

Mittelgerade besitzt. Sei $A \in a$ ($A \neq O$). Das Lot zu a durch A schneide b in C (Fig. 6), das Lot zu b durch C schneide a in B . Dann ist $\vdash = \vdash_{A;O,B;C}$. Da \vdash Euklidisch ist, gilt nach Theorem 2 nicht $\nabla(A; O, B)$, also gilt gemäß Voraussetzung $\nabla(O; A, B)$. Nach dem Lemma besitzt damit $\{a, b\}$ eine Mittelgerade.

Sind umgekehrt O, A, B kollinear Punkte und gilt $\nabla(A; O, B)$ nicht, so ist für beliebiges $C \in \vdash_{A;O,B;C}$ Euklidisch. Da diese Relation nach Voraussetzung kommensurabel ist, gilt auf Grund des Lemmas offenbar $\nabla(O; A, B)$. Aus Symmetriegründen gilt auch $\nabla(B; O, A)$, womit Theorem 4 bewiesen ist.

Reçu par la Rédaction 3. 10. 1967

Periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua

by

Beverly L. Brechner (New Orleans, La.)

1. Preliminaries.

Introduction. In this paper we begin a study of the periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua. It is well known that an arc admits period two homeomorphisms, but does not admit homeomorphisms of finite order n , $n > 2$. We will show that this result does not generalize to chainable continua. We first define *regularly chainable continua* and show that every regularly chainable continuum admits a period two homeomorphism. The arc and pseudo-arc are examples of such continua. We then use these results to construct a chainable continuum which admits period four homeomorphisms.

We note that in [5] F. B. Jones shows, by a proof similar to the one in this paper, that the pseudo-arc admits period two homeomorphisms.

Convention. All spaces are separable metric.

Basic definitions. Most of the following definitions are well-known, but are included for completeness.

DEFINITION 1.1. A homeomorphism $h \neq e$ of a continuum X onto itself is called *periodic* provided that there exists an integer $n > 1$ such that h^n is the identity. If $h^n = e$, but $h^k \neq e$ for $0 < k < n$, then h is said to be of *period n* or *order n* .

DEFINITION 1.2. A *chain* is a finite collection of open sets $\mathcal{U}: U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ such that

- (1) $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ iff $|i-j| \leq 1$,
- (2) $\bar{U}_i \cap \bar{U}_j \neq \emptyset$ iff $|i-j| \leq 1$ and
- (3) $U_i \not\subset U_j$ for any pair i, j .

\mathcal{U}^* denotes the union of the elements of \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{U} is a *chain from p to q* iff \mathcal{U} is a chain, $p \in U_1 - U_2$, and $q \in U_n - U_{n-1}$. If $\mathcal{U}: U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ is a chain, then $h(\mathcal{U})$ denotes the chain whose elements are $h(U_1), h(U_2), \dots, h(U_n)$.

DEFINITION 1.3. A chain \mathcal{V} is a *refinement* of the chain \mathcal{U} provided that each element of \mathcal{V} is a subset of some element of \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{V} is called a *closed refinement* of \mathcal{U} iff the closure of each element of \mathcal{V} is a subset of some element of \mathcal{U} .

DEFINITION 1.4. If \mathcal{U} is a chain of open sets, then *mesh* of \mathcal{U} , denoted by $\mu(\mathcal{U})$, is the diameter of the largest element of \mathcal{U} .

DEFINITION 1.5. X is a *chainable continuum* iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a chain cover \mathcal{U} of X such that $\mu(\mathcal{U}) < \varepsilon$. If $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i^*$ where \mathcal{U}_i is a chain cover of X of mesh $< 1/i$ and \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is a closed refinement of \mathcal{U}_i for all i , then $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is called a *defining sequence of chains* for X .

2. Period two homeomorphisms. In this section we show that the pseudo-arc and certain other chainable continua admit period two homeomorphisms.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\mathcal{U}: U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ be a chain. Then the chain $\mathcal{V}: V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$, where $V_i = U_{n-i+1}$, is called the *reverse chain* of \mathcal{U} .

DEFINITION 2.2. (As in [1].) Let $\mathcal{U}: U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ be a chain, and let $\mathcal{V}: V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$ be a refinement of \mathcal{U} . If the i th element of \mathcal{V} is a subset of the x_i th element of \mathcal{U} , then \mathcal{V} is said to follow the *pattern* $(1, x_1), (2, x_2), \dots, (m, x_m)$ in \mathcal{U} .

We note that if some element of \mathcal{V} is a subset of two elements of \mathcal{U} , then at least two patterns exist for \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{U} . Thus, a pattern may not be unique.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let \mathcal{U} be a chain and let \mathcal{V} be a refinement of \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{V} is said to be *regular* in \mathcal{U} iff there is a pattern for \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{U} which is also a pattern for the reverse chain of \mathcal{V} in the reverse chain of \mathcal{U} .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ be a sequence of chains such that \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is regular in \mathcal{U}_i . Then $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ is called a *regular sequence of chains*. If X is a continuum and $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ is a regular sequence of chains covering X such that

- (1) $\mu(\mathcal{U}_i) < 1/i$,
- (2) \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is a closed refinement of \mathcal{U}_i , and
- (3) $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{U}_i^*}$,

then $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ is called a *regular defining sequence of chains* for X .

DEFINITION 2.5. A continuum X is called *regularly chainable* iff there exists a regular defining sequence of chains for X . X is called *regularly chainable from p to q* iff each of the chains in some regular defining sequence runs from p to q .

Note. For the definitions of *crooked chains* and the *pseudo-arc*, see [1], [2].

THEOREM 2.1. Let X be a regularly chainable continuum. Then there exists a period two homeomorphism h of X onto itself, keeping exactly one point of X fixed. If X is regularly chainable from p to q , then h may be chosen so that p and q are interchanged.

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ be a regular defining sequence of chains for X . Let $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ be the sequence of reverse chains of $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$; that is, if $V_{i,j} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ then $V_{i,j} = U_{i,n_i-j+1} \in \mathcal{U}_i$, where n_i is the number of elements in \mathcal{U}_i . Clearly $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ is also a regular defining sequence of chains for X . Let $g: \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$ be defined by $g_i(U_{i,j}) = V_{i,j}$. For each $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $\{U_{i,j_x}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $x \in U_{i,j_x} \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_{i,j_x}}$ is a point. Let

$$h(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{g_i(U_{i,j_x})} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_{i,j_x}}.$$

That h is a homeomorphism follows from the proof of Theorem 11 of [1]. It is clear from the construction that h is of period two and that h keeps exactly one point fixed. In addition, if $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ is a sequence of chains from p to q , then h interchanges p and q .

COROLLARY 2.1.1. Let $X = M \times N$ where M and N are continua and M is regularly chainable. Then X admits a period two homeomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, M admits a period two homeomorphism h . Define $g: X \rightarrow X$ by $g(m, n) = (h(m), n)$. Then g is a period two homeomorphism of X onto itself.

EXAMPLE 2.1. The "sin($1/x$) continuum" with limit segment is not regularly chainable and does not admit period two homeomorphisms (since the limit segment must go onto itself).

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let M_1 be a pseudo-arc and M_2 be an arc. Let $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ at a common endpoint. Then M is not regularly chainable, but *does* admit period two homeomorphisms. (See Lemma 3.1.)

EXAMPLE 2.3. The following is another example of a chainable continuum which is not regularly chainable. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of points $1/2^i$ converging to 0 on the x -axis. For i odd, let A_i be the straight line segment from x_i to x_{i+1} . For i even, let A_i be a pseudo-arc of diameter $< 1/2^{i-1}$. Let $A = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \cup \{0\}$. Then A is a chainable continuum which is not regularly chainable. We note that if h is any homeomorphism of A onto itself, h must carry $\{0\} \cup \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ onto itself by the identity.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let S be a rectangle in the plane which is the union of a chain of rectangles $S: S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$, such that $S_i \cap S_{i+1}$ is a common arc. Let T be a polygonal region bounded by a simple closed curve such that

- (1) T is the union of a chain of rectangles $\mathcal{T}: T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$, with $T_i \cap T_{i+1} = \text{common arc}$,
- (2) \mathcal{T} is a closed refinement of S ,
- (3) \mathcal{T} is crooked in S , and
- (4) \mathcal{T} is regular in S .

Then S is called a *standard chain* and \mathcal{C} is said to be a *standard refinement* of S .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let S be a rectangle in the plane such that S is the union of a chain of rectangles, $S: S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$. Then there exists a standard refinement \mathcal{C} of S .*

Proof. For $n = 5$, we may obtain a regular crooked refinement as in [2]. Clearly this construction may be carried out for any positive integer n , in an inductive manner, by induction on n .

THEOREM 2.2. *The pseudo-arc is regularly chainable.*

Proof. Let p and q be two points in E^2 and let $\mathcal{U}_1: U_{1,1}, U_{1,2}, \dots, U_{1,n_1}$ be a standard chain from p to q . Let $\mathcal{U}_2: U_{2,1}, U_{2,2}, \dots, U_{2,n_2}$ be a chain in E^2 from p to q such that \mathcal{U}_2 is a standard refinement of \mathcal{U}_1 . We also require that $\mu(\mathcal{U}_2) < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $h_1: E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ be a homeomorphism which carries the chain \mathcal{U}_2 to a standard chain \mathcal{C}_2 in E^2 and which is the identity outside some disk neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{U}_2^*}$. This can be done by the Schoenflies Theorem. Then h_1^{-1} is uniformly continuous, since it is supported on a compact set. Therefore there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if A is a set of diameter $< \delta_1$ then $h_1^{-1}(A)$ is of diameter $< \frac{1}{2}$. Let \mathcal{D}_3 be a standard refinement of \mathcal{C}_2 from $h_1(p)$ to $h_1(q)$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{D}_3) < \delta_1$. Let $\mathcal{U}_3 = h_1^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_3)$. Then \mathcal{U}_3 is a chain of mesh $< \frac{1}{2}$ from p to q , and is a regular, crooked, closed refinement of \mathcal{U}_2 .

We proceed in this manner inductively. Assume that we have $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$, with \mathcal{U}_{i+1} being a regular, crooked, closed refinement of \mathcal{U}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and such that $\mu(\mathcal{U}_i) < 1/i$. Let h_{n-1} be a homeomorphism of E^2 onto E^2 which is the identity outside some disk neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{U}_n^*}$, and which carries \mathcal{U}_n to a standard chain \mathcal{C}_n in E^2 . This can be done by the Schoenflies Theorem. Then h_{n-1}^{-1} is uniformly continuous, since it is supported on a compact set. Therefore there exists $\delta_{n-1} > 0$ such that if A is of diameter $< \delta_{n-1}$ then $h_{n-1}^{-1}(A)$ is of diameter $< 1/(n+1)$. Let \mathcal{D}_{n+1} be a standard refinement of \mathcal{C}_n from $h_{n-1}(p)$ to $h_{n-1}(q)$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}) < \delta_{n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} = h_{n-1}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1})$. Then \mathcal{U}_{n+1} is a regular, crooked, closed refinement of \mathcal{U}_n and $\mu(\mathcal{U}_{n+1}) < 1/(n+1)$. We may make the links overlap slightly, so that we have a tower of chains in the usual sense.

Let $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{U}_i^*}$. Then M is a pseudo-arc by [1], and the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ is a regular defining sequence for M . It follows that the pseudo-arc is regularly chainable.

COROLLARY 2.1.1. *The pseudo-arc admits uncountably many period two homeomorphisms.*

Proof. Let M be a pseudo-arc and let h be a period two homeomorphism of M onto itself. Let φ be any homeomorphism of M onto itself

such that $\varphi \neq h$ and $\varphi \neq e$. Then $\varphi^{-1}h\varphi$ is also of period two. Since M is homogeneous [1], there are uncountably many such homeomorphisms φ . Thus there are uncountably many period two homeomorphisms of M onto itself.

QUESTION 1. *Let g, h be two period two homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc. Does there exist a homeomorphism φ of the pseudo-arc onto itself such that $g = \varphi^{-1}h\varphi$?*

QUESTION 2. *Same as Question (1) for any regularly chainable continuum.*

QUESTION 3. *Do there exist period two homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc onto itself keeping more than one point fixed?*

QUESTION 4. *Does example 2.3 admit period two homeomorphisms?*

3. An example. In this section we construct an example of a chainable continuum which admits a period four homeomorphism.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be the union of two chainable continua M_1 and M_2 intersecting at a common endpoint p , where M_1 is a pseudo-arc. Then there exists a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ such that h is of order two and $h|M_2$ is the identity.*

Proof. Let g be any period two homeomorphism of M_1 onto itself. Since M_1 is chainable, there is a point $x_0 \in M_1$ such that $g(x_0) = x_0$. See [3]. Since M_1 is homogeneous [1], there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\varphi(p) = x_0$. Then $\varphi^{-1}g\varphi$ is a period two homeomorphism of M_1 onto itself keeping p fixed. Define $h: M \rightarrow M$ by $h|M_1 = \varphi^{-1}g\varphi$ and $h|M_2$ is the identity. Then h is the desired homeomorphism.

THEOREM 3.1. *There exists a chainable continuum which admits a period four homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let M_1 be a pseudo-arc in E^2 , with an endpoint p on the y -axis, but otherwise lying in the left-hand half plane. Let $f: E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ be a reflection through the y -axis, and let $M_2 = f(M_1)$. Let $M = M_1 \cup M_2$. Clearly M is chainable. Let $g = f|M_1$. By Lemma 3.1, there is a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ such that h is of period two and $h|M_2$ is the identity. Then it is easy to see that gh is of period four on M .

QUESTION. *Does the pseudo-arc admit period n homeomorphisms for $n > 2$?*

References

- [1] R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), pp. 729-742.
- [2] E. E. Moise, *An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua*, Trans. of AMS 63 (1948), pp. 581-594.

[3] O. H. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for pseudo-arcs and certain other metric continua*, Proc. of AMS 2 (1951), pp. 173-174.

[4] Hall and Spencer, *Elementary Topology*, New York 1955.

[5] F. B. Jones, *A fixed point free mapping of a connected plane set*, Colloq. Math. 11 (1963), pp. 73-74.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
New Orleans

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 10. 1967

Expansive homeomorphisms on homogeneous spaces

by

Erik Hemmingsen (Syracuse) and William Reddy (Albany, N.Y.)

1. In [2] Murray Eisenberg has shown how an expansive homeomorphism may be constructed from a positively expansive map. In this note we show that a positively expansive map on a compact connected manifold must be a covering map of the manifold on itself, and that a manifold admitting such a map cannot be simply connected. Furthermore, if the manifold is triangulable, its Euler characteristic must be zero. Next, positively expansive maps of various manifolds are exhibited; and using Eisenberg's technique, we infer:

A. In every finite dimension greater than one there is a compact connected space, fibered over a manifold by the Cantor set, which admits an expansive homeomorphism and which is not an abelian group space. In every finite dimension greater than 2 there are countably many different such spaces.

Finally we prove:

B. In every finite dimension greater than 3 there is a compact, connected manifold, not an abelian group space which admits an expansive homeomorphism.

In previous examples of expansive homeomorphisms on compact, perfect, homogeneous spaces, the space has been a group space and the homeomorphism conjugate (in the homeomorphism group) to an automorphism of the topological group carried by the space ([2], [3], [7], [9]). T. S. Wu [10] has shown that compact connected finite dimensional topological groups which admit expansive automorphisms are abelian. It follows that the expansive homeomorphisms constructed here cannot be conjugate to an automorphism of a topological group.

2. Let X be a metric (d) space. A map f of X onto itself is called *expansive* provided that there exists a positive constant c such that to each pair (x, y) of distinct points of X there corresponds an integer n with $d[f^n(x), f^n(y)] > c$. The number c is called the *expansive constant*. The distance $d[f^n(x), f^n(y)]$ is to be interpreted as the usual distance between sets. If, to each pair (x, y) of distinct points of X , there corresponds