

In the special case of $n = 2$ and of X being a plane set, Corollary 2 constitutes the theorem which has been announced by D. Zaremba (see [4], p. 14, Theorem 4) and generalized in another direction by A. Lelek (see [3], p. 88, Theorem 7).

References

- [1] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Warszawa 1958.
 [2] — *Topologie II*, Warszawa 1961.
 [3] A. Lelek, *Fixations of sets in Euclidean spaces*, Fund. Math., this volume, pp. 87-109.
 [4] D. Zaremba, *Sur la fixation et l'enfilage des ensembles compacts*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sciences Math. Astr. Phys. 9 (1961), pp. 13-15.
 [5] — *Sur l'enfilage et la fixation des ensembles compacts*, this volume, pp. 65-80.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
 MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 24. 6. 1961

Fixations of sets in Euclidean spaces

by

A. Lelek (Wrocław)

Results and problems. The *fixation* of a collection C of sets is here understood to mean a set intersecting each element of C . Various fixations have been considered in connection with upper and lower semicontinuous decompositions, but they may also be studied separately.

It is the aim of this paper to examine three kinds of fixation for collections C consisting of sets contained in the Euclidean n -dimensional space \mathcal{E}^n , and I am especially interested in the cases $n = 2$ and 3. These three kinds of fixation correspond to the following three properties of the collection C , respectively:

(I) *There exists a 0-dimensional compact set $Z \subset \mathcal{E}^n$ such that $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every $C \in C$.*

(II) *There exists an arc $A \subset \mathcal{E}^n$ such that $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every $C \in C$.*

(III) *There exists, for each $\zeta > 0$, a finite sequence Z_1, \dots, Z_k of closed and mutually disjoint subsets of \mathcal{E}^n such that $\delta(Z_i) < \zeta$ (*) for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and*

$$(Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k) \cap C \neq \emptyset$$

for every $C \in C$.

Property (III) restricted to upper semicontinuous decompositions is equivalent to the existence of fixation in the sense of Knaster [2].

Now, let C^* denote the union of all sets belonging to C , i.e.

$$C^* = \bigcup_{C \in C} C.$$

We have the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. (I) *implies* (II).

THEOREM 2. (I) *implies* (III).

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the Denjoy-Riesz Theorem (see [4], p. 385). Theorem 2 is obvious.

THEOREM 3 (D. Zaremba). *If C^* is a compact set and every $C \in C$ is a component of C^* , then (II) implies (I) (see [6], p. 14).*

(*) $\delta(Z)$ denotes the diameter of the set Z .

Evidently, all hypotheses in Theorem 3 are essential.

We denote by $A(C)$ the set of all points p of the space \mathcal{C}^n such that there exists a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of (not necessarily distinct) elements of C satisfying $(p) = \text{Lim } C_i$ (*). Of course, we then have $\lim \delta(C_i) = 0$. It is evident that $A(C)$ is always a closed subset of \mathcal{C}^n and $\{p\} \in C$ implies $p \in A(C)$.

THEOREM 4. (III) implies the inequality $\dim A(C) \leq 0$.

A weaker theorem has been shown by D. Zaremba (see [6], p. 14). Theorem 4 will be proved in the sequel (see p. 91).

THEOREM 5. If C^* is a compact set, $\dim C^* \leq 1$ and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every $C \in C$ is a connected set of diameter $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$, then (I) holds.

The proof will be given in the sequel (see p. 91). The collection of points of an arc shows that the hypothesis concerning the diameters in Theorem 5 is necessary; however, we shall point out a possibility of modifications (see remark on p. 97). It will be shown by Example 4 that each of the hypotheses concerning C^* in Theorem 5 is also necessary.

THEOREM 6. If C^* is a bounded subset of the plane \mathcal{E}^2 and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that all sets $C \in C$ are disjoint continua (*) of diameter $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$, then (I) holds.

For the proof, see p. 93. Theorem 6 with the stronger hypotheses that C^* is a compact subset of \mathcal{E}^2 and the sets $C \in C$ are components of C^* has been announced by D. Zaremba in her paper [6] (see also [5], p. 84). The hypothesis that C^* is contained in the plane is essential by virtue of Example 1. The question whether the word "continua" in Theorem 6 can be replaced by the words "connected sets" remains open (see Problem 1). Simple examples of C , for instance C consisting of (i) all concentric circles with diameter greater than 1, (ii) all arcs contained in a circle and having the diameter greater than 1, (iii) all antipodal point pairs on a circle, and (iv) all points of an arc, show that the hypotheses in Theorem 6 that C^* is a bounded set and that elements $C \in C$ are disjoint connected sets with big diameters are essential, respectively.

THEOREM 7. If C^* is a bounded subset of the plane \mathcal{E}^2 , the sets $C \in C$ are disjoint continua and $\dim A(C) \leq 0$, then (I) holds.

For the proof, see p. 97. Theorem 7 with stronger hypotheses has been announced by D. Zaremba [6] (see also [5], p. 85).

(*) For definitions of topological limits Lim , Li and Ls see [3], p. 241-245. The set $A(C)$ corresponds to the "adduit" defined by Zaremba [6] for collections of components.

(*) That is compact connected sets.

THEOREM 8. If C^* is a bounded subset of the plane \mathcal{E}^2 and the sets $C \in C$ are disjoint continua, then (I) is equivalent to (III).

Theorem 8 follows from Theorems 2, 4 and 7. The hypothesis that C^* is contained in the plane is essential by virtue of Example 3.

I say that C is a lower (or upper) semicontinuous collection if for every open (closed) set X in C^* the union of all sets $C \in C$ satisfying $X \cap C \neq \emptyset$ is an open (closed) set in C^* (compare [4], p. 42 and 48). The collection C being both lower and upper semicontinuous is called continuous (compare [4], p. 48).

Evidently, each collection of the form $C \cup \{C^*\}$, where C is an arbitrary collection of sets, is continuous one. Therefore these notions are interesting only with the restriction to collections consisting of disjoint sets. For such collections C the notion of hyperspace $H(C)$ may easily be introduced provided that C^* is a compact set and C is upper semicontinuous (see [4], pp. 42-46). It is also easily seen that if C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets, then each element of C is a closed set in C^* , we have $\bar{C}_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ for $C_1, C_2 \in C$, $C_1 \neq C_2$, and every subcollection of C is also upper semicontinuous.

Now let $\mathcal{Q} = \{t: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and let \mathcal{Q}^n be an n -dimensional cube. The following two theorems have been proved by Kelley [1]:

THEOREM 9 (Kelley). If $C^* = \mathcal{Q}^2$ and C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets with the hyperspace $H(C) \subset \mathcal{E}^2$, then (I) holds (see [1], p. 32).

THEOREM 10 (Kelley). If C^* is a compact set, C is a continuous collection of disjoint connected sets, $\dim A(C) \leq 0$ and $\dim H(C) < \infty$, then (I) holds (see [1], p. 33).

According to Example 1, the hypothesis that C is continuous is essential in Theorem 10.

THEOREM 11. If C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint connected sets and $\dim A(C) \leq 0$, then (II) implies (III).

The proof will be given in the sequel (see p. 98). According to Example 4, the hypothesis that C is upper semicontinuous is necessary in Theorem 11. The question whether this hypothesis can be replaced by the condition that $C^* \subset \mathcal{E}^2$ remains open (see Problem 3). It is not difficult to verify that each of the other hypotheses in Theorem 11 is also necessary.

THEOREM 12. If C^* is a locally connected continuum, C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets and $H(C) \subset \mathcal{E}^1$, then (II) holds.

For the proof, see p. 103. Example 2 shows that the local connectedness of C^* is a necessary hypothesis in Theorem 12; likewise Example 5 shows that the condition $H(C) \subset \mathcal{E}^1$ is the same.

THEOREM 13. *If C^* is a locally connected continuum, C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint connected sets, $\dim A(C) \leq 0$ and $H(C) \subset \mathcal{C}^2$, then (III) holds.*

Theorem 13 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 11 and 12. It may constitute a contribution to the discovery of the analogue of Kelley's Theorem 10 for semicontinuous collections. The question whether (III) in Theorem 13 can be replaced by (I) remains open (compare Problem 5).

We have the following examples, the first two having been constructed by Knaster [2]:

EXAMPLE 1 (Knaster). *A collection C such that C^* is a 2-dimensional compact subset of \mathcal{C}^3 , $H(C) \subset \mathcal{C}^2$, C is a component of C^* and $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for every $C \in C$, and (non I), (non II), (non III) hold (see [2], p. 194).*

EXAMPLE 2 (Knaster). *An upper semicontinuous collection C of disjoint continua such that C^* is a 3-dimensional and non-locally connected subcontinuum of \mathcal{C}^3 , $H(C) \subset \mathcal{C}^2$, $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for every $C \in C$, and (non I), (non II), (non III) hold (see [2], p. 196).*

EXAMPLE 3. *A collection C such that C^* is a 2-dimensional compact subset of \mathcal{C}^3 , $H(C) \subset \mathcal{C}^2$, C is a component of C^* and $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for every $C \in C$, and (non I), (non II), (III) hold.*

The construction of Example 3 will be given in the sequel (see p. 105). Such an example cannot be 1-dimensional, according to Theorem 5, and it cannot be found on the plane, according to Theorem 8.

EXAMPLE 4. *A collection C of disjoint arcs such that C^* is a 2-dimensional subcontinuum of \mathcal{C}^3 , $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for every $C \in C$, and (non I), (II), (non III) hold.*

The construction will be given in the sequel (see p. 106). According to Theorem 11, such a collection cannot be upper semicontinuous.

EXAMPLE 5. *An upper semicontinuous collection C of disjoint continua such that C^* is a subset of \mathcal{C}^3 , homeomorphic to \mathcal{S}^3 , $H(C)$ is a dendrite having a ramification at most 3, $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for every $C \in C$, and (non I), (non II), (non III) hold.*

The construction will be given in the sequel (see p. 108). According to Theorem 12, the dendrite $H(C)$ in Example 5 must have at least one point of ramification greater than 2.

Finally, the following problems occur in connection with the preceding:

PROBLEM 1. *Is it true that if C^* is a bounded subset of the plane and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that all elements $C \in C$ are disjoint connected sets of diameter $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$, then (I) holds?*

If, moreover, every element C of C is a closed set, then Problem 1 has an affirmative solution, according to Theorem 6.

PROBLEM 2. *Is it true that if C^* is a bounded subset of the plane and the elements $C \in C$ are disjoint connected sets, then (III) implies (I)?*

As previously, Problem 2 has an affirmative solution for C consisting of closed sets, according to Theorem 8. It may also be proved by Theorem 4 that an affirmative solution of Problem 1 implies an affirmative solution of Problem 2.

PROBLEM 3. *Can the upper semicontinuity of collection C in Theorem 11 be replaced by the condition that C^* is a subset of the plane?*

PROBLEM 4. *Is it true that if C^* is a compact set, C is upper semicontinuous and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that all elements $C \in C$ are disjoint connected sets of diameter $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$, then (II) implies (I)?*

PROBLEM 5. *Is it true that if C^* is a locally connected continuum, C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint connected sets with the hyperspace $H(C) \subset \mathcal{C}^1$ and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$ for every $C \in C$, then (I) holds?*

We have (II) and (III) for such collections, according to Theorems 12 and 13. It is easy to see by Theorem 12 that an affirmative solution of Problem 4 implies an affirmative solution of Problem 5.

Proofs of theorems. We shall prove Theorems 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 12. The others are evident or may be found in literature.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\zeta > 0$ and let Z_1, \dots, Z_k be closed sets given by (III). If a point $p \in A(C) - (Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k)$ existed, then the sequence of $C_i \in C$, converging to p , would contain an element C_j lying outside the set $Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$ which contradicts (III). Therefore the sets Z_1, \dots, Z_k constitute a finite cover of $A(C)$ by disjoint closed sets with diameters $< \zeta$, whence Theorem 4 follows.

Proof of Theorem 5. Since the set C^* is compact, $\dim C^* \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite cover \mathcal{V} of C^* by sets V with diameters $< \varepsilon$ and boundaries of dimension ≤ 0 (in C^*). Therefore the union Z of all boundaries of $V \in \mathcal{V}$ is a 0-dimensional compact subset of C^* . Since each set $C \in C$ is contained in C^* and has the diameter $> \varepsilon$, it intersects a set $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and is not contained in V . Consequently (see [4], p. 80) each C intersects the boundary of some V , whence $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and Theorem 5 is proved.

Before we prove Theorem 6 we shall show some lemmas. Let $\eta > 0$ and let a subset U of the plane \mathcal{C}^2 be called a η -net in \mathcal{C}^2 if for every point $p \in \mathcal{C}^2$ there exists a point $u \in U$ such that $\rho(p, u) < \eta$ (*).

(*) $\rho(p, u)$ denotes the distance between the points p and u .

LEMMA 1. If $\eta > 0$ and U is a η -net in \mathcal{C}^2 , then there exists a triangulation T of \mathcal{C}^2 such that all vertices of every triangle of T belong to U and (5)

$$(1) \quad \text{mesh}(T) < 9\eta.$$

In fact, denote by S_{ij} the square bounded by the lines $x = 4i\eta$, $x = 2(2i+1)\eta$, $y = 4j\eta$ and $y = 2(2j+1)\eta$ ($i, j = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$), and by p_{ij} —the centre of S_{ij} . Then there is a point $u_{ij} \in U$ such that $\varrho(p_{ij}, u_{ij}) < \eta$, whence $u_{ij} \in \text{Int}(S_{ij})$. It follows that for every integer i and j the points $u_{ij}, u_{i+1,j}, u_{i,j+1}, u_{i+1,j+1}$ are vertices of a convex quadrangle Q_{ij} lying in the square bounded by the lines $x = 4i\eta$, $x = (4i+6)\eta$, $y = 4j\eta$ and $y = (4j+6)\eta$. Hence

$$\delta(Q_{ij}) \leq (36\eta^2 + 36\eta^2)^{1/2} < 9\eta$$

and after cutting every Q_{ij} into two triangles along its diagonal we obtain the desired triangulation T .

Let us denote by \overline{pq} the straight line segment with end points p and q ($p, q \in \mathcal{C}^2$, $p \neq q$).

LEMMA 2. If $CC \in \mathcal{C}^2$ is a non-degenerate continuum, $q \in C$ and $p_1, \dots, p_l \in \mathcal{C}^2 - C$ are points satisfying $\overline{p_i q} \cap \overline{p_j q} = \{q\}$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, l$, $i \neq j$, then there exist points $q_1, \dots, q_l \in C$ such that

$$\overline{p_i q_i} \cap C = \{q_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{p_i q_i} \cap \overline{p_j q_j} = \emptyset$$

for every $i, j = 1, \dots, l$, $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2 is trivial for $l = 1$. Suppose it is true for $l = k$ and let p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} be points satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 2 for $l = k+1$. Since $C \neq \{q\}$ and every two distinct segments $\overline{p_i q}, \overline{p_j q}$ have only the point q in common, for at least one of the points p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} , we may assume that p_{k+1} is such a point, there exists a point $q_{k+1} \in C - \{q\}$ such that the equalities

$$\overline{p_{k+1} q_{k+1}} \cap C = \{q_{k+1}\}, \quad \overline{p_{k+1} q_{k+1}} \cap (\overline{p_1 q} \cup \dots \cup \overline{p_k q}) = \emptyset$$

hold. It follows that a number $\varepsilon > 0$ exists such that $\varrho(q, q') < \varepsilon$ implies $\overline{p_i q'} \cap \overline{p_{k+1} q_{k+1}} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Denoting by C' such a non-degenerate subcontinuum of C that $q \in C'$ and $\delta(C') < \varepsilon$, it is enough to apply Lemma 2 for $l = k$ and C' instead of C .

Now, let C and C' be collections of sets. We write

$$C \succ C'$$

provided that every element of C' contains an element of C .

(*) $\text{mesh}(T)$ denotes the least upper bound of the diameters of triangles belonging to T .

Proof of Theorem 6. Since C^* is a bounded subset of \mathcal{C}^2 , there is a rectangle $R_0 \subset \mathcal{C}^2$ such that $C^* \subset \text{Int}(R_0)$. Let us denote by d the diameter of R_0 . We shall define, for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$, a number $\varepsilon_n > 0$, a finite collection R_n of disjoint rectangles and a collection C_n of disjoint continua. First, put $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon$, $R_0 = \{R_0\}$ and $C_0 = C$. It is necessary, moreover, that each element of R_n should have a diameter less than $d/2^n$ and each element of C_n —a diameter greater than ε_n , and that the conditions

$$(2) \quad R_{n+1}^* \subset R_n^*,$$

$$(3) \quad C_{n+1} \succ C_n,$$

$$(4) \quad \overline{C_n^*} \subset \text{Int}(R_n^*),$$

should hold, for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since ε_0, R_0 and C_0 are already defined, let us suppose that ε_n, R_n and C_n are defined. We shall find a number ε_{n+1} and construct collections R_{n+1} and C_{n+1} having the required properties.

Setting

$$(5) \quad \eta = \min(\varepsilon_n, d/2^n)/36,$$

we conclude, by $\varepsilon_n > 0$, that $\eta > 0$. Hence the set (*)

$$(6) \quad U = C_n^* \cup [\mathcal{C}^2 - Q(C_n^*, \eta)]$$

is a η -net in \mathcal{C}^2 . Therefore, by Lemma 1, there exists a triangulation T of \mathcal{C}^2 such that each vertex of T is a point of U and (1) holds. Let us denote by S the collection of sides of triangles belonging to T . Thus S is a locally finite collection (*), each element of S is a straight line segment with end points belonging to U and of length less than 9η , according to (1), and every two distinct elements of S either are disjoint or have exactly one common point which is their end point. Since C_n^* is a bounded set by virtue of (4), there is only finite number m of points which belong to C_n^* and are end points of segments from S . Let a_1, \dots, a_m be those points, if $m > 0$.

Put $S_0 = S$ and suppose that a locally finite collection S_k , where $0 \leq k < m$, is defined such that every two distinct elements of S_k are straight line segments with at most one common point p which is their end point and belongs to U , and that every such point p is one of the points a_{k+1}, \dots, a_m provided that $p \in C_n^*$. Suppose also that disjoint continua $K_1, \dots, K_k \subset \mathcal{C}^2$ are given satisfying

$$(7) \quad (K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_k) \cap \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_m\} = \emptyset,$$

(*) $Q(A, \eta)$ denotes the set of points $p \in \mathcal{C}^2$ such that there exists a point $a \in A$ satisfying $\varrho(p, a) < \eta$. If $A = X \cup Y$, then $Q(A, \eta) = Q(X, \eta) \cup Q(Y, \eta)$.

(*) A collection S of sets is said to be locally finite if each point (of \mathcal{C}^2) has a neighbourhood (in \mathcal{C}^2) intersecting only a finite number of elements of S .

$a_i \in K_i$ and $\delta(K_i) < \eta$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we define S_{k+1} and K_{k+1} as follows.

Denoting by p_1, \dots, p_l all the points p_i satisfying $\overline{p_i a_{k+1}} \in S_k$ we have $p_i \neq a_{k+1}$ and $\overline{p_i a_{k+1}} \cap \overline{p_j a_{k+1}} = (a_{k+1})$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, l$, $i \neq j$. Furthermore $0 < \varrho(a_{k+1}, K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_k)$ ⁽⁸⁾, according to (7). Since S_k is locally finite and each $S \in S_k$ is a straight line segment, a number ξ exists such that

$$(8) \quad 0 < \xi < \min_{\substack{i=1, \dots, l \\ j=k+2, \dots, m}} \{ \eta, \varrho(a_{k+1}, K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_k), \varrho(a_{k+1}, p_i), \varrho(a_{k+1}, a_j) \},$$

$$(9) \quad \varrho(a_{k+1}, q) < \xi \quad \text{implies} \quad \overline{p_i q} \cap S = \overline{p_i a_{k+1}} \cap S$$

for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $S \in S_k - \{ \overline{p_1 a_{k+1}}, \dots, \overline{p_l a_{k+1}} \}$. We have $a_{k+1} \in C_n^*$, whence $a_{k+1} \in C'$ for some $C' \in C_n$ and C' is a non-degenerate subcontinuum of \mathcal{C}^2 . Let K_{k+1} be a subcontinuum of C' such that $a_{k+1} \in K_{k+1}$ and $\delta(K_{k+1}) < \xi$; therefore $\delta(K_{k+1}) < \eta$,

$$K_{k+1} \cap (K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1, \dots, p_l, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{C}^2 - K_{k+1}$$

by (8). Thus $(K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{k+1}) \cap \{a_{k+2}, \dots, a_m\} = 0$ and, applying Lemma 2 for $C = K_{k+1}$ and $q = a_{k+1}$, we get points $q_1, \dots, q_l \in K_{k+1}$ such that $\overline{p_i q_i} \cap K_{k+1} = (q_i)$ and $\overline{p_i q_i}$ are disjoint segments ($i = 1, \dots, l$). It follows from (9) that $K_{k+1} \cap S = 0$ and $\overline{p_i q_i} \cap S = \overline{p_i a_{k+1}} \cap S$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $S \in S_k - \{ \overline{p_1 a_{k+1}}, \dots, \overline{p_l a_{k+1}} \}$. We form the collection S_{k+1} from the collection S_k by replacing the element $\overline{p_i a_{k+1}}$ of S_k by the segment $\overline{p_i q_i}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, l$.

Since S_{k+1} is a locally finite collection of straight line segments, every two of them having at most one common point p , which is their end point and belongs to U . Moreover, every such point p is one of the points a_{k+2}, \dots, a_m provided that $p \in C_n^*$ and $k+1 < m$. In the case of $k+1 = m$ no such point p belongs to C_n^* , whence

$$(10) \quad S \cap S' \subset \mathcal{C}^2 - C_n^* \quad \text{for} \quad S, S' \in S_m, S \neq S'$$

Furthermore, the continua K_i are disjoint and

$$(11) \quad a_i \in K_i, \quad \delta(K_i) < \eta, \quad K_i \cap S_m^* \neq 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Each element of S_0 is changed at most twice in order to become an element of S_m and these changes depend on taking a point of K_i as a new end point of the segment instead of the point a_i for some $i = 1, \dots, m$. Consequently, since $S_0 = S$ and each segment of S has a length less than 9η , we infer from (11) that

$$(12) \quad \delta(S) < 9\eta + 2\eta = 11\eta \quad \text{for} \quad S \in S_m.$$

⁽⁸⁾ $\varrho(a, X) = \inf_{a \in X} \varrho(a, x)$.

Similarly, each element of S_0 either remains disjoint with the set $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ or changes for the first time to a segment having exactly one end point in K_i and, perhaps, for the second time to a segment having exactly one end point in K_j , where $i < j$, and no other point of $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ is added. Consequently, each element S of S_m has at most two points in the set $K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ and every such point is an end point of S .

Denoting by K'_i the union of K_i and all bounded components of $\mathcal{C}^2 - K_i$, we see that the hyperspace H of the semicontinuous decomposition of \mathcal{C}^2 into the components of $K'_1 \cup \dots \cup K'_m$ and the points $p \in \mathcal{C}^2 - (K'_1 \cup \dots \cup K'_m)$ is topologically the plane \mathcal{C}^2 (see [4], p. 380) and that the set $S_m^* \cup K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ is transformed by this mapping onto the 1-dimensional skeleton of some triangulation T' of H . Hence each component D of the set

$$\mathcal{C}^2 - (S_m^* \cup K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m)$$

is contained in a bounded component either of the set $\mathcal{C}^2 - K_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$ or of a set of the form

$$\mathcal{C}^2 - (S_{i_1} \cup S_{i_2} \cup S_{i_3} \cup K_{i_1} \cup K_{i_2} \cup K_{i_3}),$$

where $S_{i_j} \in S_m$ correspond to the sides and K_{i_j} —to the vertices of a triangle belonging to T' ($j = 1, 2, 3$). It follows, in any case, that we have

$$\delta(D) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 [\delta(S_{i_j}) + \delta(K_{i_j})] < 3 \cdot 11\eta + 3\eta = 36\eta \leq \varepsilon_n,$$

according to (5), (11) and (12). Therefore no set $C \in C_n$ is contained in D , whence each set $C \in C_n$ intersects the set $S_m^* \cup K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$. If we have $C \cap K_i \neq 0$, then $K_i \subset C$, because K_i is a subset of a continuum belonging to C_n ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and C_n consists of disjoint elements. Hence, by (11), $0 \neq K_i \cap S_m^* \subset C \cap S_m^*$, and we conclude that it is always $C \cap S_m^* \neq 0$ for $C \in C_n$. But since C_n^* is a bounded set, according to (4), and S_m is a locally finite collection, there is only a finite number of elements S_1, \dots, S_h of S_m which intersect C_n^* . Consequently,

$$C \cap (S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_h) \neq 0$$

for every $C \in C_n$.

Consider two distinct segments S_i and S_j ($i, j = 1, \dots, h$). If $S_i \cap S_j \neq 0$, then S_i and S_j have exactly one point p in common, where p is an end point of S_i and S_j , and belongs to U . By (10), we have $S_i \cap S_j \subset \mathcal{C}^2 - C_n^*$, whence $p \in \mathcal{C}^2 - C_n^*$. Therefore, by (6), the point p does not belong to the set $Q(C_n^*, \eta)$.

It follows, according to (4), that disjoint segments $S'_i \subset S_i$ exist ($i = 1, \dots, h$) such that

$$S'_1 \cup \dots \cup S'_h \subset \text{Int}(R_n^*)$$

and

$$(13) \quad C \cap (S'_1 \cup \dots \cup S'_h) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad C \in C_n.$$

Consequently, we can construct disjoint rectangles R_1, \dots, R_h and rectangles R'_1, \dots, R'_h such that

$$(14) \quad S'_i \subset \text{Int}(R'_i), \quad R'_i \subset \text{Int}(R_i) \subset R_n^*$$

and

$$(15) \quad R_i \subset Q(S'_i, \eta)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, h$.

Now, we define ε_{n+1} , R_{n+1} and C_{n+1} as follows.

Put $R_{n+1} = \{R_1, \dots, R_h\}$. It follows from (5), (12) and (15) that

$$\delta(R_i) < \delta(S'_i) + 2\eta < 13\eta \leq 13d/36 \cdot 2^n < d/2^{n+1}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, h$. Moreover, by (14), we have $R_i \subset R_n^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$; thus (2) holds.

According to (14), there exists a number $\varepsilon_{n+1} > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon_n$ and $\varepsilon_{n+1} < \varrho(s, r)$ for every $s \in S'_i$ and r belonging to the boundary of R'_i , $i = 1, \dots, h$. If $C \in C_n$, there is, by (13), a segment S'_j ($j = 1, \dots, h$) which intersects the continuum C . Therefore, in the case when C is not contained in R'_j there exists a component \tilde{C} of the set $C \cap R'_j$ such that $\varepsilon_{n+1} < \delta(\tilde{C})$. If $C \subset R'_j$, then, putting $\tilde{C} = C$, we also get the inequality $\varepsilon_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon_n < \delta(C) = \delta(\tilde{C})$. We define

$$C_{n+1} = \{\tilde{C} : C \in C_n\}.$$

Hence C_{n+1} is a collection of disjoint continua with diameters greater than ε_{n+1} and (3) holds. Moreover, we have $C_{n+1} \subset R'_1 \cup \dots \cup R'_h$, whence

$$\overline{C_{n+1}} \subset R'_1 \cup \dots \cup R'_h \subset \text{Int}(R_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{Int}(R_h)$$

$$\subset \text{Int}(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_h) = \text{Int}(R_{n+1}^*),$$

according to (14), i.e. (4) holds for $n+1$ instead of n .

Since each collection R_n consists of a finite number of disjoint rectangles with diameters less than $d/2^n$, it follows from (2) that

$$Z = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^*$$

is a 0-dimensional compact subset of the plane \mathcal{E}^2 .

Let C be an arbitrary element of $C = C_0$. By (3), there exists an infinite sequence C_0, C_1, \dots of continua such that $C_0 = C$ and $C_{n+1} \subset C_n \in C_n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus $C_n \subset C$ and, according to (2) and (4), we have

$$R_{n+1}^* \cap C \subset R_n^* \cap C \supset R_n^* \cap C_n = C_n \neq 0$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, whence we obtain

$$Z \cap C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (R_n^* \cap C) \neq 0,$$

i.e. (I) holds and Theorem 6 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 7. Let us put

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} C_0 &= \{C : C \in C, 1 < \delta(C)\}, \\ C_n &= \{C : C \in C, 1/(n+1) < \delta(C) \leq 1/n\} \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 6, there exists a 0-dimensional compact set $Z_n \subset \mathcal{E}^2$ such that $Z_n \cap C \neq 0$ for every $C \in C_n$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $C_n^* \subset C^*$, each set

$$(17) \quad Z_n = Z_n \cap \overline{C_n^*} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

as well as the set $A(C)$, is a closed subset of $\overline{C^*}$ and so

$$(18) \quad Z = A(C) \cup Z'_0 \cup Z'_1 \cup \dots$$

is a 0-dimensional subset of $\overline{C^*}$ (see [3], p. 171). Hence, C^* being bounded, to prove that Z is compact it is enough to show that Z is closed. Let $p = \lim p_i$ and $p_i \in Z$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. If a set in the sum on the right side of formula (18) contains infinitely many of the points p_i , then, being compact, it contains the point p , i.e. $p \in Z$. If, however, there is a sequence n_1, n_2, \dots such that $\lim n_j = \infty$ and each set Z_{n_j} contains at least one of the points p_i , then p is a limit point of points $q_j \in C_{n_j}^*$, according to (17). Taking such C_j that $q_j \in C_j \in C_{n_j}$, we thus obtain $C_j \in C$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lim \delta(C_j) = 0$, by (16), whence $(p) = \lim q_j = \text{Lim } C_j$ and therefore $p \in A(C)$. This yields $p \in Z$ and so Z is a compact set.

Finally, let C be an arbitrary element of C . If C is a one-point set, then $C \subset A(C)$, whence $Z \cap C \neq 0$, by (18). If C contains at least two points, we have $0 < \delta(C)$, i.e. $C \in C_n$ for some $n = 0, 1, \dots$, according to (16), whence $0 \neq Z_n \cap C = Z_n \cap C \subset Z \cap C$, by (17) and (18). Therefore Z intersects each element C of C , i.e. (I) holds and Theorem 7 is proved.

Remark. Theorem 7 is a generalization of Theorem 6 and it is easily seen that the above proof can be extended to that of other theorems generalizing Theorem 6. For instance, Theorem 5 can be generalized in this way as follows: if C^* is a compact set, C is a collection of connected sets, $\dim A(C) \leq 0$ and $\dim C^* \leq 1$, then (I) holds. The condition that C^* is an at most 1-dimensional and compact set can be replaced here by the condition that C^* is contained in such a set.

I say that $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n$ is an ordinary decomposition of the arc L into arcs L_i provided that $L_i \cap L_{i+1}$ consists of a single point for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $1 < |i-j|$ implies $L_i \cap L_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.



LEMMA 3. If $\gamma > 0$, L is an arc and $7\gamma \leq \delta(L)$, then there exist a number $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and an ordinary decomposition

$$L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{4n+3}$$

of L into arcs L_i such that

$$(19) \quad \gamma \leq \delta(L_i) < 5\gamma$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, 4n+3$.

In fact, p denoting an end point of L , there is an arc $A_1 \subset L$ beginning at p and having the diameter $\delta(A_1) = \gamma$. Similarly, there are an arc $A_2 \subset \overline{L - A_1}$ such that $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\delta(A_2) = \gamma$, an arc $A_3 \subset \overline{L - (A_1 \cup A_2)}$ such that $A_2 \cap A_3 \neq \emptyset$ and $\delta(A_3) = \gamma$, and so on. Choosing these arcs as long as possible, we obtain an ordinary decomposition

$$L = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup \overline{L - (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k)},$$

where $\delta(A_i) = \gamma$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and the diameter of the last arc is less than γ . Let $n = [\frac{1}{2}(k-3)]$, whence $k = 4n+3+m$ and $m \leq 3$. Putting $L_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4n+2$ and

$$L_{4n+3} = A_{4n+3} \cup \dots \cup A_{4n+3+m} \cup \overline{L - (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k)},$$

we obtain $\delta(L_i) = \gamma$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4n+2$ and

$$\gamma \leq \delta(L_{4n+3}) < \gamma + m\gamma + \gamma \leq 5\gamma,$$

i.e. (19) holds. Moreover, $7\gamma \leq \delta(L)$ implies $7 \leq k$, whence $1 \leq n$ and thus Lemma 3 is proved.

LEMMA 4. If C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets and $C_i \in C$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then there is an element $C \in C$ such that

$$C^* \cap \text{Li } C_i \subset C.$$

Suppose, indeed, $p, q \in C^* \cap \text{Li } C_i$. Then $p \in C$ for some $C \in C$ and, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist points $p_i, q_i \in C_i$ such that $p = \lim p_i$ and $q = \lim q_i$ (see [3], p. 242). It is enough to show that $q \in C$.

If q belongs to the infinitely many sets C_i , these sets must coincide with some element C' of C , because C is a collection of disjoint sets. Then p is a limit point of the set C' and since C is upper semicontinuous, C' is closed in C^* . It follows that $p \in C'$, whence $C = C'$ and so q belongs to C .

If an index k exists such that q does not belong to C_i for $i \geq k$, then the set $\{p, p_k, p_{k+1}, \dots\}$ is closed in C^* , and since C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets, the union $C \cup C_k \cup C_{k+1} \cup \dots$ must be a closed subset of C^* , whence it must contain the point q . It follows that $q \in C$ and thus Lemma 4 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 11. Since, by (II), every element of C intersects the arc A , the set $A(C)$ is contained in A , and so it is compact

(see p. 88). $A(C)$ being also 0-dimensional (or empty), there exist in A intervals I_1, \dots, I_k (i.e. connected open subsets of A) such that $A(C) \subset I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k$, the diameter of I_i is arbitrarily small and $\overline{I_i} \cap \overline{I_j} = \emptyset$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k, i \neq j$. Then all the elements C of C which intersect the set $A - (I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k)$ (let us denote the collection of such C by C') have diameters greater than some $\varepsilon > 0$ and it is sufficient to prove that (III) holds for C' .

Thus we can assume that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\delta(C) > \varepsilon$ for every $C \in C$.

Let $\zeta > 0$ be an arbitrary number and

$$(20) \quad \gamma = \min(\varepsilon, \zeta)/17,$$

whence $\gamma > 0$. Consider the collection I of maximal intervals in A in each of which some element of C is dense. In other words, the collection I consists of all the components of the interiors (in A) of the sets $\overline{A \cap C}$, where C ranges C . Since C is an upper semicontinuous collection of disjoint sets, it follows that every two distinct elements of I are disjoint (see p. 89). Hence $J = \{J: J \in I, \gamma \leq \delta(J)\}$ is a finite collection of disjoint intervals. For every $J \in J$, let J_1 be such an interval that $\overline{J_1} \subset J$ and $J - \overline{J_1}$ is the union of two disjoint intervals having diameters less than γ . Putting $K = \{J_1: J_1 \in J\}$, we thus conclude that

$$(21) \text{ if } C \in C, Y \text{ is an arc and } \gamma \leq \delta(Y), \text{ then } Y - \overline{(A - K^*)} \cap C \neq \emptyset$$

and $J - J_1 \subset A - K^*$ for every $J \in J$.

Furthermore, from (II) we have $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every $C \in C$. If $J \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for some $J \in J$, then C must coincide with that element of C which is dense in J , whence $0 \neq (J - J_1) \cap C \subset (A - K^*) \cap C$. But if $J^* \cap C = \emptyset$, then $0 \neq A \cap C \subset (A - J^*) \cap C \subset (A - K^*) \cap C$. Therefore in any case we have

$$(22) \quad (A - K^*) \cap C \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } C \in C.$$

Let us denote by L the collection of such components L of the set $\overline{(A - K^*)} \cap C^*$ that $7\gamma \leq \delta(L)$. Hence L is a finite collection of disjoint arcs and

$$(23) \quad L^* \subset \overline{(A - K^*)} \cap C^*.$$

Therefore a number $\eta > 0$ exists such that

$$(24) \quad Q(L, \eta) \cap Q(L', \eta) = \emptyset$$

for $L, L' \in L, L \neq L'$.

Now, let L be an arbitrary element of \mathcal{L} . According to Lemma 3, there exists an ordinary decomposition $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{4n+3}$ of L into arcs satisfying (19). The sets

$$(25) \quad F_{ij} = \text{Fr}[Q(L_{4i-1} \cup L_{4i} \cup L_{4i+1}, 1/j)] - Q(L_{4i-2} \cup L_{4i+2}, 1/j)$$

are evidently compact ^(*) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Consider a number $i_0 = 1, \dots, n$ and a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of arbitrary elements of \mathcal{C} which satisfy the inequalities

$$(26) \quad L_{4i_0} \cap C_k \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to Lemma 4, there exists an element $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C^* \cap \text{Li } C_k \subset C$. But since $L_{4i_0-1} \subset L \subset L^*$ and L_{4i_0-1} is an arc, it follows from (23) that

$$L_{4i_0-1} \subset \overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^* \cap L_{4i_0-1}},$$

whence, by (19) and (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq L_{4i_0-1} - \overline{(A - K^*) \cap C} \\ \subset \overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^* \cap L_{4i_0-1} - (A - K^*) \cap C^* \cap \text{Li } C_k} \\ = \overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^* \cap (L_{4i_0-1} - \text{Li } C_k)} \subset \overline{L_{4i_0-1} - \text{Li } C_k}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $L_{4i_0-1} - \text{Li } C_k \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exist a point $p \in L_{4i_0-1}$, an open neighbourhood U of p (in the Euclidean space) and a subsequence C_{k_1}, C_{k_2}, \dots such that $U \cap C_{k_l} = \emptyset$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Evidently, we can do the same with the arc L_{4i_0+1} and the sets C_{k_l} instead of L_{4i_0-1} and C_k , respectively. Then we obtain a point $q \in L_{4i_0+1}$, an open neighbourhood V of q and a subsequence C_{k_1}, C_{k_2}, \dots such that $V \cap C_{k_m} = \emptyset$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. We can assume for simplicity that the last subsequence agrees with the preceding one, and so

$$(27) \quad (U \cup V) \cap C_{k_l} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $L_{4i_0-1} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$ and $L_{4i_0+1} = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3$ be ordinary decompositions into arcs such that M_3 and N_1 intersect L_{4i_0} and $M_2 \cup N_2 \subset U \cup V$. Put $M = M_3 \cup L_{4i_0} \cup N_1$. Then there exists such an integer $j_0 > 0$ that $1/j_0 < \gamma$ and, for every $j > j_0$, we have $\overline{Q(M_2 \cup N_2, 1/j)} \subset U \cup V$ and

$$(28) \quad \overline{Q(L_{4i_0-2} \cup M_1 \cup N_3 \cup L_{4i_0+2}, 1/j)} \cap \overline{Q(M, 1/j)} = \emptyset.$$

It follows that, for $j > j_0$, no point $x \in \text{Fr}[Q(M, 1/j)] - (U \cup V)$ is a limit point of the set $Q(M_1 \cup N_3, 1/j) \cup Q(M_2 \cup N_2, 1/j)$. However every such point x is a limit point of the set $Q(M, 1/j)$ and of its complementary set. But since

$$Q(L_{4i_0-1} \cup L_{4i_0} \cup L_{4i_0+1}, 1/j) = Q(M_1 \cup N_3, 1/j) \cup Q(M_2 \cup N_2, 1/j) \cup Q(M, 1/j)$$

^(*) $\text{Fr}(X)$ denotes the boundary of X in the Euclidean space (see [3], p. 29).

(compare the footnote ^(*) on p. 93), every such point x is a limit point of the set on the left side of the last equality as well as of its complementary set, and so x belongs to its boundary. Therefore the whole set $\text{Fr}[Q(M, 1/j)] - (U \cup V)$ is contained in this boundary and we infer from (25) and (28) that

$$(29) \quad \text{Fr}[Q(M, 1/j)] \subset F_{i_0j} \cup U \cup V$$

for $j > j_0$. We have

$$0 \neq L_{4i_0} \cap C_{k_l} \subset M \cap C_{k_l} \subset Q(M, 1/j) \cap C_{k_l},$$

according to (26), and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta[Q(M, 1/j)] &\leq \delta(M) + 2/j < \delta(L_{4i_0-1} \cup L_{4i_0} \cup L_{4i_0+1}) + 2/j_0 \\ &< 3 \cdot 5\gamma + 2\gamma = 17\gamma \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $j > j_0$, according to (19) and (20). But since $C_{k_l} \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\varepsilon < \delta(C_{k_l})$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence no set C_{k_l} is contained in $Q(M, 1/j)$ and therefore each must intersect the boundary of $Q(M, 1/j)$ for $j > j_0$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots$ (see [4], p. 80). Consequently, by (27) and (29), we obtain

$$F_{i_0j} \cap C_{k_l} \neq \emptyset$$

for every integer $j > j_0$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots$

Since the sets C_1, C_2, \dots satisfying (26) have been chosen arbitrarily in the collection \mathcal{C} , it follows that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ an integer $m(i) > 0$ exists such that

$$(30) \quad \text{if } C \in \mathcal{C}, L_{4i} \cap C \neq \emptyset \text{ and } m(i) < j, \text{ then } F_{ij} \cap C \neq \emptyset.$$

The closures of the sets

$$G_i = L_{4i-1} \cup L_{4i} \cup L_{4i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i = A - (L_{4i-2} \cup \dots \cup L_{4i+2})$$

being obviously disjoint, it follows that an integer $h > 0$ exists such that

$$(31) \quad 1/h < \min\{\gamma, \gamma, 1/m(1), 1/m(2), \dots, 1/m(n)\},$$

$$(32) \quad \overline{Q(G_i, 1/h)} \cap \overline{Q(H_i, 1/h)} = \emptyset$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since L is a component of the subset $\overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^*}$ of the arc A , the arcs L_1 and L_{4n+3} (containing the end points of L , respectively) may be completed, respectively, by adding some points of $A - L$, to such closed subsets L'_1 and L'_{4n+3} of the set $\overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^*}$ that

$$(33) \quad L'_1 \subset Q(L_1, 1/h), \quad L'_{4n+3} \subset Q(L_{4n+3}, 1/h)$$

and the union $L'_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_{4n+2} \cup L'_{4n+3}$ contains L and is both open and closed in $\overline{(A - K^*) \cap C^*}$. Let us denote by M_L the collection consisting of the following $2n+1$ sets:

$$\begin{aligned} L'_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3, \quad L_{4n+1} \cup L_{4n+2} \cup L'_{4n+3}, \\ F_{i_h}, \quad L_{4i+1} \cup L_{4i+2} \cup L_{4i+3} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{n_h}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. From (25) we have $F_{ih} \subset \overline{Q(G_i, 1/h)}$ and $F_{ih} \cap (L_{4i-2} \cup \dots \cup L_{4i+2}) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence

$$(F_{1h} \cup \dots \cup F_{nh}) \cap A = 0,$$

by (32), and so $(L \cup M_L^*) \cap A = L'_i \cup L \cup L_{4m+3}$, i.e. this set is an open and closed subset of $(A-K^*) \cap C^*$. Furthermore, if $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $i \neq j$, then $G_i \subset \overline{H_j}$, whence $F_{ih} \cap F_{jh} = 0$, by (32). It follows that M_L is a finite collection of mutually disjoint compact sets.

According to (25) and (33), we have $Z \subset \overline{Q(X, 1/h)}$ for each $Z \in M_L$, where X is a union of three successive arcs L_i . Therefore

$$\delta(Z) \leq \delta(X) + 2/h < 3 \cdot 5\gamma + 2\gamma = 17\gamma \leq \zeta$$

for $Z \in M_L$, by (19), (20) and (31).

Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $L \cap C \neq 0$. Then we have $L_m \cap C \neq 0$ for some $m = 1, \dots, 4n+3$. If $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, L_m is contained in an element of M_L , whence $0 \neq L_m \cap C \subset M_L^* \cap C$. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $m = 4i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$; and so from (30) and (31) we get $0 \neq F_{ih} \cap C \subset M_L^* \cap C$. Hence in any case

$$(34) \quad \text{if } C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } L \cap C \neq 0, \text{ then } M_L^* \cap C \neq 0.$$

Finally, (25) and (33) imply that each element of M_L is contained in $\overline{Q(L, 1/h)}$. Therefore (31) gives

$$M_L^* \subset \overline{Q(L, \eta)}.$$

The finite collections M_L of mutually disjoint compact sets with diameters less than ζ are thus defined for $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Comparing the last inclusion with formula (24), we see that the collection

$$M = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} M_L$$

is the same one. Furthermore, since \mathcal{L} is finite, the set

$$(L^* \cup M^*) \cap A = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} [(L \cup M_L^*) \cap A]$$

is an open and closed subset of $(A-K^*) \cap C^*$ and (see the definition of L , p. 99) each component of the set

$$\overline{(A-K^*) \cap C^* - (L^* \cup M^*)} \cap A$$

has a diameter less than 7γ . It follows that this last set may be decomposed into a finite collection N of mutually disjoint compact sets having diameters less than 8γ , and thus less than ζ , according to (20).

Thus $M \cup N$ is a finite collection of disjoint compact sets with diameters less than ζ and

$$(A-K^*) \cap C^* \subset L^* \cup M^* \cup N^*.$$

By (22), each element C of \mathcal{C} intersects $A-K^*$. Hence it must intersect L^* or $M^* \cup N^*$. In the first case we have $L \cap C \neq 0$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and infer from (34) that $0 \neq M_L^* \cap C \subset M^* \cap C$. Then C always intersects $M^* \cup N^*$. Denoting by Z_1, \dots, Z_k the elements of the collection $M \cup N$, we conclude that condition (III) holds and Theorem 11 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 12. According to the Alexandroff Theorem (see [4], p. 42), there is a continuous mapping $f: C^* \rightarrow H(C)$ such that the counter-images $f^{-1}(y)$ coincide with the elements C of \mathcal{C} , i.e. we have $C = f^{-1}f(C)$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $f(C^*) = H(C)$ is a segment $\{t: a \leq t \leq b\}$ of the real line \mathcal{E}^1 . Let $p \in f^{-1}(a)$, $q \in f^{-1}(b)$ and let $A \subset C^*$ be an arc from p to q (see [4], p. 182 and 184). Hence $f(A) = f(C^*)$ and it follows that $f(A \cap C) = f[A \cap f^{-1}f(C)] = f(A) \cap f(C) = f(C^*) \cap f(C) = f(C) \neq 0$, which implies $A \cap C \neq 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, i.e. (II) holds and Theorem 12 is proved.

Constructions of examples. The constructions of Examples 1 and 2 being given by Knaster [2], we are to construct Examples 3, 4 and 5. All our constructions will depend on Knaster's result [2]. We start with the following

LEMMA 5. Let $G = G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_k$, where G_1, \dots, G_k are open subsets of the plane \mathcal{E}^2 such that

$$(35) \quad \delta(G_i) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{G_i} \cap \overline{G_j} = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, k; i \neq j,$$

let p_1, \dots, p_n be points of the square \mathcal{Q}^2 and let K be a component of the set $\mathcal{Q}^2 - G$, intersecting the two sides $\mathcal{Q} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times (1)$ of \mathcal{Q}^2 . Then there exists a polygonal arc A with end points q_0 and q_1 such that

$$q_0 \in \mathcal{Q} \times (0), \quad q_1 \in \mathcal{Q} \times (1), \\ A \subset K - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}, \quad A \cap [\mathcal{Q} \times (0)] = \{q_0\}.$$

First we shall show that there is only one component K of the set $\mathcal{Q}^2 - G$, joining the sides $\mathcal{Q} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times (1)$.

Indeed, if the set G cut \mathcal{Q}^2 between the sides $(0) \times \mathcal{Q}$ and $(1) \times \mathcal{Q}$, a continuum $C \subset G$ would exist (see [4], p. 97, 176 and 335) such that C would intersect both $\mathcal{Q} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times (1)$, whence $1 \leq \delta(C)$. Then, by (35), we should have $C \subset G_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$, which would give $1 \leq \delta(G_i)$ contrary to (35). Therefore there is a component K' of $\mathcal{Q}^2 - G$, joining the sides $(0) \times \mathcal{Q}$ and $(1) \times \mathcal{Q}$. It follows that $K \cap K' \neq 0$, whence $K = K'$. Now, if K'' is a component of $\mathcal{Q}^2 - G$ which joins $\mathcal{Q} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times (1)$,

we must have $K' \cap K'' \neq \emptyset$, that is $K \cap K'' \neq \emptyset$, whence $K = K''$, and so our assertion is proved.

Now, if the set $\mathcal{D}^2 - \bar{G}$ were not connected between the sets $\mathcal{D} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{D} \times (1)$, the set \bar{G} would contain a continuum C' joining the sets $(0) \times \mathcal{D}$ and $(1) \times \mathcal{D}$, whence $1 \leq \delta(C')$. Then, by (35), we should have $C' \subset \bar{G}_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, k$, which would imply $1 \leq \delta(\bar{G}_j) = \delta(G_j)$ contrary to (35). Therefore there is a component R of $\mathcal{D}^2 - \bar{G}$, and thus a connected and open subset of \mathcal{D}^2 , which joins $\mathcal{D} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{D} \times (1)$. It follows (see [4], p. 342 and 343) that there exists a polygonal arc A' with end points q and q_1 such that $q \in \mathcal{D} \times (0)$, $q_1 \in \mathcal{D} \times (1)$ and $A' \subset R - \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.

But since $R \subset \mathcal{D}^2 - \bar{G} \subset \mathcal{D}^2 - G$, the set R is contained in a component of $\mathcal{D}^2 - G$ joining $\mathcal{D} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{D} \times (1)$. Hence $R \subset K$. Taking, on the arc A' , the last (in the passage from q to q_1) point q_0 such that $q_0 \in \mathcal{D} \times (0)$, we obtain the desired polygonal arc $A \subset A'$ with end points q_0 and q_1 , and thus Lemma 5 is proved.

We shall prove that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists, in the Euclidean space \mathcal{E}^3 , a polygonal arc A_n with end points p_n and q_n , so that

$$(36) \quad A_n \subset \mathcal{D}^2 \times (1/n),$$

$$(37) \quad p_n = (t_n, 0, 1/n), \quad q_n = (s_n, 1, 1/n),$$

$$(38) \quad t_m \neq t_n \quad \text{for } m \neq n,$$

$$(39) \quad A_n \cap [\mathcal{D} \times (0) \times (1/n)] = (p_n)$$

for $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$(40) \quad \text{the collection } \mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{D}^2 \times (0), A_1, A_2, \dots\} \text{ satisfies (non III).}$$

In fact, every set $K_{1/n}$ in Knaster's example (see [2], p. 194) is of the form

$$K_{1/n} = C_n \times (1/n),$$

where C_n is a component of some set $\mathcal{D}^2 - (G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_k)$, intersecting the two sides $\mathcal{D} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{D} \times (1)$ of \mathcal{D}^2 , and conditions (35) are satisfied. Hence, supposing the arcs A_1, \dots, A_n are just defined, we first find, by Lemma 5, a polygonal arc A with end points q_0 and q_1 such that $q_i \in \mathcal{D} \times (i)$ for $i = 0$ or 1 (that is $q_0 = (t_{n+1}, 0)$ and $q_1 = (s_{n+1}, 1)$ with $t_{n+1}, s_{n+1} \in \mathcal{D}$), $A \subset C_{n+1} - \{(t_1, 0), \dots, (t_n, 0)\}$ and $A \cap [\mathcal{D} \times (0)] = (q_0)$.

Next we put $A_{n+1} = A \times (1/(n+1))$, $p_{n+1} = (q_0) \times (1/(n+1))$ and $q_{n+1} = (q_1) \times (1/(n+1))$. Thus A_{n+1} is a polygonal arc from p_{n+1} to q_{n+1} , conditions (36), (37) and (39) hold for $n+1$ instead of n , and $(t_{n+1}, 0) = q_0 \in A$ implies that $t_{n+1} \neq t_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

In this way the arcs A_1, A_2, \dots are defined so that all the conditions (36)-(39) hold, and moreover, we have $A_n \subset K_{1/n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since,

by [2], condition (III) for the collection consisting of all continua $K_{1/n}$ and of their limit set $\mathcal{D}^2 \times (0)$ fails already with $\zeta = 1/2$, it must be the same for the collection \mathcal{A} . Hence (40) follows.

Construction of Example 3. Let r_1, r_2, \dots be the sequence of all rational numbers of the segment \mathcal{D} and let a_1, a_2, \dots be such an infinite sequence of positive real numbers that $\lim a_i = \infty$ and $ma_i \neq na_j$ for every $i, j, m, n = 1, 2, \dots$; $i \neq j$. Consider the homeomorphisms h_i of the space \mathcal{E}^3 onto itself, defined by

$$(41) \quad h_i((x, y, z)) = (x/i, y, z/a_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. According to (36) and (37), the set

$$(42) \quad B_{in} = A_n \cup [\mathcal{D} \times (r_i) \times (1/n)]$$

is a continuum for $i, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us put

$$C_i = \{h_i(B_{i1}), h_i(B_{i2}), \dots\}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$C = \{\mathcal{D}^2 \times (0)\} \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$$

The proof that C^* is a 2-dimensional compact subset of \mathcal{E}^3 and that every element of C is a component of C^* and has a diameter equal to or greater than 1 is left to the reader. It is not difficult to verify that the hyperspace $H(C)$ is homeomorphic to the subset of \mathcal{E}^3 , consisting of the number 0 and of all the numbers $1/na_i$, where $i, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Suppose, now, that (I) holds and let Z be a 0-dimensional compact subset of \mathcal{E}^3 such that $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every $C \in C$. Hence the set $Y_i = h_i^{-1}(Z)$ is 0-dimensional and compact, and we have $Y_i \cap B_{in} \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we had $Y_i \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ for $n > k$, then adding to the set Y_i $k+1$ points arbitrarily chosen in the sets $\mathcal{D}^2 \times (0), A_1, \dots, A_k$, respectively, we should get a 0-dimensional compact set intersecting each element of the collection \mathcal{A} , which is impossible by (40) and Theorem 2. Thus there exists an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ of positive integers such that $Y_i \cap A_{n_j} = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows from (42) that we then have

$$Y_i \cap [\mathcal{D} \times (r_i) \times (1/n_j)] \neq \emptyset$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, whence $Y_i \cap [\mathcal{D} \times (r_i) \times (0)] \neq \emptyset$, by the compactness of Y_i . Therefore there is a number $x_i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that the point $(x_i, r_i, 0)$ belongs to Y_i . We infer from (41) that

$$(x_i/i, r_i, 0) \in h_i(Y_i) = Z$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, which gives $(0) \times \mathcal{D} \times (0) \subset \bar{Z} = Z$, contrary to the supposition that Z is a 0-dimensional set.

Consequently, we have (non I) for the collection C . By Theorem 3, we also have (non II). So it is enough to show that (III) holds for C .

Indeed, let $\zeta > 0$ be an arbitrary number and let $\varepsilon = \zeta/2$. Since $\lim a_i = \infty$, there is such an integer $m \geq 1/\varepsilon$ that $1/\varepsilon \leq a_i$ for $i > m$. Putting

$$P = \{(x, 0, z): 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq z \leq \varepsilon\},$$

we have $\delta(P) = \varepsilon\sqrt{2} < \zeta$, $t_n/i \leq 1/i < 1/m \leq \varepsilon$ for $t_n \in \mathcal{G}$ and $i > m$, and $1/na_i \leq 1/a_i \leq \varepsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $i > m$. It follows from (37) and (41) that $h_i(p_n) \in P$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $i > m$. Therefore, by (42), the set P intersects each element of C_i for $i > m$. Now, let Q_i be the set consisting of the point $(0, r_i, 0)$ and of all the points $(0, r_i, 1/na_i)$, where $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus Q_i is 0-dimensional compact set and intersects the set $\mathcal{G}^2 \times (0)$ as well as every set $h_i(B_{in})$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, according to (41) and (42). This means that Q_i intersects each element of C_i , and so the set $Z = P \cup Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_m$ intersects each element of C . Having the components of diameter less than ζ , it can easily be decomposed into a finite sequence of disjoint compact sets Z_1, \dots, Z_k such that $\delta(Z_i) < \zeta$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Construction of Example 4. The mapping f of the space \mathcal{C}^3 into itself, defined by

$$(43) \quad f((x, y, z)) = (x, y, yz),$$

is a homeomorphism on every plane $z = 1/n$. It follows from (36) and (37) that $f(A_n)$ is an arc with end point $(t_n, 0, 0)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By (39), only this point belongs to the common part of the arc $f(A_n)$ and the half-space $H = \{(x, y, z): z \leq 0\}$, as well as (38) implies that every two distinct arcs $f(A_m)$ and $f(A_n)$ intersect H at distinct points. It follows that the arcs $f(A_1), f(A_2), \dots$ are mutually disjoint, f being 1-1 on the set $f^{-1}(H)$.

Put $I_0 = \mathcal{G} - \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$. Let $g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a non-decreasing continuous function such that $g(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$, $g^{-1}(t)$ is a point for $t \in I_0$ and

$$g^{-1}(t_n) = \{t: a_n \leq t \leq b_n\},$$

where $a_n < b_n$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Denote by S_i the straight line segment with end points $(g(t), 0, 0)$ and $(t, 1, 0)$ for $t \in I_0$, and by T_n, T'_n, T''_n —the triangles with vertices

$$(t_n, 0, 0), (a_n, 1, 0), (b_n, 1, 0),$$

$$(t_n, 0, 0), (t_n, 0, -1/n), (a_n, 1, 0),$$

$$(t_n, 0, 0), (t_n, 0, -1/n), (b_n, 1, 0),$$

respectively, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then their union

$$D_n = T_n \cup T'_n \cup T''_n$$

is a disk, and the straight line segment U_n with end points $(t_n, 0, 0)$, $(t_n, 0, -1/n)$ is contained in D_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Now, let D be the union of the square \mathcal{G}^2 and the circle $x^2 + y^2 \leq 1$, and let B_i be the arc composed of the segment $(t) \times \mathcal{G}$ and the arc $\varrho = t$, $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ in polar coordinates, for $0 < t \leq 1$. Then D is a disk, the arcs B_i , where $0 < t \leq 1$, are mutually disjoint and fill up the set $D - (0, 0)$.

Finally, let h_n be a homeomorphism of D onto D_n which maps the segment $\mathcal{G} \times (0)$ on the segment U_n , the segment $\mathcal{G} \times (1)$ —on the segment $\{(x, 1, 0): a_n \leq x \leq b_n\}$, and the point $(0, 0)$ —on the point $(t_n, 0, 0)$.

Let us put

$$C = \{f(A_n): n = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{S_i: t \in I_0\} \\ \cup \{h_n(B_i): 0 < t \leq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the elements of C are disjoint arcs and the set C^* is a 2-dimensional continuum in \mathcal{C}^3 .

By (37) and (43), we have $f(p_n) = (t_n, 0, 0)$ and $f(q_n) = q_n = (s_n, 1, 1/n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, each arc S_i contains the points $(g(t), 0, 0)$ and $(t, 1, 0)$ for $t \in I_0$, and since each arc B_i intersects the two sets $\mathcal{G} \times (0)$ and $\mathcal{G} \times (1)$ for $0 < t \leq 1$, each arc $h_n(B_i)$ intersects the two sets U_n and $\mathcal{G} \times (1) \times (0)$ for $0 < t \leq 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus every element C of C intersects the two planes $y = 0$ and $y = 1$, whence $\delta(C) \geq 1$.

Furthermore, each arc $f(A_n)$ meets the segment $\mathcal{G} \times (0) \times (0)$, just as each arc $h_n(B_i)$ meets the segment $\mathcal{G} \times (1) \times (0)$ and each arc S_i meets both these segments. Consequently, the arc

$$A = [\mathcal{G} \times (0) \times (0)] \cup [(0) \times \mathcal{G} \times (0)] \cup [\mathcal{G} \times (1) \times (0)]$$

has a point in common with every arc belonging to the collection C , and thus (II) holds.

Since (non III) implies (non I), according to Theorem 2, the proof of all the desired properties of Example 4 is completed by showing that (non III) holds. To this end, suppose on the contrary that C satisfies (III). Then there exist, for each $\zeta > 0$, compact disjoint subsets Z_1, \dots, Z_k of \mathcal{C}^3 such that

$$(44) \quad \delta(Z_i) < \zeta/\sqrt{3}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$(45) \quad (Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k) \cap f(A_n) \neq \emptyset$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $l > 1$ be an integer such that $1/l < \zeta/\sqrt{3}$. Setting $J_j = \mathcal{G}^2 \times (1/j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ and

$$J_l = \mathcal{G}^2 \times \{t: 0 \leq t \leq 1/l\},$$

let us consider the sets

$$Z_{ij} = J_j \cap f^{-1}(Z_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. They are compact and mutually disjoint. If the points $p = (x, y, z)$, $q = (x', y', z')$ belong to Z_{ij} , then $|z - z'| \leq 1/l < \zeta/\sqrt{3}$ and $f(p)$, $f(q) \in Z_i$, whence $\varrho(f(p), f(q)) < \zeta/\sqrt{3}$, by virtue of (44). It follows from (43) that $|x - x'| < \zeta/\sqrt{3} > |y - y'|$, and so $\varrho(p, q) < \zeta$. Therefore all the sets Z_{ij} have diameters less than ζ .

We infer from (45) that each arc A_n intersects at least one of the sets $f^{-1}(Z_1), \dots, f^{-1}(Z_k)$. Hence, by (36), each arc A_n intersects at least one of the sets Z_{11}, \dots, Z_{kl} , and thus (III) holds for the collection \mathcal{A} , which contradicts (40).

Construction of Example 5. Setting

$$I_n = \{t: -1/n \leq t \leq 1 + 1/n\},$$

let P_n be the parallelepiped in the space \mathcal{C}^3 , defined by

$$P_n = I_n^2 \times \{t: (4n-1)/4n^2 \leq t \leq (4n+1)/4n^2\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let Q_n and R_n be the boundary and the interior of P_n , respectively. Then P_1, P_2, \dots are mutually disjoint sets and since \mathcal{Q}^2 is contained in the interior of I_n^2 , every polygonal arc A_n is, by (36), contained in R_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The region R_n being topologically the space \mathcal{C}^3 , there is a homeomorphism g_n of R_n onto \mathcal{C}^3 such that $g_n(A_n)$ is a polygonal arc. Hence there exists a homeomorphism h_n of $\mathcal{C}^3 - g_n(A_n)$ onto $\mathcal{C}^3 - \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ such that h_n is the identity mapping outside a neighbourhood of $g_n(A_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (see [4], p. 342). Denoting by S_r the sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r^2$ for $r > 0$, let us put

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \{\mathcal{Q}^2 \times \{0\}\} \cup \{Q_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\} \\ & \cup \{\mathcal{Q}^2 \times \{t\}: (4n+5)/4(n+1)^2 < t < (4n-1)/4n^2, n = 1, 2, \dots\} \\ & \cup \{g_n^{-1}h_n^{-1}(S_r): r > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{A_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that C is a collection of disjoint continua whose diameters are not less than that of A_n , whence $\delta(C) \geq 1$ for $C \in \mathcal{C}$, by (37). Moreover, the set C^* is topologically the cube \mathcal{Q}^3 , the collection C is upper semicontinuous and the hyperspace $H(C)$ is topologically the dendrite D defined by

$$D = [\mathcal{Q} \times \{0\}] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1/n, y): 0 \leq y \leq 1/n\}.$$

Each arc A_n corresponds to the end point $(1/n, 1/n)$ of D ; similarly each topological sphere Q_n corresponds to the point $(1/n, 0)$ of D , for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The points $(1/n, 0)$, where $n = 2, 3, \dots$, constitute all ramification points of the dendrite D .

It follows from (36) and (40) that every element of the collection \mathcal{A} is a component of A^* and A^* is a compact set. Thus (40) implies, by Theorems 2 and 3, that the collection \mathcal{A} satisfies (non I), (non II) and (non III). But since $A \subset C$, the collection C does the same.

References

- [1] J. L. Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1942), pp. 22-36.
- [2] B. Knaster, *Sur la fixation des décompositions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sciences, Cl. III, 4 (1956), pp. 193-196.
- [3] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Warszawa 1958.
- [4] — *Topologie II*, Warszawa 1961.
- [5] A. Lelek and D. Zaremba, *Dimensions of irreducible continua and fixations of components in compact spaces*, Fund. Math., this volume, pp. 81-86.
- [6] D. Zaremba, *Sur la fixation et l'enfilage des ensembles compacts*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sciences Math. Astr. Phys. 9 (1961), pp. 13-15.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 24. 6. 1961