

Non-archimedian metrizability of topological groups

bv

G. Rangan (Madras)

A non-archimedian right (left) invariant metric on a group G is a real valued function d(x, y) of pairs of elements $x, y \in G$ such that

- (i) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y,
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x),
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}, z \in G$,
- (iv) d(xa, ya) = d(x, y) for any $a \in G$ (d(ax, ay) = d(x, y) for any $a \in G$).

The metric is said to be two sided invariant if it is both right and left invariant. A topological group G is said to be non-archimedian metrizable if its topology is induced by a non-archimedian right (or left) invariant metric.

The main object of this note is to prove a criterion (Theorem 1) for non-archimedian metrizability of a topological group analogous to the classical Birkhoff-Kakutani criterion ([1], [4]) for ordinary metrizability. As supplementary results we prove (Theorem 2) that the quotient of a non-archimedian metrizable group by a closed normal subgroup is again non-archimedian metrizable and that (Theorem 3) a two sided invariant non-archimedian metric group can be imbedded in a complete non-archimedian metric group.

THEOREM 1. For a topological group G to be non-archimedian metrizable it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a countable base of neighbourhoods at the identity e of G consisting of subgroups of G.

Proof. Let G be a non-archimedian metrizable topological group and d a right invariant non-archimedian metric on G which induces the given topology on G. Then $U_n = [x| \ d(x,e) < 1/n], \ n = 1, 2, ...,$ form a base of neighbourhoods at e for G. If $x \in U_n$, the right invariance of d implies $d(e, x^{-1}) < 1/n$ and hence $x^{-1} \in U_n$. Also

$$d(xy, e) = d(x, y^{-1}) \leqslant \max\{d(x, e), d(e, y^{-1})\} < 1/n$$
 if $x, y \in U_n$.

Thus U_n , n=1,2,..., are subgroups, i.e. the condition is necessary.

181

To prove the sufficiency, let us suppose that G has a countable base of neighbourhoods $\{H_n\}$ of subgroups of G. We assume without loss of generality, that $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$ (see [5], p. 50). Now, $\Phi_n(x, y)$, defined for every

 $\tilde{n} = 1, 2, ...,$ by

$$\Phi_n(x,y) =
\begin{cases}
0 & \text{if} & xy^{-1} \in H_n, \\
1 & \text{if} & xy^{-1} \notin H_n,
\end{cases}$$

is a non-archimedian pseudo-metric. By a familiar argument (see [7], p. 473) it follows that

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{\varPhi_{n}(x, y)}{1 + \varPhi_{n}(x, y)}$$

is a non-archimedian metric on G. The essential part of this argument can be outlined as follows. If

$$\Phi'_n(x, y) = \frac{\Phi_n(x, y)}{1 + \Phi'_n(x, y)},$$

then

$$\max\{\varPhi_{n}'(x,z),\varPhi_{n}'(z,y)\}\geqslant \frac{\max\{\varPhi_{n}(x,z),\varPhi_{n}(z,y)\}}{1+\max\{\varPhi_{n}(x,z),\varPhi_{n}(z,y)\}}\geqslant \varPhi_{n}'(x,y)$$

and hence each of the $\Phi'_n(x,y)$ is a non-archimedian pseudo-metric on G. Consequently d satisfies condition (iii) of the definition of a non-archimedian metric. The other conditions are easily verified and d is a right invariant metric.

It remains to show that the topology defined by the metric d is the same as the topology of G. To this end, we observe that

$$U_n = [x| d(x, e) < 1/2^{n+1}], n = 1, 2, ...,$$

form a base of neighbourhoods at e for the metric topology. Further

$$x \in U_n \Rightarrow d(x, e) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{\Phi_n(x, e)}{1 + \Phi_n(x, e)} < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_n(x, e) < 1 \Rightarrow \Phi_n(x, e) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in H_n, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad U_n \subset H_n.$$

If $x \in H_{n+1}$, then $\Phi_{n+1}(x,e) = 0$. As $H_{n+1} \subset H_n \subset ... \subset H_1$.

$$\Phi_{n+1}(x,e) = \Phi_n(x,e) = \dots = \Phi_1(x,e) = 0$$
.

We note that

$$\frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{\varPhi_k(x,e)}{1 + \varPhi_k(x,e)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^k} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \quad \text{if} \quad k \geqslant n+2,$$

$$0 = rac{1}{2^k} \cdot rac{arPhi_k(x,\,e)}{1 + arPhi_k(x,\,e)} < rac{1}{2^{n+2}} \quad ext{if} \quad k < n+2 \; .$$

Hence

$$d(x,e) = \sup_{k} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \cdot \frac{\varPhi_{k}(x,e)}{1 + \varPhi_{k}(x,e)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

If $x \notin H_{n+1}$, but $x \in H_n$, then $\Phi_k(x, e) = 1$ for $k \ge n+1$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{\varPhi_k(x,e)}{1 + \varPhi_k(x,e)} = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \quad \text{ for } \ k \geqslant n+1 \,,$$

$$0 = rac{1}{2^k} \cdot rac{arPhi_k(x,\,e)}{1 + arPhi_k(x,\,e)} < rac{1}{2^{n+2}} \quad ext{for} \quad k < n+1 \ .$$

Thus

$$d(x,e) = \sup_{k} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \cdot \frac{\varPhi_{k}(x,e)}{1 + \varPhi_{k}(x,e)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Hence

$$x \in H_n \Rightarrow d(x, e) < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \Rightarrow x \in U_n,$$
 i.e. $H_n \subset U_n$.

As we have already shown that $U_n \subset H_n$, it follows that $H_n = U_n$. This completes the proof of the sufficiency of the condition of the theorem. Theorem 1 is thus proved.

Remark. The metric is two sided invariant if and only if there exists a countable base of neighbourhoods consisting of normal subgroups.

Theorem 2. If G is a non-archimedian metrizable topological group and H a closed normal subgroup of G, then G|H is also non-archimedian metrizable.

Proof. Let d be the metric on G which gives its topology. For xH, $yH \in G/H$ define

$$d^*(xH, yH) = \inf[d(a, b)| \ a \in xH, b \in yH].$$

Then an easy argument (cf. [8], p. 36) shows that d^* is a non-archimedian metric. If d is right (left) invariant, d^* is right (left) invariant. Also

$$\left[xH | \ d^*(xH\,,\,H) < \frac{1}{2^n}\right] = \left[xH | \ d(x\,,\,e) < \frac{1}{2^n}\right] \quad \text{ for } \quad n=1\,,\,2\,,\dots$$

For,

$$d(x, e) < \frac{1}{2^n} \Rightarrow d^*(xH, H) \leqslant d(x, e) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and, conversely

$$d^*(xH, H) < \frac{1}{2^n} \Rightarrow \inf \left[d(xa, b) | a, b \in H \right] < \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Hence, corresponding to n there exist $a, b \in H$ such that $d(xa, b) < \frac{1}{2^n}$;

$$d(xa, b) < \frac{1}{2^n} \Rightarrow d(xab^{-1}, e) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow xH \ (=xab^{-1}H) \ \epsilon \left[xH| \ d(x,e) < \frac{1}{2^n}\right].$$



Thus the topology of the metric d^* on G/H and the quotient topology of G/H coincide. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The proof of Theorem 3 below illustrates the use of Theorem 1. Theorem 3 can be proved alternatively by introducing a non-archimedian metric in the set of Cauchy sequences in G (cf. [6], p. 485).

THEOREM 3. If G is a two sided invariant non-archimedian metric group, then there exists a non-archimedian complete metric group \hat{G} such that G is a dense subgroup of \hat{G} .

Proof. G being a two sided invariant non-archimedian metric group (consequently a metric group, in the usual sense), it can be imbedded as a dense subgroup of a complete metric group \hat{G} ([6], p. 485, (1.4)). Since the non-archimedian metric on G is two sided invariant, there exists a countable base of neighbourhoods of normal subgroups at the identity e of G (see Remark following Theorem 1). The closures in \hat{G} of these subgroups, which are also normal in \hat{G} ([3], p. 46, 5.37 (c)), constitute a base of neighbourhoods ([2], p. 30, Proposition 7) (1) at e for \hat{G} . Hence, by Theorem 1, \hat{G} is also non-archimedian metrizable. Further \hat{G} is complete with respect to this non-archimedian metric (see [5], p. 212, Exercise Q(d)). The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, A note on topological groups, Compositio Math. 3 (1936), pp. 427-430.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Topologie générale, Chapter 3, Actualités Sci. Ind., No. 916-1143, Paris 1951.
- [3] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. I, Berlin 1963.
- [4] S. Kakutani, Über die Metrization der topologischen Gruppen, Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan 12 (1936), pp. 82-84.
- [5] J. L. Kelley, General topology, New York 1955.
- [6] V. L. Klee, Invariant metrics in groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), pp. 484-487.
- [7] A. F. Monna, Remarques sur les metriques non-archimediennes I, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., ser A, 53 (1950), pp. 470-481.
- [8] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, Topological transformation groups, New York 1955.

THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS Madras, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 9.4.1969

A three-dimensional spheroidal space which is not a sphere

b

Steve Armentrout (Iowa City, Ia.)

1. Introduction. In [1], we described an upper semicontinuous decomposition of E^3 into straight arcs and singletons such that the associated decomposition space E^3/G is topologically distinct from E^3 . In this note, we study local properties of the decomposition space.

We shall show that E^3/G is locally peripherally spherical, i.e., each point of E^3/G has arbitrarily small neighborhoods bounded by 2-spheres. In fact, each point of E^3/G has arbitrarily small closed neighborhoods which are compact absolute retracts and have 2-spheres as their topological boundaries. In particular, each point of the space has arbitrarily small

compact simply connected neighborhoods.

We shall also use the decomposition of [1] to settle a question of Borsuk's concerning spheroidal spaces. A metric space X is a spheroidal space if and only if for each point p of X and each neighborhood U of p, there is a neighborhood V of p such that $V \subset U$ and X-V is a compact absolute retract. It is known that each spheroidal space of dimensions 0, 1, and 2 is a sphere [3]. In [3], Borsuk describes an example (due to Ganea) of a spheroidal space of dimension 4 not a sphere. Borsuk [3] raises the following question: Does there exist a 3-dimensional spheroidal space which is not a sphere? We give an affirmative answer to this question. Regard S^3 as the one-point compactification $E^3 \cup \{\infty\}$ of E^3 . Let G^* denote the upper semicontinuous decomposition of S^3 consisting of all the elements of G, together with $\{\infty\}$. Then associated decomposition space, S3/G*, is a 3-dimensional spheroidal space which is not a sphere. In fact, S3/G* has the following property: Each point of S3 has arbitrarily small open neighborhoods V such that the closure of V is a compact absolute retract, the complement of V is a compact absolute retract, and the boundary of V is a 2-sphere.

Throughout this note, we retain the notation of [1]. G denotes the decomposition of E^3 described in [1], E^3/G denotes the associated

⁽¹⁾ It is sufficient to take a base at e for G, instead of all neighbourhoods at e, for the validity of the proposition referred to.