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It is known [5] that snake-like continua (in short SC) in the sense of Bing [2] may be regarded as inverse limit spaces of arcs (closed intervals) with projections which are continuous mappings onto.

This method of construction will be applied here to an important class of SC, viz. to the hereditarily indecomposable SC. The existence of hereditarily indecomposable SC was shown by Knaster [6]. Bing called them pseudocores and proved their homeomorphism to one another [3]. I prove that every SC is a continuous image of the pseudocore; therefore, the pseudocore will be called here the universal snake-like continuum (in short USC). This result seems to be a consequence of a certain theorem of Bing (see [2], Theorem 5 and Lehner [7], Theorem 1), but I intend to use this opportunity to exemplify how the method of inverse limits can be applied to this kind of problems. Therefore, my construction does not resort to Bing's geometrical method using crookedness. I use particularly the uniformization theorem of Sikorski and Zarankiewicz (see [9] and [11]) concerning continuous mappings of the closed interval onto itself.

Waraszkiewicz [12] showed that there exists no continuum of which an arbitrary continuum would be a continuous image, i.e. would be universal for the class of all continua. Henceforward, the following question seems to be interesting: how large is the class of continua for which USC is still universal?

§ 1. Preliminaries. We consider SC as inverse limit spaces

\[ X = \lim_{\leftarrow} \left( X_n, \sigma_n^m \right) \]

of arcs \( X_n \) with projections \( \sigma_n^m : X_n \to X_m, \ m \geq n \), \( m, n = 1, 2, \ldots \), which are continuous and onto (\( \sigma_n^m \) are assumed to be identities). We assume, for convenience, that \( X_n \) are closed unit intervals, i.e. \( X_n = [x_n : 0 \leq x_n \leq 1] \). Consequently, SC are 1-dimensional metric continua (see theorems on inverse limits in [4]). It is also known that SC are imbeddable into the plane (see [2] and [5]).

(1) A quite elementary proof of the last proposition is as follows.

The inverse limit does not change if we substitute (even in infinitely many places) \( \sigma_n^m \circ h_k \) for \( \sigma_n^m \), where \( h_k \) is a homeomorphism of \( X_n \) onto itself. Furthermore, every
Let $I$ be the closed unit interval. Let $f, g: I \to I$ be continuous and onto. We write $f \sim g$ if there exists an $a: I \to I$, also continuous and onto, such that $fa = g$. Let $S$ be a class of mappings $f: I \to I$ continuous and onto. We say that $g$ is a majorant for $S$ if $f \sim g$ for every $f \in S$. We say that $g$ is a strong majorant for $S$ if for every $f, f' \in S$ we have $f' \sim f g$. Relation $\sim$ was investigated in [9].

Let $I'$ be a triangulation (division) of $I$. Simplexes (segments) of $I'$ are assumed to be equal. We denote by $\eta(I')$ the number of segments in $I'$. Consequently, mesh $I' = 1/\eta(I')$. The closed intervals which are the sums of segments of $I'$ will be called subintervals of $I'$.

Let $I''$ be another division of $I$ of this kind. Consider the class $[I'' \to I']$ of simplicial (piece-wise linear) mappings from $I''$ onto $I'$. This class is, of course, finite. It is non-empty if $\eta(I'') > \eta(I')$.

**Lemma 1.** There exist a division $I'''$ of $I$ and a mapping $g \in [I''' \to I'']$ which is a strong majorant for $[I'' \to I']$.

Inverse system $(X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$, where $X_{\alpha}$ are and $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ are continuous mappings onto, may be regarded as a subsystem of an inverse system $(X_{\beta}, X_{\beta}^{\beta})$, where $X_{\beta}$ are Euclidean planes and $X_{\beta}^{\beta}$, which are continuous and onto, are defined as follows.

Let $g_{\alpha}$ be the homeomorphism of $X_{\alpha}$ onto $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ given by the formula $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = (x_{\alpha}; x_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$. Consider the inverse system $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / X_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = (X_{\alpha}; X_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$.

having the same limit as $(X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$. Now, extend mappings $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ to mappings $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ which map the plane onto the plane as follows:

$$X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = (x_{\alpha}; x_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$$

where

$$\begin{align*}
x_{\alpha}^{\alpha} &= \begin{cases} x_{\alpha} & \text{for } x_{\alpha} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } 0 < x_{\alpha} < 1, \\ x_{\alpha} - 1 & \text{for } x_{\alpha} \geq 1, \end{cases} \\
x_{\alpha} &= \begin{cases} x_{\alpha} & \text{for } x_{\alpha} \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } 0 < x_{\alpha} < 1, \\ x_{\alpha} & \text{for } x_{\alpha} \geq 1. \end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

Let $g_{\alpha}$ be an arbitrary extension of $g_{\alpha}$ to the homeomorphism of the plane onto itself. Consider the following inverse system of pairs of spaces:

$$(X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = \cdots = (X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = (X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = (X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = \cdots$$

where the arrows have the meaning described above.

The limit of the first members is the plane. In fact, according to the remark at the beginning, the limit in question is the same as that of the system $X_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha} = \cdots = X_{\alpha} = 0 + \cdots$ with mappings equal to $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$. That the last limit is the plane is an easy consequence of the geometrical form of mappings $X_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$, which are constant with respect to the second coordinate and monotone with respect to the first one. The second members in the inverse system of pairs have continuous $X$ as the limit, the imbeddability of $X$ into the plane is proved.

**Proof.** Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k$ be all mappings in $[I'' \to I']$. According to the uniformization theorem of [9], there exist mappings $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in [I'' \to I']$, where $P$ is a division of $I$, such that $f_1a_1 = f_2a_2 = \cdots = f_k a_k$. Using again the uniformization theorem, we find a division $I''$ of $I$ and mappings $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k \in [I'' \to I']$ such that $a_1 \beta_1 = a_2 \beta_2 = \cdots = a_k \beta_k$. Let $g = a_i \beta_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. We have $g \epsilon [I'' \to I']$. Mapping $g$ is the required strong majorant for $[I'' \to I']$. In fact, we have for any $f_1, f_2$ in question inequalities $f_1g = f_2g$, where $\gamma_1 \beta_1 = a_i \beta_i$. In other words $f_1 \sim f_2 g$ for any $f_1, f_2 \epsilon [I'' \to I']$.

**Remark.** Factors $\gamma_i \beta_i$ which realize inequalities $f_1 \sim f_2 g$ belong to $[I'' \to I']$ as indicated in the proof of Lemma 1.

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$. We write $f \sim g$ if $|f(x) - g(x)| \leq \epsilon$ for every $x \epsilon I$.

We prove in an elementary way the following approximation lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Let $f: I \to I$ be continuous and onto and let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an integer $N$ such that for any divisions $I'$ and $I''$ of $I$ such that $\eta(I'')/\eta(I') \geq N$ and mesh $I' \leq \epsilon/4$ there exists a $g \epsilon [I'' \to I']$ such that $f \sim g$.

**Proof.** Let $f_{\epsilon} : I \to I$ be a simple mapping onto such that $f_{\epsilon} = f$. It satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a coefficient $K$, i.e. for every $x', x'' \epsilon I$ we have $|f_{\epsilon}(x') - f_{\epsilon}(x'')| \leq K|x' - x''|$.

Let $I'$ and $I''$ be divisions of $I$ such that $\eta(I'')/\eta(I') \geq N = [K] + 1$ and such that mesh $I' \leq \epsilon/4$. The first assumption implies that the image by $f_{\epsilon}$ of any segment of $I'$ lies in two adjacent segments of $I''$. It remains to define $g \epsilon [I'' \to I']$ which is an $\epsilon/2$-approximation of $f_{\epsilon}$. Let $0 = \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_k = 1$ be all division points in $I''$. Let $0 = \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_k = 1$ be all division points in $I'$. We define first a simple mapping $g_k$ by formula $g_k(x_k) = c_k, c_k$ is the least division point in $I'$ not less than $f_{\epsilon}(x_k)$. Mapping $g_k$ is not necessarily onto, but it may be improved in the following way.

Let $u, v \epsilon I'$ be two adjacent points such that $f_{\epsilon}(u) = 0$ and $f_{\epsilon}(v) = 1$. We shall consider only the case $u \leq v$. If $u$ is one of division points of $I'$, then $g_k u$ value equal 0. The same is true for $v$ and value 1.

Then let $u < \epsilon < \epsilon_k u$. We have $g_k(x_k) = g_k(\epsilon_k u) = c_k$. We improve $g_k$ by defining a new mapping $g_{k+1}$, also simple, by formula

$$g_{k+1}(x) = \begin{cases} c_{k+1} & \text{for } k \leq i, \\ g_k(x) & \text{for } k > i. \end{cases}$$

Let $\epsilon_i < u < \epsilon_{i+1}$. We have $g_{k+1}(x) = g_{k+1}(\epsilon_{i+1}) = c_{i+1}$. We improve $g_{k+1}$ by defining a new mapping $g$, which is simple, by formula

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} c_{i+1} & \text{for } k \leq i+1, \\ g(x) & \text{for } k < i. \end{cases}$$
Mapping \( g \) is the required approximation of \( f_* \), because, according to the construction and the remark at the beginning, for every \( x \in I \) points \( f_I(x) \) and \( g(x) \) lie in two adjacent segments of \( I' \) and either of them has diameter not greater than \( \varepsilon/4 \).

\[ \text{§ 2. A-categories.} \]

Let \( X_r, X_{r+1}, \ldots \) be a sequence of closed unit intervals. Consider for every \( X_r \) a sequence of divisions \( \{X_{\alpha}, \beta\} \), \( r \geq n-1 \), in the sense of § 1. Assume that every \( X_{r+1} \) is a proper subinterval of \( X_r \), i.e. every segment of \( X_{r+1} \) is at most a half of that of \( X_r \). We have

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \text{mesh } X_{r+1} = 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Consider classes of mappings \( S^m = [X_m \to X_r] \) for all \( n \leq m \leq r \). Assume that \( S^m \subset S^m \) for \( p \leq r \). A mapping \( \phi \) is said to be admissible if \( \phi \in \{X_m \to X_r\} \) and \( S^m \subset S^m \). It is easy to verify that the sequence \( \{X_n\} \) and all admissible mappings form a category in the sense of [4]. This category is said to be an \( A \)-category if

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \eta[\chi_{[X_r]}] = \infty \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Property (2) implies the following approximation property:

\( \text{(AP)} \) Let an \( \varepsilon > 0 \), an integer \( n \) and a mapping \( f : I \to \mathbb{R} \), which is continuous and onto be given. There exists an \( r \) such that for any \( r \geq r \) there exists a mapping \( g : [X_r \to X_{r+1}] \) such that \( f = g \).

Proof. Take an \( r \) such that mesh \( X_{r+1} \leq \varepsilon/4 \). If \( r \leq n \), then \( \eta[\chi_{[X_r]}] = \infty \), where \( N \) depends on \( f \) so that Lemma 2 is satisfied (this is possible in virtue of (2)).

\[ \text{Let } S_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^m S_n, \text{ a mapping } g : I \to I \text{ will be said to be a special strong majorant for } S_m \text{ if it is a strong majorant for every } S_n, n = 1, \ldots, m-1. \]

\[ \text{Lemma 3. There exist a division } I' \text{ of } I \text{ and a mapping } g : [I' \to X_{m-1}] \text{ being a special strong majorant for } S_m. \]

Proof. Let \( f_{m,n} \) be strong majorants for \( S_m \). According to Lemma 1 they belong to \( [I' \to X_{m-1}] \), where \( I' \) is a division of \( I \).

Applying the Remark to Lemma 1, \( f_{m,n} \) majorizes \( S_m \) with factors belonging also to \( [I' \to X_{m-1}] \).

Using the uniformization theorem we find mappings \( \delta_n \in [I' \to X_{m-1}] \), where \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1 \), and \( I' \) is a division of \( I \) such that \( f_{m,n} = \delta_n f_{m,n-1} \). Denote by \( \gamma \) the last expressions. We have \( \delta_n \in [I' \to X_{m-1}] \).

\[ \text{Mapping } g \text{ is the required special strong majorant for } S_m. \text{ In fact, let } \]

\[ f, f' \in S_m \text{ for some } n \leq m-1. \text{ As } f_{m,n} \text{ is the strong majorant for } S_n, \]

there exists a \( \beta \in [X_{m-1} \to X_{m-1}] \) such that \( f_{m,n} = f' \beta \). Hence we have

\[
f_{m,n} = f' \beta = f' \beta, \quad \text{i.e. } f = f' \beta, \quad \text{where } \gamma_n = \beta_n \epsilon [I' \to X_{m-1}]. \]

This means that \( f \gamma = f' \gamma \). The lemma is proved.

\[ \text{Remark. Factors } \gamma_n \text{ which realize inequalities } f \gamma = f' \gamma \text{ belong to } [I' \to X_{m-1}] \text{ as indicated in the proof of Lemma 3.} \]

We say that an inverse system \( \{X_n, \alpha_n^m\} \) is contained in an \( A \)-category if \( X_m \) coincides with objects (in the sense of [4], p. 143) of this \( A \)-category and \( \alpha_n^m \) are admissible in it.

\[ \text{Theorem 1. Every SC is an inverse limit of an inverse system contained in an arbitrary } A \text{-category } \mathbb{A}. \]

Proof. Let \( X = \lim (X_n, \alpha_n^m) \) be an SC. Let \( \sigma_n \) be a sequence of positive numbers with \( \lim_n \sigma_n = 0 \). We define the following diagram:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n^m} X_{n+1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n^m} X_{n+1} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^n} X_2 & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^n} X_2 & \cdots \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots \) are identities and

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1^n & = \alpha_1^n, \\
\alpha_1^n & = \alpha_1^n, \\
\end{align*}

and the inverse system in the lower line is assumed to be in \( \mathbb{A} \).

We proceed by induction. Let \( \epsilon_1 = 1 \). Assume that \( \epsilon_j \) for \( j < n \) are already defined and that they have the properties required above. According to (AP), there exist \( \epsilon_j \) and \( \alpha_j^m \) such that the difference between \( \epsilon_n^m \) and \( \alpha_j^m \) is so small that the relations (4) and (5) hold for any \( j < n \) and \( m = n \).

The existence of a diagram (3) is equivalent to the existence of a homeomorphism of \( X \) onto the inverse limit of the inverse system in the lower line of (3) (see [1] and also [5]).

\[ \text{§ 3. Universal snake-like continua ( USC).} \]

An SC is said to be universal in \( \mathbb{A} \) if it is an inverse limit of an inverse system \( \{X_n, \alpha_n^m\} \) contained in \( \mathbb{A} \) and such that every projection \( \alpha_n^m \) is a special strong majorant for \( S_m \). We denote such an SC by USC (\( \mathbb{A} \)).

We first prove some properties of USC (\( \mathbb{A} \)) and then we establish its existence by construction.

Properties. It is easy to prove that all USC (\( \mathbb{A} \)) for a given \( \mathbb{A} \) are homeomorphic. However, the following more general theorem is true:

\[ \text{Theorem 2. All USC are homeomorphic.} \]
Proof. Let \( X = \lim (X_n, \sigma_n^X) \) and \( Y = \lim (Y_n, \sigma_n^Y) \) be two USC, the first for the \( A \)-category \( \mathcal{W} \) and the second for the \( A \)-category \( \mathcal{W}' \). According to the theorem concerning homeomorphisms of inverse limits (see [1] and also [8]), in order to prove that \( X \) and \( Y \) are homeomorphic it is sufficient to show that for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), every \( m \) and \( n \), and every mapping \( f_m : X_n \to Y_m \), there exist \( n' > n \) and a mapping \( g_{m,n} : Y_{n'} \to Y_m \) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} g_{m,n} = \sigma_n^Y,
\]
and to show the same after substitution of \( X \) for \( Y \) and \( Y \) for \( X \).

To prove this, we choose an \( \varepsilon \)-approximation of \( f_m \) by a mapping \( f_{mn} \in \{ \gamma_m \to Y_{n-m} \} \), where \( r > n \); such an approximation exists in virtue of Lemma 2. Let \( n' = n + 1 \). Because \( \sigma_n^Y \) is the strong majorant for \( \{ Y_m \to Y_{n-m} \} \) there exists a mapping \( g_{m,n} = g_{m+1,n} \in \{ Y_{n+1} \to Y_m \} \) such that \( f_{mn} \circ g_{m,n} = \sigma^Y_{n+1} = \sigma_n^Y \). Since \( f_{mn} \approx f_m \), the required \( \varepsilon \)-equality is proved.

The proof of a similar condition after the substitution of \( X \) for \( Y \) and \( Y \) for \( X \) is the same. Thus, the Theorem is proved.

Thanks to Theorem 2 we can henceforth write simply USC instead of USC(\( \mathcal{W} \)).

**Theorem 3.** Every SC is a continuous image of USC.

**Proof.** Let \( X = \lim (X_n, \sigma_n^X) \) be an USC (\( \mathcal{W} \)), where \( \mathcal{W} \) is an \( A \)-category. According to Theorem 1, let \( Y = \lim (Y_n, \sigma_n^Y) \) be an arbitrary SC, where \( (Y_n, \sigma_n^Y) \) is an inverse system contained in \( A \)-category \( \mathcal{W} \). We define the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_n \leftarrow X_{n+1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow X_m \leftarrow X_{m+1} \leftarrow \cdots \\
\downarrow f_n \quad \downarrow f_{n+1} \\
Y_n \leftarrow Y_{n+1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow Y_m \leftarrow Y_{m+1} \leftarrow \cdots
\end{array}
\]
where the vertical mappings are continuous and onto. It is known (see [4], p. 271, Exercise 3.13) that such a diagram induces a continuous mapping of \( X \) onto \( Y \).

We proceed by induction. Let \( n = n+1 \) and let \( f \in S_{n+1} \). Assume that \( n = n+1 \) and \( f \in S_{n+1} \). Let \( n+1 = i+1 \). By the definition of USC (\( \mathcal{W} \)), the mapping \( \sigma_{n+1}^{n,i+1} \) is a special strong majorant for \( S_{n+1} \). Hence (see Lemma 3 and Remark after it) there exists a mapping, which we denote by \( i+1 \), belonging to the class \( S_{n+1} = S_{n+1} \) such that \( \sigma_{n+1}^{n,i+1} = I_n \sigma_{n,i} \) (also \( f_{n,i} = f_{n+1,i+1} \)). Thus, the theorem is proved.

**Construction.** We shall define an \( A \)-category \( \mathcal{W} \) and an USC(\( \mathcal{W} \)) simultaneously.

Let \( X_n \) and \( X_i \) be two unit closed intervals with divisions \( X_{n+1} \) and \( X_{n+1} \) consisting of 2 and 4 segments respectively. Let \( s_i \) be a strong majorant for \( S_i = (X_n \to X_{n+1}) \). It is in class \( [X_{n+1} \to X_{n+1}] \). Consider a subdivision \( X_{n+1} \) of \( X_{n+1} \) created from \( X_{n+1} \) by dividing all segments in \( X_{n+1} \) into three equal parts. The subdivision \( X_{n+1} \) induces subdivisions \( X_{n+1} \), \( X_{n+1} \), and \( X_{n+1} \) created by simplicial images from \( X_{n+1} \) onto \( X_{n+1} \) and then onto \( X_{n+1} \). We have immediate inclusions \( S_{n+1} \subset S_{n+1} \), if \( p > r \). Assume that divisions \( X_{n+1} \) of \( X_{n+1} \) and mappings \( s_{n+1} \) are already defined for \( p, r \leq n \) and \( r \geq p \), and that they have the following properties:

1. \( s_{n+1} \in [X_{n+1} \to X_{n+1}] \) for \( m \leq p \),
2. \( s_{n+1} \) is a special strong majorant for \( S_{n+1} \), \( p \leq n \),
3. \( S_{n+1} \subset S_{n+1} \) for \( r < q \leq n \) and \( m \leq p \leq n \).

We choose now \( s_{n+1} \) as a special strong majorant for \( S_{n+1} \). According to Lemma 3, we have \( s_{n+1} \in [X_{n+1} \to X_{n+1}] \), where \( X_{n+1} \) is a division of \( X_{n+1} \). Consider a subdivision \( X_{n+1} \) of \( X_{n+1} \) created from \( X_{n+1} \) by dividing all segments of \( X_{n+1} \) into three equal parts. The subdivision \( X_{n+1} \) induces subdivisions \( X_{n+1} \), \( X_{n+1} \), and \( X_{n+1} \). We then have \( s_{n+1} \subset S_{n+1} \) for \( p \leq n \), and inclusions \( S_{n+1} \subset S_{n+1} \) for \( r \leq n+1 \) and \( m \leq p \leq n+1 \). Thus, the construction is finished.

We shall prove in § 3 that the category constructed is an \( A \)-category.

**§ 4. An estimation.** Let \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \) and \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \) be two mappings defined as follows. We define \( f \) as a mapping which maps \( \sigma_n \) onto a segment \( \sigma_{n+1} \) of \( X_{n+1} \) and

\[
f^{-1}(\text{Int}(\sigma_{n+1})) = \text{Int}(\sigma_n).
\]

Such a mapping exists, as \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \) and \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \).

From \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \) and \( \eta(X_{n+1}) \) it follows that there exists at least one \( \eta \)-adjacent segment \( \sigma = \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_{n+1} \) and a mapping \( f' \in [X_{n+1} \to X_{n+1}] \) such that

\[
f'(\sigma) = \sigma_{n+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]
Since $\pi_{n+1}$ is a special strong majorant for $S_n$, there exists an $a : [X_{n+1} \to X_n]$ such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_{n+1} \leftarrow X_{n+1,1} \\
\downarrow a^{+} \quad \downarrow a^{+} \\
X_n \quad \downarrow a^{+}
\end{array}
\]
(11)

is commutative.
Let $a^{+}_1$, $a^{+}_2$ and $a^{+}_3$ be segments of $X_{n+1,1}$ such that $a(a^{+}_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $3$. By (10) and the commutativity of (11), we have $f^* \pi_{n+1}^1 (a^{+}_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $3$. From this equality and (9) we obtain $\pi_{n+1}^1 (a^{+}_i) = a_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $3$. Hence every segment $a_i$ of $X_{n+1}$ is an image of $\pi_{n+1}^1$ of at least three segments of $X_{n+1,1}$. Thus, $\eta(X_{n+1}) \geq 3 \eta(X_{n,1})$.

§ 5. The proof of property (2). The first members of the implication in Lemma 4 are true for all $n$. In fact, this is valid for $n = 1$, as $\eta(X_1) = 4$ and $\eta(X_n) = 2$. Suppose that this is valid for every $n < k$. According to Lemma 4, we have $\eta(X_{n+1}) \geq 3 \eta(X_n)$ and, by the definition of subdivisions described in the construction (see § 3), we have $\eta(X_{n+1}) \geq 3 \eta(X_{n,1})$. Therefore, $\eta(X_{n+1}) - \eta(X_{n+1,1}) \geq 2 \eta(X_{n+1,1})$. It is obvious that $\eta(X_{n+1}) \geq 12$ for $k > 1$. Thus, $\eta(X_{n+1,1}) \geq \eta(X_{n+1}) \geq 24 > 2$.

Hence, also the second members of the implication are true for all $n$. We obtain for $n + j \leq r$
\[
\eta(X_{n+j}) = \eta(X_{n+j-1}) \geq 3.
\]
Finally, we have
\[
\eta(X_n) \geq \eta(X_{n+1}) \geq \eta(X_{n+2}) \geq \ldots \eta(X_{n+r-1}) \geq 3^{r-1}.
\]
This ends the proof of (2).

Because all the properties of $A$-categories, except (2), are assumed in the construction, the proof of the existence of USC is finished.

§ 6. Other properties of USC. Let $I'$ and $I''$ be divisions of the closed unit interval $I$. Let $f : (I' \to I')$. Let $J$ be a subinterval of $I'$. We say that $f$ has a full oscillation over $J$ if, for every $J' \subset J$, $J' \neq J$, where $J'$ is also a subinterval of $I'$, and for every component $C$ of $f^{-1}(J)$ such that $C$ is an interior subinterval of $I'$ and $f$ maps the ends of $C$ onto the ends of $J$, there exist at least two intervals $G_1, G_2 \subset C$ such that $f(G_1) = f(G_2) = J'$. This notion of oscillation is parallel to that of crookedness in (2).

Theorem 4. If $X = \text{lim}(X_n, \pi_n)$ is an USC, then every $\pi_{n+1}$, $n > 1$, has full oscillation over any subinterval $J$ of $X_n$. 

Proof. Let $J' \subset J$, $J' \neq J$, be a subinterval of $X_n$. We shall define the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_{n,1} \leftarrow X_{n,1,1} \\
\downarrow f^+ \quad \downarrow f^+ \\
X_n \quad \downarrow f^+
\end{array}
\]
(13)

We define $f' : (X_{n,1} \to X_{n-1,1})$ as a monotone mapping which maps $J$ and $J'$ onto subintervals $L$ and $L'$ of $X_{n-1,1}$ respectively and such that
\[
f'^{-1}(L) = J \quad \text{and} \quad f'^{-1}(L') = J'.
\]
Such a mapping always exists if $\eta(X_{n,1}) \geq 3$, which is true for $n > 1$; the existence is a consequence of inequality $\eta(X_{n,1}) \geq \eta(X_{n-1,1})$.

We define $f'' : (X_{n,1} \to X_{n-1,1})$ as a mapping which maps an interval $M$ of $X_{n,1}$ onto $L$ with full oscillation over $L$ and such that
\[
f''^{-1}(L) = M.
\]
Such a mapping exists according to the estimation $\eta(X_{n,1}) \geq 3 \eta(X_{n-1,1})$, which follows from Lemma 4.

Since $\pi_{n+1}$ is a special strong majorant for $S_n$, there exist an $a : (X_{n,1} \to X_{n,1})$ filling up the diagram.

Consider a component $K$ of $(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(J)$ such that $K$ is an interior subinterval of $X_{n,1,1}$ and such that $\pi_{n+1}$ maps the ends of $K$ onto the ends of $J$. We have $\pi_{n+1}(K) = f''$. We prove that
\[
a(K) = M.
\]
In fact, if $x \notin K$, then $a(x) \in M$, because in the other case $f''(a(x)) \notin L$, in virtue of (15). Since $f''(a(x)) \notin L$, we have a contradiction of the commutativity of diagram (13).

Note that $a$ maps the ends $a'$ and $a''$ of $K$ into the ends of $M$. In fact, if $a(a') \in \text{Int}(M)$, then, because $K$ is interior in $X_{n,1}$, there exists an $a \in X_{n,1} - K$ such that $a(x) \in M$ and $\pi_{n+1}(a) \notin J$. This implies $f''(a(x)) \notin L$ and, by (14), $f''(a(x)) \notin L$. We have a contradiction of the commutativity of diagram (13).

We have, in addition, $a(a') \neq a(a'')$, because in the other case $f''(a'(x)) = f''(a''(x))$, by (13). This contradicts the definition of $K$ and $J'$. Thus, the equality $a(K) = M$ is proved.

By the definition of $f''$, the inverse image $f''^{-1}(L') \cap K$ consists of at least two components which are mapped by $f''$ onto $L'$. Hence, $(f''(a'(x)))^{-1}(L') \cap K$ and, by the commutativity of (13), also $(f''(a''(x)))^{-1}(L') \cap K$ contains at least two components which are mapped by $f''$ and $f''$, respectively, onto $L'$. We have, by (14), $(f''(a'(x)))^{-1}(L') = (\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(f''(L'))$.


Let $A'$ be the maximal interval of $X_{n,n}$ contained in the interior of $A_n$. There exists a component $C$ of $(\pi^{n+1}_n)^{-1}(A'_n)$ such that $C$ is in the interior of $A_{n+1}$ and such that $\pi^{n+1}_n$ maps the ends of $C$ onto the ends of $A'_n$. Let $A'$ be a proper subinterval (in $X_{n,n}$) of $A_n$. According to Theorem 4, $\pi^{n+1}_n$ has full oscillation over $A'_n$. Hence there exists intervals $C_1$ and $C_2$ in $C$ disjoint and such that $\pi^{n+1}_n$ maps those intervals onto $A'_n$. Because $C \cap A_{n+1}$, intervals $C_1$ and $C_2$ also lie in $A_{n+1}$. Hence, the partial mapping $\pi^{n+1}_n \mid A_{n+1}$ also has full oscillation over $A'_n$. Then, in virtue of Lemma 5, the subcontinuum $A$ is indecomposable. Thus, the theorem is proved.
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