

[14] R. Rado, *Axiomatic treatment of rank in infinite sets*, *Canad. J. Math.* 1 (1949), pp. 337-343.

[15] — *Partial well-ordering of sets of vectors*, *Mathematika* 1 (1954), pp. 89-95.

[16] W. Sierpiński, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, *Monografie Matemat.* 34, Warszawa 1958.

[17] E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel*, *Fund. Math.* 16 (1930), pp. 386-389.

[18] S. Tarkowski, *On the comparability of dendrites*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* 8 (1960), pp. 39-41.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT  
Storrs, Connecticut

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 4. 1966

## On a property of sets of positive measure

by

B. Sodnomov (Ulan-Ude)

1. For any subsets  $A, B$  of the real line we put  $A+B = \{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$ ,  $A-B = \{a-b: a \in A, b \in B\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}(A, B) = \{|a-b|: a \in A, b \in B\}$ ,  $D(A) = D(A, A)$ .  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  is called the *set of distances* of  $A$ .

For every subset  $E$  of the real line,  $E^\xi$  denotes the symmetrical reflection of  $E$ ,  $\xi$  being the center of symmetry. It is evident that

$$A+B = A-B^{(0)}, \quad A-B = A+B^{(0)},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(A, B) = [(A-B) \cup (B-A)] \cap [0, \infty), \quad \mathfrak{D}(A) = (A-A) \cap [0, \infty).$$

If  $E_\xi$  denotes the intersection of  $E$  and  $E^\xi$  then  $E_\xi$  is symmetric with respect to  $\xi$ . If  $\xi$  is a metric density point for  $E$  then  $\xi$  is also a metric density point for  $E_\xi$ .

$mE$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $E$ .

We say that a system  $\Sigma_n$  of  $3^n$  congruent and disjoint segments  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ , where  $t_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , is *quite symmetric* if for any natural number  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , it satisfies the following conditions:

(\*)  $\Delta_{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_k, \dots, t_n}$  and  $\Delta_{t_1, \dots, t'_{k-1}, t'_k, \dots, t'_n}$  are symmetric with respect to the center of  $\Delta_{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1}$  provided the sequence  $t'_k, t'_{k+1}, \dots, t'_n$  is obtained from the sequence  $t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n$  by the substitution 0 for 2 and 2 for 0;

(\*\*)  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n-k+1}}$  will coincide with  $\Delta_{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{k-1}, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n-k+1}}$  if the real line will be translated at a distance such that  $\Delta_{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1}$  coincides with  $\Delta_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1}$ .

A perfect set  $F$  is called *quite symmetric* if there exists a sequence of quite symmetric systems  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_n, \dots$  such that the segment  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  of  $\Sigma_n$  contains the segments  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, t}$ ,  $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , of  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  the centers of  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  and  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, 1}$  coincide and  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , where  $S_n = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \Sigma_n} \Delta$ .

2. THEOREM 1. *If  $F$  is a quite symmetric perfect set, then there exists a perfect set  $P$  such that  $P+P = F$ .*

Proof. We denote the length of  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  by  $2r_n$ .

Let be  $\Delta_t = [a_t, b_t]$ ,  $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

Now we define two segments

$$\delta_0 = \left[ \frac{a_0}{2}, \frac{a_0}{2} + r_1 \right], \quad \delta_1 = \left[ a_1 - \frac{a_0}{2}, a_1 - \frac{a_0}{2} + r_1 \right].$$

These segments are congruent and disjoint. Put  $P_1 = \delta_0 \cup \delta_1$ . It is evident that  $\delta_i + \delta_j = \Delta_{i+j}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ , i.e.  $P_1 + P_1 = S_1$ . Let us assume that  $2^n$  congruent and disjoint segments  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ ,  $i_k \in \{0, 1\}$ , have been obtained and for these segments the following condition is fulfilled:

$$(1) \delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + \delta_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} = \Delta_{i_1+j_1, i_2+j_2, \dots, i_n+j_n}, \text{ where } i_k, j_k \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Now we consider the segment  $\Delta_\sigma = [a, b]$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes the sequence  $0, 0, \dots, 0$ .

Since  $\delta_\sigma + \delta_\sigma = \Delta_\sigma$ , we have  $\delta_\sigma = [a/2, b/2]$ . The centers of  $\Delta_\sigma$  and  $\Delta_{\sigma,1}$  coincide; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\sigma,1} &= \left[ \frac{a+b}{2} - r_{n+1}, \frac{a+b}{2} + r_{n+1} \right], \\ \Delta_{\sigma,0} &= [a + \varrho, a + \varrho + 2r_{n+1}], \\ \Delta_{\sigma,2} &= [b - \varrho - 2r_{n+1}, b - \varrho] \quad \text{where } \varrho \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta_{\sigma,0}, \Delta_{\sigma,1}, \Delta_{\sigma,2}$  are disjoint,

$$a + \varrho + 2r_{n+1} < \frac{a+b}{2} - r_{n+1}, \quad b - \varrho - 2r_{n+1} > \frac{a+b}{2} + r_{n+1}.$$

In the segment  $\delta_\sigma$  we define two segments

$$\delta_{\sigma,0} = \left[ \frac{a+\varrho}{2}, \frac{a+\varrho}{2} + r_{n+1} \right], \quad \delta_{\sigma,1} = \left[ \frac{b-\varrho}{2} - r_{n+1}, \frac{b-\varrho}{2} \right].$$

Obviously  $\delta_{\sigma,i} + \delta_{\sigma,j} = \Delta_{\sigma,i+j}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . Now we put  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, i} = \delta_{\sigma,i} + \{\xi - a\}$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , where  $\xi$  is the center of  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$  and  $a$  is the center of  $\delta_\sigma$ .

Since  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + \delta_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} = \Delta_{i_1+j_1, i_2+j_2, \dots, i_n+j_n}$ ,  $\delta_\sigma + \delta_\sigma = \Delta_\sigma$  and  $\delta_{\sigma,i} + \delta_{\sigma,j} = \Delta_{\sigma,i+j}$ , it is evident that  $\delta_{i_1, \dots, i_n, i} + \delta_{j_1, \dots, j_n, j} = \Delta_{i_1+j_1, \dots, i_n+j_n, i+j}$  for  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Thus, a system of segments  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ ,  $i_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , satisfying (1), is constructed.

$$\text{Put } P_n = \bigcup_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}.$$

It follows from (1) that  $P_n + P_n = S_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . The intersection of all sets  $P_n$  is some perfect set which is denoted by  $P$ .

Since  $P + P = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n + P_n)$  and  $P_n + P_n = S_n$ , we have finally  $P + P = F$ .

Remark. If  $F$  is symmetric with respect to 0, then  $P$  is also symmetric with respect to 0. In fact, it is easy to check by induction that a system of segments  $\delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$  is symmetric with respect to 0 if a system  $S_n$  is symmetric with respect to 0.

COROLLARY. If  $F$  is a quite symmetric perfect set then there exist two perfect sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A - B = F$ .

It is sufficient to put  $A = P$ ,  $B = P^{(0)}$ .

3. THEOREM 2. Every linear set of positive Lebesgue measure contains a quite symmetric perfect subset.

Proof. Let  $E$  be a certain perfect subset of positive measure of the given set. Now we take a certain metric density point  $\xi_1$  of  $E$  and put  $P = E \cap E^{(\xi_1)}$ . Let  $\xi_0, \xi_0 < \xi_1$ , be an other metric density point of  $P$ . We take a number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$ .

Since  $\xi_0, \xi_1$  are metric density points of  $P$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{m(P \cap [\xi_0 - r, \xi_0 + r])}{2r} > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \frac{m(P \cap [\xi_1 - r, \xi_1 + r])}{2r} > 1 - \varepsilon$$

provided  $0 < r < \delta$ .

Let  $r_0$  be such a number that  $0 < r_0 < \min(\delta, (\xi_1 - \xi_0)/2)$ . Put  $H = (P \cap [\xi_0 - r_0, \xi_0 + r_0])_{\xi_0}$ . Let  $P_1 = P \cap [\xi_1 - r_0, \xi_1 + r_0] \cap (H + \{\xi_1 - \xi_0\})$ . Then, since  $mH > 2r_0(1 - 2\varepsilon)$  and  $m(P \cap [\xi_1 - r_0, \xi_1 + r_0]) > 2r_0(1 - \varepsilon)$ , we see that  $mP_1 > 2r_0(1 - 3\varepsilon) > 0$ .

Furthermore,  $\xi_1$  is a metric density point of  $P_1$ .

Now we put  $P_0 = P_1 - \{\xi_1 - \xi_0\}$ ,  $P_2 = P_1 + \{\xi_1 - \xi_0\}$ . Since  $P$  is symmetric with respect to  $\xi_1$  and  $P_0 \subset P$ , we have that  $P_2 \subset P$ .

Let us assume that  $3^n$  congruent perfect sets  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ ,  $t_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , of positive measure have been obtained and for these sets the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) there is a quite symmetric system of segments  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  which correspondingly contain the sets  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ ;
- (ii) the center of  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  coincides with the center of symmetry of  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ ;
- (iii) the center of symmetry of  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$  is simultaneously a metric density point of  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ .

If we make one of these sets  $P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ , for instance  $P_{0,0,\dots,0}$ , to play the role of the set  $P$ , then we shall obtain three perfect sets  $P_{0,\dots,0,i}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Now for every sequence  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  we put  $P_{t_1, \dots, t_n, i} = P_{0,0,\dots,0,i} + \{\nu - a\}$ , where  $\nu$  is the center of  $\Delta_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ , and  $a$  is the center of  $\Delta_{0,0,\dots,0}$ .



Thus, we shall obtain  $3^{n+1}$  congruent perfect sets  $P_{t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}}$  for which conditions (i)-(iii) are fulfilled.

Having supposed  $F_n = \bigcup_{t_1, \dots, t_n} P_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n}$ , we obtain  $E \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \dots$

Let  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . From conditions (i)-(iii) it is easy to see that  $F$  is a quite symmetric perfect set.

**COROLLARY 1.** For every linear set  $E$  of positive Lebesgue measure there exists a perfect set  $P$  such that  $P+P \subseteq E$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** If a closed set  $E$  has 0 for a metric density point, then there exists a perfect set  $P$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(P) \subseteq E$ .

We take a quite symmetric subset  $F$  which is symmetric with respect to 0. There exists a perfect set  $P$  such that  $P+P = F$ .

Since  $P$  is also symmetric with respect to 0, we have  $P-P = P+P^{(0)} = P+P$  and  $\mathcal{D}(P) = (P-P) \cap [0, \infty] = F \cap [0, \infty)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{D}(P) \subseteq F \subseteq E$ .

Corollary 1 solves a problem stated by J. Mycielski in § 4.2 of [1]. J. Mycielski informs us, while this paper was still in preparation, that he found a more direct proof of Corollaries 1 and 2 which is to appear in [2]. I am greatly thankful to him.

#### References

[1] Jan Mycielski, *Independent sets in topological algebras*, Fund. Math. 55 (1964), pp. 139-147.

[2] — *Complete subgraphs and measure*, Actes des Journées sur la Théorie des Graphes — ICC, Dunod 1967.

Requ par la Rédaction le 1. 6. 1966

## On distributive quasi-lattices

by

Jerzy Płonka (Wrocław)

**Introduction.** In the present paper we are concerned with certain algebras which we call *distributive quasi-lattices* (DQL). These algebras generalize distributive lattices and the main result proved here is a representation theorem which essentially reduce their structure to that of a certain direct spectrum of distributive lattices. To get this we need first analogous results on certain semigroups called here *idempotent quasi-Abelian semigroups*.

Finally, we characterize the independence in idempotent quasi-Abelian semigroups and in distributive quasi-lattices.

**§ 1. Idempotent quasi-Abelian semigroups.** Let us consider an algebra  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle X; \circ \rangle$  in which the fundamental operation  $\circ$  satisfies the following axioms:

- (1)  $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ ,
- (2)  $x \circ x = x$ ,
- (3)  $x \circ y \circ z = x \circ z \circ y$ .

In view of (1) and (2)  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an idempotent semigroup. Such semigroups will be called *idempotent right quasi-Abelian semigroups* (QAS).

If  $\circ$  fulfils (1), (2), and

$$(3') \quad x \circ y \circ z = y \circ x \circ z,$$

then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called an *idempotent left quasi-Abelian semigroup*. In the sequel we deal only with idempotent right quasi-Abelian semigroups. The left case is dual.

We shall give now some examples of such semigroups.

**EXAMPLES. 1.** Let  $a$  be a positive real number. Let the set  $X$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  be  $\{x: 0 < |x| \leq a\}$ .

Operation  $\circ$  will be defined as follows: If  $\text{sgn } x = \text{sgn } y$  then  $x \circ y = x$ ; in the remaining cases,  $x \circ y = |x|$ . It is easy to see that here  $\circ$  depends on both variables.