

## References

- [1] K. Fan, *Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A. 38 (1952), pp. 121-126.
- [2] I. L. Glicksberg, *A further generalization of the Kakutani fixed point theorem, with application to Nash equilibrium points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1952), pp. 170-174.
- [3] S. Kakutani, *A generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem*, Duke Math. Journ. 8 (1941), pp. 457-459.
- [4] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Princeton 1955.
- [5] V. Klee, *Stability of the fixed point property*, Colloquium Math. 8 (1961), pp. 43-46.
- [6] T. B. Muenzenberger, *On partially continuous multifunctions*, Master's thesis, Univ. of Fla., 1967.
- [7] R. E. Smithson, *A note on  $\epsilon$ -continuity and proximate fixed points for multi-valued functions*, to appear.
- [8] A. D. Wallace, *A fixed point theorem for trees*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), pp. 757-760.
- [9] L. E. Ward, Jr., *Mobs, trees, and fixed points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), pp. 798-804.
- [10] — *Characterization of the fixed point property for a class of set valued mappings*, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), pp. 159-164.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA  
UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING  
RICE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 7. 1967

## Remark on strongly additive set functions

by

J. Kiszyński (Warszawa)

A set function  $\mu$  with values in an abelian group, defined on an additive class of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *additive* if

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

for every pair  $A, B$  of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A set function  $\lambda$  defined on a lattice of sets  $\mathcal{L}$  containing the empty set  $\emptyset$  is called *strongly additive* if its values lie in an abelian group,  $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$  and

$$\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) = \lambda(A \cup B) - \lambda(B)$$

for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}$ . We call any additive and subtractive class of sets a *ring*.

If a set function  $\lambda$  with values in an abelian group  $G$  defined on a lattice of sets  $\mathcal{L}$  containing the empty set may be extended to an additive set function  $\mu$  with values in  $G$  defined on a ring containing  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\lambda(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and, for any  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) = \mu(A \setminus B) = \lambda(A \cup B) - \lambda(B),$$

so that  $\lambda$  is a strongly additive set function. The purpose of this paper is to show that the converse is also true. Namely, we shall prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Every strongly additive set function defined on a lattice of sets containing the empty set may be extended in a unique manner to an additive set function defined on the smallest ring of sets containing this lattice.*

In the proof of this theorem the notion of a disjoint union of sets will be used. The disjoint union of a system of sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  is defined if and only if these sets are mutually disjoint and in that case it is defined as the usual set-theoretic union and is denoted by  $A_1 \dot{\cup} A_2 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} A_n$ , or by  $\dot{\bigcup}_{k=1,2,\dots,n} A_k$ .

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a lattice of sets containing the empty set. Let  $R$  be the class of all sets of the form  $\dot{\bigcup}_{k=1,2,\dots,n} (A_k \setminus B_k)$ , where  $A_k \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $B_k \in \mathcal{L}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $R$  is the smallest ring containing  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

This lemma is known (see [1], exercise (2), p. 25 and exercise (3e), p. 26), but we shall give a proof, since it is based on some formulas which are needed also in the sequel.

Proof of the lemma. For any sets  $A, B, C, D$  we have

- (1)  $(A \setminus B) \cap (C \setminus D) = (A \cap C) \setminus (B \cup D)$ ,
- (2)  $(A \setminus B) \setminus (C \setminus D) = [A \setminus (B \cup C)] \cup [(A \cap D) \setminus B]$   
 $= [A \setminus (B \cup C)] \dot{\cup} [(A \cap C \cap D) \setminus B]$ ,
- (3)  $(A \setminus B) \cup (C \setminus D) = [A \setminus (B \cup C)] \dot{\cup} [(A \cap C \cap D) \setminus B] \dot{\cup} [C \setminus D]$ .

Since clearly  $R$  contains  $\mathfrak{L}$  and is itself contained in the smallest ring containing  $\mathfrak{L}$ , we need only to prove that  $R$  is a ring. It follows from (1) and (2) that if  $C, D, A_k$  and  $B_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , belongs to  $\mathfrak{L}$ , then

$$(4) \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^n [(C \setminus D) \setminus (A_k \setminus B_k)] \in R,$$

so that if, furthermore, the sets  $(A_k \setminus B_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are mutually disjoint, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \bigcup_{k=1,2,\dots,n} (A_k \setminus B_k) ] \cup (C \setminus D) \\ &= [ \bigcup_{k=1,2,\dots,n} (A_k \setminus B_k) ] \dot{\cup} \bigcap_{k=1}^n [(C \setminus D) \setminus (A_k \setminus B_k)] \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From this, by an induction in  $N$ , we deduce that, for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , if  $A_n \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $B_n \in \mathfrak{L}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N (A_n \setminus B_n) \in R$ , which means that  $R$  is an additive class of sets. If  $A_m, B_m, C_n$  and  $D_n$  are in  $\mathfrak{L}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , then, by (4) and since  $R$  is additive, we have

$$\left[ \bigcup_{m=1}^M (A_m \setminus B_m) \right] \setminus \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N (C_n \setminus D_n) \right] = \bigcup_{m=1}^M \bigcap_{n=1}^N [(A_m \setminus B_m) \setminus (C_n \setminus D_n)] \in R,$$

and so  $R$  is subtractive. Thus  $R$  is a ring and the lemma is proved.

Proof of the theorem. It is clear from the lemma that if the desired extension  $\mu$  of  $\lambda$  exists, then for any set  $A \in R$  and any representation of it in the form

$$(5) \quad A = \bigcup_{n=1,2,\dots,N} (A_n \setminus B_n),$$

where  $A_n \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $B_n \in \mathfrak{L}$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^N (\lambda(A_n) - \lambda(A_n \cap B_n)).$$

From this the uniqueness of the extension  $\mu$  is obvious. It is also obvious that for the proof of the existence of such an extension we need only

to prove that, for any set  $A \in R$ , the right side of (6) is independent of the particular representation of  $A$  in form (5).

The proof of such independence will be carried out in several steps. Everywhere in the sequel the big Latin letters denote the elements of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

Step 1. If  $A \setminus B = (C_1 \setminus D_1) \dot{\cup} (C_2 \setminus D_2)$ , then

$$\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) = \lambda(C_1) - \lambda(C_1 \cap D_1) + \lambda(C_2) - \lambda(C_2 \cap D_2).$$

Proof. Since  $C_1 \setminus D_1$  and  $C_2 \setminus D_2$  are disjoint, by (1) we have

$$(7) \quad C_1 \cap C_2 \subset D_1 \cup D_2.$$

Since  $C_i \setminus D_i \subset A \setminus B$ , by (2) we have

$$\emptyset = (C_i \setminus D_i) \setminus (A \setminus B) = [C_i \setminus (D_i \cup A)] \cup [(C_i \cap B) \setminus D_i],$$

form which

$$(8) \quad C_i \subset A \cup D_i$$

and

$$(9) \quad B \cap C_i \subset D_i$$

or  $i = 1, 2$ . Since, by (2)

$$[A \setminus (B \cup C_1)] \cup [(A \cap D_1) \setminus B] = (A \setminus B) \setminus (C_1 \setminus D_1) \subset C_2 \setminus D_2,$$

we have, again by (2),

$$[A \setminus (B \cup C_1 \cup C_2)] \cup [(A \cap D_2) \setminus (B \cup C_1)] = [A \setminus (B \cup C_1)] \setminus [C_2 \setminus D_2] = \emptyset$$

and

$$[(A \cap D_1) \setminus (B \cup C_2)] \cup [(A \cap D_1 \cap D_2) \setminus B] = [(A \cap D_1) \setminus B] \setminus [C_2 \setminus D_2] = \emptyset,$$

from which

$$(10) \quad A \subset B \cup C_1 \cup C_2,$$

$$(11) \quad A \cap D_2 \subset B \cup C_1,$$

$$(12) \quad A \cap D_1 \subset B \cup C_2,$$

$$(13) \quad A \cap D_1 \cap D_2 \subset B.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{U} = \lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) - \lambda(C_1) + \lambda(C_1 \cap D_1) - \lambda(C_2) + \lambda(C_2 \cap D_2).$$

We have to prove that  $\mathfrak{U} = 0$ . Since, by (10) and by strong additivity of  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) &= \lambda(A \cap (B \cup C_1 \cup C_2)) - \lambda(A \cap B) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap (C_1 \cup C_2)) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap (C_1 \cup C_2)) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap C_1) + \lambda(A \cap C_2) - \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2) - \\ &\quad - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_1) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_2) + \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_1 \cap C_2), \end{aligned}$$

and, by (8) and by the strong additivity of  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(C_i) - \lambda(C_i \cap D_i) &= \lambda(C_i \cap (A \cup D_i)) - \lambda(C_i \cap D_i) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap C_i) - \lambda(A \cap C_i \cap D_i)\end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{U} &= \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap D_1) + \lambda(A \cap C_2 \cap D_2) + \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_1 \cap C_2) - \\ &\quad - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_1) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_2) - \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2).\end{aligned}$$

By (11), (12) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(A \cap C_i \cap D_i) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_i) &= \lambda(A \cap C_i \cap D_i \cap (B \cup C_{3-i})) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_i \cap D_i) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_i) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_i),\end{aligned}$$

by (7)

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2) &= \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap (D_1 \cup D_2)) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_1) + \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_2) - \lambda(A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_1 \cap D_2)\end{aligned}$$

and, by (9) and (7)

$$A \cap B \cap C_1 \cap C_2 = A \cap B \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_i = A \cap C_1 \cap C_2 \cap D_1 \cap D_2.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{U} = 0$ .

Step 2. If  $C \setminus D \subset A \setminus B$ , then there are  $E_i$  and  $F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$(14) \quad A \setminus B = (C \setminus D) \dot{\cup} (E_1 \setminus F_1) \dot{\cup} (E_2 \setminus F_2)$$

and

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned}\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) &= \lambda(C) - \lambda(C \cap D) + \lambda(E_1) - \lambda(E_1 \cap F_1) + \lambda(E_2) - \lambda(E_2 \cap F_2).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, put  $E_1 = A$ ,  $F_1 = B \cup C$ ,  $F_2 = A \cap C \cap D$ ,  $F_2 = B$ . Then? by (3), (14) holds and in order to prove that (15) holds we must prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) &= \lambda(C) - \lambda(C \cap D) + \lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap (B \cup C)) + \\ &\quad + \lambda(A \cap C \cap D) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C \cap D).\end{aligned}$$

Since, by the strong additivity of  $\lambda$ ,

$$\lambda(A \cap (B \cup C)) = \lambda(A \cap B) + \lambda(A \cap C) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C),$$

this is equivalent to proving that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned}\lambda(C) - \lambda(C \cap D) - \lambda(A \cap C) + \lambda(A \cap C \cap D) + \\ + \lambda(A \cap B \cap C) - \lambda(A \cap B \cap C \cap D) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $C \setminus D \subset A \setminus B$ , by (2) we have

$$\emptyset = (C \setminus D) \setminus (A \setminus B) = [C \setminus (A \cup D)] \cup [(C \cap B) \setminus D],$$

so that  $C \subset A \cup D$  and  $C \cap B \subset D$ , which implies that

$$B \cap C \cap D = B \cap C$$

and

$$\lambda(C) = \lambda(C \cap (A \cup D)) = \lambda(C \cap D) + \lambda(A \cap C) - \lambda(A \cap C \cap D),$$

and so (16) follows.

Step 3. If

$$A \setminus B = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,n} (C_j \setminus D_j),$$

then

$$\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j)).$$

Suppose that this statement is true for an  $n = k$  and let

$$A \setminus B = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,k+1} (C_j \setminus D_j).$$

By step 2 there are  $E_i$  and  $F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$A \setminus B = (C_{k+1} \setminus D_{k+1}) \dot{\cup} (E_1 \setminus F_1) \dot{\cup} (E_2 \setminus F_2)$$

and

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned}\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) &= \lambda(C_{k+1}) - \lambda(C_{k+1} \cap D_{k+1}) + \lambda(E_1) - \lambda(E_1 \cap F_1) + \\ &\quad + \lambda(E_2) - \lambda(E_2 \cap F_2).\end{aligned}$$

We then have by (1)

$$E_i \setminus F_i = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,k} [(C_j \setminus D_j) \cap (E_i \setminus F_i)] = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,k} [(C_j \cap E_i) \setminus (D_j \cup F_i)]$$

for  $i = 1, 2$  and so, since the statement of step 3 is assumed to be true for  $n = k$ ,

$$(18) \quad \lambda(E_i) - \lambda(E_i \cap F_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda(C_j \cap E_i) - \lambda(C_j \cap E_i \cap (D_j \cup F_i))).$$

Since, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  by (1),

$$C_j \setminus D_j = \bigcup_{i=1,2} [(C_j \setminus D_j) \cap (E_i \setminus F_i)] = \bigcup_{i=1,2} [(C_j \cap E_i) \setminus (D_j \cup F_i)]$$

by the step 1 we have

$$(19) \quad \lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j) = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\lambda(C_j \cap E_i) - \lambda(C_j \cap E_i \cap (D_j \cup F_i)))$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . It follows from (17), (18) and (19) that

$$\lambda(A) - \lambda(A \cap B) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (\lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j)).$$

Thus we see that if the statement of the step 3 is true for  $n = k$ , then it is also true for  $n = k+1$ . By step 1, this statement is true for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, it is true for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Step 4. If

$$\bigcup_{i=1,2,\dots,m} (A_i \setminus B_i) = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,n} (C_j \setminus D_j),$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda(A_i) - \lambda(A_i \cap B_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j)).$$

We have

$$A_i \setminus B_i = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,n} [(A_i \setminus B_i) \cap (C_j \setminus D_j)] = \bigcup_{j=1,2,\dots,n} [(A_i \cap C_j) \setminus (B_i \cup D_j)]$$

and

$$C_j \setminus D_j = \bigcup_{i=1,2,\dots,m} [(A_i \setminus B_i) \cap (C_j \setminus D_j)] = \bigcup_{i=1,2,\dots,m} [(A_i \cap C_j) \setminus (B_i \cup D_j)],$$

so that, by step 3,

$$\lambda(A_i) - \lambda(A_i \cap B_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda(A_i \cap C_j) - \lambda(A_i \cap C_j \cap (B_i \cup D_j))]$$

and

$$\lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda(A_i \cap C_j) - \lambda(A_i \cap C_j \cap (B_i \cup D_j))].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda(A_i) - \lambda(A_i \cap B_i)) &= \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,m \\ j=1,2,\dots,n}} (\lambda(A_i \cap C_j) - \lambda(A_i \cap C_j \cap (B_i \cup D_j))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda(C_j) - \lambda(C_j \cap D_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the statement of step 4 is proved and so the proof of our theorem is completed.

#### Reference

- [1] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, New York 1950.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 8. 1967