

Euclidean space modulo a cell

by

John L. Bryant (Tallahassee, Florida)

1. Introduction. In [1] Andrews and Curtis proved that if A is an arc in Euclidean n -space E^n , then the Cartesian product of the quotient space E^n/A with E^1 is topologically E^{n+1} . The significant aspects of this result appear when $n \geq 3$, for in this case E^n/A is not necessarily homeomorphic to E^n . The results of this paper were motivated by a conjecture in [1] and are as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that D is a k -cell topologically embedded in E^n . Then $E^n/D \times E^1$ is homeomorphic to E^{n+1} provided*

- I. D is flat in E^{n+1} , or
- II. $n - k \geq 2$.

For example, Gillman has shown [4] that condition I is satisfied for $k = 2$ or 3 when $n = 3$. Thus, we have

COROLLARY 1.2. *If D is a k -cell topologically embedded in E^3 , then $E^3/D \times E^1 \approx E^4$.*

COROLLARY 1.3. *If K is a crumpled cube in E^3 (that is, the closure of the bounded complementary domain of a 2-sphere in E^3), then $E^3/K \times E^1 \approx E^4$.*

Proof. Lininger has shown [6] that $\text{Cl}(E^2 - K) \approx \text{Cl}(E^3 - D)$ for some 3-cell D in E^3 . Hence, 1.3 is an immediate consequence of 1.2.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is broken up into two parts. In Section 2, we shall give a sufficient condition that $E^n/D \times E^1$ be homeomorphic to E^{n+1} , and in Sections 3 and 4 we shall prove that this condition is implied whenever the k -cell D satisfies I or II of Theorem 1.1. We prove the main result by showing that we can satisfy the hypothesis of the following modification of Bing's criterion [2].

THEOREM 1.4 (Bing). *Let C be a compact set in E^n . Suppose that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an isotopy h_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) of E^{n+1} such that*

- (1) $h_0 = \text{identity}$,
- (2) $h_t = \text{identity outside } N_\varepsilon(C \times E^1)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (3) each h_t changes E^1 -coordinates less than ε ,

(4) h_1 is uniformly continuous, and

(5) $\text{diam } h_1(C \times w) < \varepsilon$ for each $w \in E^1$.

Then $E^n/C \times E^1 \approx E^{n+1}$.

I am indebted to Professors J. J. Andrews and C. L. Seebeck for many helpful suggestions and criticisms.

2. A reduction of the problem. Let $I^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in E^{n+1} \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \text{ and } x_i = 0 \text{ for } i > k\}$. For technical reasons, it is desirable to consider the k -cell D in E^n as the image of I^k under an embedding $f: I^k \rightarrow E^n \times 0 \subset E^{n+1}$.

Given integers $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$, consider $I^k = I^{k-m} \times I^m$, $I^0 = \{0\}$, and let $H(n, k, m)$ denote the following statement:

If $f: I^k \rightarrow E^n \times 0 \subset E^n + E^1 = E^{n+1}$ is an embedding, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an isotopy h_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) of E^{n+1} such that:

- (1) $h_0 = \text{identity}$,
- (2) $h_t = \text{identity outside } N_\varepsilon(f(I^k) \times E^1)$, for each $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (3) h_t is uniformly continuous,
- (4) h_t changes E^1 -coordinates less than ε , for each $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (5) $\text{diam } h_t(f(x \times I^m) \times w) < \varepsilon$, for each $x \in I^{k-m}$ and each $w \in E^1$,
- (6) for each $w \in E^1$, there exists $y \in I^m$ such that

$$h_t(f(x \times I^m) \times w) \subset N_\varepsilon(f(x, y) \times w)$$

for each $x \in I^{k-m}$.

LEMMA 2.1. For each n and k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, $H(n, k, 1)$ implies $H(n, k, k)$.

Proof. Assume inductively that for $1 < m \leq k \leq n$, $H(n, k, 1)$ implies $H(n, k, m-1)$. Given $H(n, k, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let f_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) be the isotopy of E^{n+1} given by $H(n, k, m-1)$ with $\varepsilon/3$ replacing ε . Choose $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \subset E^{n+1}$ and $\text{diam } X < \delta$, then $\text{diam } f_t(X) < \varepsilon/3$. Consider $I^k = I^{k-m} \times I \times I^{m-1}$ with respective coordinates x, y , and z . Applying $H(n, k, 1)$, we can find an isotopy g_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) of E^{n+1} satisfying (1) through (4) of $H(n, k, 1)$ with δ replacing ε ; (5) $\text{diam } g_t(f(x \times I \times z) \times w) < \delta$, for each $x \in I^{k-m}$, $z \in I^{m-1}$, and $w \in E^1$; and (6) for each $w \in E^1$, there exists $y \in I$ such that

$$g_t(f(x \times I \times z) \times w) \subset N_\delta(f(x, y, z) \times w) \quad \text{for each } x \in I^{k-m} \text{ and } z \in I^{m-1}.$$

Let $h_t = f_t g_t$ ($t \in [0, 1]$). Then clearly h_t satisfies (1) through (4) of $H(n, k, m)$ with respect to ε . Given $w \in E^1$, choose $y \in I$ such that

$$g_t(f(x \times I \times z) \times w) \subset N_\delta(f(x, y, z) \times w) \quad \text{for each } x \in I^{k-m}, z \in I^{m-1}.$$

Then

$$f_1 g_1(f(x \times I \times z) \times w) \subset N_{\varepsilon/3}(f_1(f(x, y, z) \times w))$$

so that

$$f_1 g_1(f(x \times I \times I^{m-1}) \times w) \subset N_{\varepsilon/3}(f_1(f(x \times y \times I^{m-1}) \times w)).$$

But $\text{diam } f_1(f(x \times y \times I^{m-1}) \times w) < \varepsilon/3$ for each $x \in I^{k-m}$, $y \in I$. Hence

$$\text{diam } h_1(f(x \times I \times I^{m-1}) \times w) < \varepsilon.$$

Now choose $z \in I^{m-1}$ corresponding to the given $w \in E^1$ such that

$$f_1(f(x \times y \times I^{m-1}) \times w) \subset N_{\varepsilon/3}(f(x, y, z) \times w).$$

Then

$$f_1 g_1(f(x \times I \times I^{m-1}) \times w) \subset N_{\varepsilon/3}(f_1(f(x \times y \times I^{m-1}) \times w)) \subset N_{\varepsilon/3}(f(x, y, z) \times w)$$

for each $x \in I^{k-m}$. Thus (5) and (6) of $H(n, k, m)$ are also satisfied.

LEMMA 2.2. $H(n, k, k)$ implies that if D is a k -cell in E^n , then $E^n/D \times E^1 \approx E^{n+1}$.

Proof. Conditions (1) through (5) of $H(n, k, k)$ are clearly sufficient to guarantee that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 are also satisfied.

3. Preliminary constructions. Throughout the remainder of this paper we shall assume that $D = f(I^k)$ is a k -cell in $E^n \times 0 \subset E^{n+1}$ satisfying either

I. D is flat in E^{n+1} or

II. $n - k \geq 2$.

Moreover, whenever condition II is applied we shall make the further assumption that $n \geq 4$ since the case $n = 3$ is covered by Andrews and Curtis [1]. What we wish to do now is prove that these assumptions imply $H(n, k, 1)$. The proof of this assertion is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [1].

Given positive integers m and p , subdivide I^k into rectangular k -cells as follows:

Let $A = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mid a_i \text{ is a positive integer, } 1 \leq a_i \leq p \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k, \text{ and } 1 \leq a_k \leq 2m\}$. Consider I^k as $I \times I \times \dots \times I$, k factors, and for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A$, let

$$I_a = \left[\frac{a_1-1}{p}, \frac{a_1}{p} \right] \times \dots \times \left[\frac{a_{k-1}-1}{p}, \frac{a_{k-1}}{p} \right] \times \left[\frac{a_k-1}{2m}, \frac{a_k}{2m} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad D_a = f(I_a).$$

Given $a \in A$, we shall let a_i denote its i th coordinate.

For any subset X of $E^{n+1} = E^n \times E^1$, define

$$S(X) = \bigcup \{x \times E^1 \mid x \in E^n \text{ and } (x \times E^1) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a covering $\{P_a \mid a \in A\}$ of I^k in E^{n+1} of $(n+1)$ -cubes P_a and a homeomorphism g of E^{n+1} such that:



- (3.1.1) Each P_a is a product of closed intervals,
- (3.1.2) $P = \bigcup \{P_a \mid a \in A\}$ is an $(n+1)$ -cell with $I^k \subset \text{int} P$,
- (3.1.3) $P_a \cap P_b$ is a face of each,
- (3.1.4) $P_a \cap I_k = I_a$,
- (3.1.5) $D \subset g(\text{int} P)$,
- (3.1.6) $g(P_a) \subset N_\varepsilon(D_a)$, and
- (3.1.7) $S(g(P_a)) \cap S(g(P_b)) = \emptyset$ whenever $I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$.

Proof. Notice that $S(D_a) \cap S(D_b) = \emptyset$ whenever $I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$ since $D = f(I^k) \subset E^n \times 0$. Hence, there exists $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ such that if $\{X_a \mid a \in A\}$ is a collection of subsets of E^{n+1} with $X_a \subset N_{2\delta}(D_a)$, then $S(X_a) \cap S(X_b) = \emptyset$ whenever $I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$.

I. If D is flat in E^{n+1} , then $f: I^k \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ extends to a homeomorphism $g: E^{n+1} \rightarrow E^{n+1}$. Since $g(I_a) = f(I_a) = D_a$, we can easily find the desired covering $\{P_a \mid a \in A\}$ of I^k .

II. If $n-k \geq 2$ (and $n \geq 4$), we shall require the following two facts.

HOMMA'S APPROXIMATION THEOREM [5]. *Let f be an embedding of a closed combinatorial m -manifold M into a combinatorial n -manifold N , $n-m \geq 3$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a PL embedding $g: M \rightarrow N$ such that $d(f(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M$.*

ENGULFING THEOREM (Bryant and Seebeck [3]). *Suppose that K is a compact k -dimensional ANE in E^n , $n-k \geq 3$, $n \geq 5$, such that $E^n - K$ is uniformly locally simply connected (1-ULC) and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $\eta > 0$ such that if $f: K \rightarrow E^n$ is an η -homeomorphism and U is an open subset of E^n containing $f(K)$, then there is a δ -homeomorphism h of E^n such that $h(U) \supset K$.*

Since $n-k \geq 2$ and $D \subset E^n \times 0$, it is clear that $E^{n+1} - D$ is 1-ULC. Choose $\eta > 0$ corresponding to the δ we have chosen according to the Engulfing Theorem. Note that $f: I^k \rightarrow E \times 0$ extends to an embedding $\tilde{f}: \text{Bd} I^{k+1} \rightarrow E^{n+1}$, so that Homma's Approximation Theorem applies to give a piecewise linear embedding $\varphi: I^k \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ such that $d(\varphi(x), f(x)) < \eta$ for each $x \in I^k$. Since $(n+1)-k \geq 3$, we may extend φ to a homeomorphism g' of E^{n+1} onto itself [1].

Assume that $\eta < \delta$ and construct a covering $\{P_a \mid a \in A\}$ of I^k in E^{n+1} by $(n+1)$ -cubes satisfying (3.1.1) through (3.1.4) and with the additional property that $g'(P_a) \subset N_\delta(D_a)$. Then, by the Engulfing Theorem, there exists a δ -homeomorphism g'' of E^{n+1} such that $g''(g'(\text{int} P)) \supset D$.

Let $g = g''g'$. Then for each $a \in A$, $g(P_a) \subset N_{2\delta}(D_a) \subset N_\varepsilon(D_a)$, and $S(g(P_a)) \cap S(g(P_b)) = \emptyset$ whenever $I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$. Q.E.D.

Let N_1 be a compact neighborhood of D in E^n and let ε_1 be a positive number. Choose $\{P_a^1 \mid a \in A\}$, with $P^1 = \bigcup \{P_a^1 \mid a \in A\}$, and g_1 as in Lemma 3.1 so that $g_1(P^1) \subset N_1 \times [-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1]$. Let N_2 be a compact neighborhood of D in E^n and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$, such that $N_2 \times [-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2] \subset g_1(P^1)$. Choose $\{P_a^2 \mid a \in A\}$, with $P^2 = \bigcup \{P_a^2 \mid a \in A\}$, and g_2 as in Lemma 3.1 so that $g_2(P^2) \subset N_2 \times [-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2]$.

Continue in this manner to obtain coverings $\{P_a^i\}$, $\{P_a^2\}, \dots, \{P_a^{m-1}\}$ of I^k , with $P^i = \bigcup \{P_a^i \mid a \in A\}$; homeomorphisms g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1} of E^{n+1} ; and neighborhoods

$$M_1 = N_1 \times [-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1], \dots, M_m = N_m \times [-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m] \text{ of } D \text{ in } E^{n+1},$$

with $\varepsilon_1 > \dots > \varepsilon_m$, such that

$$M_1 \supset g_1(P^1) \supset M_2 \supset g_2(P^2) \supset \dots \supset g_{m-1}(P^{m-1}) \supset M_m.$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.1 that g_i and $\{P_a^i \mid a \in A\}$ can be chosen so that

$$(3.2) \quad S(g_i(P_a^i)) \cap S(g_j(P_b^j)) = \emptyset \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad S(g_i(P_a^i)) \cap D_b \times E^1 = \emptyset$$

whenever $I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$. By the construction of M_1, \dots, M_m , there exists a homeomorphism ψ of $E^{n+1} = E^n \times E^1$ that changes only E^1 -coordinates such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(M_1) &= N_1 \times [0, 2m-1], \\ \psi(M_2) &= N_2 \times [1, 2m-2], \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \psi(M_m) &= N_m \times [m-1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q_a^i = \psi g_i(P_a^i)$ and $Q^i = \psi g_i(P^i)$, and consider the following subsets of Q^i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$:

- (a) $Q_r^i = \bigcup \{Q_a^i \mid a_k \geq r\}$, $r = 1, \dots, 2m$;
- (b) for $a' \in A' = \{\{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-1}\} \mid 1 \leq a'_i \leq p\}$,

$$R_{a'}^i = \bigcup \{Q_a^i \mid a_i = a'_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k\}.$$

Then, as an immediate consequence of our construction, we have:

$$(3.4) \quad S(Q_a^i) \cap S(Q_b^i) = \emptyset \text{ if } I_a \cap I_b = \emptyset;$$

$$(3.5) \quad S(R_{a'}^i) \cap S(R_{b'}^i) = \emptyset \text{ if } \max \{|a'_l - b'_l| : l = 1, \dots, k-1\} > 1;$$

$$(3.6) \quad S(Q_r^i) \cap Q^i \subset Q_{r-1}^i \text{ for } r = 2, 3, \dots, 2m; \text{ and}$$

(3.7) if we let $I_{a'} = \bigcup \{I_a \mid a_i = a'_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k\}$ for $a' \in A'$, then $S(R_{a'}^i) \cap f(I_{b'}) \times E^1 = \emptyset$ whenever $\max \{|a'_l - b'_l| : l = 1, \dots, k-1\} > 1$.



4. The shrinking isotopies.

LEMMA 4.1. *Given that $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, let X be a compact set in the interior of Q^i . Then for each $r = 2, 3, \dots, 2m$, there exists an isotopy λ_i ($t \in [0, 1]$) of E^{n+1} such that*

- (1) $\lambda_0 = \text{identity}$,
- (2) $\lambda_i |_{Q_r^i \cup (E^{n+1} - Q^i)} = \text{identity}$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (3) $\lambda_i(X) \subset Q_{r-1}^i$, and
- (4) $\lambda_i(R_{a'}^i) = R_{a'}^i$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $a' \in A'$.

Proof. Let $P_s^i = (pg_i)^{-1}(Q_s^i)$ for $1 \leq s \leq 2m$, and let $Y = (pg_i)^{-1}(X)$. We can easily obtain an isotopy λ'_i ($t \in [0, 1]$) that changes only k th coordinates of points of E^{n+1} with the properties:

- (a) $\lambda'_0 = \text{identity}$,
- (b) $\lambda'_i |_{P_r^i \cup (E^{n+1} - P^i)} = \text{identity}$, and
- (c) $\lambda'_i(Y) \subset P_{r-1}^i$.

Then $(pg_i)\lambda'_i(pg_i)^{-1} = \lambda_i$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) has the required properties.

Remark. Let I^{k-1} denote the face of I^k determined by $x_k = 0$. Notice that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, and each $x \in I^{k-1}$, (3.7) gives us that $f(x \times I) \times w$ lies in the sum of 2^{k+1} of the sets $S(R_{a'}^i)$, for each $w \in E^1$.

THEOREM 4.2. *There exists an isotopy h_i ($t \in [0, 1]$) of E^{n+1} such that*

- $h_0 = \text{identity}$,
- $h_i |_{E^{n+1} - N_1 \times [0, 2m-1]} = \text{identity}$,
- $h_i |_{Q_{2m}^1} = \text{identity}$,
- $h_i |_{Q_{2m-2}^1 \cap (E^{n+1} - N_{m-1} \times [m-2, m+1])} = \text{identity}$,
- $h_i |_{Q_{2m-4}^1 \cap (E^{n+1} - N_{m-2} \times [m-3, m+2])} = \text{identity}$,
-
- $h_i |_{Q_1^1 \cap (E^{n+1} - N_2 \times [1, 2m-2])} = \text{identity}$,
- $h_1(D \times [m-1, m]) \subset Q_{2m-3}^1$,
- $h_1(D \times [m-2, m+1]) \subset Q_{2m-5}^1$,
-
- $h_1(D \times [2, 2m-3]) \subset Q_3^1$,

and for each $x \in I^{k-1}$ and each $w \in E^1$, there exist 2^{k+2m-1} of the sets $S(R_{a'}^1)$ whose union is connected and contains

$$(f(x \times I) \times w) \cup h_1(f(x \times I) \times w).$$

Proof. We shall construct h_i as a composition of $m-1$ isotopies $h_i^1, h_i^2, \dots, h_i^{m-1}$ of E^{n+1} just as in [1], Theorem 3.

Let h_i^{m-1} ($t \in [0, 1]$) be the isotopy of E^{n+1} given by Lemma 4.1 with $i = m-1$, $X = D \times [m-1, m]$, and $r = 2m-1$. Notice that, by (3.6), $S(Q_{2m}^1) \cap Q^{m-1} \subset Q_{2m-1}^{m-1}$ so that $h_i^{m-1} |_{Q_{2m}^1} = \text{identity}$. By (3.7), the Remark, and the fact that $h_1^{m-1}(R_{a'}^{m-1}) = R_{a'}^{m-1}$ for each $a' \in A'$, we have that $(f(x \times I) \times w) \cup h_i^{m-1}(f(x \times I) \times w)$ lies in the sum of $2^{(k+1)+2}$ of the sets $S(R_{a'}^1)$ that is connected.

We let h_i^{m-2} ($t \in [0, 1]$) be the isotopy given by Lemma 4.1 with $i = m-2$, $X = h_1^{m-1}(D \times [m-2, m+1])$, and $r = 2m-3$. Since $Q_{2m-2}^{m-2} \subset Q_{2m-3}^{m-2}$, $h_1^{m-2} |_{h_1^{m-1}(D \times [m-1, m])} \subset Q_{2m-2}^{m-2}$; and since $S(Q_{2m-2}^1) \cap Q^{m-2} \subset Q_{2m-3}^{m-2}$, by (3.6), we have

$$h_i^{m-2} h_i^{m-1} |_{Q_{2m-2}^1 \cap (E^{n+1} - N_{m-1} \times [m-2, m+1])} = \text{identity}.$$

Furthermore, by condition (4) of Lemma 4.1, we have that, for each $x \in I^{k-1}$ and $w \in E^1$,

$$(f(x \times I) \times w) \cup (h_1^{m-2} h_1^{m-1}(f(x \times I) \times w))$$

lies in the sum of $2^{(k+1)+4}$ of the sets $S(R_{a'}^1)$ that is connected.

Continuing in this manner, we obtain $h_i = h_i^1 h_i^2 \dots h_i^{m-1}$ ($t \in I$) with the desired properties.

Now let $U_r = g_1(\text{Cl}(P_r^1 - P_{r-1}^1))$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$, $P_0^1 = \emptyset$, $U = g_1(P^1)$, and $V_{a'} = g_1(R_{a'}^1)$ for $a' \in A'$. Notice that $U_r = \bigcup \{g_1(P_{a'})^1 | a_k = r\}$.

THEOREM 4.3. *There exists an isotopy h_i ($t \in I$) of E^{n+1} such that:*

- (1) $h_0 = \text{identity}$,
- (2) $h_i |_{E^n - S(U)} = \text{identity}$,
- (3) h_1 is uniformly continuous,
- (4) for each $w \in E^1$, there exists i such that

$$h_1(f(I^k) \times w) \subset S(U_i \cup \dots \cup U_{i+r}) \cap E^n \times [w-2m+1, w+2m-1],$$

and

- (5) for each $x \in I^{k-1}$ and $w \in E^1$, there exist 2^{k+4m-3} of the sets $S(V_{a'})$ whose union is connected and contains $(f(x \times I) \times w) \cup h_1(f(x \times I) \times w)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2 in [2].

COROLLARY 4.4. *$H(n, k, 1)$ is true whenever either of the conditions I or II is satisfied.*

Proof. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. For $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{k-1}) \in A'$, $1 \leq a'_i \leq p$, let

$$J_{a'} = \left[\frac{a'_1 - 1}{p}, \frac{a'_1}{p} \right] \times \dots \times \left[\frac{a'_{k-1} - 1}{p}, \frac{a'_{k-1}}{p} \right].$$

Then $\{J_{a'}\}_{a' \in A'}$ is a subdivision of I^{k-1} and for $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in A$,

$$I_a = J_{a'} \times \left[\frac{a_k - 1}{2m}, \frac{a_k}{2m} \right],$$

where $a'_i = a_i$ for $1 \leq i < k$. Choose integers m and p so that for each $i = 1, \dots, 2m - 7$, the union of any 2^{k+4m-8} of the sets

$$f\left(J_{a'} \times \left[\frac{i-1}{2m}, \frac{i+7}{2m} \right]\right)$$

that is connected has diameter less than $\varepsilon/6$. Now choose the covering $\{P_a^1\}_{a \in A}$ of I^k and g_1 so that $g_1(P_a^1) \subset N_{\varepsilon/6}(D_a)$.

Change the scale on the E^1 -coordinates of points in E^{n+1} so that the isotopy of Theorem 4.3 changes $(n+1)$ st-coordinates less than $\varepsilon/2$. Then (1) through (4) of $H(n, k, 1)$ are clearly satisfied. Given $w \in E^1$,

choose i as in (4) of Theorem 4.3 and $y \in \left[\frac{i-1}{2m}, \frac{i+7}{2m} \right]$. Then for each $x \in I^{k-1}$,

$$(f(x \times I) \times w) \subset N_\varepsilon(f(x, y) \times w) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(f(x \times I) \times w) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, (5) and (6) of $H(n, k, 1)$ are also satisfied. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

It was conjectured in [1] that if D is a k -cell in E^n , then $E^n/D \times E^k \approx E^{n+k}$. If $n = 3$ or if $n - k \geq 2$ for $n > 3$, Theorem 1.1 gives an affirmative solution to this problem with E^1 being required instead of E^k in order to obtain a Euclidean space. In light of the results of this paper it seems reasonable to ask the following question concerning the two cases that remain unsolved.

QUESTION. If D is an $(n-i)$ -cell in E^n , $i = 0, 1$, is $E^n/D \times E^{3-i} \approx E^{n+3-i}$?

References

[1] J. J. Andrews and M. L. Curtis, n -Space modulo an arc, *Ann. of Math.* 75 (1962), pp. 1-7.

[2] R. H. Bing, *The cartesian product of a certain non-manifold and a line is E^4* , *ibid.* 70 (1959), pp. 399-412.

[3] J. L. Bryant and C. L. Seebeck, III, *Locally nice embeddings of polyhedra*, (to appear).

[4] D. S. Gillman, *Unknotting 2-manifolds in 3-hyperplanes in E^4* , *Duke Math. J.* 33 (1966), pp. 229-245.

[5] T. Homma, *Piecewise linear approximations of embeddings of manifolds*, mimeographed notes, The Florida State University, 1965.

[6] L. L. Lininger, *Some results on crumpled cubes*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965), pp. 534-549.

[7] E. C. Zeeman, *Unknotting combinatorial balls*, *Ann. of Math.* 18 (1963), pp. 501-526.

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 27. 4. 1967