Correction to the paper
"Sequents in many valued logic I"

(Fundamenta Mathematicae 60 (1967), pp. 23-33)

by

G. Rousseau (Leicester)

The definition of the statement $II^*\gamma$ given on p. 32 of this paper is incorrect. A correct definition may be given as follows.

Let $\gamma$ be any statement and let $II$ be the sequent (1). We replace each statement $a$ occurring in $I_m$ by $(J_m a \supset \gamma)$ ($m \in M$). If the resulting sequent contains the statements $a_1, ..., a_k$ in that order, then $II^*\gamma$ is the statement

$$a_1 \supset \ldots \supset a_k \supset \gamma;$$

in particular if $II$ is the null sequent, then $II^*\gamma$ is the statement $\gamma$.
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