

Decompositions of a 3-cell

by

Lloyd Lininger (Ann Arbor, Mich.)

The main result of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 3 of [2] and a result announced by R. H. Bing. It states that any 3-dimensional crumpled cube can be obtained from an upper semi-continuous decomposition of a 3-cell using arcs which are locally polyhedral except at one end-point, which is not on the boundary of the 3-cell, and the projection of the non-degenerate elements is a 0-dimensional set.

1. Terminology and notation. If A is a set in a topological space then $\text{Cl}A$ will denote the closure of A . If S is a 2-sphere in E^3 , then $\text{Int}S$ and $\text{Ext}S$ will denote the interior and exterior, respectively, of S . If A is an n -manifold-with-boundary then $\text{Bd}A$ will denote the set of points in A which do not have neighborhoods homeomorphic to E^n and $\text{Int}A$ will denote $A - \text{Bd}A$. A set C is a crumpled cube if and only if C is homeomorphic to the union of a 2-sphere S and $\text{Int}S$ in E^3 .

If r is a positive number, $B(r)$ will denote the ball in E^3 with center at the origin and radius r . If S and T are 2-spheres in E^3 and $S \subset \text{Int}T$, then $[S, T]$ will denote $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}T - \text{Int}S)$. Suppose r and s are positive numbers and $r < s$. A cellular subdivision $\{E_1, \dots, E_q\}$ of $[BdB(r), BdB(s)]$ is a canonical subdivision of $[BdB(r), BdB(s)]$ if and only if there exist disks D_1, \dots and D_q on $\text{Bd}B(r)$ such that

- (1) for each i , there exists a j such that if p is in E_i , then there exists a point t in D_j and a real number c such that $p = ct$,
- (2) if $i \neq j$ and $D_i \cap D_j$ is non-empty, then $D_i \cap D_j \subset \text{Bd}D_i \cap \text{Bd}D_j$,
- (3) for each i , $E_i \cap B(r)$ is non-empty,
- (4) for each i and j , the diameter of D_i is at most twice the diameter of D_j .

Suppose S_1 and S_2 are tame 2-spheres in E^3 and S_2 is contained in the interior of S_1 . A cellular subdivision $\{E_1, \dots, E_q\}$ of $[S_2, S_1]$ is an A -subdivision of $[S_2, S_1]$ if and only if there exists a homeomorphism h from $[S_2, S_1]$ onto $[BdB(1), BdB(2)]$ such that $\{h(E_1), \dots, h(E_q)\}$ is a canonical subdivision of $[BdB(1), BdB(2)]$. If X is a topological space

and G is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of X , then X/G will denote the decomposition space associated with G .

THEOREM. *If C is a crumpled cube in R^3 , $R^3 - \text{Int } C$ is homeomorphic with the closure of the exterior of a tame sphere in R^3 , and $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of tame finite linear graphs such that for each i , $a_i \subset a_{i+1}$, $a_i \subset \text{Bd } C$ and $\text{Bd } C - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\right)$ is 0-dimensional, then there exists an upper semi-continuous decomposition G of $B(1)$ such that, each non-degenerate element of G is an arc which intersects $\text{Bd } B(1)$ at one end-point and is locally polyhedral except possibly at the other end point, $B(1)/G$ is homeomorphic to C , and the projection from $B(1)$ to C takes no non-degenerate element of G to a point in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 of [2]. Let S denote the boundary of C , and let e_1 denote $\min\{1, 1/10 \text{ diam } C\}$. There exists a polyhedral 2-sphere S_1 in $\text{Ext } S$ such that S_1 is homeomorphically within e_1 of S . Now let e_{11} be $(1/2)d(C, S_1)$. By Theorem 2 of [1] (Theorem 2 of [1] holds if A is a tame finite linear graph), there exists a polyhedral 2-sphere S_{11} with the following properties:

(1) S_{11} is homeomorphically within e_{11} of S ,

(2) there exist disjoint disks D_{11}, \dots , and $D_{1m(1)}$ on S , each of diameter

less than e_{11} , such that $\text{Cl}(S - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(1)} D_{1i})$ is contained in $\text{Ext } S_{11}$,

(3) there exist disjoint disks K_{11}, \dots , and $K_{1m(1)}$ on S_{11} , each of diameter less than e_{11} , such that $\text{Cl}(S_{11} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(1)} K_{1i})$ is contained in $\text{Int } S$,

(4) $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n(1)} D_{1i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(1)} K_{1i})$ is disjoint from a_1 and a_1 is contained in $\text{Ext } S_{11}$.

Let e_{12} denote

$(1/3) \min\{\min\{d(D_{1i}, D_{1j}): i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq n(1), \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n(1)\},$

$\min\{d(K_{1i}, K_{1j}): i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq m(1), \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m(1)\},$

$\min\{d(D_{1i}, S_{11} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{m(1)} K_{1j}): 1 \leq i \leq n(1)\}, d(a_1, (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n(1)} D_{1i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(1)} K_{1i}))\}.$

Let T_1 be a triangulation of R^3 with mesh less than e_{12} , let N be the union of all 3-simplexes of T_1 which intersect $[S, S_1]$, and let M_{11} be the union of all 3-simplexes in the 2nd barycentric subdivision of T_1 which intersect N . Then M_{11} is a polyhedral 3-manifold-with-boundary and it can be assumed that $\text{Bd } M_{11}$ and S_{11} are in relative general position.

Let M_1 denote the union of M_{11} and $[S_{11}, S_1]$. Now $M_1 - [S_{11}, S_1]$ has a finite number of components, and let F_{11}, \dots , and $F_{1r(1)}$ be the com-

ponents of $\text{Cl}(M_1 - [S_{11}, S_1])$. It follows that for each i , F_{1i} has diameter less than $4e_1 + 2e_{12}$ which is less than $5e_1$.

There exists an \mathcal{A} -subdivision $\{E_{11}, \dots, E_{1q(1)}\}$ of $[S_{11}, S_1]$ such that

(1) for each i , $i \leq n(1)$, there exists a positive integer j such that $\text{Bd } D_{1i} \subset \text{Int } E_{1j}$,

(2) for each i , $i \leq q(1)$, the diameter of E_{1i} is less than $5e_1$,

(3) $\bigcup \{E_{1i}: E_{1i} \cap a_i \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\bigcup \{E_{1i}: E_{1i} \cap F_{1j} \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j\}$ are disjoint.

Let A_{1i}^* and A_{1i} , $i \leq q(1)$, be defined as follows: $A_{1i}^* = \bigcup \{X: X \in \{E_{11}, \dots, E_{1q(1)}\} \text{ or } X \in \{F_{11}, \dots, F_{1r(1)}\} \text{ and } d(X, E_{1i}) < e_1\}$ and A_{1i} is the component of A_{1i}^* that contains E_{1i} . Note that for each p in S , there exists an integer j such that p is in A_{1j} . For each p in $S - a_1$, denote $\bigcup \{E_{1i}: p \in E_{1i}\}$ by A_{p1} . Now for each i , the diameter of A_{p1} is at most $34e_1$.

Then there exists a homeomorphism h_1 from $S_1 \cup \text{Int } S_1$ onto $B(1.1)$ with the following properties:

(1) $h_1([S_{11}, S_1]) = [\text{Bd } B(.9), \text{Bd } B(1.1)]$,

(2) $h_1(C)$ is contained in $\text{Int } B(1.01)$,

(3) $\{h_1(E_{11}), \dots, h_1(E_{1q(1)})\}$ is a canonical subdivision of $[\text{Bd } B(.9), \text{Bd } B(1.1)]$ into $q(1)$ cells,

(4) $h_1(a_1)$ is contained in $\text{Bd } B(1)$,

(5) for each p , the image of the union of A_{p1} and a small product neighborhood of $A_{p1} \cap [S_{11}, S_1]$ under h_1 is the union of two sets, α_{p1} and β_{p1} , where $\text{diam } \alpha_{p1} \leq 2 \text{ diam } A_{p1}$, β_{p1} is homeomorphic with the product of a subset of $\text{Bd } \alpha_{p1}$ and $[0, 1]$, and $\beta_{p1} \cap \alpha_{p1}$ is homeomorphic with the cross-section of β_{p1} .

Now M_1 is a 3-manifold-with-boundary and S is a compact subset in $\text{Int } M_1$. It follows that there exists a positive number e_{13} such that, if Q is a set with diameter less than $34e_{13}$ and the intersection of Q with S exists, then there exists a 3-cell R such that $Q \subset \text{Int } R \subset R \subset \text{Int } M_1$.

Let e_2 denote $\min\{d(S, \text{Bd } M_1), e_{13}, 1/4\}$. There exists a polyhedral 2-sphere S_2 in $\text{Ext } S$ such that S_2 is homeomorphically within e_2 of S . Let e_{21} be

$1/3 \min\{d(S, S_2), \min\{d(\text{Bd } D_{1i}, \text{Bd } E_{1j}): \text{Bd } D_{1i} \subset \text{Int } E_{1j}\}\}.$

By Theorem 2 of [1] there exists a polyhedral 2-sphere S_{22} such that

(1) S_{22} is homeomorphically within e_{21} of S ,

(2) there exist disjoint disks D_{21}, \dots , and $D_{2n(2)}$ on S , each of diameter less than e_{21} , such that $\text{Cl}(S - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(2)} D_{2i}) \subset \text{Ext } S_{22}$,

(3) there exist disjoint disks K_{21}, \dots , and $K_{2m(2)}$, on S_{22} , each of diameter less than e_{21} , such that $\text{Cl}(S_{22} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(2)} K_{2i}) \subset \text{Int} S$,

(4) $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n(2)} D_{2i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(2)} K_{2i})$ is disjoint from a_2 and a_2 is contained in $\text{Ext} S_{22}$.

Let e_{22} denote

$$1/3 \min\{\min\{d(D_{2i}, D_{2j}): i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq n(2), \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n(2)\},$$

$$\min\{d(K_{2i}, K_{2j}): i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq m(2), \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m(2)\},$$

$$\min\{d(D_{2i}, S_{22} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{m(2)} K_{2j}): 1 \leq i \leq n(2)\}, d(a_2, (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n(2)} D_{2i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m(2)} K_{2i}))\}.$$

If

$$M'_{22} = \{x: x \in S_2 \cup \text{Int} S_2 \text{ and } d(x, \text{Ext} S) \leq e_{22}\}$$

then in the same way as M_{11} was obtained a polyhedral 3-manifold-with-boundary M_{22} exists such that $[S, S_2] \subset \text{Int} M_{22}$ and $M_{22} \subset M_{22}$. It can be assumed that $\text{Bd} M_{22}$ and S_{22} are in relative general position. Let M_2 denote the union of M_{22} and $[S_{22}, S_2]$. Now $M_2 - [S_{22}, S_2]$ has a finite number of components, and let F_{21}, \dots , and $F_{2q(2)}$ be the components of $\text{Cl}(M_2 - [S_{22}, S_2])$. It follows that for each i , the diameter of F_{2i} is at most $5e_2$. It follows from the definition of M_2 that $S \subset \text{Int} M_2 \subset M_2 \subset \text{Int} M_1$ and that $\{x: x \in C \text{ and } d(x, S) > e_{22}\}$ is disjoint from $\text{Int} M_2$.

There exists an A -subdivision $\{E_{21}, \dots, E_{2q(2)}\}$ of $[S_{22}, S_2]$ such that

(1) for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n(2)$, there exists a positive integer j such that $\text{Bd} D_{2i} \subset \text{Int} E_{2j}$,

(2) for each i , $1 \leq i \leq q(2)$, the diameter of E_{2i} is less than $5e_2$,

(3) $q(2) \geq 4q(1)$,

(4) $\bigcup \{E_{2i}: E_{2i} \cap a_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\bigcup \{E_{2i}: E_{2i} \cap F_{2j} \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j\}$ are disjoint.

Let A_{21}^* , A_{2i} , and A_{p2} be defined in the same manner as A in the first construction. Then for each p in S , the diameter of A_{p2} is at most $34e_2$, and hence for each p in S , $A_{p2} \subset \text{Int} A_{p1}$. Furthermore, if $p \in [S_{11}, S_1]$ and Z_{p1} is the component of $A_{p1} \cap [S_{11}, S_1]$ that contains p , then $[S_{22}, S_2] \cap A_{p2}$ is contained in Z_{p1} , and for each p in S , there exists a 3-cell C_{p2} such that $A_{p2} \subset \text{Int} C_{p2} \subset C_{p2} \subset \text{Int} A_{p1}$.

Now $S_2 \cap \{\bigcup_{i=1}^{q(1)} \text{Bd} E_{1i}\}$ exists and has one and only one component with diameter greater than $1/2$ the diameter of C . Denote this component by W_1 . It follows that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq q(1)$, the intersection of W_1 and E_{1i} exists.

There exists a homeomorphism h_2 from $S_2 \cup \text{Int} S_2$ onto $B(1.01)$ with the following properties:

(1) $h_2([S_{22}, S_2]) = [\text{Bd} B(.99), \text{Bd} B(1.01)]$,

(2) $h_2(C)$ is contained in $\text{Int} B(1.001)$,

(3) if $x \in W_1$ then $h_2(x)$ is equal to the radial projection of $h_1(x)$ onto $\text{Bd} B(1.01)$,

(4) if x is in $C - M_2$, then $h_2(x) = h_1(x)$,

(5) h_2 differs from h_1 only at points close to $[S_{22}, S_2]$,

(6) $\{h_2(E_{21}), \dots, h_2(E_{2q(2)})\}$ is a canonical subdivision of $[\text{Bd} B(.99), \text{Bd} B(1.01)]$,

(7) $h_2(a_2)$ is contained in $\text{Bd} B(1)$,

(8) if x is in a_1 then $h_2(x) = h_1(x)$,

(9) for each p , the image of the union of A_{p2} and a small product neighborhood of $A_{p2} \cap [S_{22}, S_2]$ under h_2 is the union of two sets, α_{p2} and β_{p2} , where $\text{diam} \alpha_{p2} \leq 2 \text{diam} A_{p2}$, β_{p2} is homeomorphic with the product of a subset of $\text{Bd} \alpha_{p2}$ and $[0, 1]$, $\beta_{p2} \cap \beta_{p1}$ is straight in β_{p1} , $\alpha_{p2} \subset \alpha_{p1}$, $\alpha_{p2} \cap \beta_{p2}$ is homeomorphic with the cross-section of β_{p2} , and the cross-sectional diameter of β_{p2} is at most the diameter of α_{p2} .

The process is continued by induction as in Theorem 3 of [2]. It follows that $B(1) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(S_i \cup \text{Int} S_i)$. Let G be the following decomposition of $B(1)$: $G = \{g: \text{either (1) for some point } p \text{ of } B(1) \text{ there exists a positive integer } n \text{ such that } p \notin h_n(M_n) \text{ and } g = \{p\}, \text{ or (2) there exists a } q \text{ in } S \text{ such that } g = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{qi})\}$. Then G is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of $B(1)$. It follows from the way the A_{p2} 's and the h_i 's are defined that the intersection of each non-degenerate element of G with $\text{Bd} B(1)$ is a one-point set.

If g is a non-degenerate element of G , then there exists a q in S such that $g = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{qi})$. But $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{qi})$ is equal to $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(C_{qi})$ and hence is cellular. $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{qi})$ is also equal to $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{qi} \cup \beta_{qi})$ and hence is an arc which can be assumed to be locally polyhedral except at $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{qi}$.

Define a function h from C into $B(1)/G$ as follows. If $x \in \text{Int} C$, $h(x) = \{\text{lim } h_i(x)\}$ and if $x \in S$, $h(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{xi})$. Then h is one-to-one. If not there exist points p and q in C such that $p \neq q$ and $h(p) = h(q)$. Clearly, neither p nor q can be in $\text{Int} C$. If p and q are in S then there exists an integer n such that A_{pn} and A_{qn} are disjoint, and hence $h_n(A_{pn})$



and $h_n(A_{2n})$ are disjoint. This is a contradiction. Clearly h is onto $B(1)/G$ and h is a homeomorphism on $\text{Int } C$. To show h is a homeomorphism it suffices to show h is continuous at each point of S . Suppose p is in S , $\{b_i\}$ is a sequence of points in C , and $\{b_i\}$ converges to p . If U is an open set in $B(1)/G$ that contains $h(p)$, then there exists a positive integer k such that $h_k(A_{pk})$ is contained in U . Since $\{b_i\}$ converges to p , all but finitely many of the b_i 's belong to A_{pi} . Therefore all but finitely many of the $h_i(b_i)$'s belong to $h_i(A_{pi})$. By the way the h_i 's are constructed, if $j > i$ and $h_i(b_i) \in h_i(A_{pi})$, then $h_j(b_i) \in h_i(A_{pi})$, and hence all but finitely many of the $h(b_i)$'s belong to U . Therefore h is continuous at p and h is a homeomorphism.

If p is an element in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(A_{pi})$ is a one point set and hence the projection from $B(1)$ to C takes no non-degenerate element of G to a point in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$.

It follows that there exists a pseudo-isotopy H from R^3 onto R^3 such that

- (1) $H: R^3 \times I \rightarrow R^3$,
- (2) for each $t \in I$, $H(R^3 \times \{t\})$ is R^3 ,
- (3) if $x \in R^3$ then $H(x, 0) = x$,
- (4) if $0 \leq t \leq 1$ then $H|R^3 \times \{t\}$ is a homeomorphism,
- (5) $H(x, 1) = H(y, 1)$ if and only if x and y are in the same element of G or $x = y$,
- (6) $H(B(1), 1) = C$.

References

- [1] D. Gillman, *Side approximation, missing an arc*, Amer. J. of Math. 85 (1963), pp. 459-476.
- [2] L. Lininger, *Some results on crumpled cubes*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), pp. 534-549.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 5. 1968

On subdirect embeddings in categories

by

R. Wiegandt (Budapest)

§ 1. In his paper [4] Suliński considers categories satisfying certain natural, although strong, additional conditions, and asks whether every object of such a category could be subdirectly embedded in a direct product of subdirectly irreducible objects. Such a theorem for universal algebras was proved by Birkhoff [1]. In the proof of this theorem it is implicitly assumed that the lattice of all congruence-relations of any universal algebra is a so-called algebraic lattice⁽¹⁾. However, the notion of congruence-relation cannot be formulated in a category-theoretical manner; it is possible to consider factor-objects instead of congruence-relations. Among the factor-objects one can define a partial ordering. Thus the condition that the congruence-relations form an algebraic lattice means that the factor-objects form a lattice and its dual lattice is algebraic.

After the preliminaries we consider a category satisfying weaker conditions than those of [4]. We assume, that every epimorphism is normal, but we do not suppose that every map has a kernel. (Related investigations are made in [5], where every map has a kernel, but an epimorphism need not be normal. There the possibility of dualization is also discussed.)

In § 3 we prove that an object of such a category can be subdirectly embedded in a direct product of subdirectly irreducible objects if the dual lattice of that of all factor-objects is algebraic. In § 4 we show that this condition is independent of all the conditions assumed by Suliński [4]; moreover, in the category \mathcal{A}^* , which is dual to the category of all abelian groups \mathcal{A} , there are objects which cannot be subdirectly embedded in a direct product of subdirectly irreducible objects.

§ 2. Let C be a category whose objects and maps will be denoted by small Latin and Greek letters, respectively. By definition, the following axioms hold:

⁽¹⁾ Algebraic lattices are sometimes called compactly generated lattices.