

Metric dimension and equivalent metrics*

by

J. H. Roberts and F. G. Slaughter, Jr. (Durham, N.C.)

Given a metric space (X, ρ) , the *metric dimension* of (X, ρ) , indicated $\mu \dim(X, \rho)$, is the smallest integer m such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open cover \mathcal{U}_ε of X such that (i) ρ -mesh $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$ and (ii) $\text{ord } \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \leq m+1$. It is trivial that $\mu \dim(X, \rho) \leq \dim X$, where \dim is covering dimension. In the other direction, Katětov [2] has shown that $2\mu \dim(X, \rho) \geq \dim X$. In [3], examples are given (for all $n > 1$) of spaces (X_n, ρ) with $\dim X_n = n$ and $\mu \dim(X_n, \rho) = [(n+1)/2]$ (the biggest integer in $(n+1)/2$).

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following theorem, which answers a question raised in [3]. Readers are referred to [3] for an extensive bibliography.

THEOREM. *Let (X, ρ) be a metric space with $\mu \dim(X, \rho) = m$ and $\dim X = n$. Then for any integer k such that $m \leq k \leq n$, there exists a metric ρ_k for X such that (i) ρ_k is topologically equivalent to ρ and (ii) $\mu \dim(X, \rho_k) = k$.*

To facilitate the proof of the theorem, we introduce and prove three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, r a positive integer, and let \mathcal{U} be a locally finite open cover of X such that $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \leq r+1$. Then there exists an open cover \mathcal{V} of X , refining \mathcal{U} , such that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{V}_i$, where each \mathcal{V}_i is a disjoint open collection of subsets of X . Thus $\text{ord } \mathcal{V} \leq r+1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be indexed by a set A , so $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$. For each $\alpha \in A$ define the real function $g_\alpha: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by the formula

$$(1) \quad g_\alpha(x) = \frac{\rho(x, X - U_\alpha)}{\sum_{\beta \in A} \rho(x, X - U_\beta)}.$$

Since \mathcal{U} is a cover, for fixed x there is at least one β such that $x \in U_\beta$ so the denominator is not zero. Also, since \mathcal{U} is locally finite, there exists

* The results of this paper are contained in the Ph. D. dissertation of the second author (Duke University, 1966). The authors acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (USA), Grant GF-2065.

an open neighborhood W_x of x which intersects only a finite number of elements of \mathcal{U} . Since $\varrho(y, X - U_\beta)$ is a continuous function of y , for all β , it then follows that g_α is continuous, for all $\alpha \in A$. We list the following properties for reference later on.

- (i) $g_\alpha(x) > 0$ if and only if $x \in U_\alpha$,
- (2) (ii) $0 \leq g_\alpha(x) \leq 1$,
- (iii) for fixed x , $\sum_{\alpha \in A} g_\alpha(x) = 1$.

We define the collections $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_r$ by use of the functions g_α as follows. $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{V_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$, where $V_\alpha = \{x: g_\alpha(x) > g_\beta(x) \text{ if } \beta \neq \alpha\}$. For $0 < i \leq r$, let B be a set of $i+1$ distinct elements of A and define

$$V_B = \{x: \min_{\alpha \in B} \{g_\alpha(x)\} > g_\beta(x), \text{ for all } \beta \notin B\}.$$

Let \mathcal{U}_i be the set of all V_B , where B is a set of $i+1$ distinct elements of A , and let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{U}_i$.

1. *Proof that \mathcal{U} is an open collection.* Take $V \in \mathcal{U}$, $y \in V$, and let B be the finite subset of A such that $V = V_B$ in the definition above. Let g be the continuous real function defined as follows: $g(x) = \min_{\alpha \in B} \{g_\alpha(x)\}$.

Then $V = \{x: g(x) > g_\beta(x), \text{ for all } \beta \notin B\}$. Since $y \in V$, $g(y) > 0$, so, defining W_0 as the set of all x such that $g(x) > 0$, it follows that $y \in W_0$, and W_0 is an open set.

Let W_y be an open neighborhood of y which hits only a finite number of elements of \mathcal{U} (\mathcal{U} is locally finite). Let $C \subset A$ be the set of all α such that $W_y \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then C is finite, $C \supset B$, and we may write $C = B \cup \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$. For each i ($1 \leq i \leq s$), let W_i be the open set consisting of all x such that $g(x) > g_{\alpha_i}(x)$. Finally, set $W = W_y \cap (\bigcap_{i=0}^s W_i)$. Then $y \in W$, W is open, and $W \subset V$. Thus V is open.

2. \mathcal{U}_i is a disjoint collection. Fix i and suppose that B_1 and B_2 are different $(i+1)$ -subsets of A . Then there exist $\beta_1 \in B_1$ and $\beta_2 \in B_2$ such that $\beta_1 \notin B_2$ and $\beta_2 \notin B_1$. Suppose $x \in V_{B_1}$. Then $\min_{\alpha \in B_1} \{g_\alpha(x)\} > g_{\beta_2}(x)$, so $g_{\beta_1}(x) > g_{\beta_2}(x)$. If x is also in V_{B_2} , then $g_{\beta_2}(x) > g_{\beta_1}(x)$, a contradiction.

3. \mathcal{U} is a cover of X . Let $w \in X$ be given, and let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ be the set of all (at most $r+1$) elements β of A such that $w \in U_\beta$ (i.e. $g_\beta(w) > 0$), the integer subscripts being assigned so that $g_{\alpha_0}(w) \geq g_{\alpha_1}(w) \geq \dots \geq g_{\alpha_j}(w)$. Determine i ($0 \leq i \leq j$) as the greatest integer such that $g_{\alpha_i}(w) = g_{\alpha_0}(w)$, and let $B = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i\}$. Then we have $g_{\alpha_i}(w) = g_{\alpha_0}(w) = \dots = g_{\alpha_i}(w) > g_\beta(w)$ if $\beta \notin B$, so $w \in V_B \in \mathcal{U}_i$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that r is a positive integer and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $r+1$ finite open covers of $[0, 1]$, $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_r$ such that

- (i) mesh $\mathcal{W}_i < \varepsilon$,
- (ii) ord $\mathcal{W}_i \leq 2$,
- (iii) for $x \in [0, 1]$ and fixed i , if ord $_x \mathcal{W}_i = 2$, then for all $j \neq i$, ord $_x \mathcal{W}_j = 1$.

Proof. Let q_0 be an odd prime integer such that $1/q_0 < \varepsilon/2$, and let $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_r$ be the next r primes. Let δ be the minimum of the finite set of positive numbers

$$\{|m/q_i - n/q_j|: i \neq j; m = 1, 2, \dots, q_i - 1; n = 1, 2, \dots, q_j - 1; i, j = 0, 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Let \mathcal{W}_i be the set of q_i open intervals

$$\{(j/q_i - \delta/2, (j+1)/q_i + \delta/2): j = 0, 1, \dots, q_i - 1\}.$$

The covers $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_r$ have the desired properties.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that (X, ϱ) is a metric space with $\mu \dim(X, \varrho) = r < n = \dim X$, $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, and $\sigma(x, y) = \varrho(x, y) + |f(x) - f(y)|$.

Then σ is a metric on X , topologically equivalent to ϱ , and $r \leq \mu \dim(X, \sigma) \leq r+1$.

Proof. It is well known (see [1], p. 199) that σ is a metric for X and is topologically equivalent to ϱ . Furthermore, $\sigma(x, y) \geq \varrho(x, y)$, which implies that $\mu \dim(X, \sigma) \geq \mu \dim(X, \varrho)$. We will prove that $\mu \dim(X, \sigma) \leq r+1$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\mu \dim(X, \varrho) = r$, it follows by definition that there exists an open cover \mathcal{B} of X such that (i) ϱ -mesh $\mathcal{B} < \varepsilon/2$, and (ii) ord $\mathcal{B} \leq r+1$. We may index \mathcal{B} by an ordinal η , so that $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha: \alpha < \eta\}$. Now every metric space is paracompact [4] so there is a locally finite open cover \mathcal{C} which refines \mathcal{B} . Let \mathcal{U} be the open cover of X obtained by amalgamating \mathcal{C} relative to \mathcal{B} . That is, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha: \alpha < \eta\}$ where U_α is the union of all elements of \mathcal{C} which are subsets of B_α , but are not subsets of any B_β for any $\beta < \alpha$. Thus

$$U_\alpha = \bigcup \{C \in \mathcal{C}, C \subset B_\alpha, C \not\subset B_\beta \text{ if } \beta < \alpha\}.$$

Then (i) \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover of X , (ii) ord $\mathcal{U} \leq r+1$, and (iii) ϱ -mesh $\mathcal{U} < \varepsilon/2$.

Applying Lemma 1, let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{V}_i$ be an open cover of X refining \mathcal{U} , such that each \mathcal{V}_i is a disjoint collection. Let $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_r$ be the collection of $r+1$ open covers of $[0, 1]$ given by Lemma 2, except that ϱ -mesh $\mathcal{W}_i < \varepsilon/2$. For fixed i ($0 \leq i \leq r$), let \mathcal{U}_i^* be the set of all intersections

$V \cap f^{-1}(W)$, where $V \in \mathcal{U}_i$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}_i$, and set $\mathcal{U}^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{U}_i^*$. We show that σ -mesh $\mathcal{U}^* < \varepsilon$ and $\text{ord } \mathcal{U}^* \leq r+2$, from which it follows that $\mu \dim(X, \sigma) \leq r+1$.

1. σ -mesh $\mathcal{U}^* < \varepsilon$. Suppose $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$, so there exists i , $V \in \mathcal{U}_i$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}_i$ such that $U = V \cap f^{-1}(W)$. Since $V \in \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{U} refines \mathcal{U} , ρ -diameter(V) $< \varepsilon/2$. Also for x and y in $f^{-1}(W)$ we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2$. Thus for x and y in U we have $\sigma(x, y) \leq \rho(x, y) + |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$.

2. $\text{ord } \mathcal{U}^* \leq r+2$. Take $x \in X$. There may be an i (at most one) such that $f(x)$ is in two elements of \mathcal{W}_i . There is at most one $V \in \mathcal{U}_i$ such that $x \in V$, so x can be in at most two elements of \mathcal{U}_i^* . For all j such that $f(x)$ is in only one element of \mathcal{W}_j it follows that x is in at most one element of \mathcal{U}_j^* . Since $\mathcal{U}^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{U}_i^*$, it follows that $\text{ord } \mathcal{U}^* \leq r+2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Proof of the theorem. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t\}$ be a finite open cover of X such that every open cover \mathcal{K} refining \mathcal{U} has $\text{ord } \mathcal{K} \geq n+1$. (Such a cover exists since $\dim X \geq n$.) There exists a closed cover $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t\}$ with $F_i \subset G_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. (This is true even for all normal spaces.) For each i ($1 \leq i \leq t$), let $f_i: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous, and such that $f_i(x) = 1$ for $x \in F_i$, $f_i(x) = 0$ if $x \in X - G_i$ (Urysohn's Lemma). For $1 \leq i \leq t$ define $\sigma_i: X \times X \rightarrow \text{Real Numbers}$ by the formula

$$\sigma_i(x, y) = \rho(x, y) + \sum_{j=1}^i |f_j(x) - f_j(y)|.$$

We prove that $\mu \dim(X, \sigma_i) \geq n$. For if \mathcal{U} is any open cover of X with σ_i -mesh $\mathcal{U} < 1$, then \mathcal{U} refines \mathcal{G} , hence $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \geq n+1$. To prove this, take $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in U$. Since \mathcal{F} is a cover of X , there exists i such that $x \in F_i$. Then

$$\sigma_i(x, X - G_i) \geq |f_i(x) - f_i(X - G_i)| = 1 - 0 = 1, \quad \text{so} \quad U \subset G_i.$$

Setting $\sigma_0(x, y) = \rho(x, y)$, note that

$$\sigma_{i+1}(x, y) = \sigma_i(x, y) + |f_{i+1}(x) - f_{i+1}(y)|,$$

so by Lemma 3,

$$\mu \dim(X, \sigma_i) \leq \mu \dim(X, \sigma_{i+1}) \leq \mu \dim(X, \sigma_i) + 1,$$

and

$$\mu \dim(X, \sigma_i) \geq n.$$

Thus, starting with $\mu \dim(X, \sigma_0) = m$, the metric dimension goes up at most one when σ_i is replaced by σ_{i+1} , and $\mu \dim(X, \sigma_i) \geq n$. Thus all values k ($m \leq k \leq n$) are assumed, and the theorem is proved.

References

[1] Witold Hurewicz, *Über Einbettung separabler Räume in gleichdimensionale kompakte Räume*, Monatshefte für Math. und Physik 37 (1930), pp. 199-208.
 [2] M. Katětov, *On the relations between the metric and topological dimensions*, Czech. Math. J. 8 (1958), pp. 163-166.
 [3] Keiō Nagami and J. H. Roberts, *A study of metric-dependent dimension functions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 129 (1967), pp. 414-435.
 [4] A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), pp. 690-700.

DUKE UNIVERSITY, Durham, North Carolina, USA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 9. 1966