

Locally equiconnected spaces and absolute neighborhood retracts *

by

J. Dugundji (Los Angeles, Calif.)

1. Introduction. It is well known, and easy to prove, that if a metric space is an ANR, then it is locally equiconnected (cf. [10], [11]). The purpose of this paper is to determine some conditions under which the converse is true.

In the first part (§ 2) we derive some equivalent formulations of local equiconnectedness; it is an easy consequence of one of these that the equiconnected spaces are precisely the contractible locally equiconnected ones, a result that is apparently new.

In the second part (§ 3) we characterize the locally equiconnected spaces that are ANRs; one application is given, which leads to a slight extension of a result due to Milnor ([6], p. 279).

2. Equiconnected spaces. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, all spaces will be metric (not necessarily separable), and I will denote the unit interval. A metric space Y is *locally equiconnected* if there exists a neighborhood U of the diagonal $\Delta \subset Y \times Y$ and a continuous map $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $\lambda(a, b, 0) = a$, $\lambda(a, b, 1) = b$, and $\lambda(a, a, t) = a$ for all $(a, b) \in U$, $t \in I$; the map λ is called an *equiconnecting function*. The space Y is equiconnected if λ is defined on $Y \times Y$.

2.1. THEOREM. Y is (locally) equiconnected if and only if the diagonal Δ is a strong (neighborhood) deformation retract ⁽¹⁾ in $Y \times Y$.

Proof. Assume that Y is locally equiconnected, and let $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ be an equiconnecting function. Define $\rho: U \times I \rightarrow Y \times Y$ by $\rho[(a, b), t] = [\lambda(a, b, t), b]$; then ρ is easily verified to be a strong deformation retraction of U into Δ . For the converse, let $\rho: U \times I \rightarrow Y \times Y$ be a strong deformation retraction of $U \subset Y \times Y$ into Δ , where $\rho(u, 0) = u$ for all

* This research was partially supported by NSF grant G-24471.

⁽¹⁾ A closed $A \subset X$ is a strong neighborhood deformation retract in X if there exists an open $U \supset A$ and a homotopy $h: U \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $h(u, 0) = u$, $h(u, 1) \in A$ and $h(a, t) = a$ for every $u \in U$, $a \in A$ and $t \in I$; h is called a *strong deformation retraction* of U into A .



$u \in U$. Letting $p_1: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection $(a, b) \rightarrow a$ and $p_2: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ the projection $(a, b) \rightarrow b$, define $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\lambda(a, b, t) = \begin{cases} p_1 \circ \varrho[(a, b), 2t], & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ p_2 \circ \varrho[(a, b), 2-2t], & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is evident that λ is continuous, and an equiconnecting function. The proof for equiconnectedness is entirely analogous.

Though it is equally simple to prove directly, we find from 2.1 that

2.2. COROLLARY. *Every ANR is locally equiconnected, and every AR is equiconnected.*

Proof. If Y is an AR (ANR), then Δ , being homeomorphic to Y , is also an AR (ANR), and each AR (ANR) is a strong (neighborhood) deformation retract in any metric-space containing it as a closed set ([2], p. 239, [4], p. 325).

To get a relation between equiconnectedness and local equiconnectedness, and for future reference, we state explicitly the trivial

2.3. LEMMA. *Let $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ be an equiconnecting function. Then for each $y_0 \in Y$ and neighborhood W of y_0 , there is a neighborhood V , $y_0 \in V \subset W$, such that $\lambda(V, V, I) \subset W$. In particular, each locally equiconnected space is locally contractible, and each equiconnected space is both contractible and locally contractible.*

Proof. Since $\lambda^{-1}(W)$ is open in the open $U \times I \subset Y \times Y \times I$, it is open in $Y \times Y \times I$, and because $y_0 \times y_0 \times I \subset \lambda^{-1}(W)$, it follows ([5], p. 86) that there is a neighborhood $V \times V \supset y_0 \times y_0$ such that $V \times V \times I \subset \lambda^{-1}(W)$. In particular, defining $\varrho: V \times I \rightarrow Y$ by $\varrho(y, t) = \lambda[y, y_0, t]$ we obtain a contraction of V over W to y_0 , keeping y_0 fixed throughout the entire deformation.

2.4. THEOREM. *The equiconnected spaces are precisely the contractible locally equiconnected ones.*

Proof. In view of 2.3, we need prove only that a contractible locally equiconnected space is equiconnected. It is known ([3], XV 8.2) that if a closed set A in a metric space X is a strong neighborhood deformation retract, and if X can be deformed ⁽²⁾ into A in such a way that the points of A remain in A during the entire deformation, then A is a strong deformation retract of X . Because of 2.1, we therefore need only construct a deformation of $Y \times Y$ into Δ that keeps Δ in Δ and, if $\varrho: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ is a contraction of Y to y_0 , then the map $\hat{\varrho}: Y \times Y \times I \rightarrow Y \times Y$ given by $\hat{\varrho}[(a, b), t] = [\varrho(a, t), \varrho(b, t)]$ is such a deformation.

(*) X is deformable into $A \subset X$ if there is an $h: X \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, 1) \in A$ for all $x \in X$.

Because of 2.4, we can confine our attention to locally equiconnected spaces. These are also characterized by a homotopy property which we need later. If Y is any space and \mathcal{W} any open covering, then two maps $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ of a space X into Y are called \mathcal{W} -close whenever $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ belong to a common set $W \in \mathcal{W}$ for each $x \in X$; f and g are \mathcal{W} -homotopic if there is a homotopy $\Phi: f \simeq g$ such that $\Phi(x, I) \subset$ some $W \in \mathcal{W}$ for each $x \in X$. A homotopy $\Phi: f \simeq g$ is called *stationary* if $\Phi(x, I)$ is constant whenever $f(x) = g(x)$.

2.5. THEOREM. *Y is locally equiconnected if and only if for each open covering \mathcal{W} of Y there exists a refinement \mathcal{U} such that any two \mathcal{U} -close maps of any space X into Y are stationarity \mathcal{W} -homotopic.*

Proof. Assume that Y is locally equiconnected, and let λ be an equiconnecting function. Given \mathcal{W} , select for each $y \in Y$ a neighborhood V_y such that $\lambda(V_y, V_y, I) \subset$ some $W \in \mathcal{W}$ containing y (cf. 2.3) and let $\mathcal{U} = \{V_y | y \in Y\}$. If $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ are \mathcal{U} -close, then $\Phi(x, t) = \lambda[f(x), g(x), t]$ defines a stationary \mathcal{W} -homotopy of f to g . Conversely, if the condition is satisfied, choose \mathcal{W} to consist of one set, Y , and let \mathcal{U} be a refinement having the stated property. Define $U = \bigcup \{V \times V | V \in \mathcal{U}\} \subset Y \times Y$; then the maps $f, g: U \rightarrow Y$ given by $(a, b) \rightarrow a, (a, b) \rightarrow b$ respectively, are \mathcal{U} -close, and the stationary \mathcal{W} -homotopy is an equiconnecting function.

3. Relation to ANR. The following result is well known, at least for separable metric spaces:

3.1. THEOREM. *A finite-dimensional metric space ⁽³⁾ is locally equiconnected if and only if it is an ANR.*

Proof. Since a finite-dimensional locally contractible metric space is an ANR ([2], p. 244), the converse of 2.2 follows from 2.3.

In particular, the properties in 2.1 and 2.5 characterize the ANR among the finite-dimensional metric spaces. To consider the general case, we recall some terminology. Let Y be a space, \mathcal{W} an open covering of Y , and P a polytope ⁽⁴⁾. A partial realization of P in \mathcal{W} is a continuous map $f: Q \rightarrow Y$, of some subpolytope $Q \subset P$ that contains the zero-skeleton P^0 of P , such that $f(Q \cap \bar{\sigma})$ is contained in some $W \in \mathcal{W}$ for each closed simplex $\bar{\sigma}$ of P . It is known ([2], p. 240) that a metric space Y is an ANR if and only if for each open covering \mathcal{W} of Y there is a refinement \mathcal{U} such that any partial realization of any polytope P in \mathcal{U} extends to a full realization in \mathcal{W} . The locally equiconnected spaces that are ANRs are characterized by a weaker version of this partial realization property:

3.2. THEOREM. *Let Y be locally equiconnected. Then Y is an ANR if and only if for each open covering \mathcal{W} of Y there exists a refinement \mathcal{U}*

(*) We use the covering definition of dimension.
 (4) All polytopes are taken to be rectilinear, and with the CW-topology ([8], p. 223); they are not required to be finite dimensional, nor locally finite.

such that every partial realization $f: P^0 \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{U} of the zero-skeleton of any polytope P , extends to a full realization of P in \mathcal{W} .

Proof. In view of the preceding remarks, we need to prove only that a locally equiconnected space having the stated property is an ANR. We will show that for each open covering \mathcal{W} of Y there is a polytope P that \mathcal{W} -dominates (5) Y ; this suffices ([2], p. 243, [4], p. 359) to establish that Y is an ANR.

Given \mathcal{W} , let \mathcal{K} be a refinement satisfying 2.5. Let \mathcal{K}^* be a star-refinement (6) of \mathcal{K} and let \mathcal{S} be a refinement of \mathcal{K}^* having the partial realization property in the statement of the theorem relative to \mathcal{K}^* . Finally, let \mathcal{V} be a neighborhood-finite star-refinement of \mathcal{S} . Let P be the nerve (7) of \mathcal{V} and let $\kappa: Y \rightarrow P$ be the canonical map of Y into the nerve of \mathcal{V} ([1], p. 355).

Let $g^0: P^0 \rightarrow Y$ be the map sending each vertex v to a point of the corresponding set V . This is a partial realization of P in \mathcal{S} : for, if (v_0, \dots, v_n) is any simplex of P , then $\bigcap_0^n V_i \neq \emptyset$, consequently $\bigcup_0^n V_i \subset$ some $S \in \mathcal{S}$. By the hypothesis, g^0 therefore extends to a full realization $g: P \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{K}^* .

We now show that for each $y \in Y$, $g \circ \kappa(y)$ and y belong to a common $H \in \mathcal{K}$. Let y belong to V_0, \dots, V_n and only these sets; then $\kappa(y)$ belongs to the closed simplex (v_0, \dots, v_n) and therefore $g \circ \kappa(y)$ lies in some H_0^* containing $\bigcup_0^n g(v_i)$. On the other hand, $y \in \bigcup_0^n V_i \subset$ some H_1^* , consequently $H_0^* \cap H_1^* \neq \emptyset$ so that y and $g \circ \kappa(y)$ lie in a single $H \in \mathcal{K}$. Because of 2.5, we conclude that Y is \mathcal{W} -dominated by P , and the proof is complete.

It is easy to see that a finite-dimensional locally equiconnected space always has the partial realization property of 3.2 (which yields another proof of 3.1); it is not known whether this is also true for infinite-dimensional locally equiconnected spaces.

(5) If \mathcal{W} is an open covering of Y , a space P \mathcal{W} -dominates Y whenever there are continuous maps $\kappa: Y \rightarrow P$ and $g: P \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ \kappa$ is \mathcal{W} -homotopic to the identity map of Y .

(6) A refinement \mathcal{K}^* of \mathcal{K} is called a *star-refinement* of \mathcal{K} if $\bigcup \{H^* \mid H^* \cap H_0^* \neq \emptyset\} \subset$ some $H \in \mathcal{K}$ for each $H_0^* \in \mathcal{K}^*$. A covering \mathcal{G} of a space is called *neighborhood-finite* if each point of the space has a neighborhood meeting at most finitely many $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Every open covering of a metric space has an open neighborhood-finite star-refinement ([7], p. 980). In this paper, a refinement of an open covering is understood to be an open covering.

(7) We realize the nerve of a covering \mathcal{U} as a rectilinear polytope in a real vector space spanned by linearly independent vectors in a fixed one-to-one correspondence with the non-empty sets $V \in \mathcal{U}$; the vertex of the nerve corresponding to $V \in \mathcal{U}$ is the unit point on the corresponding vector, and is denoted by the corresponding lower-case letter.

As an application of 3.2, we derive a sufficient (but not necessary) condition for a locally equiconnected space to be an ANR that is based directly on the behaviour of some given equiconnecting function. Let $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ be an equiconnecting function, and let $W \subset Y$ be an open set. For any $A \subset W$, define the sets A^n , $n \geq 1$, inductively (8) by $A^1 = \lambda(A, A, I)$, $A^{n+1} = \lambda(A, A^n, I)$. If all $A \times A^n \subset U$ and all $A^n \subset W$, we say that A is λ -stable in W . If A is λ -stable in W , then it is clear that $A \subset A^1 \subset A^2 \subset \dots \subset W$ and, if $A^\infty = \bigcup_1^\infty A^i$, then $\lambda(A, A^\infty, I) = A^\infty$. The following proof follows the lines of one due to Milnor ([6], p. 279).

3.3. LEMMA. *Let Y be locally equiconnected, and let λ be a given equiconnecting function. Assume that an open covering \mathcal{W} of Y has a refinement \mathcal{V} such that each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ is λ -stable in some $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Then every partial realization $g: P^0 \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{V} of the zero-skeleton of any polytope P , extends to a full realization of P in \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. We define an extension of g over P by induction on the skeletons of P . Well-order the vertices of P , and assume that g has been extended to a continuous map $g^n: P^n \rightarrow Y$ (P^n denotes the n -skeleton of P) in such a way that for each closed simplex $\bar{\sigma}^n = (\bar{p}_0, \dots, \bar{p}_n)$ we have $g^n(\bar{\sigma}^n) \subset \bigcap \{V^n \mid V \supset \bigcup_0^n g(p_i)\}$. Let $\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}$ be any closed $(n+1)$ -simplex, with vertices $p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_{n+1}$, and note that each $x \in \bar{\sigma}^{n+1}$ can be written uniquely as $x = (1-t)p_0 + ty$ where $y \in \bar{\sigma}^n = (\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{n+1})$ and $t \in I$. Now, if V is any set containing $\bigcup_0^{n+1} g(p_i)$ (such sets exist because g is a partial realization in \mathcal{V}) then by the inductive hypothesis we have $g(\bar{\sigma}) \subset V^n$ and therefore

$$g^{n+1}(x) = \lambda[g(p_0), g^n(y), t] \quad (x \in \bar{\sigma}^{n+1})$$

is well-defined, and gives an extension of g^n over $\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}$; since $g^{n+1}(\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}) \subset V^{n+1}$, where V is any set containing $\{g(p_0), \dots, g(p_{n+1})\}$, we have $g^{n+1}(\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}) \subset \bigcap \{V^{n+1} \mid V \supset \bigcup_0^{n+1} g(p_i)\}$. Extending over each $\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}$ of P in this manner, gives a continuous $g^{n+1}: P^{n+1} \rightarrow Y$ and completes the induction. It is evident that the map $G: P \rightarrow Y$ obtained is a realization of P in \mathcal{W} .

3.4. THEOREM. *Let Y be locally equiconnected. If Y has an equiconnecting function λ with the property that for each $y_0 \in Y$ and neighborhood W of y_0 , there is a neighborhood $V \subset W$ of y_0 that is λ -stable in W , then Y is an ANR.*

Proof. It is clear that with the given hypothesis, every open covering \mathcal{W} of Y has a refinement \mathcal{V} satisfying 3.3, so an application of 3.2 completes the proof.

(8) An equiconnecting function need not satisfy the condition $\lambda(a, b, I) = \lambda(b, a, I)$.

Let Y be locally equiconnected, and let $\lambda: U \times I \rightarrow Y$ be an equiconnecting function. In his paper ([6], p. 279) Milnor proved that if Y has an open covering \mathcal{W} by λ -convex sets (that is, $W \times W \subset U$ and $\lambda(W, W, I) = W$ for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$), then Y belongs to the homotopy type of an ANR. We remark that, in view of 3.3, his method applies equally well to show that if some open covering \mathcal{W} of Y satisfying $W \times W \subset U$ for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ has a refinement \mathcal{V} such that each V is λ -stable in some W , then Y belongs to the homotopy type of an ANR.

4. Borsuk's space. As indicated after the proof of 3.2, no example of a locally equiconnected non-ANR is known. If such a space exists, then it must be infinite-dimensional and, according to 2.3, also locally contractible. In this section we will show that the evident candidate, Borsuk's [9] locally contractible non-ANR, is not locally equiconnected.

Regard the Hilbert cube H as the cartesian product $\prod_1^\infty I_i$ of a countable family of unit intervals^(*), and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ let C_k be the k -cube

$$C_k = \{x \in H \mid 1/(k+1) \leq [x]_i \leq 1/k \text{ and } [x]_i = 0 \text{ for all } i > k\}.$$

Let B_k be the boundary $(k-1)$ -sphere of C_k and let $B_0 = \{x \in H \mid [x]_1 = 0\}$.

Borsuk's locally contractible non-ANR is the compact subspace $B = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty B_i \subset H$. Recall that for each integer $N > 0$ there is a retraction $\varrho_N: B \rightarrow B_N$ given by

$$[\varrho_N(x)]_i = \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{if } [x]_1 \leq 1/(k+1), \\ [x]_i & \text{if } 1/(k+1) < [x]_1 < 1/k, \\ 1/k & \text{if } 1/k \leq [x]_1, \end{cases}$$

$$[\varrho_N(x)]_i = \begin{cases} [x]_i & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > N. \end{cases}$$

4.1. THEOREM. B is not locally equiconnected.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that B were locally equiconnected. By 2.5, there would exist an open covering \mathcal{V} such that any two \mathcal{V} -close maps of B into itself are homotopic. Since B is compact, we can assume \mathcal{V} to be a finite covering, say $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_s\}$ and also that each V_i is a set of the form $B \cap \langle U_{i(1)}, \dots, U_{i(n_i)} \rangle$, where $U_{i(q)}$ is a set open in the $i(q)$ -factor I .

^(*) We denote the i th coordinate of $x \in H$ by $[x]_i$ and, for open sets $U_{i\alpha} \subset I_{i\alpha}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, $\langle U_{i\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{i\alpha_s} \rangle$ denotes the basic open set $\{x \in H \mid [x]_{i\alpha} \in U_{i\alpha}, i = 1, \dots, s\}$.

Letting $N = \max\{i(q) \mid 1 \leq q \leq n_i; 1 \leq i \leq s\}$, the largest index for which a coordinate is restricted, we would define a continuous map φ of B into itself by

$$[\varphi(x)]_i = \begin{cases} [x]_i & \text{if } i \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > N. \end{cases}$$

Due to the choice of N , it is clear that φ would be \mathcal{V} -close to the identity map of B , and so φ would be homotopic to the identity map of B . It now follows that $\varrho_{N+1} \circ (\varphi|_{B_{N+1}}): B_{N+1} \rightarrow B_{N+1}$ would be homotopic to the identity map of the N -sphere B_{N+1} on itself. This is the desired contradiction: for, $\varrho_{N+1} \circ \varphi(B_{N+1})$ is clearly a proper subset of B_{N+1} and consequently $\varrho_{N+1} \circ (\varphi|_{B_{N+1}})$ is nullhomotopic.

References

- [1] J. Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), pp. 353-367.
- [2] — *Absolute neighborhood retracts and local connectedness in arbitrary metric spaces*, Comp. Math. 13 (1958), pp. 229-246.
- [3] — *Point-set topology*, Boston 1965.
- [4] O. Hanner, *Retraction and extension of maps of metric and non-metric spaces*, Ark. Math. 2 (1952), pp. 315-359.
- [5] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Univ. Press 1941.
- [6] J. Milnor, *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW complex*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 90 (1958), pp. 272-280.
- [7] A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), pp. 969-977.
- [8] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy. I*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), pp. 213-245.
- [9] K. Borsuk, *Sur un espace localement contractile qui n'est pas un rétracté absolu de voisinage*, Fund. Math. 35 (1948), pp. 175-180.
- [10] R. H. Fox, *On fiber spaces, II*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 49 (1943), pp. 733-735.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), p. 490.

THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 9. 1964