{rank}_{\bar{A}} V_{*,p}$ is

the p th Betti number of \bar{A} , we have $\bar{b}_{p+1} = b_{p+1} - b_p$ for $p \geq 0$, $\bar{b}_0 = b_0$. Consequently $\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) = (1-t)\mathfrak{F}(A)$.

Now consider the case when $\partial(x)$ is even. Write $\mathcal{W} = \bar{X}/\bar{S}\bar{X}$. Since $S^2 = 0$, we have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{W} \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha(y + \bar{S}\bar{X}) = (-1)^{\alpha(y)} \bar{S}y, \quad \beta(y) = y + \bar{S}\bar{X},$$

$y \in \bar{X}$, and an appropriate exact homology sequence

$$(iv) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_{n+1}(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{n-1}(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_n(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\dots \rightarrow H_3(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_3(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_2(\bar{X})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_2(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_0(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_1(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_1(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_0(\mathcal{W}) \simeq k$, $H_1(\bar{X}) = k\sigma$ and $\alpha(1 + \bar{S}\bar{X}) = \bar{S}$, we have $\alpha_*(1) = \sigma$. This implies that α_* is an isomorphism and from (iv) we obtain $H_1(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. Further, from the exactness of (iv) we know that $H_2(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_0(\mathcal{W})$ is a zero homomorphism and $H_2(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_2(\mathcal{W})$ is an epimorphism. But $H_2(\bar{X}) = 0$, so that $H_2(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. Now assume that $n > 1$ and $H_{n-1}(\mathcal{W}) = H_n(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. From $H_m(\bar{X}) = 0$ for $m > 1$ and by the exactness of (iv) we get $H_{n+1}(\mathcal{W}) = 0$. Since $H_1(\mathcal{W}) = H_2(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ and $H_0(\mathcal{W}) \simeq k$, we have proved by induction that $H(\mathcal{W}) = k$. Obviously \mathcal{W} is a minimal resolution of k . From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{*,p} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{*,p+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{*,p+1} \rightarrow 0$, $p \geq 0$, we obtain the required relation $\mathfrak{F}(A) = (1+t)\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A})$.

Now let $x \in I^2$. From this assumption it follows that in the Tate resolution X of A there exists such a homogeneous element $S \in X_{n+1}$ that $dS = x$ and $S \in IX$. If, as above, $\bar{X} = X/xX$, we know by (6.6) that $H(\bar{X}) = k\langle\sigma\rangle$. If $\partial(x)$ is even, then from Lemma (6.7) we infer that $\mathcal{W} = \bar{X}\langle T; dT = \bar{S}\rangle$ is a free resolution of the graded \bar{A} -module k . Observe that \mathcal{W} is a minimal resolution because $dT = \bar{S} \in I\bar{X}$ and that $\omega(T) = 2$. This gives us the required formula $\mathfrak{F}(A) = (1-t^2)\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A})$. Suppose that $\partial(x)$ is odd. If $\text{char} k = 0$, then $H(\bar{X}) = k\langle\sigma\rangle$ is isomorphic with the ring of polynomials of the variable σ . Thus σ is a non-zero divisor in $k\langle\sigma\rangle$ and by (6.7) we infer that the algebra $\mathcal{W} = \bar{X}\langle T; dT = \bar{S}\rangle$ is a minimal resolution of k over \bar{A} . Hence $\mathfrak{F}(\bar{A}) = (1+t^2)\mathfrak{F}(A)$.

From Theorem (6.5) we obtain

(6.8) COROLLARY. If $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra in variables x_1, \dots, x_n , then

$$\mathfrak{F}(A) = (1+t)^n \mathfrak{F}(R).$$

(6.9) COROLLARY. If $A = A(Rx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rx_n)$ is the exterior algebra of the free R -module of rank n , then

$$\mathfrak{F}(A) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} \mathfrak{F}(R).$$

References

- [1] H. Cartan, *Puissances divisées*, Seminaire Henri Cartan, Exposé 7, 1954/55.
- [2] — *Homologie et cohomologie d'une algèbre graduée*, Seminaire Henri Cartan, Exposé 15, 1958/59.
- [3] S. Eilenberg, *Homological dimension and syzygies*, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), pp. 328–336.
- [4] T. H. Gulliksen, *A proof of the existence of minimal R-algebra resolution*, Acta Math. 120 (1968), pp. 53–58.
- [5] — *Homological invariants of local rings*, Queen's Math. Preprint No. 12, Queen's Univ. at Kingston, June 1969.
- [6] T. Józefiak, *Tate resolutions for commutative graded algebras*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. sci. math., astr. et phys. 17, No. 10 (1969), pp. 617–621.
- [7] N. Roby, *Lois polynomes et lois formelles en théorie des modules*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 3^e série, 80 (1963), pp. 213–348.
- [8] M. Sakuma, and H. Okuyama, *Correction to "On the Betti series of local rings"*, J. Math. Tokushima Univ. 2 (1968), pp. 31–32.
- [9] C. Schoeller, *I-H-Algèbres sur un corps*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris., 265 (1967), série A, pp. 655–658.
- [10] — *Homologie des anneaux locaux noethériens*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris. 265 (1967), série A, pp. 768–771.
- [11] J. Tate, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), pp. 14–27.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 12. 1970