

Correction to the paper
“Sequents in many valued logic I”

(Fundamenta Mathematicae 60 (1967), pp. 23-33)

by

G. Rousseau (Leicester)

The definition of the statement $II^*\gamma$ given on p. 32 of this paper is incorrect. A correct definition may be given as follows.

Let γ be any statement and let II be the sequent (1). We replace each statement a occurring in Γ_m by $(J_m a \supset \gamma)$ ($m \in M$). If the resulting sequent contains the statements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ in that order, then $II^*\gamma$ is the statement

$$\alpha_1 \supset \dots \supset \alpha_k \supset \gamma;$$

in particular if II is the null sequent, then $II^*\gamma$ is the statement γ .

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 8. 1967
