



## References

- [1] L. R. Ford, Jr., *Homeomorphism groups and coset spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), pp. 490-497.
- [2] Yu-lee Lee, *Topologies with the same class of homeomorphisms*, Pacific J. Math. 20 (1967), pp. 77-83.
- [3] O. Ore, *Structures and group theory I*, Duke J. Math. 4 (1938), pp. 247-269.
- [4] J. V. Whittaker, *On isomorphic groups and homeomorphic spaces*, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963), pp. 74-91.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 2. 1969

## Bimeasurable maps\*

by

John G. Michaels (Pittsburgh, Pa.)

**1. Introduction.** All spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be metrizable and  $k$  will denote an infinite cardinal. We further assume the generalized continuum hypothesis.

A map  $f$  between two absolute Borel (metric) spaces is *bimeasurable* if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  preserve absolute Borel sets. R. Purves [6] has shown the following:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map between two separable absolute Borel metric spaces, then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is countable except for at most countably many points in the range of  $f$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to obtain generalizations of this theorem for non-separable spaces. In place of countability we are led to considerations of the cardinality and  $\sigma$ -discreteness of the sets  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Summarizing Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 5.1, and 5.2, we obtain the following (definitions are given in Section 2):

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f$  be an  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ . Let*

$$B = \{y \in f(X): f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$$

and let

$$B^* = \{y \in f(X): \text{card } f^{-1}(y) > k\}.$$

Then

- (i)  $\text{card } B \leq k$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{card } B^* \leq k$ ,
- (iii) if  $B$  is absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic, then  $B$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete,
- (iv) if  $B^*$  is absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic, then  $B^*$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

Each of the four conclusions in this theorem reduces to the theorem of Purves if the spaces in question are separable, i.e. if  $k = \aleph_0$ .

\* This paper is a revised portion of a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor A. H. Stone at the University of Rochester.

In Section 3 we prove that the set  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic. result (Theorem 3.3) partially generalizes a classical theorem of Makiewicz and Sierpinski [5]. We use both this result and Theorem 1.1 to prove the main theorems in Section 4 (cf. (i) and (ii) above).

In Section 5 we put additional hypotheses on the sets  $B$  and (cf. (iii) and (iv) above). We also study the bimeasurability of projective maps and discuss the problem of finding sufficient conditions that a measurable map be bimeasurable.

**2. Definitions and notation.** The terminology follows [8], and we assume the reader is familiar with the definitions and basic properties of the Borel classification of sets and measurable (= Borel-measurable) maps, as in [8]. For future reference and to fix notation we repeat some of the definitions in this section.

A (metric) space  $X$  is an *absolute Borel set* if  $X$  is Borel in any metric space in which  $X$  is embedded, or, equivalently if  $X$  is Borel in some complete metric space. For a countable ordinal  $\alpha$ , a bimeasurable map  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -*bimeasurable* if  $f$  is measurable of class  $\alpha$ .

If the range and domain spaces are absolute Borel, then every Borel isomorphism (1-1 map which is measurable in both directions) between them is bimeasurable and every generalized homeomorphism (1-1 map which is measurable of bounded class in both directions) between them is  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable for some  $\alpha$ . Also every measurable map from an absolute Borel space onto a  $\sigma$ -discrete space is bimeasurable. (A (metric) space  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete if  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  where each set  $A_i$  is relatively discrete, i.e. each point of  $A_i$  is isolated in  $A_i$ .)

We have the following result concerning measurability and weight (assuming the generalized continuum hypothesis):

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $f$  is a measurable map from a space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  and if  $X$  is of weight  $k$ , then  $Y$  has weight at most  $k$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be the weight of  $Y$ , and assume  $m > k$ . Then  $Y$  has  $2^m$  Borel subsets ([7], p. 106). By considering the inverse images under  $f$  of these sets, we conclude that  $X$  has at least  $2^m$  Borel subsets. But  $X$  has  $2^k$  Borel subsets, and under the generalized continuum hypothesis  $2^k < 2^m$ . Hence a contradiction, and therefore  $m \leq k$ .

**Baire space**  $B(k)$  is the countable product of discrete spaces, each of which is of cardinal  $k$ .  $B(k)$  is given the product topology; and if  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in B(k)$ , a typical basic neighborhood of  $t$  in the product topology is

$$V(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \{s = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in B(k) : s_1 = t_1, \dots, s_n = t_n\}.$$

The space  $B(k)$  is metrizable, and may be given the metric  $d$  where, for

distinct  $s, t \in B(k)$ , we define  $d(s, t) = 1/n$  if  $s_1 = t_1, \dots, s_{n-1} = t_{n-1}, s_n \neq t_n$ . The Cantor set  $C(\aleph_0)$  is the countable product of two-point discrete spaces. We regard the Cantor set as a subspace of any space  $B(k)$ , and so has as its metric the restriction of the metric for  $B(k)$ .

The following three theorems of A. H. Stone will be used often subsequently:

**THEOREM 2.2** ([9], p. 660). *If  $X$  is an absolute Borel set, then one and only one of the following alternatives is true:  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, or  $X$  contains a subset homeomorphic to  $C(\aleph_0)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.3** ([8], p. 10). *If  $X$  is an absolute Borel set of weight  $\leq k$ , then there is a continuous generalized homeomorphism from a closed subset of  $B(k)$  onto  $X$ .*

**THEOREM 2.4** ([9], p. 661). *If  $X$  is an absolute Borel set which is Borel isomorphic to a  $\sigma$ -discrete space, then  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

Assume a space  $X$  and a cardinal  $k$  are given. Write  $B(k) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$  and assume that for each finite sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  ( $t_i \in T_i$ ) a closed set  $F(t_1, \dots, t_n) \subset X$  is given. For  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in B(k)$  let  $H(t) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , and let  $A = \bigcup \{H(t) : t \in B(k)\}$ . Then  $A$  is a  $k$ -analytic subset of  $X$ . A space is *absolutely  $k$ -analytic* if it is  $k$ -analytic in any metric space in which it is embedded, or, equivalently ([8], p. 36) if it is  $k$ -analytic in some complete metric space. In particular, if  $k = \aleph_0$  and the space  $X$  is complete and separable, the classical analytic sets are obtained.

**3. A theorem on  $\sigma$ -discreteness.** The following theorem is due to Mazurkiewicz and Sierpiński [5]: *If  $f$  is a continuous function defined on a separable, absolutely analytic space  $X$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X) : \text{card } f^{-1}(y) > \aleph_0\}$ , then  $B$  is absolutely analytic.*

In this section a partial generalization of this theorem is obtained for non-separable spaces. This extension is obtained in three steps. The result is then used in Section 4 to obtain the main theorem of the paper.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $f$  is a continuous map defined on a complete space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if*

$$B = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\},$$

*then  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.*

**Proof.** Let

$$B_1 = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ contains a dense-in-itself sequence of distinct points}\}.$$

We shall show that  $B = B_1$  and then that  $B_1$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.

Let  $y \in B$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a non- $\sigma$ -discrete absolute Borel set and hence by Theorem 2.2 contains a homeomorph  $C$  of  $C(\mathfrak{s}_0)$ . Since  $C$  is separable, then  $C$  contains a countable dense set  $D$ . Then  $D$  contains no isolated points, and hence  $y \in B_1$ .

Conversely, if  $y \in B_1$ , then say  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a dense-in-itself sequence contained in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $E$  be the closure in  $f^{-1}(y)$  of this sequence. Then  $E$  is dense-in-itself since it is the closure of a dense-in-itself set. Since  $f$  is continuous then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is complete; and hence by [2], p. 444,  $E$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\mathfrak{s}_0)$ . Hence (by Theorem 2.2)  $f^{-1}(y)$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete, and so  $y \in B$ .

Thus  $B = B_1$ , and it only remains to show that  $B_1$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.

Now let  $Y$  be the completion of  $f(X)$  and let  $W$  be the product space formed by taking the product of  $X$  with itself  $\mathfrak{s}_0$  times. Define

$$B_2 = \{(y, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in Y \times W: x_1, x_2, \dots \text{ is a dense-in-itself sequence}\},$$

$$B_3 = \{(y, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in Y \times W: f(x_1) = f(x_2) = \dots = y\},$$

and

$$B_4 = \{(y, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in Y \times W: \text{if } m \neq n, \text{ then } x_m \neq x_n\}.$$

Then each of the sets  $B_2, B_3$ , and  $B_4$  is Borel in  $Y \times W$  (for a proof that  $B_2$  is Borel, see [2], p. 368), and hence so is  $B_2 \cap B_3 \cap B_4$ . Note that  $W$  is of weight  $\leq k$ , and also  $Y$  is of weight  $\leq k$  by Theorem 2.1. Hence  $Y \times W$  is of weight  $\leq k$ . Finally note that  $B_1 = \pi(B_2 \cap B_3 \cap B_4)$  where  $\pi$  is the projection from  $Y \times W$  onto  $Y$ . Therefore  $B_1$  is the continuous image of an absolute Borel set of weight  $\leq k$  and so  $B_1$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic by [8], p. 37.

Hence  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic, and the lemma is proved.

We now extend this lemma to continuous maps whose domains are absolute Borel sets.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *If  $f$  is a continuous map defined on an absolute Borel set  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X): f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$ , then  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a continuous generalized homeomorphism from a closed subset  $A$  of  $B(k)$  onto  $X$  (Theorem 2.3). Let

$$B_1 = \{y \in f \circ g(A): (f \circ g)^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}.$$

Using Theorem 2.4 we see that  $B = B_1$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 to the continuous map  $f \circ g$  defined on the complete space  $A$ , we obtain that  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.

We now extend this lemma to obtain the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $f$  is a measurable map of bounded class defined on*

*an absolute Borel set  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X): f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$ , then  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  denote the completion of  $f(X)$ , and let  $\Gamma \subset X \times Y$  be the graph of  $f$ . By [2], p. 384,  $\Gamma$  is absolutely Borel (since  $f$  is measurable of bounded class). Let  $\pi$  be the projection from  $\Gamma$  into  $Y$  and let  $B_1 = \{y \in \pi(\Gamma): \pi^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$ . Lemma 3.2, applied to  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi$ , yields that  $B_1$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic. But it is easily seen that  $B = B_1$ . Hence the theorem is proved.

**4. Necessary conditions for  $\alpha$ -bimeasurability.** In this section we obtain two extensions of Theorem 1.1. We first prove two lemmas, and then use these together with Theorem 3.3 to obtain the main Theorems 4.3 and 4.4.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map defined on an (absolute) Borel set  $X \subset B(k)$ , and if for every  $y \in f(X)$  the set  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\mathfrak{s}_0)$ , then  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by contradiction. If  $f(X)$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete, then by Theorem 2.2  $f(X)$  contains a homeomorph of the Cantor set, say  $C$ . Index  $C$  by an index set  $\mathcal{A}$  to obtain  $C = \{y_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . By the hypothesis of the lemma, each set  $f^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  contains a homeomorph, say  $C_\alpha$ , of the Cantor set. Let  $D = \bigcup \{C_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

There are two cases to consider:

(a)  $\pi_n(D)$  is countable for all positive integers  $n$  (where  $\pi_n$  is the projection from  $B(k)$  onto its  $n$ th coordinate space  $T_n$ );

(b)  $\pi_n(D)$  is uncountable for some  $n$ .

We shall show that in either case we are led to a contradiction.

In case (a), let  $E = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi_n(D))$  and let  $A = f^{-1}(C) \cap E$ . Since for every  $n$ ,  $\pi_n(D)$  is Borel in the discrete space  $T_n$ , then the countable product,  $E$ , is Borel in  $B(k)$ . Hence  $A$  is Borel in  $X$ , and therefore absolutely Borel. Now let  $g$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $A$ . Then  $g$  is bimeasurable. Since  $E$  is the countable product of separable spaces (each factor  $\pi_n(D)$  is countable by hypothesis), then  $E$  and hence  $A$  is separable. Also,  $g(A) = C$ . Finally note that if  $y \in C$ , say  $y = y_\alpha$ , then  $C_\alpha \subset g^{-1}(y_\alpha)$ ; and hence the inverse image of every point in the uncountable set  $C$  is uncountable. But this contradicts the theorem of Purves (Theorem 1.1).

We now proceed to obtain a contradiction in case (b).

Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $\pi_m(D)$  is uncountable; let  $T = \pi_m(D)$ . For each  $u \in T$  choose a point  $t(u) \in D$  such that  $\pi_m(t(u)) = u$ ; say  $t(u) \in C_{\alpha(u)}$ . Since  $C_\alpha \cap C_\beta = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are distinct indices in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\alpha(u)$  is uniquely determined. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha(u): u \in T\}$ . Since  $T$  is uncountable and since  $\pi_m(C_\alpha)$  is finite for all  $\alpha$  (because each  $\pi_m(C_\alpha)$  is

a compact subset of a discrete space), then  $\mathcal{B}$  is uncountable. For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , choose  $u_\beta \in T$  such that  $\beta = \alpha(u_\beta)$ . Let  $F = \{t(u_\beta) : \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .

Let  $h$  be the restriction of the map  $f$  to  $F$ . Then  $h$  is 1-1 and bimeasurable, hence, a Borel isomorphism. Also note that  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete; in fact any two distinct points in  $F$  are of distance at least  $1/m$  apart. By Theorem 2.4  $h(F)$  is also  $\sigma$ -discrete. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is uncountable then  $F$  is uncountable, and therefore so is  $h(F)$ . But  $h(F)$  is a subset of the Cantor set  $C$ , and hence we have a contradiction since any  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of a separable space is countable.

Cases (a) and (b) both lead to contradictions, and therefore  $f(X)$  is in fact  $\sigma$ -discrete.

We now extend this lemma from Borel subsets of  $B(k)$  to arbitrary Borel sets.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map defined on an (absolute) Borel set  $X$ , and if for every  $y \in f(X)$  the set  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\aleph_0)$  then  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be of weight  $k$ , and by Theorem 2.3 let  $g$  be a generalized homeomorphism from a closed subset  $H$  of  $B(k)$  onto  $X$ . If  $y \in f \circ g(H)$ , then  $(f \circ g)^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\aleph_0)$  by Theorems 2.3 and 2.4. By applying Lemma 4.1 to the map  $f \circ g$  and the set  $H$ , we obtain that  $f(X)$  ( $= f \circ g(H)$ ) is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

We now prove the main theorems of this section.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $f$  is an  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$ , then  $\text{card} B \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3,  $B$  is absolutely  $k$ -analytic. By Theorem 2.1,  $f(X)$ , and hence  $B$ , has weight at most  $k$ . If  $\text{card} B > k$ , then by [8], p. 37,  $B$  contains a closed subset  $D$  homeomorphic to a Baire space of cardinal  $k^{\aleph_0}$ . Hence  $D$  contains a set  $C$  homeomorphic to  $C(\aleph_0)$ . Let  $g$  be the restriction of  $f$  to the Borel set  $f^{-1}(C)$ . Then if  $y \in C$ ,  $g^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\aleph_0)$  by Theorem 2.2. Hence Lemma 4.2 applied to the map  $g$  and the set  $f^{-1}(C)$  yields the result that  $g(f^{-1}(C)) = C$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, a contradiction since the Cantor set  $C$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete. Therefore  $\text{card} B \leq k$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *If  $f$  is an  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B^* = \{y \in f(X) : \text{card} f^{-1}(y) > k\}$ , then  $\text{card} B^* \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* We shall show that  $B^* \subset B$ , where  $B$  is the set defined in the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3. If  $y \in B^*$ , then by [8], p. 37,  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\aleph_0)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(y)$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete and hence  $y \in B$ . Thus  $B^* \subset B$ . If  $\text{card} B^* > k$ , then  $\text{card} B > k$  also—a contradiction of Theorem 4.3. Hence  $\text{card} B^* \leq k$ .

Note that Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 each reduce to the theorem of Purves

if the spaces are separable. For if  $k = \aleph_0$ , then the property of being  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable for some ordinal  $\alpha$  is equivalent to bimeasurability; also  $B = B^* = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ uncountable}\}$  since  $\sigma$ -discreteness and countability are equivalent in separable spaces.

Note also that Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 can be extended from  $\alpha$ -bimeasurable to bimeasurable maps if it can be shown that Lemma 3.2 holds for continuous maps defined on absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic sets. For it is not difficult to verify that the graph of any measurable map is  $\aleph_0$ -analytic, and using this in connection with the suggested extension of Lemma 3.2 would yield a new Theorem 3.3 valid for any measurable map (of bounded class or not).

Finally note that neither Theorem 4.3 nor 4.4 yield any result regarding the Borel structure of the sets  $B$  and  $B^*$ . In the next section we study cases in which they do have a strong Borel structure.

**5. Further generalizations and applications.** In this section we study the sets  $B$  and  $B^*$  of Theorems 4.3 and 4.4. We also consider the bimeasurability of projection maps and the preservation of  $\sigma$ -discreteness under bimeasurable maps. We then discuss the problem of finding a sufficient condition for bimeasurability.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$  is absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic, then  $B$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

*Proof.* The proof turns on a theorem of El'kin ([1], p. 874) which extends Theorem 2.2 of Stone from the class of absolute Borel spaces to the class of absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic spaces.

If  $B$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete, then by the theorem of El'kin  $B$  contains a homeomorph  $C$  of  $C(\aleph_0)$ . Let  $g$  be the restriction of  $f$  to the space  $f^{-1}(C)$ . Applying Lemma 4.2 to  $g$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  yields that  $g(f^{-1}(C)) = C$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete—a contradiction. Therefore  $B$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B^* = \{y \in f(X) : \text{card} f^{-1}(y) > k\}$  is absolutely  $\aleph_0$ -analytic, then  $B^*$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

*Proof.* If  $B^*$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete, then the theorem of El'kin gives a homeomorph  $C$  of  $C(\aleph_0)$  in  $B^*$ . By [8], p. 37, if  $y \in C$  then  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains a homeomorph of  $C(\aleph_0)$ . Now restrict  $f$  to  $f^{-1}(C)$  and use Lemma 4.2 to obtain a contradiction.

If additional assumptions are placed on the map, we obtain the following:

**THEOREM 5.3.** *If  $f$  is a closed, 0-bimeasurable map defined on an absolute Borel space  $X$  of weight  $k$ , and if  $B = \{y \in f(X) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ uncountable}\}$ , then  $\text{card} B \leq k$ .*



Proof. The proof is basically a piecing-together of Theorem 4.3 and the following theorem of Lašnev ([3], p. 1505): if  $f$  is a closed, continuous map defined on a metric space  $X$  and mapping into a  $T_1$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact except for a  $\sigma$ -discrete set of points in  $f(X)$ .

Using this theorem we write  $f(X) = A_1 \cup A_2$  where  $A_2$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete and if  $y \in A_1$  then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. Applying Theorem 4.3 to the restriction of  $f$  on the absolute Borel set  $f^{-1}(A_1)$  yields that  $A_1 = A_3 \cup A_4$  where  $\text{card} A_3 \leq k$  and if  $y \in A_4$  then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete. Hence if  $y \in A_4$  then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is both compact and  $\sigma$ -discrete; therefore  $f^{-1}(y)$  is countable. Hence  $B \subset A_2 \cup A_3$ . But  $A_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $f(X)$ , a space of weight  $\leq k$  by Theorem 2.1, hence  $A_2$  is of cardinal  $\leq k$ . Since the cardinal of  $A_3$  is also  $\leq k$ , then  $\text{card} B \leq k$ .

We now study the bimeasurability of projection maps.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be absolute Borel spaces and let  $\pi$  be the projection map from the product space  $X \times Y$  onto  $X$ . If  $\pi$  is bimeasurable, then either  $X$  or  $Y$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

Proof. Assume that  $Y$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete. Let  $B = \{x \in X: \pi^{-1}(x) \text{ not } \sigma\text{-discrete}\}$ . Since  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is homeomorphic to  $Y$  for all  $x \in X$ , and since  $Y$  is not  $\sigma$ -discrete, then  $B = X$ . Applying Theorem 5.1 yields that  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

As a partial converse we have the following:

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be absolute Borel spaces and let  $\pi$  be the projection from the product space  $X \times Y$  onto  $X$ . If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, then  $\pi$  is bimeasurable.*

Proof. Since  $\pi$  is continuous, it is measurable. Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete then every subset of  $X$  is absolutely Borel by [9], p. 660. Hence  $\pi$  is bimeasurable.

Piecing together the results of both Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 we have the following:

**COROLLARY 5.6.** *Let  $X$  be an absolute Borel space and let  $\pi$  be a projection from the product space  $X \times X$  onto  $X$ . Then  $\pi$  is bimeasurable if and only if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

In Theorem 5.5 if  $Y$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete but  $X$  is not, then  $\pi$  need not be bimeasurable. To see this, let  $X$  be the Cantor set and let  $A$  be a non-Borel subset of  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be the set  $A$  with the discrete topology. If  $B = \{(x, x): x \in Y\}$ , then  $B$  is Borel in  $X \times Y$ , but  $\pi(B) = A$  which is not Borel. Hence  $\pi$  is not bimeasurable.

**THEOREM 5.7.** *If  $f$  is a bimeasurable map defined on a  $\sigma$ -discrete space  $X$ , then  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

Proof. If not, then since  $f(X)$  is absolutely Borel,  $f(X)$  contains a homeomorph of  $\mathcal{C}(\aleph_0)$  by Theorem 2.2 and hence a non-Borel set, say  $A$ .

Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is not Borel—a contradiction since every subset of the  $\sigma$ -discrete space  $X$  is Borel.

**THEOREM 5.8.** *If  $f$  is a continuous map defined on a space  $X$  such that  $f(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete for all  $y \in f(X)$ , then  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.*

Proof. Write  $f(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ , where each  $Y_n$  is relatively discrete.

For  $y \in f(X)$ , write  $f^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m(y)$  where  $X_m(y)$  is relatively discrete.

Let  $X_{mn} = \bigcup \{X_m(y): y \in Y_n\}$ . Then  $X = \bigcup \{X_{mn}: m, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . To show that each set  $X_{mn}$  is relatively discrete, let  $x \in X_{mn}$ . Let  $y_0 = f(x)$ . Then  $y_0$  belongs to the relatively discrete set  $Y_n$ , and so there is an open set  $U$  such that  $y_0 \in U$  and  $U \cap Y_n = \{y_0\}$ . Since  $x \in X_m(y_0)$  which is relatively discrete, there is an open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $V \cap X_m(y_0) = \{x\}$ . Then  $x$  belongs to the open set  $f^{-1}(U) \cap V$ , and  $(f^{-1}(U) \cap V) \cap X_{mn} = \{x\}$ . Hence  $X_{mn}$  is relatively discrete, and therefore  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

We finally comment on the open problem of finding non-trivial sufficient conditions that a measurable map be bimeasurable.

If the spaces in question are separable and absolutely Borel, such a condition is known and is due to Lusin [4]: namely, that the inverse image of every point be countable. In fact it is easily seen that this condition may be weakened so that the condition in Purves' theorem is both necessary and sufficient.

This "countable-to-one" condition fails if the spaces are not separable: let  $D$  be the Cantor set with the discrete topology and let  $f$  be the identity map from  $D$  onto the Cantor set. Then  $f$  is continuous but not bimeasurable. Hence even a 1-1 continuous map need not be bimeasurable.

Note that an open, closed, continuous map need not be bimeasurable. For if  $\pi$  is the projection from the unit square onto the unit interval, then  $\pi$  is open, closed, and continuous, yet by Corollary 5.6.  $\pi$  is not bimeasurable.

I wish to thank Professor A. H. Stone for suggesting the topic treated here and for his encouragement throughout the writing of this paper.

## References

- [1] A. C. El'kin, *A-sets in complete metric spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), pp. 874-877.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, New York 1966.
- [3] N. Lašnev, *Continuous decompositions and closed mappings of metric spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 6 (1965), pp. 1504-1506.



- [4] N. Lusin, *Leçons sur les Ensembles Analytiques et leurs applications*, Paris 1930.  
 [5] S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpinski, *Sur un problème concernant les fonctions continues*, Fund. Math. 6 (1924), pp. 161-169.  
 [6] R. Purves, *Bimeasurable functions*, Fund. Math. 58 (1966), pp. 149-157.  
 [7] A. H. Stone, *Cardinals of closed sets*, Mathematika 6 (1959), pp. 99-107.  
 [8] — *Non-separable Borel sets*, Rozprawy Mat. 28, Warszawa 1962.  
 [9] — *On  $\sigma$ -discreteness and Borel isomorphism*, Amer. Journ. Math. 85 (1963), pp. 655-666.

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER  
 Rochester, New York  
 CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY  
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Reçu par la Rédaction le 29. 3. 1969

## Non-manifold factors of Euclidean spaces

by

A. J. Boals\* (Iowa City, Ia.)

**1. Introduction.** The object of this paper is to define a class of factors of Euclidean  $n$ -space which contain some non-manifolds (i.e., Theorems 3.6 and 4.3). These factorizations will be general enough to include those given by R. H. Bing [4] and John Hemple [5].

Throughout this paper we will use the following terminology: (i) Any subset of a topological space which is homeomorphic to  $I^n$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ , will be called an  $n$ -cell. (ii) An  $n$ -manifold will be a paracompact Hausdorff space in which every point has a neighborhood whose closure is an  $n$ -cell. (iii) If  $X$  is a topological space and  $D \subset X$  then by  $\text{int} D$  is meant the set  $X - \overline{X - D}$ , where  $\overline{X - D}$  is the closure of  $X - D$  in  $X$ .

### 2. Separation Theorems.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_p$  be disjoint compact subsets of a Hausdorff space  $X$ . Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_p$  be (not necessarily disjoint)  $n$ -cells such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $C_i \subset \text{int} D_i$ . Then for any  $[a, b] \subset E^1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist disjoint  $(n+1)$ -cells  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_p$  contained in  $X \times (a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$*

- (1)  $C_i \times [a, b] \subset \text{int} E_i$ ;
- (2)  $\Pi_1 E_i = D_i$ ;

where  $\Pi_1$  is the projection of  $X \times E^1$  onto  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f: [-\varepsilon, r + \varepsilon] \rightarrow [a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon]$  be the homeomorphism given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a + x & \text{if } x \in [-\varepsilon, 0], \\ \left(\frac{b-a}{r}\right)x + a & \text{if } x \in [0, r], \\ b + x - r & \text{if } x \in [r, r + \varepsilon]. \end{cases}$$

\* Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy to Michigan State University, Department of Mathematics. The author wishes to acknowledge his indebtedness to Professor K. W. Kwun, under whose direction this thesis was written.

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant GP-7952X.