

# On uniform sets in a complete separable metric space

by

R. Westwick\* (Vancouver)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $R$  denote a complete separable metric space. For definitions see Halmos [3]. Let  $T$  denote a group of homeomorphisms of  $R$  onto itself. For each set  $A$  contained in  $R$ , we let  $S(A)$  denote the collection of all those subsets  $B$  of  $R$  for which a sequence  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$  of elements of  $T$  exists with  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i(A)$ . We call a subset  $A$  of  $R$  *uniform* (with respect to the group  $T$ ), if for each open set  $U$  for which  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $A \in S(U \cap A)$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A$  be a closed uniform subset of  $R$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an uncountable collection of closed subsets of  $A$ , such that  $A \in S(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If either*

(i)  *$T$  is an abelian group, or*

(ii)  *$R$  is the real line and  $T$  the group of isometries of  $R$ ,*

*then there are at least two sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $A \in S(B_1 \cap B_2)$ .*

This theorem reminds one of the theorem: If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an uncountable collection of Lebesgue measurable subsets of the real line, each with positive Lebesgue measure, then at least two of the sets have an intersection with positive Lebesgue measure. The similarity is even closer when we notice that the real line can be covered, to within a set of measure zero, by a countable number of isometric copies of any set with positive Lebesgue measure.

At the end of this paper, we construct a closed set  $A$  in the real line, containing an uncountable collection  $\mathfrak{A}$  of disjoint closed sets  $B$ , such that  $A \in S(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Here, the group  $T$  consists of the translations of the real line. This set is of interest in another connection, in that it is an example of a closed set for which there exists no Hausdorff measure giving it a non-zero  $\sigma$ -finite measure. See for example [1].

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Professor C. A. Rogers for the advice he has given and the interest he has taken in the preparation of this paper.

\* This paper was written while the author was being supported by an Overseas Fellowship, granted by the National Research Council of Canada.

2. Theorems 1, 2 and 3, of this section are valid without the additional conditions on  $R$  and  $T$  assumed in Theorem 4.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $A$  is a closed uniform subset of  $R$ , and if  $A \in \mathcal{S}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$  where each  $A_i$  is a closed set, then  $A \in \mathcal{S}(A_k)$  for some integer  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$  be a sequence of elements of  $T$ , such that  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$ . Now  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \bigcap_{i,j} \tau_j(A_i)$ , and by our hypothesis on  $T$ ,  $\tau_j(A_i)$  is a closed set for each  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore, the Baire category theorem applied to the closed set  $A$  and the covering  $\bigcup_{i,j} \tau_j(A_i)$  of  $A$ , provides us with an open set  $U$  and integers  $i_0, j_0$ , such that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap A \subseteq \tau_{j_0}(A_{i_0})$ . Since  $A$  is uniform,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(U \cap A)$  and so  $A \in \mathcal{S}(\tau_{j_0}(A_{i_0}))$ . But then  $A \in \mathcal{S}(A_{i_0})$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a closed uniform set in  $R$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an uncountable set of closed subsets of  $A$  with  $A \in \mathcal{S}(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then, there is a closed set  $D$  with  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D)$ , and an uncountable subset  $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ , such that for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  there is a  $\tau \in T$  with  $\tau(D) \subseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* We choose first a countable base of open sets  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  for the open sets of  $R$ . Such a base exists because  $R$  is assumed to be separable.

Let  $B$  be a fixed element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{S}(B)$ , there is a sequence  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots$  of elements of  $T$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i(B)$ .  $B$  is closed, and so by our original hypothesis on  $T$ ,  $\tau_i(B)$  is closed for each integer  $i$ . By an application of the Baire category theorem to the closed set  $A$ , there is an open set  $V$  with  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $V \cap A \subseteq \tau_i(B)$  for some integer  $i$ . Since  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  is a base for the open sets, we can find an integer  $j$ , such that  $U_j \subseteq V$  and  $U_j \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $\tau_i^{-1}(U_j \cap A) \subseteq B$ . Therefore, for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ , there is an integer  $j$  and a  $\tau \in T$ , such that  $U_j \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $\tau(U_j \cap A) \subseteq B$ . Because  $\mathfrak{A}$  is uncountable, uncountably many of these integers  $j$  must be equal to some fixed integer, say  $j_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  denote the set of those  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$  corresponding to  $j_0$ . Since  $U_{j_0} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $A$  is uniform,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(U_{j_0} \cap A)$ . Since  $U_{j_0}$  is open,  $U_{j_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  where

the sets  $C_i$  are closed sets. Therefore,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \cap A)$ , and since each  $C_i \cap A$  is closed, we have, by theorem 1, that  $A \in \mathcal{S}(C_{i_0} \cap A)$  for some integer  $i_0$ . Let  $D = C_{i_0} \cap A$ . Then  $D$  is closed,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D)$ , and since  $D \subseteq U_{j_0} \cap A$ , there is, for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , a  $\tau \in T$  with  $\tau(D) \subseteq B$ . This proves theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $D$  be a closed set in  $R$ , such that, whenever  $D \in \mathcal{S}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$  with each  $A_i$  closed, it follows that  $D \in \mathcal{S}(A_i)$  for some integer  $i$ . Then  $D$  contains a closed uniform subset  $D_0$  with  $D \in \mathcal{S}(D_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all those open sets  $U$  in  $R$  for which  $D \notin \mathcal{S}(D \cap U)$ , and let  $U_0$  be the union of these open sets. We prove that the closed set  $D_0 = D - U_0$  is the required set.

Since  $R$  is separable, each  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  can be represented as a union of open sets taken from a countable base. It follows easily, that  $U_0$  can be written as a union of a countable number of the open sets of  $\mathcal{C}$ , say  $U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots$ . Furthermore, we can write  $U_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{ij}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , where each  $C_{ij}$  is closed. Since  $D \notin \mathcal{S}(U_i \cap D)$ , we must have  $D \notin \mathcal{S}(D \cap C_{ij})$  for all  $i, j$ . Therefore, since  $D = (D - U_0) \cup (D \cap U_0) = D_0 \cup \bigcup_{i,j} (D \cap C_{ij})$ , it follows, from the hypothesis on  $D$ , that  $D \in \mathcal{S}(D_0)$ .

It remains to prove that  $D_0$  is uniform. Let  $U$  be an open set with  $U \cap D_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U \notin \mathcal{C}$ , and so  $D \in \mathcal{S}(D \cap U)$ . We write  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  where the sets  $C_i$  are closed. Then

$$U \cap D = (U \cap D_0) \cup (U \cap \bigcup_{i,j} C_{ij} \cap D) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (C_i \cap D_0) \cup \bigcup_{i,j,k} (C_k \cap C_{ij} \cap D).$$

The components are all closed sets, and for all  $i, j, k$ ,  $D \notin \mathcal{S}(C_k \cap C_{ij} \cap D)$ . Therefore,  $D \in \mathcal{S}(C_i \cap D_0)$  for some integer  $i$ , implying that  $D \in \mathcal{S}(U \cap D_0)$ . Since  $D_0 \subseteq D$ , we have also  $D_0 \in \mathcal{S}(U \cap D_0)$ , and this proves that  $D_0$  is uniform.

3. We now proceed to prove theorem 4.

Let  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  be a countable base of open sets for the open sets of  $R$ , and let  $\tau \in T$ . Then, if  $U$  is an open set in  $R$ ,  $\tau^{-1}(U)$  is open, and so  $\tau^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$  for some sequence of integers  $i_1, i_2, \dots$ . Therefore,  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(U_{i_j})$ , and so it follows that  $\tau(U_1), \tau(U_2), \dots$  forms a countable base of open sets also.

Let  $D$  be a closed set, let  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  be an uncountable subset of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and for each  $B \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  let  $\tau_B \in T$  such that

- (1)  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D)$ ,
- (2)  $\tau_B(D) \subseteq B$ .

By theorem 2, this is possible. Now, suppose  $D \in \mathcal{S}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$  where each  $A_i$  is closed. Then, since  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$ , and so by theorem 1,

$A \in \mathcal{S}(A_{i_0})$  for some integer  $i_0$ . This, together with (2), implies  $D \in \mathcal{S}(A_{i_0})$ , so  $D$  satisfies the hypothesis of theorem 3. Therefore, let  $D_0$  be a uniform closed subset of  $D$  such that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{S}(D_0)$ . Then, since (1) holds, we have also  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D_0)$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots$  be a sequence of elements of  $T$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i(D_0)$ .

Let  $B \in \mathfrak{U}_0$ . Then, by (2), and since  $D_0 \subseteq D$ ,  $\tau_B(D_0)$  is covered by  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i(D_0)$ . By the Baire category theorem, there is an open set  $U$  such that  $U \cap \tau_B(D_0) \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap \tau_B(D_0) \subseteq \sigma_i(D_0)$  for some integer  $i$ . Now,  $\tau_B(U_1), \tau_B(U_2), \dots$  is a countable base for the open sets of  $R$ , and so there is an integer  $j$  such that

$$(3) \quad \emptyset \neq \tau_B(U_j) \cap \tau_B(D_0) \subseteq \sigma_i(D_0).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  is uncountable, there are integers  $j_0$  and  $i_0$  such that for uncountably many  $B \in \mathfrak{U}_0$ ,

$$(4) \quad \emptyset \neq \tau_B(U_{j_0} \cap D_0) \subseteq \sigma_{i_0}(D_0).$$

Clearly,  $U_{j_0} \cap D_0 \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $D_0$  is uniform, we have  $D_0 \in \mathcal{S}(U_{j_0} \cap D_0)$ . Then, since  $A \in \mathcal{S}(D_0)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(U_{j_0} \cap D_0)$ . The proof of the theorem is completed when we have shown that, in both of the cases (i) and (ii),  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  can be selected from  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  such that  $B_1 \cap B_2$  contains the image of  $U_{j_0} \cap D_0$  under some  $\tau \in T$ .

Suppose that (i) holds, that is,  $T$  is abelian. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be any two elements of  $\mathfrak{U}_0$  satisfying (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{B_1 \sigma_{i_0}^{-1}} \tau_{B_2}(D_0 \cap U_{j_0}) &\subseteq \tau_{B_1}(D_0) \subseteq B_1, \\ \tau_{B_2 \sigma_{i_0}^{-1}} \tau_{B_1}(D_0 \cap U_{j_0}) &\subseteq \tau_{B_2}(D_0) \subseteq B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $B_1 \cap B_2$  contains  $\tau(D_0 \cap U_{j_0})$  where  $\tau = \tau_{B_1 \sigma_{i_0}^{-1}} \tau_{B_2} = \tau_{B_2 \sigma_{i_0}^{-1}} \tau_{B_1}$ .

On the other hand, suppose that (ii) holds. Since each  $\tau \in T$ , in this case, is either a translation or a reflection, we can choose  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that (4) is satisfied, and both  $\tau_{B_1}$  and  $\tau_{B_2}$  are translations or both are reflections. By replacing  $D_0$  by  $-D_0$  if necessary, we may in fact assume both  $\tau_{B_1}$  and  $\tau_{B_2}$  are translations. We have two cases to consider, depending on whether  $\sigma_{i_0}$  is a translation or a reflection. If  $\sigma_{i_0}$  is a translation, then the homeomorphisms under consideration commute, implying, as before, that  $B_1 \cap B_2 \supseteq \tau_{B_1 \sigma_{i_0}^{-1}} \tau_{B_2}(U_{j_0} \cap D_0)$ . If  $\sigma_{i_0}$  is a reflection, then  $\sigma_{i_0}^2$  is the identity homeomorphism and  $\tau_{B_1 \sigma_{i_0} \tau_{B_1}} = \tau_{B_2 \sigma_{i_0} \tau_{B_2}} = \sigma_{i_0}$ . In this case we have  $\sigma_{i_0}(D_0 \cap U_{j_0}) = \tau_{B_k \sigma_{i_0} \tau_{B_k}}(D_0 \cap U_{j_0}) \subseteq \tau_{B_k \sigma_{i_0}}(\sigma_{i_0}(D_0)) = \tau_{B_k}(D_0) \subseteq B_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2$ , and so  $B_1 \cap B_2 \supseteq \sigma_{i_0}(D_0 \cap U_{j_0})$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. In Theorem 4, the set  $A$  is assumed to be uniform. This condition can be relaxed to the condition on the set  $D$  of Theorem 3. One needs only to observe that the closed uniform subset  $D_0$  of  $D$ , constructed in the proof, satisfies  $D \notin \mathcal{S}(D - D_0)$ . From this it follows easily that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{S}(D_0 \cap B)$  for each  $B \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Then apply Theorem 4 to  $D_0$  and  $\{D_0 \cap B \mid B \in \mathfrak{U}\}$ .

However, we construct a closed set to show that some conditions are needed. The closed set that we give is an effective example in the sense that the axiom of choice is not needed for its construction.

According to von Neumann [4], the set of numbers  $\alpha_p$ , where

$$\alpha_p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2^{[pn]}}}{2^{2^{n^2}}} \quad (p > 0),$$

is a set of distinct algebraically independent real numbers. I have chosen to take the sum from 1 rather than 0 in order to have  $0 < \alpha_p < 1$  whenever  $0 < p < 1$ . By restricting  $p$  to a perfect subset of real numbers which does not contain rational points, the subset so obtained will be a perfect subset of real numbers. We let  $A$  denote the real numbers  $\alpha_p$  obtained by taking  $0 < p < 1$ .

Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  be a sequence of disjoint perfect subsets of  $(0, 1)$ , each of which does not contain any rational point. Such a sequence is easily constructed by taking  $E_i$  to be a subset of  $(1/(1+i), 1/i)$  obtained by excluding small enough open sets about the rational points. We also let  $f_i$  be one-to-one functions from the unit interval  $(0, 1)$  into  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . These can be constructed as in Denjoy [2], page 8.

Let  $A_i = \{\alpha_p \mid p \in E_i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then the sets  $A_i$  are disjoint perfect subsets of  $A$ . Let  $D_n = A_1 + \dots + A_n = \{x_1 + \dots + x_n \mid x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , and

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + D_n \right).$$

Each  $D_n$  is a perfect set. Furthermore, since  $D_n \subseteq (0, n+1)$ , the set  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + D_n$  is contained in  $(\frac{1}{2}n(n+1), \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2))$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and so  $D$  is a perfect set. If  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real numbers with  $t_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then the set  $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + t_n + D_{n-1})$  is a perfect subset of  $D$  for which there is a sequence of translations  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$  such that  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\mathcal{E})$ . If  $t'_1, t'_2, \dots$  is another sequence of real numbers with  $t'_i \in A_i$  and  $t'_i \neq t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and if  $\mathcal{E}'$  is the corresponding set, then  $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}' = \emptyset$  because for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $(t_n + D_{n-1}) \cap (t'_n + D_{n-1}) = \emptyset$ . For each  $t \in (0, 1)$ , let  $E_t$  be the set obtained by taking the sequence  $\alpha_{f_1(t)}, \alpha_{f_2(t)}, \dots$ . Then the sets  $E_t$  for  $0 < t < 1$  are mutually disjoint per-



fect subsets of  $D$  such that for each  $t$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{S}(E_t)$ . We notice that all of the sets mentioned are effectively given. The set  $D$  is the set we set out to construct.

The fact, mentioned in the introduction, that this set does not have non-zero  $\sigma$ -finite Hausdorff measure for any Hausdorff measure is clear. To have non-zero measure, each of the subsets  $E_t$  would have to have non-zero measure, and since there are uncountably many of them in  $D$  and they are pairwise disjoint,  $D$  would have non- $\sigma$ -finite measure.

#### References

- [1] E. Best, *A closed dimensionless linear set*, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. Series 2, vol. 6, part II, pp. 105-108.  
 [2] A. Denjoy, *Memoire sur la derivation et son calcul inverse*, Paris 1954.  
 [3] P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York 1950.  
 [4] J. von Neumann, *Ein System algebraisch unabhängiger Zahlen*, Math. Ann. 99 (1928), pp. 134-141.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 7. 1962

## On the genus of an $n$ -connected graph

by

F. Harary\* and Y. Kodama\*

**1. Introduction.** The *connectivity*  $\kappa(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the smallest number of points whose removal results either in a disconnected graph or in the graph with one point and no lines. Graph  $G$  is *n-connected* if  $\kappa(G) \geq n$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , an *n-component* of  $G$  is a maximal  $n$ -connected subgraph. Thus a 1-component of  $G$  is a (connected) component; a 2-component of  $G$  is called a *block* of  $G$  (by Battle, Harary, Kodama and Youngs [1] or Harary [3], and a nonseparable subgraph by Whitney [6]); and a 3-component of  $G$  will be called a *brick* of  $G$ .

The *genus*  $\gamma(G)$  of  $G$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $G$  is imbeddable in the orientable surface  $S_n$  whose genus  $\gamma(S_n)$  is  $n$ . In [1], we (Battle, Harary, Kodama and Youngs) proved that the genus of any graph is the sum of the genres of its blocks. Our present object is to study the genus of a graph in terms of its bricks, and in general of its  $n$ -components.

The problem is so complicated that we restrict our study in this note to the case where an  $n$ -connected graph  $G$  is the union of two  $(n+1)$ -components,  $B$  and  $C$ . We will see that the number of points in  $B \cap C$  is exactly  $n$ , that  $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma(B) + \gamma(C) + n - 1$ , and by an example that this inequality is best possible. Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be the set of points in  $B \cap C$  and call  $G_{ij}$  the graph obtained by adding line  $v_i v_j$  to  $G$ . Then we will prove that, if  $\gamma(G_{ij}) > \gamma(G)$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , then  $\gamma(G) = \gamma(B) + \gamma(C) + n - 1$ . This last equation is specialized to the case where  $B$  and  $C$  are bricks, i.e.,  $n = 2$ .

**2. Results.** We will present one lemma, one theorem, one corollary, and several examples.

**Remark 1.** Let an  $n$ -connected graph  $G$  be the union of two  $(n+1)$ -components  $B$  and  $C$ . Then the number of points of  $B \cap C$  is exactly  $n$ . Moreover, the set of lines of  $B \cap C$  consists of all lines of  $G$  whose end points are in  $B \cap C$ .

\* \* This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants NSF G-17771 and G-20637.