

Stable points of a polyhedron

by

W. Kuperberg (Warszawa)

DEFINITION 1. A point a of a space M is *labile* (see [3], p. 159, definition of a *homotopically labile* point) if for each neighbourhood U of a there exists a continuous function $h: M \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow M$ (a homotopy) which satisfies the following four conditions:

- (1) $h(x, 0) = x$ for every $x \in M$;
- (2) $h(x, t) = x$ for every $x \notin U, t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$;
- (3) $h(x, t) \in U$ for every $x \in U, t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$;
- (4) $h(x, 1) \neq a$ for every $x \in M$.

A point a is *stable* if it is not labile.

The term "lability" is used in paper [4] in an other sense.

DEFINITION 2. A point $a \in M$ is *almost-labile* (see [4], definition of a *labile* point) if it satisfies Definition 1, condition (4) being replaced by

- (4') there exists an $a' \in U$ such that $h(x, 1) \neq a'$ for every $x \in M$.

It is obvious that if a is a labile point, then it is almost-labile, but not conversely; the set of all almost-labile points in M is closed; on the other hand, the set of all labile points in M is not necessarily closed.

Let P be a polyhedron, T its triangulation. The simplex $\Delta \in T$ is said to be a *free face* of P if there exists exactly one simplex Δ' in T such that Δ is a proper face of Δ' . It is easy to see that the property "to have a free face" does not depend on the triangulation; it is also clear that if a polyhedron has a free face, then it contains a labile point. Moreover, if the dimension of the free face is > 0 , then all the points lying in the interior of that free face are labile.

H. Hopf and E. Pannwitz showed (see [4], p. 446) that for every integer $n \geq 2$ there exists a homogeneously n -dimensional polyhedron which has no free faces but contains two almost-labile points (certainly, for $n < 2$ it is impossible). However, by Theorem 1 (see § 1 of this paper) it follows that the examples given by Hopf and Pannwitz contain no labile points.

In § 1 of this paper it is shown that for every integer $n \geq 3$ there exists a homogeneously n -dimensional polyhedron which has no free

faces but contains (at least) two labile points, and that for $n < 3$ such a situation is impossible.

In paper [3] K. Borsuk and J. W. Jaworowski raised the following question: "Is the stability of points invariant under Cartesian multiplication?" In § 2 a negative answer to this question is given; namely two polyhedra A and B are constructed such that the Cartesian product $A \times B$ contains a labile point the coordinates of which are both stable in the corresponding factors; in that example B is a segment.

§ 1. An example of a homogeneously n -dimensional polyhedron ($n \geq 3$) without free faces but with labile points.

Let CX be the cone over a space X , i.e. the factor-space $X \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle / X \times \{0\}$. Each point $[(x, t)] \in CX$, where $t \neq 0$ may be identified with the point $(x, t) \in X \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, whence we can write $X \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \subset CX$.

The point $c = [X \times \{0\}] = [(x, 0)]$ is called the vertex of the cone, the set $X \times \{1\}$ is said to be the basis of the cone.

The space X is called contractible if there exists a retraction of the cone over X onto its basis.

LEMMA 1. The vertex of the cone CX over a compact space X is labile if and only if X is contractible.

Proof. 1. Let the vertex $c \in CX$ be labile. Since the set $U = CX - X \times \{1\}$ is a neighbourhood of c , there exists a homotopy $h: CX \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow CX$ satisfying conditions (1)-(4) (Definition 1) with respect to c . Let us write $f(z) = h(z, 1)$ for each $z \in CX$. The function f has two properties:

(*) $f(z) \neq c$ for every $z \in CX$ (this follows from (4));

(**) $f(z) = z$ for every $z \in X \times \{1\}$ (this follows from (2)).

By (*) we can write $f: CX \rightarrow CX - \{c\}$. Now, let $\pi: CX - \{c\} \rightarrow X \times \{1\}$ be the projection given by $\pi(x, t) = (x, 1)$. By (**) we infer that the composition $\pi \circ f: CX \rightarrow X \times \{1\}$ is a retraction; consequently X is contractible.

2. Now, let X be contractible; this means that there exists a retraction $r: CX \rightarrow X \times \{1\}$. Let U be a neighbourhood of the vertex c of CX .

Let U_ε (for every positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$) denote the set $\{(x, t) \in CX: t \leq \varepsilon\}$ and let $\varphi_\varepsilon: CX \rightarrow U_\varepsilon$ be the homeomorphism given by the formula $\varphi_\varepsilon[(x, s)] = [(x, \varepsilon \cdot s)]$. Furthermore, let U_0 be the empty set. Since the space X is compact, there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $U_\lambda \subset U$. This implies that the homotopy $h: CX \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow CX$ given by the formula

$$h(z, t) = \begin{cases} z & \text{for every } z \notin U_{\lambda \cdot t}, \\ \varphi_{\lambda \cdot t} \circ r \circ \varphi_{\lambda \cdot t}^{-1}(z) & \text{for every } z \in U_{\lambda \cdot t}. \end{cases}$$

satisfies conditions (1)-(4) with respect to the vertex c ; consequently it is labile.

Remark. The lability (and almost-lability) is a local property, i.e. if U is a neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$, V is a neighbourhood of $y \in Y$ and there exists a homeomorphism of U onto V which sends x onto y , then the lability (or almost-lability) of x in X implies the lability (or almost-lability) of y in Y . Hence if a point $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood U which is (homeomorphic to) a cone with the vertex x over a compact set C , then x is labile if and only if C is contractible. Now, let us suppose that P is a polyhedron, and x an (arbitrary) point of it. Let us choose a triangulation T of P such that x is a vertex. Let S_x be the star of the vertex x , i.e. the union of all simplexes $\Delta \in T$ such that $x \in \Delta$; let S_x^* be the boundary of S_x , i.e. the union of all simplexes $\Delta \in T$ such that $\Delta \subset S_x$ and $x \notin \Delta$. Let us remark that S_x is a neighbourhood of x and that S_x is a cone with the vertex x over S_x^* .

The above remark and Lemma 1 imply:

THEOREM 1. A vertex x of a triangulation T of a polyhedron P is labile if and only if the boundary of the star of x is contractible.

Let SX be the suspension of a space X , i.e. the factor-space $(X \times \langle -1, 1 \rangle / X \times \{-1\}) / X \times \{1\}$. The space SX is homeomorphic to the space formed by two cones X disjoint apart from the common basis. The points $[X \times \{-1\}]$ and $[X \times \{1\}]$ are called the vertices of the suspension; the set $X \times \{0\}$ is called the basis of the suspension.

Let us observe the following four simple properties of the operation of suspension:

(i) If X is contractible then so is SX .

(ii) The vertices of the suspension SX are labile if and only if X is contractible (see Lemma 1).

(iii) Suspension of a polyhedron without free faces is also a polyhedron without free faces.

(iv) Suspension of a homogeneously n -dimensional polyhedron is a homogeneously $(n+1)$ -dimensional polyhedron.

COROLLARY 1. There are no labile points in the polyhedra constructed by Hopf and Pannwitz in [4] on page 446.

Proof. The examples given by Hopf and Pannwitz are constructed in the following manner: Let Q^n be the n -cube and S^{n-1} its boundary; let p_0 be a fixed point of S^1 . Let B^{n-1} be the subset $(S^{n-2} \times S^1) \cup (Q^{n-1} \times \{p_0\})$ of the product $Q^{n-1} \times S^1$ (for $n \geq 2$) and let $P^n = SB^{n-1}$ (the suspension of B^{n-1}). The polyhedron P^n is homogeneously n -dimensional, it has no free faces and the vertices of the suspension are almost-labile (see [4], p. 446). But B^{n-1} is not contractible which implies by (ii) that the vertices of the suspension are stable. Now, let x be an other point

in P^n . If $x \in S(S^{n-2} \times \{p_0\})$ then S_x^* is formed by three $(n-1)$ -cubes which are disjoint apart from the common boundary; such a polyhedron is not contractible. If $x \notin S(S^{n-2} \times \{p_0\})$ then S_x^* is an $(n-1)$ -sphere.

Therefore, by Theorem 1, P^n contains no labile points.

COROLLARY 2. *If P is a polyhedron of dimension at most 2 without free faces then each point of it is stable.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us suppose that $v \in P$ is labile.

We can suppose that v is a vertex, changing the triangulation if needed. Then S_v^* , i.e. the boundary of the star S_v of v , is a contractible (in particular non-empty) polyhedron of dimension at most 1.

In fact: $\dim S_v^* = \dim S_v - 1 \leq \dim P - 1 = 1$. Hence S_v^* is a single point or a tree, depending of whether the dimension of P at the point v is equal to 1 or 2. If S_v^* is a point then S_v is the only 1-simplex for which v is an end-point. In this case the 0-simplex v is a free face of P . If S_v^* is a tree then it contains a point p which is a free face in S_v^* (namely one of the points of order 1 in S_v^*) and then the 1-simplex which joins the points p and v is a free face in P ; therefore in both cases we obtain a contradiction.

COROLLARY 3. *For every integer $n \geq 3$ there exists a homogeneously n -dimensional polyhedron without free faces but with labile points.*

Proof. Let P_2 be an arbitrary homogeneously 2-dimensional contractible polyhedron which contains no free faces (for example the homogeneously 2-dimensional contractible polyhedron which is not a union of two contractible polyhedra different from it; the construction of such an example was given by K. Borsuk in [2]).

Now we define P_n by induction as the suspension of P_{n-1} (for $n \geq 3$).

Let p_n and q_n denote the vertices of the suspension $SP_{n-1} = P_n$. P_2 being contractible, by (i) P_3 is also contractible; by induction all the P_n are contractible. Thus by (ii) the points p_n and q_n are labile in P_n (for each $n \geq 3$). P_2 contains no free faces, and therefore by (iii) P_3 and further all the P_n contain no free faces. Since P_2 is homogeneously 2-dimensional, each P_n (for $n \geq 2$) is by (iv) and by induction homogeneously n -dimensional, which completes the proof.

§ 2. A Cartesian product of two polyhedra which contains a labile point with both coordinates stable in the correspondent factors. By the join $X \wedge Y$ of two spaces X and Y we mean the subset

$$CX \times (Y \times \{1\}) \cup (X \times \{1\}) \times CY$$

of the product $CX \times CY$, where CX and CY are cones over X and Y respectively. If Y is a two-point-space, then $X \wedge Y$ is homeomorphic to the suspension SX of the space X .

LEMMA 2. *The spaces $CX \times CY$ and $C(X \wedge Y)$ are homeomorphic; moreover, there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: CX \times CY \rightarrow C(X \wedge Y)$ such that*

$$\varphi([X \times \{0\}], [Y \times \{0\}]) = [(X \wedge Y) \times \{0\}].$$

Proof. If $z \in CX \times CY$ then z is a pair (u, v) , where $u \in CX$, $v \in CY$; further $u = [(x, t)]$, $v = [(y, s)]$, where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, s and $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

The function $\varphi: CX \times CY \rightarrow C(X \wedge Y)$ obtained by the formula

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} [(X \wedge Y) \times \{0\}] & \text{for } s = t = 0, \\ [([x, t/s], [y, 1]), s] & \text{for } t \leq s \neq 0, \\ [([x, 1], [y, s/t]), t] & \text{for } s \leq t \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

is the required homeomorphism.

THEOREM 2. *There exists a polyhedron A containing a stable point a such that pair $(a, 0)$ is a labile point in the product $A \times \langle -1, 1 \rangle$ of A and the closed interval $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Let K be an arbitrary polyhedron which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (I) K is not contractible;
- (II) the suspension of K is contractible.

For example, let K be the polyhedron constructed by E. G. Begle (see [1], p. 386) in the following manner: Let P be a Poincaré sphere, i.e. a 3-dimensional polyhedron with the homology group of a 3-sphere and with a non-vanishing fundamental group (see [5], p. 245); K is the polyhedron obtained by removing an open 3-simplex from P .

E. G. Begle shows in [1] that K satisfies conditions (I) and (II).

Now, let A be the cone CK over K and let a be the vertex of that cone. Naturally, by (I) and by Lemma 1, a is stable in A .

The interval $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$ is a cone with the vertex 0 over its two-point-subset $D = \{-1\} \cup \{1\}$, whence by Lemma 2 the product $A \times \langle -1, 1 \rangle = CK \times CD$ is (topologically) a cone with the vertex $(a, 0)$ over the join $K \wedge D$, i.e. over the suspension SK of K . Therefore, by (II) and by Lemma 1, the point $(a, 0)$ is labile in the product $A \times \langle -1, 1 \rangle$.

COROLLARY 4. *The stability of points is not invariant under Cartesian multiplication.*

In fact: the points a and 0 are both stable in A and in $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$ resp.

References

- [1] E. G. Begle, *Intersections of contractible polyhedra*, B. A. M. S. 49 (1943) pp. 386-387.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Über das Phänomen der Unzerlegbarkeit in der Polyedertopologie*, Comm. Math. Helv. 8 (1935), pp. 142-148.

- [3] K. Borsuk and J. W. Jaworowski, *On labil and stabil points*, Fund. Math. 39 (1952), pp. 159-175.
 [4] H. Hopf, E. Pannwitz, *Über stetige Deformationen von Komplexen in sich*, Math. Ann. 108 (1933), pp. 433-465.
 [5] R. L. Wilder, *Topology of manifolds*, New York 1949.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 6. 1965

A structure theory for a class of lattice ordered semirings*

by

F. A. Smith (Columbus, Ohio)

Introduction. A *semiring* S is a set of elements which is closed under two binary associative commutative operations $(+)$ and (\cdot) such that $a(b+c) = ab+ac$ for all $a, b, c \in S$, containing elements 0 and 1 such that $s+0 = s$ and $s \cdot 1 = s$ for all $s \in S$. A semiring S will be called *positive* if $1+s$ has a multiplicative inverse for all $s \in S$.

The theory of semirings is relatively new. Bourne in [1] and Bourne and Zassenhaus in [2] have presented some partial results generalizing the Jacobson structure theory for semirings. In 1955, Slowikowski and Zawadowski in [7] studied the structure space of commutative positive semirings. Although they did not attempt to present an algebraic structure theory, their work seemed to indicate that a structure theory was possible for this class of semirings.

If S is a semiring, let $T(S) = \{x \in S: x+x = x\}$ and $K(S) = \{x \in S: x+a = x+b \text{ implies } a = b\}$. These elements will be called respectively the *a-idempotent* and *a-cancellable* elements. In Section 1 we prove that every positive semiring is *a-idempotent* or contains a copy of the non-negative rational numbers.

On every semiring S there is a natural quasi-order defined by letting $a \leq b$ if $a+x = b$ is solvable in S . A semiring S will be called an *l-semiring* if S is lattice ordered under the natural quasi-order and $a+(b \vee c) = (a+b) \vee (a+c)$ and $a+(b \wedge c) = (a+b) \wedge (a+c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. A semiring S will be called *archimedean* if $nx \leq a$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ implies $x \in T$. In Section 3, we show that in a positive archimedean *l-semiring* S , then $K(S) = \{x \in S: x \wedge T = \{0\}\}$ and that if $\{1 \wedge k: k \in K\}$ has a supremum in K , then $S = K + \{x \in S: x \wedge K = \{0\}\}$. In Section 4, we show that both T and K are an intersection of prime *l-ideals* but that even under very strong hypothesis, the same is not true for $T+K$.

* This research was supported by the National Science Foundation on contract no. 3335 and is part of the author's doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor Melvin Henriksen.