

## Some remarks concerning the theory of deduction.

by

E. Żyliński (Lwów)

### § 1. Mathematical preliminaries.

Let us consider one-valued functions  $\varphi(x, y)$  of two variables  $x$  and  $y$  „on“ the set  $(a, b)$  „to“ the same set  $(a, b)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are two distinct elements. Evidently we have as many such „ $\varphi$ -functions“ as there are different „coverings“ of the set of ordered pairs  $((a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b))$  by elements of the set  $(a, b)$  itself, it is  $2^4 = 16$ .

Let us introduce the notation:  $\varphi_i(x, y)$  is this of our 16  $\varphi$ -functions which values  $\varphi_i(a, a)$ ,  $\varphi_i(a, b)$ ,  $\varphi_i(b, a)$  and  $\varphi_i(b, b)$  we found on the right of  $\varphi_i$  in the graph of the following table:

	$\varphi(a, a)$	$\varphi(a, b)$	$\varphi(b, a)$	$\varphi(b, b)$
$\varphi_1$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$\varphi_2$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$b$
$\varphi_3$	$a$	$a$	$b$	$a$
$\varphi_4$	$a$	$a$	$b$	$b$
$\varphi_5$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$a$
$\varphi_6$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$b$
$\varphi_7$	$a$	$b$	$b$	$a$
$\varphi_8$	$a$	$b$	$b$	$b$

	$\varphi(a, a)$	$\varphi(a, b)$	$\varphi(b, a)$	$\varphi(b, b)$
$\varphi_9$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$\varphi_{10}$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$b$
$\varphi_{11}$	$b$	$a$	$b$	$a$
$\varphi_{12}$	$a$	$a$	$b$	$b$
$\varphi_{13}$	$b$	$b$	$a$	$a$
$\varphi_{14}$	$b$	$b$	$a$	$b$
$\varphi_{15}$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$a$
$\varphi_{16}$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$

The way in which this numeration of  $\varphi$ -functions is made is quite unessential for our purposes.

Let us denote:

$$\varphi_4(x, y) = \lambda, \quad \varphi_6(x, y) = \mu.$$

We see immediately that for all possible values of  $x$  and  $y$  we have:

$$\varphi_i(x, y) = \varphi_i(\varphi_4(x, y), \varphi_6(x, y)) = \varphi_i(\lambda, \mu) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 16)$$

and  $\varphi_4$  and  $\varphi_6$  (not  $\varphi_6$  and  $\varphi_4$ ) is the unique ordered pair of  $\varphi$ -functions which possesses this property.

Let us now consider expressions obtained of an arbitrary  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi = \varphi(\lambda, \mu)$  by consecutive replacing of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  by arbitrary  $\varphi$ -functions expressed in terms of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , e. g.

$$\varphi_{12}(\varphi_3(\mu, \lambda), \mu);$$

we shall call them " $\varphi$ -expressions". Each  $\varphi$ -expression represents evidently a definite  $\varphi$ -function, e. g. the expression above represents the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi_{15}$ .

By equalising  $\varphi$ -expressions which represent the same  $\varphi$ -functions we obtain an enumerable infinity of functional equations; we get, for example, the equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi_9(\varphi_9(\mu, \lambda), \varphi_{13}(\lambda, \mu)) = \varphi_5(\varphi_5(\lambda, \lambda), \lambda),$$

both sides of which represent the same function  $\varphi_4$ .

Let us transpose the letters  $a$  and  $b$  everywhere in the table of  $\varphi$ -functions. Each of these  $\varphi$ -functions is transformed by this in an definite  $\varphi$ -function, e. g. the function  $\varphi_8$  with values  $a, b, a, a$  for  $(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b)$  respectively becomes the function  $\varphi_{14}$  with values  $b, b, a, b$  for  $(a, a), (a, b), (b, a)$  and  $(b, b)$ . In particular the  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi_4, \varphi_8, \varphi_{11}$  and  $\varphi_{13}$  are invariant (selfcorresponding) under this transformation. The transformation of the  $\varphi$ -functions being of order 2 (involutory), this transformation is a permutation

$$(1, 16) (2, 8) (3, 12) (5, 14) (7, 10) (9, 15),$$

$i$  being written here instead of  $\varphi_i$ .

This permutation (as a permutation of indices) can now be applied to every equation between  $\varphi$ -expressions, e. g. we get from (1) the „conjugated“ equation

$$\varphi_{15}(\varphi_{15}(\mu, \lambda), \varphi_{13}(\lambda, \mu)) = \varphi_{14}(\varphi_{14}(\lambda, \lambda), \lambda).$$

The truth of this can be easily seen by observing, that both conjugated  $\varphi$ -functional equations can be regarded as instances of the same functional equation relative to  $\varphi$ -functions constructed on the set  $(m, n)$  (instead of the set  $(a, b)$ , obtained by two specialisations:  $m = a, n = b$  and  $m = b, n = a$ . Thus we have here a „principle of duality“ ordering in pairs <sup>1)</sup>  $\varphi$ -functional equations and permitting to pass automatically from one conjugated functional equation to the other.

Let us consider now the set  $D$  of all the  $\varphi$ -expressions representing the function  $\varphi_1$ . We have a following theorem important for applications:

I If  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are  $\varphi$ -expressions and  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varphi_8(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  are contained in  $D$ , then  $\varepsilon_2$  is also contained in  $D$ .

In fact,  $\varphi_8(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  being contained in  $D$ , it is having always the value  $a$ , we see immediately from the  $\varphi$ -functions table that this occur only for following values of  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  resp.

$$(a, a), (b, a), (b, b).$$

But  $\varepsilon_1$  is always  $a$  by hypothesis. Thus we have in all the cases for  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  the values

$$(a, a)$$

<sup>1)</sup> The  $\varphi$ -expressions involving uniquely the functions  $\varphi_4 = \lambda, \varphi_8 = \mu, \varphi_{11}$  and  $\varphi_{13}$  and only these are self-corresponding.

resp., thus always the value  $a$  for  $\varepsilon_2$ , q. e. d. Beside the theorem I we note the following fundamental „principle of substitution“:

II. When the  $\varphi$ -expression  $\Theta(\lambda, \mu)$  is contained in  $D$  and  $\psi(\lambda, \mu)$ ,  $\chi(\lambda, \mu)$  are two quite arbitrary  $\varphi$ -expressions, then the  $\varphi$ -expression  $\Theta(\psi(\lambda, \mu), \chi(\lambda, \mu))$  is also contained in  $D$ .

In fact, the value of  $\Theta(\lambda, \mu)$  is equal to  $a$  for all possible combinations of values of their arguments and therefore the same occur for the  $\varphi$ -expression  $\Theta(\psi(\lambda, \mu), \chi(\lambda, \mu))$  for quite arbitrary  $\psi(\lambda, \mu)$ , and  $\chi(\lambda, \mu)$ .

It is of interest to know which  $\varphi$ -functions can be represented as  $\varphi$ -expressions involving *only one* given  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi_i$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  being admitted exclusively as final arguments.

For this purpose let us consider the set  $\Phi_i^{(1)}$  of following expressions:

$$\varphi_i(\lambda, \mu), \varphi_i(\mu, \lambda), \varphi_i(\lambda, \lambda), \varphi_i(\mu, \mu).$$

The set  $\Phi_i^{(n)}$  will be by definition the set of all expressions obtained by putting in  $\varphi_i(x, y)$  in place of  $x$  and  $y$  expressions from  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Phi_i^{(k)}$ ,  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$ , but necessarily one expression, at least, from  $\Phi_i^{(n-1)}$  in the place of  $x$  or  $y$ .

Let us now denote by  $F_i^{(n)}$  the aggregate of all  $\varphi$ -functions which are represented through expressions of  $\Phi_i^{(n)}$ . Evidently the set to be computed is equal to  $\sum_k F_i^{(k)} = F_i$ , where  $\sum$  denotes the ordinary summation of aggregates without repetition of equal elements. It is easy to see that in the case when  $F_i^{(n)}$  does not contain other  $\varphi$ -functions but those contained already in  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} F_i^{(k)}$ , we have  $F_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} F_i^{(k)}$ ; that follows immediately from the fact, that the  $\varphi$ -function represented through the expression  $\varphi_i(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  depends uniquely of  $\varphi$ -functions represented through  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ , the form of those expressions being of no influence.

This must occur not later than for  $k = 16$ , the number of  $\varphi$  functions being finite and equal to 16. Thus each  $F_i$  can be computed in a finite number of operational steps.

These computations were executed by the indicated method in the Mathematical Seminary of the University of Lwów. The chief results are as follows.

For 2  $\varphi$ -functions ( $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_{16}$ )  $F_i$  ( $F_1$  and  $F_{16}$ ) consist of one

only  $\varphi$ -function ( $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_{16}$  respectively); for 2 ( $\varphi_4$  and  $\varphi_8$ ) — of 2; for 2 ( $\varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_5$ ) — of 3; for 4 ( $\varphi_7, \varphi_{10}, \varphi_{11}$  and  $\varphi_{12}$ ) — of 4; for 4 ( $\varphi_2, \varphi_6, \varphi_{13}$  and  $\varphi_{14}$ ) — of 6; for 2 ( $\varphi_9$  and  $\varphi_{15}$ ) — of all the 16  $\varphi$ -functions.

By the computation of all the  $F_i$  except  $F_9$  and  $F_{15}$  aggregates  $\Phi^{(1)}$  and  $\Phi^{(2)}$  are quite sufficient. In the case of  $F_9$  and  $F_{15}$  the needed expressions for  $\varphi_7, \varphi_{10}, \varphi_{12}, \varphi_{14}, \varphi_{15}, \varphi_{18}$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \varphi_5, \varphi_7, \varphi_9, \varphi_{10}$  respectively are found only in  $\Phi_9^{(3)}$  and  $\Phi_{15}^{(3)}$ .

A  $\varphi$ -expression belonging to a  $\Phi_i^{(n)}$ , will be called „of order  $n$ “. There can be many expressions of the lowest order  $k$  (in a  $\Phi_i^{(n)}$ ) representing the same  $\varphi$ -function contained in  $F_i$ , e. g.  $\varphi_{12}$  and  $\varphi_3$  have in  $\Phi_9^{(3)}$  and  $\Phi_{15}^{(3)}$  resp. 84 different expressions of lowest order  $k = 3$  for each of them.

The calculated table of all these lowest order representations can not be inserted here because of its considerable extension.

Remark. The whole theory of  $\varphi$ -functions of two variables  $x$  and  $y$  „on“  $(a, b)$  „to“  $(a, b)$  can be obviously generalised in an adequate theory of  $\varphi$ -functions of  $n$  variables<sup>1)</sup>. We have then, generally,  $2^n$  different  $\varphi$ -functions;  $n$  fundamental  $\varphi$ -functions  $\lambda, \mu, \dots, \varrho, \sigma$  instead of two  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ ; theorems I and II are valid after obvious alterations, theorem II being true for every  $\varphi$ -function the value of which depends uniquely of values of two of their arguments and which has respectively to them the character of  $\varphi_3$ . A similar method of computation is applicable to analogous representation questions. All results as in the case of two variables have here also (because of the thorough finiteness) the highest grade of mathematical evidence.

## § 2. Applicatio to mathematical logic.

Let us consider propositional „truth-functions“, that is propositional functions the truth-value of which depends uniquely of the truth-values of their arguments and which have the only meaning defined by this dependence.

Denoting by  $a$  the „truth“, by  $b$  the „falseness“ of two propo-

<sup>1)</sup> Considering  $\varphi$ -functions of lower number of variables as instances of  $\varphi$ -functions of higher number of variables independent of the values of new variables, we can proceed with our developments dealing exclusively with  $\varphi$ -functions of an arbitrary great (say enumerable) number of variables.

sitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , each of the 16  $\varphi$ -functions of § 1 corresponds with one of the 16 possible truth-functions of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , namely  $\varphi_{13}$  and  $\varphi_{11}$  to negations of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  resp.,  $\varphi_2$  — to their disjunction „ $\lambda$  or  $\mu$ “,  $\varphi_8$  — to conjunction „ $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ “,  $\varphi_5$  — to implication „ $\lambda$  implies  $\mu$ “,  $\varphi_{15}$  — to joint-falsehood<sup>1)</sup> „not- $\lambda$  and not- $\mu$ “ and so on. Russell and Whitehead in their *Principia Mathematica* reduce truth functions (primitive ideas) they there employ to two of them: negation and disjunction. It means in the language of  $\varphi$ -functions that the  $\varphi$ -expressions occurring in *Principia* involve uniquely the  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi_2$ ,  $\varphi_{13}$  and  $\varphi_{11}$ . H. M. Sheffer in the paper mentioned above has shown that all primitive ideas of *Principia* can be expressed (in our ordinary meaning) through joint-falsehood  $\varphi_{15}$  alone; the same was noted then as true for the incompatibility ( $\varphi_9$ ).

The theory of § 1 and relative calculatory results supply us with a further intelligence in this matter.

1° Through joint-falsehood and incompatibility can be expressed (in our ordinary meaning) each of the 16 possible truth-functions of two propositions.

2°. There are no other truth-functions (of two propositions) possessing this property.

Russell and Whitehead in *Principia Mathematica* deduce the theorems of their theory of deduction from 5 fundamental  $\varphi$ -expressions of  $\varphi_1$  involving only  $\varphi$ -functions of two propositions and containing at most 3 different fundamental  $\varphi$ -functions (formal principles of deduction) by applying to them essentially the theorems I and II of § 1. M. Nicod<sup>2)</sup> proceeds in a similar way with but one formal principle (involving 5 different fundamental  $\varphi$ -functions) and employing instead of theorem I an other one, which can be expressed as follows: „the  $\varphi$ -expression  $\varepsilon_3$  represents  $\varphi_1$ , if  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varphi_9$  ( $\varepsilon_1, \varphi_9$  ( $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ )), represent  $\varphi_1$ “.

It is very probable although not proved as far as I know that there are  $\varphi$ -representations of  $\varphi_1$  not to be obtained in Russell's or Nicod's systems. It seems also to be of interest to investigate

<sup>1)</sup> rejection in the terminology of H. M. Sheffer: A set of five independent postulates for Boolean Algebras with application to logical constants, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Vol. 14 (1913) p. 487.

<sup>2)</sup> Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. vol. XIX. 1, January 1917.

whether all  $\varphi$ -functions of finite number of variables can be reduced to our 16  $\varphi$ -functions of two variables, and in consequence to incompatibility or joint-falsehood. The last question is obviously equivalent with the problem of sufficiency of our contemporary language to „express“ (not to „describe“) every true relation between arbitrary propositions.

Lwów, Mai 1924

---