

## On a conjecture of Leader

by

Louis J. Nachman (Columbus, Ohio)

Suppose  $X$  is a set:  $(Y, \delta)$  is a proximity space; and  $[X, Y]$  is the collection of all single valued functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . In [2] Leader defines two types of convergence for nets ranging in  $[X, Y]$ . Suppose  $\{f_a: a \in D\}$  is a net of functions from  $X$  into  $Y$ .  $\{f_a\}$  is said to *converge in proximity* to some  $f \in [X, Y]$  iff for  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$ ,  $f[A] \bar{\delta} B$  implies the existence of an  $a^* \in D$  such that  $a \geq a^*$  implies  $f_a[A] \bar{\delta} B$ ,  $\{f_a\}$  is said to *converge uniformly to  $f$*  iff for every pseudometric  $\bar{d}$  on  $Y$  such that  $\delta(\bar{d}) \leq \delta$ ,  $\{f_a\}$  converges uniformly relative to  $\bar{d}$  to  $f$ . Leader shows that uniform convergence implies convergence in proximity and conjectures that the converse is not true ([2], Conjecture 2).

If  $X$  is a set and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  is a uniform space then the uniformity of uniform convergence for  $[X, Y]$  determined by  $\mathcal{U}$  (see [1]) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{U}$ , its topology by  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U})$ . A proximity space  $(X, \delta)$  determines a class  $\Pi(\delta)$  of all uniformities for  $X$  whose proximity is  $\delta$ . The unique smallest member of  $\Pi(\delta)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$  will represent  $\sup\{\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{U} \in \Pi(\delta)\}$ . In this paper we relate Leader's convergence in proximity and uniform convergence to convergence relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$  and then show that Leader's conjecture is correct.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $(Y, \delta)$  is a proximity space. Suppose  $\{f_a: a \in D\}$  is a net ranging in  $[X, Y]$  which converges to  $f \in [X, Y]$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$ . Then  $\{f_a\}$  converges in proximity to  $f$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $B \subseteq Y$ , and  $f[A] \bar{\delta} B$ . Then there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\delta)$  such that  $U[f[A]] \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $U^* \in \mathcal{U}(\delta)$  be symmetric such that  $U^* \circ U^* \subseteq U$ . Since  $\{f_a\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$  there is an  $a^* \in D$  such that  $a \geq a^*$  implies  $(f(x), f_a(x)) \in U^*$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $f_a[A] \subseteq U^*[f[A]]$  and  $U^*[f_a[A]] \subseteq U[f[A]]$ . But then  $U^*[f_a[A]] \cap B = \emptyset$  and therefore  $f_a[A] \bar{\delta} B$ .

The following lemma appears in various forms throughout the literature on proximity spaces. A proof of this statement of the result can be found in [3].

LEMMA 1. Suppose  $(X, \delta)$  is a proximity space and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a uniformity for  $X$  such that  $\delta(\mathcal{U}) \leq \delta$ . Then

$$\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{U}(\delta) \in \Pi(\delta).$$

It is clear that, if  $d$  is a pseudometric for  $Y$  and  $\{f_\alpha\}$  a net in  $[X, Y]$  then  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  relative to  $d$  iff  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}_d$  where  $\mathcal{U}_d$  is the uniformity with base  $\{V_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0\}$ ;

$$V_\varepsilon = \{(a, b) \in Y \times Y: d(a, b) < \varepsilon\}.$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $(Y, \delta)$  is a proximity space. Suppose  $\{f_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$  is a net ranging in  $[X, Y]$ ;  $f \in [X, Y]$ . Then  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  iff  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ . Let  $d$  be a pseudometric on  $Y$  such that  $\delta(d) \leq \delta$ . If  $\mathcal{U}_d$  is the uniformity generated by  $d$ , then by Lemma 1,  $\mathcal{U}_d \vee \mathcal{U}(\delta) \in \Pi(\delta)$ . Since  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ ,  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}_d \vee \mathcal{U}(\delta)$  and hence relative to  $\mathcal{U}_d$ . By the above remark we infer that  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  relative to  $d$ .

If  $\mathcal{U} \in \Pi(\delta)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let  $W(U) = \{(f, g): (f(x), g(x)) \in U, \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ . Then  $\{W(U): U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ for some } \mathcal{U} \in \Pi(\delta)\}$  is a subbase for  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ . Suppose  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . If for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \in \Pi(\delta)$ , there is an  $\alpha^* \in D$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$  implies that  $(f, f_\alpha) \in W(U)$ , then by the above remark we can conclude that  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ . It is well known that, given such a  $U$ , there is a pseudometric  $d$  for  $Y$  such that  $d(a, b) < 1$  implies  $(a, b) \in U$  and  $\delta(d) \leq \delta$ . Then since  $\{f_\alpha\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  there is an  $\alpha^* \in D$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$  implies  $d(f(x), f_\alpha(x)) < 1$  for all  $x \in X$  and hence  $(f, f_\alpha) \in W(U)$ .

The following lemma shows that distinct uniformities for  $Y$  determine distinct topologies of uniform convergence for  $[X, Y]$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are non-empty sets and that the cardinality of  $X$  is at least as large as the cardinality of  $Y$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are uniformities for  $Y$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$ .

Proof. That  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$  is well known. To prove  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$  we first note that the cardinality hypothesis insures the existence of a function  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  which is onto. Since  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V}$  there is a  $V^* \in \mathcal{V}$  such that for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there is a pair  $(x(U), y(U)) \in U$  which is not in  $V^*$ . We define an indexed set of functions  $\{f_U: Y \rightarrow Y: U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  as follows:

$$f_U(y) = y \quad \text{if} \quad y \neq y(U) \quad \text{and} \quad f_U(y(U)) = x(U).$$

The collection  $\{f_U \circ g: U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a net if  $\mathcal{U}$  is directed by reverse inclusion.

It is a routine matter to check that  $\{f_U \circ g\}$  converges to  $g$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U})$ . But, for  $V^* \in \mathcal{V}$  there is always an  $x_0 \in g^{-1}(y(U))$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and hence  $(f_U \circ g, g) \notin V^*$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $\{f_U \circ g\}$  does not converge to  $g$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$ , completing the proof of the lemma.

We are now able to show easily that convergence in proximity need not imply uniform convergence.

EXAMPLE. Let  $Z$  be the integers and  $(Z, \delta)$  be the integers with the discrete proximity. Then  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$  will be the discrete uniformity for  $Z$ . Then:

- (1)  $\mathcal{U}(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{U}(\delta) \neq \mathcal{U}'(\delta)$ .

Then by Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}(\delta)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}'(\delta))$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}(\delta)) \neq \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}'(\delta))$ . Thus there is a function  $f \in [Z, Z]$  and a net  $\{f_\alpha\}$  ranging in  $[Z, Z]$  which converges to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$  (and hence by Theorem 1, converges to  $f$  in proximity) and which does not converge to  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}'(\delta)$  (and thus by Theorem 2 does not converge to  $f$  uniformly); thus proving Leader's conjecture.

The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [2] S. Leader, *On Completion of Proximity Spaces by Local Clusters*, *Fund. Math.* 48. (1959), pp. 201-216.
- [3] W. J. Thron, *Topological Structures*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1966.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 2. 1968